



NO/XEN/RDD/W.O/Non-ADP/2014/66
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

022-9201391

Hyderabad, dated the 5th - June 2014.

To,

✓ M/S, Qureshi Traders,
Government Contractor,

Subject:- **RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MAIN ROAD TO DARGAH SUFI
SHAH SINAYAT SHAHEED JHOK SHAHEEF**

Reference:- i) Your tender dated 05-05-2014.
ii) Approval of tender committee.

The Executive Engineer Rural Development Department Hyderabad after approval of the Tender Committee has been pleased to approve the rate of premium @ 8.5 % Below on the schedule items as quoted by you being a lowest amongst others.

You are therefore, directed to start the work within 7 Days from the date of issue of work order & get the proper site/layout from the District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department Sujawal and execute the work as per specification and to abide by the terms and condition laid down in the Contract Agreement.

The work will be completed within stipulated time limit i.e. 1 month from the date of start, failing which penalty will be imposed as per contract clauses.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD

Copy f.w.cs for information to:-

1. The Special Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General RDD, Sindh Hyderabad.
3. The Director (Technical) RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Development) RDD Hyderabad.
5. The Assistant Director (Development) RDD, Hyderabad.
6. The District Officer (Tech) RDD, Sujawal. He is directed to look-after the execution of the scheme as per drawing, designs & specification.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD



NO/XEN/RDD/W.O/Non-ADP/2014/67
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

022-9201391

To,

Hyderabad, dated the, 5th June 2014.

✓ M/S, Muhammad Mehdi Construction Company,
Government Contractor,


Subject:- CONSTRUCTION OF C.C ROAD VILLAGE RASOOL BUX THARANI U.C
DARO TALUKA MIRPUR BATHORO

Reference:- i) Your tender dated 05-05-2014.
ii) Approval of tender committee.

The Executive Engineer Rural Development Department Hyderabad after approval of the Tender Committee has been pleased to approve the rate of premium @ 4.70 % Above on the schedule items as quoted by you being a lowest amongst others.

You are therefore, directed to start the work within 7 Days from the date of issue of work order & get the proper site/layout from the District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department Sujawal and execute the work as per specification and to abide by the terms and condition laid down in the Contract Agreement.

The work will be completed within stipulated time limit i.e. 1 month from the date of start, failing which penalty will be imposed as per contract clauses.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD

Copy files for information to:-

1. The Special Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General RDD, Sindh Hyderabad.
3. The Director (Technical) RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Development) RDD Hyderabad.
5. The Assistant Director (Development) RDD, Hyderabad.
6. The District Officer (Tech) RDD, Sujawal. He is directed to look-after the execution of the scheme as per drawing, designs & specification.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD



NO/XEN/RDD/W.O/Non-ADP/2014/68
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

022-9201391

To,

Hyderabad, dated the, 5/6 June 2014.

✓ M/S ,M.S & CO.,
Government Contractor,


Subject:- CONSTRUCTION OF TOMB & GROUND OF SAINT MUHAMMAD
AL SHAH DEH KATORO U.C MEHAR SHAH TALUKA MIRPUR BATHORO

Reference:- i) Your tender dated 05-05-2014.
ii) Approval of tender committee.

The Executive Engineer Rural Development Department Hyderabad after approval of the Tender Committee has been pleased to approve the rate of premium @ 1.25 % Below on the schedule items as quoted by you being a lowest amongst others.


You are therefore, directed to start the work within 7 Days from the date of issue of work order & get the proper site/layout from the District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department Sujawal and execute the work as per specification and to abide by the terms and condition laid down in the Contract Agreement.

The work will be completed within stipulated time limit i.e. 1 month from the date of start, failing which penalty will be imposed as per contract clauses.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD

Copy for info for information to:-

1. The Special Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General RDD, Sindh Hyderabad.
3. The Director (Technical) RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Development) RDD Hyderabad.
5. The Assistant Director (Development) RDD, Hyderabad.
6. The District Officer (Tech) RDD, Sujawal. He is directed to look-after the execution of the scheme as per drawing, designs & specification.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD



NO/XEN/RDD/W.O/Non-ADP/2014/69.
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

022-9301391

To,

Hyderabad, dated the, 5/6 - June 2014..

✓ M/S ,Al Madina Enterprises,
Government Contractor,


Subject:- **CONSTRUCTION OF HAJI MOHIB ALI KHWAJA MEMORIAL HALL**
SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAHEED PRESS CLUB

Reference:- i) Your tender dated 05-05-2014.
ii) Approval of tender committee.

The Executive Engineer Rural Development Department Hyderabad after approval of the Tender Committee has been pleased to approve the rate of premium @ 4.5 % Below on the schedule item as quoted by you being a lowest amongst others.


You are therefore, directed to start the work within 7 Days from the date of issue of work order & get the proper site/layout from the District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department Sujawal and execute the work as per specification and to abide by the terms and condition laid down in the Contract Agreement.


The work will be completed within stipulated time limit i.e. 1 month from the date of start, failing which penalty will be imposed as per contract clauses.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD

Copy f.w.c.s for information to:-

1. The Special Secretary, Rural Development Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General RDD, Sindh Hyderabad.
3. The Director (Technical) RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Development) RDD Hyderabad.
5. The Assistant Director (Development) RDD, Hyderabad.
6. The District Officer (Tech) RDD, Sujawal. He is directed to look-after the execution of the scheme as per drawing, designs & specification.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
HYDERABAD



Value: Rs.
Assistant Accountant
District Accounts Officer Hyderabad

AN AGREEMENT made this 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2014 between the EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH by in the Christian Year two thousand Fourteen, their No. XEN/R.D.D/W/Non-ADP/2014/69, thereafter called the Rural Development Department (Which expression shall include their successors) of the one part and M/S AL-MADINA ENTERPRISES GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR carrying on business under the name and style of M/S AL-MADINA ENTERPRISES GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR by their PROPRIETOR hereinafter called the Contractors (which expression shall include their successors and assignees of the said firm and heirs executors, administrator and assignees of the said individual partners of the said firm) of the other part.


WHEREAS the TENDER COMMITTEE has accepted the Contractor's tender Dated 05-05-2014 for the work of CONSTRUCTION OF HAJI MOHIB ALI KHAWAJA MEMORIAL HALL SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAHEED PRESS CLUB, at a cost of Rs. 9,76,017/- (Rupees Nine Lac Seventy Six Thousand & Seventeen Only) according in to the specification and the general conditions of the contract and signed by the Contractors after having made themselves fully acquainted with its meaning and where as the Contractors have already deposited with Rural Development Department as security deposit 8% deducted from R/A bills, in addition to the Earnest Money Rs. 20,000/- vide Call Deposit No. S.D-2961046- dated 05-05-14 and have given the Rural Development Department a lien over such sum as Security for the due fulfillment of the Contract.


THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that the Rural Development Department and the Contractors shall respectively well truly carry and fulfill the Contract and abide by all the terms and conditions of the aforesaid specification and tender.

THE CONTRACTORS do hereby bind themselves, their heirs, successors, legal representatives and assignees to pay to the Rural Development Department a sum of Rs. Equal to 10% as mentioned above by way of penalty in case of the breach of any of the terms and conditions of the contracts including those mentioned in the tender without prejudice to the right of the Rural Development Department to receivers damages for and such breach.


AL-MADINA ENTERPRISES
CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE

PROPR: ZAHEER


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD




AN AGREEMENT made this 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2014 between the EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH by in the Christian Year two thousand Fourteen, their No. XEN/R.D.D/W/Non-ADP/2014/68, thereafter called the Rural Development Department (Which expression shall include their successors) of the one part and M/S M.S. & CO. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR carrying on business under the name and style of M/S M.S. & CO. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR by their PROPRIETOR hereinafter called the Contractors (which expression shall include their successors and assignees of the said firm and heirs executors, administrator and assignees of the said individual partners of the said firm) of the other part.

WHEREAS the TENDER COMMITTEE has accepted the Contractor's tender Dated 05-05-2014 for the work of CONSTRUCTION OF ~~TOMB AND GROUND OF SAINT MUHAMMAD ALI SHAH DEH KATORO~~ U.C. MAHER SHAH TALUKA MIRPUR BATHORO, at a cost of Rs. 24,32,587/- (Rupees Twenty Four Lac Thirty Two Thousand Five Hundred & Eighty Seven Only) according in to the specification and the general conditions of the contract and signed by the Contractors after having made themselves fully acquainted with its meaning and where as the Contractors have already deposited with Rural Development Department as security deposit 8% deducted from R/A bills, in addition to the Earnest Money Rs. 50,000/- vide Call Deposit No. CDR1030278 dated 05-05-2014 and have given the Rural Development Department a lien over such sum as Security for the due fulfillment of the Contract.


THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that the Rural Development Department and the Contractors shall respectively well truly carry and fulfill the Contract and abide by all the terms and conditions of the aforesaid specification and tender.

THE CONTRACTORS do hereby bind themselves, their heirs, successors, legal representatives and assignees to pay to the Rural Development Department a sum of Rs. Equal to 10% as mentioned above by way of penalty in case of the breach of any of the terms and conditions of the contracts including those mentioned in the tender without prejudice to the right of the Rural Development Department to receivers damages for and such breach.


CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE

M.S & CO.
Proprietor


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD



AN AGREEMENT made this 10TH ~~District Accounts Office Hyderabad~~ ^{Assistant Accountant} on the EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH by in the Christian Year two thousand Fourteen, their No. XEN/R.D.D/W/Non-ADP/2014/66, thereafter called the Rural Development Department (Which expression shall include their successors) of the one part and M/S QURESHI TRADERS GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR carrying on business under the name and style of M/S QURESHI TRADERS GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR by their PROPRIETOR hereinafter called the Contractors (which expression shall include their successors and assignees of the said firm and heirs executors, administrator and assignees of the said individual partners of the said firm) of the other part.

WHEREAS the TENDER COMMITTEE has accepted the Contractor's tender Dated 05-05-2014 for the work of RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MAIN ROAD TO DARGAH SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAEEN JHOK SHARIF, at a cost of Rs. 38,82,041/- (Rupees Thirty Eight Lac Eighty Two Thousand & Forty One Only) according in to the specification and the general conditions of the contract and signed by the Contractors after having made themselves fully acquainted with its meaning and where as the Contractors have already deposited with Rural Development Department as security deposit 8% deducted from R/A bills, in addition to the Earnest Money Rs. 80,000/- vide Call Deposit No. CDR17371 dated 02-05-2014 and have given the Rural Development Department a lien over such sum as Security for the due fulfillment of the Contract.

THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that the Rural Development Department and the Contractors shall respectively well truly carry and fulfill the Contract and abide by all the terms and conditions of the aforesaid specification and tender.

THE CONTRACTORS do hereby bind themselves, their heirs, successors, legal representatives and assignees to pay to the Rural Development Department a sum of Rs. Equal to 10% as mentioned above by way of penalty in case of the breach of any of the terms and conditions of the contracts including those mentioned in the tender without prejudice to the right of the Rural Development Department to receivers damages for and such breach.

M/S QURESHI TRADERS NATURE

Proprietor

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD



AN AGREEMENT made this 10TH DAY OF JUNE 2014 between the EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH in the Christian Year two thousand Fourteen, their No. XEN/R.D.D/W/Non-ADP/2014/67, thereafter called the Rural Development Department (Which expression shall include their successors) of the one part and M/S MUHAMMAD MEHDI CONSTRUCTION CO. GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR carrying on business under the name and style of M/S MUHAMMAD MEHDI GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR by their PROPRIETOR hereinafter called the Contractors (which expression shall include their successors and assignees of the said firm and heirs executors, administrator and assignees of the said individual partners of the said firm) of the other part.


WHEREAS the TENDER COMMITTEE has accepted the Contractor's tender Dated 05-05-2014 for the work of CONSTRUCTION OF CC ROAD AT VILLAGE RASUL BUX THARANI U.CA DARO TALUKA MIRPUR BATHORO, at a cost of Rs. 19,73,301/- (Rupees Nineteen Lac Seventy Three Thousand Three Hundred & One Only) according in to the specification and the general conditions of the contract and signed by the Contractors after having made themselves fully acquainted with its meaning and where as the Contractors have already deposited with Rural Development Department as security deposit 8% deducted from R/A bills, in addition to the Earnest Money Rs. 40,000/- vide Call Deposit No. CDR 645 dated 05-05-2014 and have given the Rural Development Department a lien over such sum as Security for the due fulfillment of the Contract.

THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that the Rural Development Department and the Contractors shall respectively well truly carry and fulfill the Contract and abide by all the terms and conditions of the aforesaid specification and tender.

THE CONTRACTORS do hereby bind themselves, their heirs, successors, legal representatives and assignees to pay to the Rural Development Department a sum of Rs. Equal to 10% as mentioned above by way of penalty in case of the breach of any of the terms and conditions of the contracts including those mentioned in the tender without prejudice to the right of the Rural Development Department to receivers damages for and such breach.

CONTRACTOR'S SIGNATURE


Muhammad Mehdal
Construction Company
Sole Proprietor


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Name of work:- **RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MAIN ROAD TO DARGAH SUEI SHAH SINAYAT SHAHEED JHOK**


SHARIF

Date of Receipt of Tender 05-05- 2014
Date of Opening of Tender 05-05- 2014


DESCRIPTION	Estimated cost	M/S Qureshi Traders		M/S M.S & CO.		M/S Lachmandas	
		Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Schedule item	4170330/-		4170330/-		4170330/-		4170330/-
Ceiling 8% below	-333626/-	8.5% below	-354478/-	8.0% below	-333626/-	7.75% below	-323201/-
Total							
Add Difference of Bitumen (+)	66189/-		66189/-		66189/-		66189/-
Total	3902893/-		3882941/-		3902893/-		3913118/-
Contingency	58543/-						
Add 1% TPV	39029						
G.Total:-	4000465/-						
		M/S Muhammad Iqbal & co		M/S Tajuddin Builders		M/S Muhammad Mehdi Construction Company	
		Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount
Schedule item		9	10	11	12	13	14
Ceiling 8% below		7.30% below	-304434/-	6.90% below	-287753/-	6.35% below	-269816/-
Total			66189/-		66189/-		66189/-
Add Difference of Bitumen			3932085/-		3948706/-		3971703/-

Certified that the entries have been correctly incorporated in the comparative statement as per rates and amount quoted in the individual tender.

The Lowest Rate is quoted by Qureshi Traders & Co. @ 8.50% below the estimate rates shown in the Schedule "B" is hereby recommended for approval.


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD


DIRECTOR (TECHNICAL)
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Name of work: **CONSTRUCTION OF TOMB & GROUND OF SAINT MUHAMMAD ALI SHAH DEH KATORO U.C MEHAR SHAH
TALUKA MIRPUR BATHORO**

Date of Receipt of Tender 05 - 05 - 2014
Date of Opening of Tender 05 - 05 - 2014

DESCRIPTION	Estimated cost	M/S M.S & CO.		M/S Qureshi Traders		M/S Lachmandas	
		Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Schedule items	2463379/-		2463379/-		2463379/-		2463379/-
Ceiling 20% below except item 5&6	-319174/-	1.25% below	-30797/-	1.0% below	-24634/-	At Par	-
Total	2144205/-		2432587/-		2438745/-		2463379/-
Add 5% above on item 6	+20072						
Add Cartage on material	+271325/-						
Total	2435602/-		2432587/-		2438745/-		2463379/-
Add 1% TPV	24356/-						
Add 1.65% Contingency	40187/-						
G.Total:-	2500145/-		2432587/-		2438745/-		2463379/-
				M/S Tajuddin Builders			
				Rate	Amount		
				9	10		
					2463379/-		
				1.5% above	+36951/-		
						2.35% above	
							2463379/-
							+57889/-
Total					2500330/-		2521268/-
				M/S Muhammad Mehdi Construction CO.			
				Rate	Amount		
				11	12		
					2463379/-		
							2463379/-
							+57889/-

Certified that the entries have been correctly incorporated in the comparative statement as per rates and amount quoted in the individual tender.

The Lowest Rate is quoted by M/S M.S & CO. @1.25% below on the schedule items shown in the Schedule "B" is hereby recommended for approval.

[Signature]
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD

[Signature]
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD

[Signature]
DIRECTOR (TECHNICAL)
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD



NO. -DG/RDD/Committee(Constitute)/2014/142
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
DIRECTORATE GENERAL
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD


022-9201391

Hyderabad, dated the 3rd April, 2014

ORDER


A committee comprising the following officers of Rural Development Department is hereby constituted to keep the tendering process of "Non-ADP Development schemes 2013-14 under C.M. Directives" transparent and as per strategy in Rural Development Department with immediate effect. The following are the composition.

1	Director Technical	Chairman
2	Executive Engineer (concerned)	Member
5	Divisional Accounts Officer (Representative of AG Sindh)	Member


DIRECTOR GENERAL
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
SINDH HYDERABAD

Copy to:-

1. ✓ The Special Secretary Local Government Rural Development PHE & HTP Department (RD Wing) Karachi.
2. The Additional Secretary Rural Development Department Karachi.
3. The Director (Technical) RDD Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Development) RDD, Hyderabad/Mirpurkhas/Sukkur/Larkana.
5. The Executive Engineer, RDD _____ (All).
6. Officer concerned
7. Office Order file/Personal file.
8. Master file 2014.


DIRECTOR GENERAL
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
SINDH HYDERABAD



Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1- Name of Procuring Agency	Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Hyd.
2- Tender Reference No.	XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 Dated 10-04-2014
3- Tender Description / Name of Work / Item	RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM MAIN ROAD TO DARGAH SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAHEED JHOK SHARIF
4- Method of Procurement	Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure
5- Tender Published	Different Newspapers / SPPRA Website
6- Total Bid Documents Sold	06 Nos.
7- Total Bids Received	06 Nos.
8- Technical Bid Opening Date (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
9- No. of Bid Technically Qualified (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
10- Bid(s) Rejected	Nil
11- Financial Bid Opening Date	Nil
12- Bid Evaluation Report	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in Terms of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance / rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S Qureshi Traders	3882041/-	1 st Lowest	0.54% Below	Being lowest rate quoted by the contractor hence accepted	Recommended for award of work
2	M/S M.S & Co	3902893 /-	2 nd Lowest	At Par	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
3	M/S Lachmandas	3913318 /-	3 rd Lowest	0.27% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
4	M/S Iqbal I & Co	3932885 /-	4 th Lowest	0.74% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
5	M/S Tajuddin Builders	3948766 /-	5 th Lowest	1.16 % Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
6	M/S Muhammad Mehdi Construction Co:	3971703 /-	6 th Lowest	1.73 % Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected

MEMBER

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Rural Development Department

MEMBER

(Ansar Ali Memon)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department

CHAIRMAN

(Aseer-ul-Hussain Larik)
Director Technical
Rural Development Department

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | RURAL DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT |
| 2) | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3) | TITLE OF CONTRACT | NON-ADP PROGRAMME |
| 4) | TENDER NUMBER | XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 |
| 5) | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | RECONDITIONING OF ROAD
FROM MAIN ROAD TO DARGAH
SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAHEED
JHOK SHARIF |
| 6) | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | P.W.D.P. GOVERNMENT OF
SINDH |
| 7) | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 3882014/= |
| 8) | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil Works only) | 40 Millon (<i>Four Million</i>) |
| 9) | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 02 MONTHS |
| 10) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 05-05-2014 AT 2:30PM |
| 11) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attached list of buyers) | 06 NOS. |
| 12) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 06 NOS. |
| 13) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 06 NOS. |
| 14) | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy) | ATTACHED |
| 15) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/S ,Qureshi Traders |
| 16) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 3882014/= |
| 17) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th & 6 th EVALUATION BID) | M/S, Qureshi Traders
M/S, M.S & CO
M/S, Lachman da
M/S, Iqbal & co
M/S, Tajuddin Builders
M/S, Muhammad Mehdi Contt: co |
| 18) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (TICK ONE) | |
| | a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

- 19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
- 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------
- 21) ADVERTISEMENT
- i) SPPRA WEBSITE
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	
- ii) NEWS PAPERS
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sindh Hyderabad Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Sindh Express Hyd Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Express Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily The News Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Ibrat Hyderabad Dated 19-04-2014
No	
- 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
Local			
- 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------
- 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------
- 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID
(in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--
- 31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, resul: thereof)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS?

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?

(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACKLISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.

(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED IN MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY

(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

M. S. Reddy
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 Rural Development Department
 Hyderabad

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--



Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1- Name of Procuring Agency	Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Hyd.
2- Tender Reference No.	XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 Dated 10-04-2014
3- Tender Description / Name of Work / Item	CONSTRUCTION OF CC ROAD VILLAGE RASOOL BUX THARANI DEH U.C. DARRO TALUKA MIPUR BATHORO
4- Method of Procurement	Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure
5- Tender Published	Different Newspapers / SPPRA Website
6- Total Bid Documents Sold	05 Nos.
7- Total Bids Received	05 Nos.
8- Technical Bid Opening Date (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
9- No. of Bid Technically Qualified (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
10- Bid(s) Rejected	Nil
11- Financial Bid Opening Date	Nil
12- Bid Evaluation Report	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in Terms of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance / rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S Muhammad Mehdi Construction Co:	1973301/-	1 st Lowest	0.08% Below	Being lowest rate quoted by the contractor hence accepted	Recommended for award of work
2	M/S Lachmandas	1988379/-	2 nd Lowest	0.68% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
3	M/S Qureshi Traders	2002514/-	3 rd Lowest	1.38 % Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
4	M/S Tajuddin Bilders	2028900/-	4 th Lowest	2.67% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
5	M/S Al-Madina Enterprises	2041151/-	5 th Lowest	3.25% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected

MEMBER

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Rural Development Department

MEMBER

(Ansar Ali Memon)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department

CHAIRMAN

(Aseer-ul-Hasnain Larik)
Director Technical
Rural Development Department

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 1) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT |
| 2) | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3) | TITLE OF CONTRACT | NON-ADP PROGRAMME |
| 4) | TENDER NUMBER | XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 |
| 5) | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | CONSTRUCTION OF CC ROAD VILLAGE RASOOL BUX THARANI DEH U.C. DARRO TALUKA MIPUR BATHORO |
| 6) | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | P.D.W.P. GOVERNMENT OF SINDH |
| 7) | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 197330 1 |
| 8) | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil Works only) | 2.0 MILLION |
| 9) | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 02 MONTHS |
| 10) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 05-05-2014 AT 2:30PM |
| 11) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attached list of buyers) | 05 NOS. |
| 12) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 05 NOS. |
| 13) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 05 NOS. |
| 14) | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy) | ATTACHED |
| 15) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | Muhammad Mehdi Const: co |
| 16) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID)
<i>4th, 5th,</i> | M/S, Muhammad Mehdi Contt: co
M/S, Lachman da
M/S, Qureshi Traders
M/S, Tajuddin Builders
M/S, Al-Madina Enterprises |
| 18) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (TICK ONE) | |
| | a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS: | |
| 19) y | APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT | EXECUTIVE ENGINEER |

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA WEBSITE
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

ii) NEWS PAPERS
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sindh Hyderabad Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Sindh Express Hyd Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Express Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily The News Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Ibrat Hyderabad Dated 19-04-2014
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
Local			

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID
(in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME
OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, resul. thereof)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS?

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?

(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACKLISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.

(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED IN MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY

(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--



Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1- Name of Procuring Agency	Executi Engineer, Rural Development Department, Hyd.
2- Tender Reference No.	XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 Dated 10-04-2014
3- Tender Description / Name of Work / Item	CONSTRUCTION OF TOMB & GROUND OF SAINT MOHAMMED ALI SHAH KATORO U.C. MEHAR SHAH TALUKA MIPUR BATHORO
4- Method of Procurement	Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure
5- Tender Published	Different Newspapers / SPPRA Website
6- Total Bid Documents Sold	05 Nos.
7- Total Bids Received	05 Nos.
8- Technical Bid Opening Date (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
9- No. of Bid Technically Qualified (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
10- Bid(s) Rejected	Nil
11- Financial Bid Opening Date	Nil
12- Bid Evaluation Report	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in Terms of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance / rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S M.S & Co	2468750/-	1 st Lowest	0.12% Below	Being lowest rate quoted by the contractor hence accepted	Recommended for award of work
2	M/S Qureshi Traders	2475000/-	2 nd Lowest	0.59% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
3	M/S Lachmandas	2500000/-	3 rd Lowest	2.58% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
4	M/S Tajuddin Bilders	2573500/-	4 th Lowest	4.02% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
5	M/S Muhammad Mehdi Construction Co:	2558750/-	5 th Lowest	4.81% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected

MEMBER

(Signature)
(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
 Divisional Accounts Officer
 Rural Development Department

MEMBER

(Signature)
(Ansar Ali Memon)
 Executive Engineer
 Rural Development Department

CHAIRMAN

(Signature)
(Aseer-ul-Hasnain Larik)
 Director Technical
 Rural Development Department

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 1) | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | RURAL DEVELOPMENT
DEPARTMENT |
| 2) | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3) | TITLE OF CONTRACT | NON-ADP PROGRAMME |
| 4) | TENDER NUMBER | XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 |
| 5) | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | CONSTRUCTION OF TOMB &
GROUND OF SAINT
MOHAMMED ALI SHAH
KATORO U.C. MEHAR SHAH
TALUKA MIPUR BATHORO |
| 6) | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | P.D.W.P. GOVERNMENT OF
SINDH |
| 7) | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 2468750/= |
| 8) | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil Works only) | 2.50 MILLION |
| 9) | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 02 MONTHS |
| 10) | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 05-05-2014 AT 2:30PM |
| 11) | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attached list of buyers) | 05 NOS. |
| 12) | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 05 NOS. |
| 13) | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 05 NOS. |
| 14) | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy) | ATTACHED |
| 15) | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/S , M.S , Const: Co |
| 16) | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 2468750/= |
| 17) | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID)
<i>4th, 5th,</i> | M/S, M.S & CO
M/S, Qureshi Traders
M/S, Lachman da
M/S, Tajuddin Builders
M/S, Muhammad Mehdi Contt: co |
| 18) | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (TICK ONE) | |
| | a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA WEBSITE
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

ii) NEWS PAPERS
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sindh Hyderabad Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Sindh Express Hyd Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Express Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily The News Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Ibrat Hyderabad Dated 19-04-2014
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Int.	
---	--	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID
(in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME
OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACKLISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.

(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED IN MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--




Bid Evaluation Report (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)


1- Name of Procuring Agency	Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Hyd.
2- Tender Reference No.	XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 Dated 10-04-2014
3- Tender Description / Name of Work / Item	CONSTRUCTION OF HAJI MOHIN ALI KHAWAJA MEMORIAL HALL SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAHEED PRESS CLUB MIPUR BATHORO
4- Method of Procurement	Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure
5- Tender Published	Different Newspapers / SPPRA Website
6- Total Bid Documents Sold	04Nos.
7- Total Bids Received	04 Nos.
8- Technical Bid Opening Date (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
9- No. of Bid Technically Qualified (If Applicable)	Not Applicable
10- Bid(s) Rejected	Nil
11- Financial Bid Opening Date	Nil
12- Bid Evaluation Report	

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in Terms of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance / rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Al-Madina Enterprises	976017 /-	1 st Lowest	0.18% Below	Being lowest rate quoted by the contractor hence accepted	Recommended for award of work
2	M/S M.S & Co:	983682 /-	2 nd Lowest	0.71% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
3	M/S Tajuddin Bilders	992369 /-	3 rd Lowest	1.58% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected
4	M/S Qureshi Traders	1022007 /-	4 th Lowest	4.43% Below	The highest rates quoted by other contractors were rejected	Rejected


MEMBER


(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Rural Development Department

MEMBER


(Ansar Ali Memon)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department

CHAIRMAN


(Aseer-ul-Hasnain Larik)
Director Technical
Rural Development Department

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. | RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT |
| 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | NON-ADP PROGRAMME |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | XEN/RDD/NIT/2014/45 |
| 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | CONSTRUCTION OF HAJI MOHIN ALI KHAWAJA MEMORIAL HALL SUFI SHAH INAYAT SHAAHEED PRESS CLUB MIPUR BATHIORO |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | P.D.W.P. GOVERNMENT OF SINDH |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 976017/= |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil Works only) | 1.0 Million |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 02 MONTHS |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 05-05-2014 AT 2:30PM |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attached list of buyers) | 05 NOS. |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 05 NOS. |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 05 NOS. |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy) | ATTACHED |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/S, Al Madina Enterprises |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 976017/= |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID)
<i>and 4th</i> | M/S, Al Madina Enterprises
M/S, M.S & CO
M/S, Tajuddin Builders
M/S, Qureshi Traders |
| 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (TICK ONE) | |
| a) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| b) <input type="checkbox"/> SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| c) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| d) <input type="checkbox"/> TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA WEBSITE
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

ii) NEWS PAPERS
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sindh Hyderabad Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Sindh Express Hyd Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Express Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily The News Karachi Dated 18-04-2014 Daily Ibrat Hyderabad Dated 19-04-2014
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Int.	
---	--	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED
IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yeas, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID
(in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME
OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS?

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?

(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACKLISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.

(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED IN MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY

(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--