




Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKORAND
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SKO/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Ret: Imp. R. D. & Dist. of ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Through News paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanchi & "KOSHISH" Kanchi
 Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Gulab Alah	A-6/below B-9/above C-0. Pav D-29/above	1st		Lowest	
2.	Ayaz Alah Sando	A-5.5/below B-10/above C-21/above D-30/above	2nd		High	
3.	Gulab Alah	A-5/below B-11/above C-32/above D-32/above	3rd		High	
4.						

Arbat...
 P. D...
 07.

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T.M.A / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rehab/Improv of Rural Business Scheme @
- 4) TENDER NUMBER Village Post Abad No 1 (ADP FUND)
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative TMA Sakrand.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 800,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 3 Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Gulam Nabi Dahi
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 800,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
 - b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrative

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Yes	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHISH" Kavachi dt: 07-08-2013	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Taluka Municipal Administration
Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY


SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, Sakrand
- 2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SLD/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rural Training Scheme Sves Ichara Janadi
- 4. Method of Procurement: Through News paper
- 5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanch + KASHIHA Kanak
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
- 6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos
- 7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos
- 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
- 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos.
- 10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
- 11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	<u>Qulans abbi Dabio</u>	<u>A-19/Above B-9/Expt No C-2.5/below D-20/above E-G/Pav</u>	<u>1st</u>		<u>Lowest rate</u>	
2.	<u>Ayaz Ali Ternali</u>	<u>A-20/above B-10/above C-2.5/below D-30/above E-2/</u>	<u>2nd</u>		<u>High</u>	
3.	<u>Usaidullah</u>	<u>A-22/Above B-12/ " C-2/ below D-22/ Above E-3/ "</u>	<u>3rd</u>		<u>High</u>	
4.						


 ADMINISTRATOR TENDER OFFICER Arbo's M Mahor
 Sakrand

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. TMA / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rehabilitation/ Improv Rural Drainage Scheme @
- 4) TENDER NUMBER village Saeelehan Jamali
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT NO. 2 (ADD FUND)
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative TMA SAKRAND.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1636000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 3 Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Abdullah Nabi Dali
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 1636000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHISH" 1st/2nd dt: 01-06-2013</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Administrator
Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi

Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

(2)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKOLAND
2. Tender Reference No: NO. TMA/SIN/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing Pulp of R.D.S. Bazar below Janda
4. Method of Procurement: Through News Paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanch + "KOSHIA" Kanch
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos.
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	<u>Shobin Alud Pappal</u>	<u>A-29/above B-1.5/ "</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>Lowest</u>	<u>Lowest</u>	
2.	<u>Moh. Ashraf Mari</u>	<u>A-30/above B-2/ "</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>H</u>	<u>High</u>	
3.	<u>Creant Cuff:</u>	<u>A-32/above B-3/ "</u>	<u>3rd</u>		<u>High</u>	
4.						

(Handwritten signatures and marks)

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. TMA / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt. Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing improv. of Rural Drainage Scheme @ village
- 4) TENDER NUMBER Mehrahpur No. 3
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Prov. & improv. of Rural Drainage Scheme @ village
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: Administrator TMA SAKRAND, Mehrahpur
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: Rs. 1000000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Shahid Ahmed Rajput
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1st 1000000/-

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrative

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHI" / 1st-08-2013</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct	<input type="checkbox"/>	Inf.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Taluka Municipal Administration

Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi

Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRAAND.
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SLN/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Improvement of Naval Training School @ village of Naval Kh. Elach.
4. Method of Procurement: Through mass paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanak & "KOSHISH" Kanak
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Shah Mohd Latch	A-19% above B-20% " C-11% " D-9% "	1st		Lowest	
2.	Zeshan Sumro	E-19% " A-20% above B-20% "	Final		High	
3.	Vaigyan Anand	C-2% above D-9% " E-20% " F-20% "	Final		High	
4.		A-22% above B-20% " C-7% " D-11% " E-22% " F-22% "				

ADMINISTRATOR **TENDER OFFICER**

Procurement Officer

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. TMA / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department @ Sakrand
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Improvement of Rural Drainage Scheme @ Village Harrod Ali Chandi
- 4) TENDER NUMBER No. 4 (ADP FUNDS)
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Imp: of Rural Drainage scheme @ village Harrod Ali Chandi.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrator TMA SAKRAND.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 2267000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Shah Mohd. Lalloo R/o Sakrand
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 22,67,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrateu

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Yes</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>News paper</u> <u>"SOBH" and "KUSHIH"</u> <u>1 cavachid: 07-06-2013</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

*SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291*

Print

Save

Reset

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T.M.A / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Improv of Rural Drainage Scheme village Habib Ali Jamali
- 4) TENDER NUMBER No. 5 (ADP FUNDS)
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Improv of Rural Drainage Scheme village - do -
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative TMA SAKRAND.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 600,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Ayaz Ali Jamali
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 600,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tel: 021-9205336; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

6

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRAHO
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/S/O/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing water supply scheme in village Harwad Ali Chandi.
4. Method of Procurement: _____
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH KANCHI + KOSHIN" under Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos.
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	<u>Sukh m. Lal</u>	<u>A-93/above 1st</u>			<u>Lowest</u>	
2.	<u>Pandey</u>	<u>A-75/above 1st</u>			<u>High</u>	
3.	<u>Vikas Lal</u>	<u>A-27/above 3rd</u>			<u>High</u>	
4.						

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T.M.A / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt. Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing water supply scheme @ Vill: Murvad Ali chandio
- 4) TENDER NUMBER NO-6 (ADD FUNDS)
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Providing water supply scheme @ Vill: Murvad Ali chandio
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative T.M.A SAKRAND.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 10,99,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Shah Mohd. Lalcho
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 10,99,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>Yes</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>News paper "SOBH" and "KOSHISH" Kavarachi dt: 01-06-2013</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic / Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

ADMINISTRATOR

Taraka Municipal Administration
Sakrand.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY


SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291


Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRAALD
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SLD/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Electric water Cooler
4. Method of Procurement: Through Newspaper Tender
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanchi + KOSHISH Kanchi
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 3 Nos. ✓
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos. ✓
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos. ✓
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mohd. Hoshim	Estimate sale	1st	Optimal rate	Lowest)
2.	Gulam Nabi	2/above	2nd	Above	High	
3.	Shebi's Quid	3/above	3rd	Above	High	
4.						







FINANCE
OFFICE

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "S. BH" + "Kashish" 1-6-2013</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Director's Lot	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Administrator
Sindh

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save




Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRAAD
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SID/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Supply of Sewing Machine
4. Method of Procurement: Through News Paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH KARNATAKA" & "KOSHIKA" through Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 No.
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Muldi Herlin	estimate rate	1st	estimate rate	lowest	
2.	Shubir Red A	1/2 above	2nd	above	High	
3.	Gudga NUS	1 1/2 above	3rd	above	High	
4.						

T.M.A. Sakraad
 Procurement Officer
 T.M.A. Sakraad

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. TMA / Town Committee Salva and
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of Sewing Machine
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 2 (OWN FUNDS)
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of Sewing Machine
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative TMA Salva and
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs 1500000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) one month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3:30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M. Hashim Mari R/Salva and
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 1500,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domeslic/ Local
 - b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper 'SABH' + 'KDSHIGH' Karach on 01-6-2013</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

SPPRA

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Taluka Municipal Administration
Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset




2/3/5-3

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRANI
2. Tender Reference No: NO. TMA/SK/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Supply of Hand pump
4. Method of Procurement: Through News paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH Konek + KOSHIH" through Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 3 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 2 Nos
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos.
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 NO C
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	<u>Adal / Habri</u>	<u>Estimate rate</u>	<u>1st</u>	<u>Estimate rate</u>	<u>Lowest</u>	
2.	<u>Gubir / Alud</u>	<u>1/ above</u>	<u>2nd</u>	<u>above</u>	<u>High</u>	
3.	<u>Gular / Alud</u>	<u>1.5/ above</u>	<u>3rd</u>	<u>above</u>	<u>High</u>	
4.						

TALUKA OFFICE ERIS
(DEPARTMENT OF P.W.S.)

TALUKA OFFICE ERIS
(DEPARTMENT OF P.W.S.)

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T.M.A / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt. Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of Hand pump
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (3) OWN FUND
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of Hand pump
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative T.M.A SAKRAND.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1500000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) One Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M. Hashim Mavi R/o Sakrand
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 1500000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHI" 14/04/2013</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Taluka Municipal Administrator
Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291




Bid Evaluation Report

2/4
5-4

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRAAD
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/S/107/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Supply of 12" A.C.C Pipe
4. Method of Procurement: Through Newspaper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kanch & "KOSHIA" Kanak
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos
7. Total Bids Received: 3 Nos
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos.
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Metal Hermin	Estimate rate	1st		Lowest	
2.	Buland	2% above	2nd		High	
3.	Buland	3.0% above	3rd		High	
4.						

TALUKA OFFICE, SAKRAAD
 DISTRICT OF SAKRAAD

Date: 05/07/2013
 Signature: [Signature]

Annex-'D'

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. TMA / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt. Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of 12 Receipts (OWN FUND)
- 4) TENDER NUMBER No 4 OWN FUND
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrative TMA SAKRAND
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 10,00,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) one Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M. Hashim Mavi
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 10,00,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHISH" 1 Kavachi dt: 07-08-2013</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR
Taluka Municipal Administrator
Sakrand

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

(5)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Committee, SAKRANO
2. Tender Reference No: No. TMA/SLW/186 of 2013 dt 30.5.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Supply of 18" Dia R.C.C.
4. Method of Procurement: Through News paper
5. Tender Published: in Daily News paper "SOBH" Kowsh + KASHIHA" Kowsh
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) on 01-06-2013
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 3 Nos
7. Total Bids Received: 2 Nos
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 05-07-2013 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 3 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected: 2 Nos
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 05-07-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M Hashim Khan	Estimated rate	Ist	Estimated rate	Lowest	
2.	Shobir Ahmed	2/Above	IInd	Above	High	
3.	Ahulani Nakh	3.5/Above	III	Above	High	
4.						

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. TMA / Town Committee Sakrand
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Govt Department
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of 18" Dia Rcc pipe
- 4) TENDER NUMBER No. 5 OWN FUND
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of 18" Dia Rcc pipe
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Administrator TMA SAKRAND
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1000,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) available
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) one Month
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-07-2013 3.30 pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 1000,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1st



18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Administrator

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Yes</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<i>News paper "SOBH" and "KUSHIH" 1 cavachid: 07-06-2</i>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	--------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



ADMINISTRATOR

Taluka Municipal Administrator
Sakrand.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291