13/- Technical proposal of the above firms were referred to Evaluation Committee to evaluate these proposals. Evaluation Committee submitted their report which is as under:-

S#	Name of Firm	Make & Model	Result	
1	M/s F&U Enterprises	NS-3000 /Made in Taiwan	FAIL	
2	M/s Chiragh Din & Sons	5050-ALCYON SPAIN	FAIL FAIL	
3	M/s Auranzeb Enterprises	5050-ALCYON SPAIN		
4	M/s Ahmed Traders	5050-ALCYON SPAIN	FAIL	
5 M/s Azms & Co		SK150 US/E.SUN	FAIL	
6	M/s Vision Security	MLE/A-ES 5050 SPAIN	FAIL	
M/s Saga Interpolicanal		M-11-1/KOREA	PASS	

- 14/- Committee evaluated the Technical proposals of Hand Cuffs according to evaluation criteria given in the Bid Document. The Committee unimously approved the procurement of Hand Cuffs Model M-11-1 (Korea) for Sindh Police due to following reasons:
 - i), Consist of double groves.
 - ii) Locking mechanism is complex and difficult to open.
 - Mobility of Hands is restricted as compare to other Hand Cuffs.
 - iv) In this regard Committee hired the services of Key Maker Mr. Samiullah (Mobile No.0306-2506748) by local market. All the samples of Hand Cuffs were put up before the Key Maker and asked him to open the lock of Hand Cuffs by his experience. The key maker opened the lock of Hand Cuffs (mentioned at para-13 at serial No. 1 to 6) but failed to open the lock of Hand Cuffs model M-11-1 Korea (indicated at serial No.7) offered by M/s Sana International Trading Corporation.
- 15/- On 27.08.2013 Financial Proposals of the qualified firm was opened before the representative of participated firm. Its result is as under:-

S#	Name of Firm	Make & Model	Rate Quoted
1	M/s Sana International Trading Corps	M-11-1/KOREA	Rs. 7,432/- Each

16/- As per rate offered by the above firm an amount of Rs. 67,259,600/- would be spent on the procurement of 9050 Nos. Hand Cuffs.

In accordance with the PPRA Rules-2010, which has been adopted by Sindh Police, The Committee recommended to approve the offered price of M/s Sana International Trading Corps. 18/-Submitted for approval of IGP Sindh with regard to award of contract to the bidder:-Placement of Evaluation Report on website. 1) Mandatory gap of 07 days between announcement of Evaluation ii) Report and award of procurement contract. To intimate NAB authorities in compliance of section 33(b) NAB iii) Ordinance. 19/-Submitted for approval of IGP Sindh of Para 18/N please. IGP

17/-

COMMITTEE REPORT

The Redressal of Grievances and Settlement of Disputes Committee has been constituted vide CPO order No. G-I/ 792-7 dated 02-09-2013 in accordance with rule 31 of Sindh Public Procurement Rules-2010.

- 2/-The follow firms, who had participated in the process of procurement of Hand Cuffs for Sindh Police lodged complaints:-
 - a. M/s Ahmed Traders
 - b. M/s Vision Security
 - c. M/s Aurangzeb Enterprises
- 3/-The above complaints have referred to this Committee for process as per SPPRA Rules.
- 4/-The Committee fixed the date on 20.09.2013 for hearing the complains of above firms vide letter No. 1302-8 dated 17.09.2013.
- 5/-But all the three firms withdrawn their complains unconditionally (Copies enclosed) hence Committee filed the complaint of above companies.

(Chairman)

(Member)

(Member)

DIGP/Estal

AIGP/Operation, CPO Sindh, Karachi

(Member)

(Member)

Representative of A.G Sindh

Karachi

Representative of I

BID EVALUATION REPORT

ال.	Name of Procuring Agency Sin	ndh Police
2.	Tender Reference No:	INF-KRY No.1736/13
3.	Tender Description/Name of work/item	Hand Cuffs
4.	Method of Procurement	Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
5.	and 01-08-13	.16999)/13, The News, Express and Ummat, Dated:31-07-13
6.	Total Bid documents Sold	16
7.	Total Bids Received	07
8.	Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)	19-08-2013
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable	e) 01
10.	Bid(s) Rejected	06
11.	Financial Bid Opening date	27-08-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S</i> #	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
M/s Sana 1. International Trading Corps		Rs. 7,432/-	1 st	Above Rs. 432/-	Accepted meet to Departmental requirement	Accepted (Because of lowest Rate)
2.	M/s F&U Enterprises	•	: -		Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
3.	M/s Chiragh Din & Sons				Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.

4.	M/s Auranzeb Enterprises	•	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened?
5.	M/s Ahmed Traders		Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
6.	M/s Azms & Co		Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
7.	M/s Vision Security		Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.

(Chairman)

Karachi

ID Sindh

Addl: IGI

(Member)

(Secretary)

DIGP HQtrs Sindh Karachi

AIGP/Logistics, CPO Sindh, Karachi

(Member)

AIGP Welfare CPO Sindh Karachi

Representative of IG Prisons Sindh

(Member)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

	1.	Name	of Procuring Ag	gency	Sindh Police			
	2.	Tender	Reference No:		INF-KRY No	o.1736/13		
	3.	Tender	Description/N	ame of work/item	Hand Cuffs			
	4.	Metho	d of Procureme	nt	Single Stage	Two Envelope Procedu	ure	
	5.	Tende		and 01-08-13			mmat , Dated:31-07-13	
			-	(Print & I	Electronic Media (SP)	PRA ID No. & News po	apers names with dates)	
	6.	Total l	Bid documents	Sold	16			
	7. Total Bids Received			-	07			
	8.	Techn	ical Bid Openir	g date; (if applical	ble) 19-08-201	**************************************		
	9.	No. of	Bid technically	qualified (if appl	icable) 01			
	10.	Bid(s)	Rejected		06			
	11.	Finan	cial Bid Openin	g date	27-08-2013	3	*	
	12.	Bid Ev	aluation Repo	rt:				
S	Nan	ne of	Cost offered	by Ranking in	Comparison with	h Reasons for	Remarks	

S #	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
ï.	M/s Sana International Trading	Rs. 7,432/-	1 st	Above Rs. 432/-	Accepted meet to Departmental requirement	Accepted (Because of lowest Rate)
2.	M/s F&U Enterprises	3		X#3	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
3.	M/s Chiragh Din & Sons		a • 0		Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.

4.	M/s Auranzeb Enterprises	in the second se) - x	(#3)	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
5.	M/s Ahmed Traders			*	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
6.	M/s Azms & Co	×	=	2	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.
7.	M/s Vision Security	¥	•	8	Rejected (Not meet to departmental requirement)	Technical proposals rejected by Committee, hence financial bid was not opened.

Chairman

(IQBAL MEHMOOD) PSP

Addl: IGP CID

Sindh Karachi.

Member

(ABDULLAH SHEIKH) PSP

AIGP/Welfare CPO Sindh Karachi

Secretary

1 PHOSER

(TANVEER AHMED TAHIR) PSP AIGP/Logistic CPO Sindh Karachi