



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE CRIME INVESTIGATION DEPARTMENT SINDH KARACHI.

NO.DIGP/CID/ACCTT:

10084-85

/KARACHI, DATED:

12 -05-2014.

To,

The Khursheed Enterprises, Flat # D-105, Fancy Heights, Near Memon Nagar, Scheme-33, Gulzar-e-Hijrai, Karachi.

Subject: ACCEPTANT OF BID/AWARD OF CONTRACT.

It is to inform you that your bid/quotation Rs.2,999,190/- for repair and renovation of the office of the DIGP/CID Sindh Karachi, have been approve by this office.

You are directed to please make a Agreement of Contract.

((ZAFAR ABBAS BUKHARI) PSP.
DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
CID (COUNTER TERRORISM UNIT)
SINDH, KARACHI.

Copy forwarded to the Director Admn: & Finance, (SPPRA)

Government of Sindh, for information.

((ZAFAR ABBAS BUKHARI) PSP, DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, CID (COUNTER TERRORISM UNIT) SINDH, KARACHI.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT DICP COD (Comitie)
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes Daily jany 16 4. 14 Daily byatt No
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestry Local Int.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1)	NAM	E OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	DIG-CID Office Smell Kerreli
2)		VINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	Previous
3)		E OF CONTRACT	Repair and Servation & CO Office
4)	_	DER NUMBER	DIG/CID/Acelts/ 8046 at 114.20
5)	BRIE	F DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Attacked
6)	FORU	JM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	C.P6
7)	TENI	DER ESTIMATED VALUE	3 Million
8)		NEER'S ESTIMATE ivil works only)	Attockod
9)	ESTI	MATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PE	ER CONTRACT) 30 days
10)		PER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	2-5204 12 PM.
11)	NUMI (Attac	BER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD h list of buyers)	
12)	NUMI	BER OF BIDS RECEIVED	04
13)	NUMI	BER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE T	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS
	BID E	VALUATION REPORT se a copy)	Moelied
[5)	NAME	E AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFU	IL BIDDER KNOWSLEE CONTRIPIE
16)	CONT	RACT AWARD PRICE	3949190/2
17)	RANK (i.e. 1 st	ING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV., 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).	ALUATION REPORT 15+
18)	МЕТН	OD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick	< one)
	a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE F	PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE I	PROCEDURE
•	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
1	d)		1 1
,	. ,	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BID	DDING PROCEDURE K
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER MEMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED		
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
32) ANY DEVIATION DE CALLE	No	~
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE T	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
22) W. G	No	✓
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	No	
	Yes	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY 1 BLACK LISTED?		Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE F BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, (If yes, enclose a copy)	THE P. ROCUI IF ABI	ROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	IZATIO	1 11 11 11
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Signature & Official Stamp of	No	
Authorized Officer C. I. D. Sindh Karachi.	CO	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	_	
CDDD 4 TO		
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secreta Tele: 021-92053	<u>riat N</u> 56; 02	<u>0.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> 21-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

Ι.	Name of Procuring Agency: DIGP/CID CTU SINDH KARACHI.
	Fender Reference No. : 8047 Dated 11-04-2014

- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair and renovation of DIGP/CID Office
- 4. Method of Procurement: Singal
- 5. Tender Published: 16-04-2014, Daily Jang, Ibrat, Express News. SAPRA ID 19958
 Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. News papers names with Dues

				. Labora names with Duca
6. Lota	l Bid documents Sold	04		
7. Fotal	Bids Received:	04		
8. Tech	nical Bid Opening da	e: (if applicable)	NO (Provi	de details in separate form)
9. No. c	of Bid technically qua	- lified (if applicable	e): NO	as actains in separate form)
	Rejected:			
11. Finan	ncial Bid Opening date	e: <u>02-05</u>	5-2014	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	. 1	1 - 2	3	4	5	6
1.	Khurshed	2,999,190/-	1 st	Lowest	Accept due to Lowest Offer	Accept
2.	B. D.R Enterp:	3064671/-	2 nd	Highest	Reject due to Highest offer	Reject
	C M.A. Hafeez & Sons	3099880/-	3 rd	Highest	Reject due to Highest offer	Reject
4.	D. Shahbaz & Sons	3167365/-	4 th	Highest	Reject due to Highest offer and uncomplete documents	Reject

Signatures of the Members of the Committee

Dy. Superintedent of Possion C. I. D. Single Karner Front

oper of Ceneral of Falles

Senior Superintendant of Police (Operations) C.I.D Sighth Karachi.

Subject: COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Tender for working of Repair / renovation of Office of the DIGP / CID Sindh Karachi The following (04) builders & Contractors gave their bid and quotation:-

REMARKS		The Rate of M / S Khursheed	the lowest and recommended for approval.	
BUILDERS & CONTRACTOR Shahbaz & Sons	4	Rate Cost of Work	Rs.3,167,365/-	
BUILDERS & CONTRACTOR M.A Hafeez & Sons	3	Rate Cost of Work	Rs.3,099,880/-	
BUILDERS & CONTRACTOR D.R Enterprises	2	Rate Cost of Work	Rs.3,064,671/-	
BUILDERS & CONTRACTOR Khursheed Enterprises		Rate Cost of Work	Rs.2,999,190/-	
DESCRIPTION OF WORK		Kepair / renovation of Office of DIGP/CID CTU Sindh Karachi		

CHAIR

DIGP/CID CTU SINDH KARACHI.

AIGP/CID CTU Sindh Karachi.

MEMBER More

DSP/Admin: CID/CTU Sindh Karachi.