



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY



NO.AD (L-II)/SPPRA/CMS-2862/2021-22/4082 Karachi, dated the 22nd December, 2021

To,

The Secretary,
Works & Services Department,
Government of Sindh,
KARACHI.

Subject: DECISION OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY.

The undersigned is directed to refer to the subject cited above and to enclose herewith a copy of the Authority's Review Committee decision (M/s Abdul Hafeez Kolachi V/s Executive Engineer Highway Division Khairpur, held on 02.12.2021 & 09.12.2021 for taking further necessary action in compliance of referred decision, under intimation to this Authority, at the earliest.


DEPUTY DIRECTOR (HRF & CB)

A copy is forwarded for information and necessary action to:

1. The Superintendent Engineer, Highway Circle (Works & Services) Department Sukkur.
2. The Executive Engineer, Highway Division Khairpur.
3. Assistant director (I.T), SPPRA (with advice to post the decision on the Authority's website in terms of Rule-32(11) of SPP Rules, 2010)
4. The Staff Officer to the Chairman / Members Review Committee.
5. The Appellants.



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY



No.AD (L-II) SPPRA/CMS-2862/2020-21

Karachi, dated the December, 2021

BEFORE REVIEW COMMITTEE OF SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY
AUTHORITY UNDER RULE-32 OF SPP RULES 2010.

M/s Abdul Hafeez Kolachi

VS

Executive Engineer Highways Division Khairpur
PPMS NIT NO. T00672-21-0001 dated 18-10-2021

Decision of the Review Committee Meeting

Held on 08.12.2021 & 09.12.2021

Date(s) of meeting(s)	08.12.2021 & 09.12.2021
Appellant	Abdul Hafeez Kolachi
Procuring Agency	Muzzafar Ali XEN Highways Division Khairpur
Appeal received on	19.11.2021



S. No	Appellant's Version	Procuring Agency's Version	Remarks/SPP Rules/Regulations
1.	The appellant Complained that he went to the Procuring agency's office but the procurement committee was not present at the time of scheduled bid opening day.	The procuring agency informed that the bids were opened but the bidder did not participate in the bidding.	
2.	The appellant further Complained that tender clerk took attendance sheet and said that the Executive Engineer is busy in the court work so the dropping process will be scheduled on the next date by issuing corrigendum.	The procuring agency informed that the dropping was held on scheduled date, time and venue but bidder was not present.	
3.	The appellant said he signed the attendance sheet and showed the attendance sheet prepared by the procuring	The procuring agency denied such attendance sheet and showed an attendance sheet wherein	

3/1

	agency wherein the name of the appellant has been shown.	<p>only 7 bidders had participated excluding the Complainant.</p> <p>It was submitted by the procuring agency that the attendance sheet shown by the appellant is <u>fake and bogus</u>.</p>	
4.		<p>Responding to a question regarding award of work, the procuring agency informed that the <u>procurement contract has not</u> been signed as yet.</p>	

Findings of the Committee;

1. The Review Committee observed that both the attendance sheets have been written by the same hand writing. It shows that the appellant had participated in the bid opening meeting.
2. The Review Committee also observed the procuring agency did not open the bids on the scheduled time, date and venue.
3. It was noted that the procuring agency had not awarded the work as yet.




Decision of the Review Committee:

Given the proceedings, findings, observations and after due deliberation, in exercise of power conferred by the Rule 32 (7)(g), the Review Committee directs the procuring agency to terminate the procurement proceedings, as the procurement contract has not been signed. The procuring agency shall initiate the process afresh by observing rules and regulation accordingly.



(Member)
Manzoor Ahmed Memon
Member SPPRA Board




(Member)
Syed Adil Gilani
Transparency International Pakistan



(Member)
G Mohi-Uddin Asim
Representative of P & D Board, P& D
Department Karachi



(Member)
Munir Ahmed Shaikh
Independent Professional



(Chairman)
Abdul Haleem Shaikh
Managing Director
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority