Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works and Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/415/2012

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Improvement of Provincial Highway from Ranipur to Pir Wassan (38.3 Km), Remaining Works

4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure

5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID No. 8277/2012, The Dawn & The Ibrat dated 11 May, 2012

6. Total Bid documents Sold: Eleven (11)

7. Total Bids Received: Three (3)

8. Technical Bid Opening date: 21 June, 2012

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Three (3)

10. Bid(s) Rejected: Nil

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18 July, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers (NKB)	Rs. 1,351,818,728	Ist	6.13 % above	Lowest Responsive Evaluated Bid	
2.	M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)	Rs. 1,408,747,774	2nd	11.13 % above		
3.	M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch	Rs. 1,450,379,579	3rd	13.87 % above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Team leader, REC-EEIL

P.D.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAME OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS WHO HAVE PURCHASED THE BIDDING DOCUMENTS AND PARTICIPATED IN THE BID OPENING HELD ON 21ST JUNE 2012 AT 1.00 PM IN THE OFFICE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR JAPANESE ASSISTED RRCP-II SINDH HYDERABAD (FORMER ADB ASSISTED SINDH ROAD SECTOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME, LOAN NO.1892, 1893).

S. No	NAME OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS	IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM RANIPUR TO PIR WASSAN, 38 KMS (REMAINING WORKS)	IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM PADEDAN ROAD (JALAL-JI-CHOWDAGI) TO AKRI, 29 KMS (REMAINING WORKS)	REMARKS
1	M/s Sachal Engineering Works (Pvt) Ltd Islamabad	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
2	M/s Frontier Works Organization (FWO)	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
3	M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch	Bids Submitted TP... one book	Bids Submitted TP... one book	SYED NASIR RAZA
4	M/s RMS (Pvt) Ltd	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
5	M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers	Bid Submitted TP... 2 books	Bid Submitted TP... 2 books	Jahanzaib Khan
6	M/s Lilly International (Pvt) Ltd	Bid Submitted TP... one book	Bid Submitted TP... one book	M. SHAKIL RIAZ
7	M/s Faheem & Naseem Construction Co:	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
8	M/s KNK – Masscon (J.V)	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
9	M/s Al-Khair Construction Company	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
10	M/s Paragon Construction & Company	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
11	M/s Umer Jan & Co: and M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co: (Joint Venture)	Not Submitted	Bids Submitted TP... one book	

TP.... Technical Proposal

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works and Services Department (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Imp. of Prov. Highway from Ranipur to Pir Wassan (38.3 Km)
4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/415/2012
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Imp. of Prov Highway from Ranipur to Pir Wassan (38.3 Km)
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1,273,740,091
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 1,273,740,091
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 300 days
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 21 June, 2012 (Technical) - 18 July, 2012 (Finacial)
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 11
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 3
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 3
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Yes
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Bros, Hyderabad
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 1,287,748,520 (excluding PS for Contingencies)
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Bros - Ist Lowest
    M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV) - 2nd Lowest
    M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch - 3rd Lowest
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	√	Local
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Chief Engineer

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID# 8277/2012, 11 May, 2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	The Dawn & The Ibrat dated 11 May, 2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	√

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	√

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	On the request of the Contractor to give reasonable time for preparation of bids
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	√

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	√

39) Date of Award of Contract: 15 August 2012

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer P.D.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

E BID OPENING AND EVALUATION FOR SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDUR

IB. 23 Bid Opening

23.1 The Employer will open the Technical Bids in public at the address, date and time specified in the Bidding Data Sheet in the presence of Bidders' designated representatives and anyone who choose to attend. The Price Bids will remain unopened and will be held in custody of the Employer until the specified time of their opening.

23.2 First, envelopes marked "WITHDRAWAL" shall be opened and read out and the envelope with the corresponding bid shall not be opened, but returned to the Bidder. No bid withdrawal shall be permitted unless the corresponding Withdrawal Notice contains a valid authorization to request the withdrawal and is read out at bid opening.

23.3 Second, outer envelopes marked "SUBSTITUTION" shall be opened. The inner envelopes containing the Substitution Technical Bid and/or Substitution Price Bid shall be exchanged for the corresponding envelopes being substituted, which are to be returned to the Bidder unopened. Only the Substitution Technical Bid, if any, shall be opened, read out, and recorded. Substitution Price Bid will remain unopened in accordance with IB 23.1. No envelope shall be substituted unless the corresponding

Substitution Notice contains a valid authorization to request the substitution and is read out and recorded at bid opening.

23.4 Next, outer envelopes marked "MODIFICATION" shall be opened. No Technical Bid and/or Price Bid shall be modified unless the corresponding Modification Notice contains a valid authorization to request the modification and is read out and recorded at the opening of Technical Bids. Only the Technical Bids, both Original as well as Modification, are to be opened, read out, and recorded at the opening. Price Bids, both Original and Modification, will remain unopened in accordance with IB 23.1. The Bidders' representatives who are present shall be requested to sign the record. The omission of a Bidder's signature on the record shall not invalidate the contents and effect of the record. A copy of the record shall be distributed to all Bidders.

23.5 Other envelopes holding the Technical Bids shall be opened one at a time, and the following read out and recorded:

(a) the name of the Bidder;
(b) whether there is a modification or substitution; and
(c) Any other details as the Employer may consider appropriate.

No Bid shall be rejected at the opening of Technical Bids except for late bids, in accordance with IB 21.1. Only Technical Bids read out and recorded at bid opening, shall be considered for evaluation.

Evaluation of Technical Bids

23.6 a) The Employer shall first check eligibility and evaluate qualification and experience Data as per appendix M and N submitted by the Bidder. The technical proposal examination of those bidders only shall be taken in hand who are eligible and meet the minimum requirement as mentioned in appendix M and N. Only substantially responsive qualification shall be considered for further evaluation.

b) The Employer shall confirm that all the documents and information have been provided for evaluation of Technical Proposal. If any required document found missing

in the proposal then the technical proposal may be declared as non-responsive and rejected without further evaluation. The responsive Technical proposals shall be evaluated as follows:

A	Method of performing the Works	30 Points
B	Proposed Construction Schedule	10 Points
C	Availability of Critical Equipment	15 Points
D	Construction Camp and Housing Facilities	15 Points
E	Organization Chart for Supervisory Staff	5 Points
F	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance Plan	25 Points

Total Points: 100
Passing Points: 60

23.7 At the end of the evaluation of the Technical Bids, the Employer will invite only those bidders who have submitted substantially responsive Technical Bids, obtained at least 60 Points out of total 100 Point in the technical proposal and who have been determined as being qualified for award to attend the opening of the Price Bids.

The date, time, and location of the opening of Price Bids will be advised in writing by the Employer. Bidders shall be given reasonable notice for the opening of Price Bids.

23.8 The Employer will notify Bidders in writing who have been rejected on the grounds of their Technical Bids being substantially non-responsive to the requirements of the Bidding Document and return their Price Bids unopened before inviting others, who are determined as being qualified, to attend the opening of Price Bids.

23.9 The Employer shall conduct the opening of Price Bids of all Bidders who submitted substantially responsive Technical Bids, publically in the presence of Bidders' representatives who choose to attend at the address, date and time specified by the Employer. The Bidder's representatives who are present shall be requested to sign a register evidencing their attendance.

23.10 All envelopes containing Price Bids shall be opened one at a time and the following read out and recorded:

(a) The name of the Bidder;
(b) Whether there is a modification or substitution;
(c) The Bid Prices, including any discounts and alternative offers; and
(d) Presence of required bid security and any other details as the Employer may consider appropriate.

Only Price Bids and discounts, read out and recorded during the opening of Price Bids shall be considered for evaluation. No Bid shall be rejected at the opening of Price Bids.

23.11 If this Bidding Document allows Bidders to quote separate prices for different contracts, and the award to a single Bidder of multiple contracts, the methodology to determine the lowest evaluated price of the contract combinations is that which is most economical to the Employer.

IB.24 Process to be Confidential

24.1 Information relating to the examination, clarification, evaluation and comparison of bid and recommendations for the award of a contract shall not be disclosed to bidders or any other person not officially concerned with such process before the announcement of bid evaluation report which shall be done at least ten 10 days prior to issue of Letter of Acceptance. The announcement to all Bidders will include table(s) comprising read out prices, discounted

prices, price adjustments made, final evaluated prices and recommendations against all the bids evaluated. Any effort by a bidder to influence the Employer's processing of bids or award decisions may result in the rejection of such bidder's bid. Whereas any bidder feeling aggrieved may lodge a written complaint not later than fifteen (15) days after the announcement of the bid evaluation report. However mere fact of lodging a complaint shall not warrant suspension of the procurement process.

IB.25 Clarification of Bids

25.1 To assist in the examination, evaluation and comparison of bids, the Employer may, at his discretion, ask any bidder for clarification of his bid, including breakdowns of unit rates. The request for clarification and the response shall be in writing but no change in the price or substance of the bid shall be sought, offered or permitted except as required to confirm the correction of arithmetic errors discovered by the Employer in the evaluation of the bids in accordance with Clause IB.28.

25.2 If a Bidder does not provide clarifications of its Bid by the date and time set in the Employer's request for clarification, its bid may be rejected.

IB.26 Examination of Bids and Determination of Responsiveness

26.1 Prior to the detailed evaluation of bids, the Employer will determine whether each bid is substantially responsive to the requirements of the Bidding Documents.

26.2 A substantially responsive bid is one which (i) meets the eligibility criteria; (ii) has been properly signed; (iii) is accompanied by the required Bid Security; (iv) Includes signed Integrity Pact where required as per clause IB.35 and (v) conforms to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the Bidding Documents, without material deviation or reservation. A material deviation or reservation is one (i) which affect in any substantial way the scope, quality or performance of the Works; (ii) which limits in any substantial way, inconsistent with the Bidding Documents, the Employer's rights or the bidder's obligations under the Contract; (iii) adoption/rectification whereof would affect unfairly the competitive position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids. Only substantially responsive bid shall be considered for further evaluation.

26.3 If a bid is not substantially responsive, it may not subsequently be made responsive by correction or withdrawal of the non-conforming material deviation or reservation. The Employer may, however, seek confirmation/ clarification in writing which shall be responded in writing.

IB.27 Correction of Errors

27.1 Bids determined to be substantially responsive will be checked by the Employer for any arithmetic errors. Errors will be corrected by the Employer as follows:

(a) Where there is a discrepancy between the amounts in figures and in words, the amount in words will govern; and

(b) Where there is a discrepancy between the unit rate and the line item total resulting from multiplying the unit rate by the quantity, the unit rate as quoted will govern, unless in the opinion of the Employer there is an obviously gross misplacement of the decimal point in the unit rate, in which case the line item total as quoted will govern and the unit rate will be corrected.

27.2 The amount stated in the Letter of Price Bid will be adjusted by the Employer in accordance with the above procedure for the correction of errors and with the concurrence of the bidder, shall be considered as binding upon the bidder. If the bidder does not accept the corrected Bid Price, his Bid will be rejected, and the Bid Security shall be forfeited in accordance with IB.15.6 (b) hereof.

IB.28 Evaluation and Comparison of Bids

28.1 The Employer will evaluate and compare only the Bids determined to be substantially responsive in accordance with Clause IB.26.

28.2 In evaluating the Bids, the Employer will determine for each Bid the evaluated Bid Price by adjusting the Bid Price as follows:

(a) Making any correction for errors pursuant to Clause IB.27;

(b) Excluding Provisional Sums and the provision, if any, for contingencies in the Summary Bill of Quantities, but including competitively priced Day work; and

(c) Making an appropriate adjustment for any other acceptable variation or deviation.

28.3 The estimated effect of the price adjustment provisions of the Conditions of Contract, applied over the period of execution of the Contract, shall not be taken into account in Bid evaluation.

28.4 If the Bid of the successful bidder is seriously unbalanced in relation to the Employer's estimate of the cost of work to be performed under the Contract, the Employer may require the bidder to produce detailed price analyses for any or all items of the Bill of Quantities to demonstrate the internal consistency of those prices with the construction methods and schedule proposed. After evaluation of the price analyses, the Employer may require that the amount of the Performance Security set forth in Clause IB.32 be increased at the expense of the successful bidder to a level sufficient to protect the Employer against financial loss in the event of default of the successful bidder under the Contract.

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works and Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/415/2012

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Improvement of Provincial Highway from Padedan Road (Jalal Ji Chowdagi) to Akri (28 Km), Remaining Works

4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage, Two Envelope Procedure

5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID No. 8277/2012, The Dawn & The Ibrat dated 11 May, 2012

6. Total Bid documents Sold: Eleven (11)

7. Total Bids Received: Four (4)

8. Technical Bid Opening date: 21 June, 2012

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Three (3)

10. Bid(s) Rejected: One (1)

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18 July, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch	Rs. 999,645,549	Ist	9.5 % above	Lowest Responsive Evaluated Bid	
2.	M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)	Rs. 1,160,841,266	2nd	27.15 % above		
3.	M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers	Rs. 1,221,960,626	3rd	33.85 % above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Team Leader, REE-EEIL

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NAME OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS WHO HAVE PURCHASED THE BIDDING DOCUMENTS AND PARTICIPATED IN THE BID OPENING HELD ON 21ST JUNE 2012 AT 1.00 PM IN THE OFFICE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR JAPANESE ASSISTED RRCP-II SINDH HYDERABAD (FORMER ADB ASSISTED SINDH ROAD SECTOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME, LOAN NO.1892, 1893).

S. No	NAME OF CONTRACTORS / FIRMS	IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM RANIPUR TO PIR WASSAN, 38 KMS (REMAINING WORKS)	IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM PADEDAN ROAD (JALAL-JI-CHOWDAGI) TO AKRI, 29 KMS (REMAINING WORKS)	REMARKS
1	M/s Sachal Engineering Works (Pvt) Ltd Islamabad	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
2	M/s Frontier Works Organization (FWO)	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
3	M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch	Bids Submitted TP... one book	Bids Submitted TP... one book	SYED NASIR RAZA
4	M/s RMS (Pvt) Ltd	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
5	M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers	Bid Submitted TP... 2 books	Bid Submitted TP... 2 books	Jahanzaib Khan
6	M/s Lilly International (Pvt) Ltd	Bid Submitted TP... one book	Bid Submitted TP... one book	M. SHAKIL RIAZ
7	M/s Faheem & Naseem Construction Co:	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
8	M/s KNK – Masscon (J.V)	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
9	M/s Al-Khair Construction Company	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
10	M/s Paragon Construction & Company	Not Submitted	Not Submitted	—
11	M/s Umer Jan & Co: and M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co: (Joint Venture)	Not Submitted	Bids Submitted TP... one book	

TP.... Technical Proposal

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works and Services Department (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Imp. of Prov. Highway from Padedan Road to Akri (28 Km)
4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/415/2012
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Imp. of Prov. Highway from Padedan Road to Akri (28 Km)
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 912,943,978
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 912,943,978
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 300 days
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 21 June, 2012 (Technical) - 18 July, 2012 (Finacial)
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 11
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 4
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 4
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Yes
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch, Karachi
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 952,198,573 (excluding PS for Contingencies)
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch - Ist Lowest
    M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV) - 2nd Lowest
    M/s Niaz M. Khan and Brothers - 3rd Lowest
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	√	Local
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Chief Engineer

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID# 8277/2012, 11 May, 2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	The Dawn & The Ibrat dated 11 May, 2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	√

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	√

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	On the request of the Contractor to give reasonable time for preparation of bids
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	√

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	√

39) Date of Award of Contract: 15 August, 2012

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

E BID OPENING AND EVALUATION FOR SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDUR

IB. 23 Bid Opening

23.1 The Employer will open the Technical Bids in public at the address, date and time specified in the Bidding Data Sheet in the presence of Bidders` designated representatives and anyone who choose to attend. The Price Bids will remain unopened and will be held in custody of the Employer until the specified time of their opening.

23.2 First, envelopes marked "WITHDRAWAL" shall be opened and read out and the envelope with the corresponding bid shall not be opened, but returned to the Bidder. No bid withdrawal shall be permitted unless the corresponding Withdrawal Notice contains a valid authorization to request the withdrawal and is read out at bid opening.

23.3 Second, outer envelopes marked "SUBSTITUTION" shall be opened. The inner envelopes containing the Substitution Technical Bid and/or Substitution Price Bid shall be exchanged for the corresponding envelopes being substituted, which are to be returned to the Bidder unopened. Only the Substitution Technical Bid, if any, shall be opened, read out, and recorded. Substitution Price Bid will remain unopened in accordance with IB 23.1. No envelope shall be substituted unless the corresponding

Substitution Notice contains a valid authorization to request the substitution and is read out and recorded at bid opening.

23.4 Next, outer envelopes marked "MODIFICATION" shall be opened. No Technical Bid and/or Price Bid shall be modified unless the corresponding Modification Notice contains a valid authorization to request the modification and is read out and recorded at the opening of Technical Bids. Only the Technical Bids, both Original as well as Modification, are to be opened, read out, and recorded at the opening. Price Bids, both Original and Modification, will remain unopened in accordance with IB 23.1. The Bidders' representatives who are present shall be requested to sign the record. The omission of a Bidder's signature on the record shall not invalidate the contents and effect of the record. A copy of the record shall be distributed to all Bidders.

23.5 Other envelopes holding the Technical Bids shall be opened one at a time, and the following read out and recorded:

(a) the name of the Bidder;
(b) whether there is a modification or substitution; and
(c) Any other details as the Employer may consider appropriate.

No Bid shall be rejected at the opening of Technical Bids except for late bids, in accordance with IB 21.1. Only Technical Bids read out and recorded at bid opening, shall be considered for evaluation.

Evaluation of Technical Bids

23.6 a) The Employer shall first check eligibility and evaluate qualification and experience Data as per appendix M and N submitted by the Bidder. The technical proposal examination of those bidders only shall be taken in hand who are eligible and meet the minimum requirement as mentioned in appendix M and N. Only substantially responsive qualification shall be considered for further evaluation.

b) The Employer shall confirm that all the documents and information have been provided for evaluation of Technical Proposal. If any required document found missing

in the proposal then the technical proposal may be declared as non-responsive and rejected without further evaluation. The responsive Technical proposals shall be evaluated as follows:

A	Method of performing the Works	30 Points
B	Proposed Construction Schedule	10 Points
C	Availability of Critical Equipment	15 Points
D	Construction Camp and Housing Facilities	15 Points
E	Organization Chart for Supervisory Staff	5 Points
F	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance Plan	25 Points

Total Points: 100
Passing Points: 60

23.7 At the end of the evaluation of the Technical Bids, the Employer will invite only those bidders who have submitted substantially responsive Technical Bids, obtained at least 60 Points out of total 100 Point in the technical proposal and who have been determined as being qualified for award to attend the opening of the Price Bids.

The date, time, and location of the opening of Price Bids will be advised in writing by the Employer. Bidders shall be given reasonable notice for the opening of Price Bids.

23.8 The Employer will notify Bidders in writing who have been rejected on the grounds of their Technical Bids being substantially non-responsive to the requirements of the Bidding Document and return their Price Bids unopened before inviting others, who are determined as being qualified, to attend the opening of Price Bids.

23.9 The Employer shall conduct the opening of Price Bids of all Bidders who submitted substantially responsive Technical Bids, publically in the presence of Bidders` representatives who choose to attend at the address, date and time specified by the Employer. The Bidder's representatives who are present shall be requested to sign a register evidencing their attendance.

23.10 All envelopes containing Price Bids shall be opened one at a time and the following read out and recorded:

(a) The name of the Bidder;

(b) Whether there is a modification or substitution;

(c) The Bid Prices, including any discounts and alternative offers; and

(d) Presence of required bid security and any other details as the Employer may consider appropriate.

Only Price Bids and discounts, read out and recorded during the opening of Price Bids shall be considered for evaluation. No Bid shall be rejected at the opening of Price Bids.

23.11 If this Bidding Document allows Bidders to quote separate prices for different contracts, and the award to a single Bidder of multiple contracts, the methodology to determine the lowest evaluated price of the contract combinations is that which is most economical to the Employer.

IB.24 Process to be Confidential

24.1 Information relating to the examination, clarification, evaluation and comparison of bid and recommendations for the award of a contract shall not be disclosed to bidders or any other person not officially concerned with such process before the announcement of bid evaluation report which shall be done at least ten 10 days prior to issue of Letter of Acceptance. The announcement to all Bidders will include table(s) comprising read out prices, discounted

prices, price adjustments made, final evaluated prices and recommendations against all the bids evaluated. Any effort by a bidder to influence the Employer's processing of bids or award decisions may result in the rejection of such bidder's bid. Whereas any bidder feeling aggrieved may lodge a written complaint not later than fifteen (15) days after the announcement of the bid evaluation report. However mere fact of lodging a complaint shall not warrant suspension of the procurement process.

IB.25 Clarification of Bids

25.1 To assist in the examination, evaluation and comparison of bids, the Employer may, at his discretion, ask any bidder for clarification of his bid, including breakdowns of unit rates. The request for clarification and the response shall be in writing but no change in the price or substance of the bid shall be sought, offered or permitted except as required to confirm the correction of arithmetic errors discovered by the Employer in the evaluation of the bids in accordance with Clause IB.28.

25.2 If a Bidder does not provide clarifications of its Bid by the date and time set in the Employer's request for clarification, its bid may be rejected.

IB.26 Examination of Bids and Determination of Responsiveness

26.1 Prior to the detailed evaluation of bids, the Employer will determine whether each bid is substantially responsive to the requirements of the Bidding Documents.

26.2 A substantially responsive bid is one which (i) meets the eligibility criteria; (ii) has been properly signed; (iii) is accompanied by the required Bid Security; (iv) Includes signed Integrity Pact where required as per clause IB.35 and (v) conforms to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the Bidding Documents, without material deviation or reservation. A material deviation or reservation is one (i) which affect in any substantial way the scope, quality or performance of the Works; (ii) which limits in any substantial way, inconsistent with the Bidding Documents, the Employer's rights or the bidder's obligations under the Contract; (iii) adoption/rectification whereof would affect unfairly the competitive position of other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids. Only substantially responsive bid shall be considered for further evaluation.

26.3 If a bid is not substantially responsive, it may not subsequently be made responsive by correction or withdrawal of the non-conforming material deviation or reservation. The Employer may, however, seek confirmation/ clarification in writing which shall be responded in writing.

IB.27 Correction of Errors

27.1 Bids determined to be substantially responsive will be checked by the Employer for any arithmetic errors. Errors will be corrected by the Employer as follows:

(a) Where there is a discrepancy between the amounts in figures and in words, the amount in words will govern; and

(b) Where there is a discrepancy between the unit rate and the line item total resulting from multiplying the unit rate by the quantity, the unit rate as quoted will govern, unless in the opinion of the Employer there is an obviously gross misplacement of the decimal point in the unit rate, in which case the line item total as quoted will govern and the unit rate will be corrected.

27.2 The amount stated in the Letter of Price Bid will be adjusted by the Employer in accordance with the above procedure for the correction of errors and with the concurrence of the bidder, shall be considered as binding upon the bidder. If the bidder does not accept the corrected Bid Price, his Bid will be rejected, and the Bid Security shall be forfeited in accordance with IB.15.6 (b) hereof.

IB.28 Evaluation and Comparison of Bids

28.1 The Employer will evaluate and compare only the Bids determined to be substantially responsive in accordance with Clause IB.26.

28.2 In evaluating the Bids, the Employer will determine for each Bid the evaluated Bid Price by adjusting the Bid Price as follows:

(a) Making any correction for errors pursuant to Clause IB.27;

(b) Excluding Provisional Sums and the provision, if any, for contingencies in the Summary Bill of Quantities, but including competitively priced Day work; and

(c) Making an appropriate adjustment for any other acceptable variation or deviation.

28.3 The estimated effect of the price adjustment provisions of the Conditions of Contract, applied over the period of execution of the Contract, shall not be taken into account in Bid evaluation.

28.4 If the Bid of the successful bidder is seriously unbalanced in relation to the Employer's estimate of the cost of work to be performed under the Contract, the Employer may require the bidder to produce detailed price analyses for any or all items of the Bill of Quantities to demonstrate the internal consistency of those prices with the construction methods and schedule proposed. After evaluation of the price analyses, the Employer may require that the amount of the Performance Security set forth in Clause IB.32 be increased at the expense of the successful bidder to a level sufficient to protect the Employer against financial loss in the event of default of the successful bidder under the Contract.

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. **IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM PADEDAN ROAD AT JALAL JI CHOWDAGI TO AKRI (28.00 KMS) (REMAINING WORK)**

2. **IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM RANIPUR TO PIR WASSAN (38.3 KMS) (REMAINING WORK)**

The Notice Inviting Tenders for the remaining works were invited vide this office letter No:PD (RRCP-II)/415/2012, dated 07.05.2012 (Single stage-two envelopes) as per Sindh Public Procurement Rules 2010 with the last date as 05.06.2012 extended upto 21.06.2012. The Pre-Bid meeting was held on 14.06.2012. The following contractors purchased the bidding documents :-

1 M/s Sachal Engineering Works (Pvt) Ltd, Islamabad

2. M/s Frontier Works Organization (FWO)

3 M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch (Pvt) Ltd., Karachi

4 M/s RMS (Pvt) Ltd, Karachi

5 M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers, Hyderabad

6 M/s Lilly International (Pvt) Ltd, Karachi

7 M/s Faheem & Naseem Construction Co:, Karachi

8 M/s KNK – Masscon (J.V) Karachi

9 M/s Al-Khair Construction Company

10 M/s Paragon Construction & Company

11 M/s Umer Jan & Co: and M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co: (Joint Venture) Sukkur

Only following contractors submitted the bid documents for work as shown against each.

SR. ND	ROAD NAME / DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED LENGTH (KM)	NAME OF CONTRACTORS
01	Improvement of Provincial Highway from Padedan Road at Jalal Ji Chowdagi To Akri (remaining work)	28	1) M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baluch (Pvt) Ltd. 2) M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers 3) M/s Lilley International (Pvt) Ltd 4) M/s Umar Jan & Co: and M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co: (J.V)
02	Improvement of Provincial Highway from Ranipur to Pir Wassan (Remaining Work)	38.3	1) M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baluch (Pvt) Ltd. 2) M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers 3) M/s Lilley International (Pvt) Ltd

The Technical Proposals of the above contractors for the remaining works were sent to Consultants M/s REC – ECIL Karachi for evaluation vide letter No:PD(RRCP-II)/640, dated 12.07.2012. The Consultants evaluated the documents and the documents of M/s Umar Jan & Co: and M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co: (J.V) found not qualified (Non Responsive), therefore the financial Bid was returned to the said contractors un-opened.

The Financial Bids of the following contractors were opened in presence of Committee and Contractors on Tuesday 17th July 2012 at 12.30 and sent to the Consultants for evaluation.

As a result of financial evaluation the bids of following contractors for the tendered works were found lowest / Responsive.

SR. ND	ROAD NAME / DESCRIPTION	DESIGNED LENGTH (KM)	NAME OF LOWEST CONTRACTORS
01	Improvement of Provincial Highway from Padedan Road at Jalal Ji Chowdagi To Akri (remaining work)	28	1) M/s Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baluch (Pvt) Ltd.
02	Improvement of Provincial Highway from Ranipur to Pir Wassan (Remaining Work)	38.3	1) M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers

The Price Bid Evaluation Report is attached herewith.

Government of Sindh

Works and Services Department

PRICE BID EVALUATION REPORT

CONTRACT PACKAGE – 2

IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM PADEDAN ROAD (JALAL JI CHOWDAGI) TO AKRI (28 KM) (Remaining Works)

July, 2012

CONSULTANT:

REPUBLIC ENGINEERING CORPORATION (REC) Pvt. Ltd.
in association with
ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS INTERNATIONAL (ECIL) Pvt. Ltd.

PRICE BID EVALUATION REPORT

CONTRACT PACKAGE – 2

IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM PADEDAN ROAD (JALAL JI CHOWDAGI) TO AKRI (28 KM) (Remaining Works)

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Engineer's Cost Estimate:

Including Provisional Sum for Contingencies & Daywork	Rs.	912,943,978
Provisional Sum for Day Work	Rs.	3,842,325
Provisional Sum for Contingencies	Rs.	43,290,555
Excluding Provisional Sum for Contingencies	Rs.	869,653,423

2. Submission Date Of Bids: 21-06-2012 at 01:00 hrs

3. Price Proposal Opening Date and Time: 18-07-2012 at 12:30 hrs

4. Number of Responsive & Qualified Bids: Three (03)

5. Bid Validity Expires On: 19-09-2012 (90 days)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

In accordance with the Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure, the Technical Proposals of Four (4) bidders who participated were evaluated. The Technical bid evaluation (Technical Proposals) report was submitted to Project Director, Japanese Assisted Rural Road Construction Project (Former ADB Assisted Sindh Road Sector Development Project) with the following recommendations:

Technical proposals of the following three (3) bides were considered to be responsive and qualified for opening the Price Proposals:

1. M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch
2. M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers
3. M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)

The technically qualified bidders through letter dated 12 July, 2012 were informed that their Technical Proposals had been considered responsive and qualified; and they were invited to attend the opening of their Price Proposals at the time, date and place indicated.

1.2 Opening of Price Proposals

The Price Proposals were opened at 1230 Hrs on July 18, 2012 at the office of Project Director, Japanese Assisted RRCP-II (Sindh) - Hyderabad by the Bid Opening and Evaluation Committee, in the presence of representatives of the bidders.

The Committee examined the envelopes containing Price Proposals of the technically qualified and responsive bidders, which were kept under the responsibility of Project Director. The members of the Committee were satisfied that the envelopes had not been tampered and had been kept under lock and key after the opening of Technical Proposals. The representatives of the respective bidders were also requested to check the condition of envelopes of their respective Price Proposals and asked, if they had any objection. There were no objections and the envelopes were opened. The bidders' name, the amount of bid price, presence of bid security and discounts were announced and recorded.

The Record of Bid Opening (Price Proposal) was prepared soon after the bid was announced. A copy is attached as Appendix - 2.

2. EXAMINATION OF PRICE PROPOSALS

2.1 Completeness and Signatures

The Price Proposal was first examined to ensure that the bidders had submitted the Letter of Price Bid, Bid Security and priced Bill of Quantities and whether these documents had been prepared properly and signed as stipulated in the Instructions to Bidders. Documents of the bidders were satisfactory as all bids documents were complete, signed and corrections were initialed by the authorized persons.

2.2 Discount/ Rebate Offered in Price Proposal

M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch offered a lump sum discount of Rs. 43,000,000 on the sub total of bills. The letter of discount offer is attached as Appendix - 3.
The other two bidders did not offer any discount/ rebate.

2.3 Arithmetic Check and Corrections

Each priced Bill of Quantities of the bidder was checked for arithmetic errors. Errors were corrected in accordance with the stipulations in the bidding documents.

3. EVALUATION AND COMPARISON OF PRICE PROPOSALS

3.1 Alternative Technical Solution

Alternative technical solution was not applicable.

3.2 Corrected Prices of Evaluated Bids Considering Discount, if any

The corrected prices for the evaluated bids, as shown in the Comparative Statement (Appendix – 4) are summarized below:

M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch

▪ Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 947,551,752
▪ Discount	Rs 43,000,000
▪ Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 999,645,549.40

M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)

▪ Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 1,160,636,299
▪ Discount	Nil
▪ Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 1,160,841,266.50

M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers

▪ Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 1,200,037,571
▪ Discount	Rs. Nil
▪ Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 1,221,960,626.45

3.3 Comparison of Bid Prices with Engineer's Cost Estimate

A detailed comparison of the quoted rates of the bidders for each item with the Engineer's Estimate was undertaken. A detailed comparative statement showing % variation in quoted rates/amounts when compared with Engineer's Estimate is presented at **Appendix – 4.**

The lowest bid amounts are less than the estimated amounts of Bill No.2, 6 & 7. However the amounts are higher than the estimates for Bill No. 3, 4 & 5.

The comparison of Unit Rates and Prices of the Lowest Evaluated Bidder M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch indicates that evaluated unit rates and bid price are balanced.

3.4 Lowest Evaluated Price Bid

Final Rating of the Bidders is as indicated below:

Sr. #	Name of the Bidder	Final Bid Price in Words and Figures (excluding P.S for contingencies)	Final Ranking	% above Engineer's Estimate
1	M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch	Nine Hundred and Fifty Two Million One Hundred and Ninety Eight Thousands and Five Hundred Seventy Three Pak Rupees. (Rs. 952,198,573)	1	9.49 % above
2	M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)	One Thousand One Hundred and Five Million Seven Hundred and Eighteen Thousands and Three Hundred Five Pak Rupees. (Rs. 1,105,718,305)	2	27.14 % above
3	M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers (NKB)	One Thousand One Hundred and Sixty Three Million Nine Hundred and Ninety Four Thousands and Six Hundred Seventy Four Pak Rupees. (Rs. 1,163,994,674)	3	33.85 % above

3.5 Reasonableness of Price of Lowest Evaluated Bid

The lowest bid of Rs 952,198,573 (excluding PS for contingencies), submitted by M/ s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch is 9.49 % above Engineer's Estimate (Appendix - 4) is reasonable and this bidder would be able to complete the Works in accordance with the specifications and the construction schedule.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMEDATIONS	On the basis of the systematic evaluation of the bids as presented in this report it was concluded that the lowest bid of **Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch** which is **9.49 % above** the Engineer's Estimate, is the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid. The bidder is qualified with required resources to execute the work smoothly and complete it as per schedule. Therefore it is recommended that the contract of work be awarded to **M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch** for the total contract amount of **Rs. 999,645,549.40 (including provisional sum)**

(Rana Ali Abbas) 2/8/12
Team Leader, REC-ECIL

Government of Sindh

Works and Services Department

PRICE BID EVALUATION REPORT

CONTRACT PACKAGE – 1

IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM RANIPUR TO PIR WASSAN (38.3 KM) (Remaining Works)

July, 2012

CONSULTANT:

REPUBLIC ENGINEERING CORPORATION (REC) Pvt. Ltd.

in association with

ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS INTERNATIONAL (ECIL) Pvt. Ltd.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRICE BID EVALUATION REPORT

CONTRACT PACKAGE – 1

IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAY FROM RANIPUR TO PIR WASSAN (38.3 KM) (Remaining Works)

BASIC INFORMATION

1. Engineer's Cost Estimate:

Including Provisional Sum for Contingencies & Daywork	Rs. 1,273,740,091
Provisional Sum for Day Work	Rs. 5,702,625
Provisional Sum for Contingencies	Rs. 60,382,736
Excluding Provisional Sum for Contingencies	Rs. 1,213,357,354

2. Submission Date Of Bids: 21-06-2012 at 01:00 hrs

3. Price Proposal Opening Date and Time: 18-07-2012 at 12:30 hrs

4. Number of Responsive & Qualified Bids: Three (03)

5. Bid Validity Expires On: 19-09-2012 (90 days)

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

In accordance with the Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure, the Technical Proposals of Three (3) bidders who participated were evaluated. The Technical bid evaluation (Technical Proposals) report was submitted to Project Director, Japanese Assisted Rural Road Construction Project (Former ADB Assisted Sindh Road Sector Development Project) with the following recommendations:

Technical proposals of the following were considered to be responsive and qualified for opening the Price Proposals:

1. M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch
2. M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers
3. M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)

The technically qualified bidders through letter dated 12 July, 2012 were informed that their Technical Proposals had been considered responsive and qualified; and they were invited to attend the opening of their Price Proposals at the time, date and place indicated.

1.2 Opening of Price Proposals

The Price Proposals were opened at 1230 Hrs on July 18, 2012 at the office of Project Director, Japanese Assisted RRCP-II (Sindh) - Hyderabad by the Bid Opening and Evaluation Committee, in the presence of representatives of the bidders.

The Committee examined the envelopes containing Price Proposals of the technically qualified and responsive bidders, which were kept under the responsibility of Project Director. The members of the Committee were satisfied that the envelopes had not been tampered and had been kept under lock and key after the opening of Technical Proposals. The representatives of the respective bidders were also requested to check the condition of envelopes of their respective Price Proposals and asked, if they had any objection. There were no objections and the envelopes were opened. The bidders' name, the amount of bid price, presence of bid security and discounts were announced and recorded.

The Record of Bid Opening (Price Proposal) was prepared soon after the bid was announced. A copy is attached as Appendix - 2.

2. EXAMINATION OF PRICE PROPOSALS

2.1 Completeness and Signatures

The Price Proposal was first examined to ensure that the bidders had submitted the Letter of Price Bid, Bid Security and priced Bill of Quantities and whether these documents had been prepared properly and signed as stipulated in the Instructions to Bidders. Documents of the bidders were satisfactory as all bids documents were complete, signed and corrections were initialed by the authorized persons.

2.2 Discount/ Rebate Offered in Price Proposal

M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers offered a discount of 2.86% on the sub total of bills. The letter of discount offer is attached as **Appendix - 3.**
The other two bidders did not offer any discount/ rebate.

2.3 Arithmetic Check and Corrections

Each priced Bill of Quantities of the bidder was checked for arithmetic errors. Errors were corrected in accordance with the stipulations in the bidding documents.

3. EVALUATION AND COMPARISON OF PRICE PROPOSALS

3.1 Alternative Technical Solution

Alternative technical solution was not applicable.

3.2 Corrected Prices of Evaluated Bids Considering Discount, if any

The corrected prices for the evaluated bids, as shown in the Comparative Statement (Appendix – 4) are summarized below:

M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch

▪	Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 1,450,379,579
▪	Discount	Nil
▪	Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 1,450,379,579.15

M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)

▪	Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 1,352,347,087
▪	Discount	Nil
▪	Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 1,408,747,774.25

M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers

▪	Quoted Bid Price (Read Out)	Rs. 1,392,766,708
▪	Discount	Rs. 37,727,155.21 (2.86%)
▪	Corrected Bid Price	Rs. 1,351,818,728.08

3.3 Comparison of Bid Prices with Engineer's Cost Estimate

A detailed comparison of the quoted rates of the bidders for each item with the Engineer's Estimate was undertaken. A detailed comparative statement showing % variation in quoted rates/amounts when compared with Engineer's Estimate is presented at **Appendix – 4.**

The lowest bid amounts are less than the estimated amounts of Bill No.2, 6 & 7. However the amounts are higher than the estimates for Bill No. 3, 4, 5 and day works.

The comparison of Unit Rates and Prices of the Lowest Evaluated Bidder M/s NKB indicates that evaluated unit rates and bid price are balanced.

3.4 Lowest Evaluated Price Bid

Final Rating of the Bidders is as indicated below:

Sr. #	Name of the Bidder	Final Bid Price in Words and Figures (excluding P.S for contingencies)	Final Ranking	% above Engineer's Estimate
1	M/s Niaz M. Khan & Brothers (NKB)	One Thousand Two Hundred and Eighty Seven Million Seven Hundred and Forty Eight Thousands and Five Hundred Twenty And Seventy Nine Pak Rupees. (Rs. 1,287,748,520.79)	1	6.13 % above
2	M/s Lilley Shahrukh (JV)	One Thousand Three Hundred and Forty One Million Nine Hundred and Eight Thousands and Five Hundred Ninety Five Pak Rupees. (Rs. 1,341,908,595)	2	10.59 % above
3	M/s Sardar Ashraf D. Baluch	One Thousand Three Hundred and Eighty One Million Five Hundred and Fifty Seven Thousands and Nine Hundred Thirty Three Rupees. (Rs. 1,381,557,933)	3	13.86 % above

3.5 Reasonableness of Price of Lowest Evaluated Bid

The lowest bid of Rs. 1,287,748,520.79 (excluding PS for contingencies), submitted by M/ s NKB is 6.13 % above Engineer's Estimate (Appendix - 4) is reasonable and this bidder would be able to complete the Works in accordance with the specifications and the construction schedule.

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMEDATIONS	On the basis of the systematic evaluation of the bids as presented in this report it was concluded that the lowest bid of **M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers (NKB)** which is **6.13 % above** the Engineer's Estimate, is the lowest evaluated substantially responsive bid. The bidder is qualified with required resources to execute the work smoothly and complete it as per schedule. Therefore it is recommended that the contract of work be awarded to **M/s NKB** for the total contract amount of **Rs. 1,351,818,728.08 (including provisional sum)**

(Rizwan Ali Khan)
Team leader REC_EciL