

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT Union Council Dando
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Local Government -
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Const. of CC Block at village Ali Akbar Bin Hala U.C. Dando.
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (1) No. UC/P/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of CC Block at village Ali Akbar Mulla U.C. Dando.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.P.L.G of T.M.Khan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.550 million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 0.4739 million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) (03) Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) Three (03 Nos.)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three (03 Nos.)
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (03 Nos.)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mr. Nanjee
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 0.5492 million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1<sup>st</sup> lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED - (Tick one)

- |    |  |                                     |  |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| a) | SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic <input type="checkbox"/> Local |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |
| d) | TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>   |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, ETC.) WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT APLG (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ID will hold</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the final evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	✓

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer  
**ASSISTANT DIRECTOR**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
Tando Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

***SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi***

***Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291***

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando ✓
2. Tender Reference No: MU.UC/D/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013 ✓
3. Tender Description: Name of work item: Const of c/c Block at village Ali Akbar Malhal UC Dando ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure ✓
5. Tender Published: SPPRA LD# withheld 16757  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date 19-07-2013 ✓

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mr. Naujeer	15.90% above	1st lowest	(0.5492) Million	Qualified as per L1 offered Rate	Being a lowest - he has allowed to do this work if no offered
2	Mr. Ehtasham	16.70% above	2nd High	(0.5530) Million	Not Qualified as per offered Rate	Non-Responsive
3	Mr. M. Amir	18.0% above	3rd High	(0.5592) Million	- do -	- do -

*Amir  
member/Sec  
UC S.M.Bh.*

*Muhsen  
Sec UC  
S-Sana*

*[Signature]*  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

*[Signature]*  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

*[Signature]*  
Member/Sec  
UC - S.Meto

*[Signature]*  
Member/Sec  
UC 2

**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO  
DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**


**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT**


Name of Work:- Construction of C.C Blocks at Village AL Akbar  
Mallah Uc - Dando - T.M. Khan

Estimate Cost:- 0.550 (Million)

S.No	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	QUOTED RATE	REMARKS
①	Mr. Nanjee	15.90% above	0.5492 (Million)
②	Mr. Iqbal Arain	16.70% above	0.5520 (Million)
③	Mr. M. Amir	18.0% above	0.5592 (Million)


Note:- Rate offered by M/S Mr. Nanjee  
contractor is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the  
competition hence recommended for approval.




  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Sec Member  
UC-2

  
Member  
Sec / UC Sh. Bk

  
Member / Sec  
UC - S. Mato

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION - DEPTT Union Council Dando
- 2) PROVINCIAL - LOCAL GOVT - OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Cont. of CC Block at village Haji Mohd (Ashari) Farooqui <sup>vs</sup> Dando
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: (2) No UC/D/44/2013 Dated: 29/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Cont. of CC Block at village Haji Mohd (Ashari) Farooqui <sup>vs</sup> Dando
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.L.G. of T.M. Khan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.600 million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 0.5109 million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos. (Three)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos. (Three)
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M.M. Iqbal Aram
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 0.5982 million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1<sup>st</sup> lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE 

Domestic/Local
----------------
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE 

--
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE 

--
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE 

--

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT A.D.L.G (Local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>ID# with Help</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, detail thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE - DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA.  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**ASSISTANT DIRECTOR**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
Tande Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291



# BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando ✓
2. Tender Reference No: No. UC/D/44/2013 dated: 20/6/2013 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of 13000 sq ft of village Hajji Mohd (Ashraf) Faraz ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID# with Help  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2013 ✓

## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. M. Iqbal Arain Contractor	17.10% above	lowest	0.5982 million	Qualified as per his offered Rate	Being lowest he has allowed to do the work offered.
2.	Mr. Nanjoe Contractor	18.30% above	High	0.6054 million	Not Qualified as per his offered Rate	Non-Responsive
3.	Mr. M. Anwar Contractor	19.0% above	High	0.6079 million	do	do

*A. Arain*  
Member Sec  
UC Sh. Bh

*Tando Muhammad Khan*  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

*Tando Muhammad Khan*  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

*Tando Muhammad Khan*  
Sec. Member  
UCS. Mado

*Tando Muhammad Khan*  
Member Sec  
UC 2

**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO  
DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**

**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT**

Name of Work:- Construction of C.C Block at village Haji Muhammad Iashari Ferozpur UC Dando T. M. Khan  
 Estimate Cost:- 0.600 Million

S.No	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	QUOTED RATE	REMARKS
①	Mr. M. Iqbal Arain Contractor	17.10 % above	0.5982 million
②	Mr. Manjee Contractor	18.50 % above	0.6054 million
③	Mr. N. Amir Contractor	19.0 % above	0.6079 million

Note:- Rate offered by M/S Mr. N. Iqbal Arain contractor is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the compition hence recommended for approval.

  
 Sec/Member  
 UC-5 S. S. S. S. S.  
  
 Member/Sec  
 UC-Sh. Bh.

  
 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
 LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
 Tando Muhammad Khan  
  
 Sec/Member  
 UC-5. M. A. to

  
 Sec/Member  
 UC-2

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT: Union Council Danda
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER: Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: Const. of C.C Block at Revu Matlah UC-Danda
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: (3) Na UC/D/44/2013 Valid: 20/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT: Const. of C.C Block at Revu Matlah UC-Danda
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: A.O. & Cr of Tarran
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: (0.850 Million)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only): (0.7265 Million)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): (03 months)
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers): (03 Nos.) Three
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: (03 Nos) Three
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS: 03 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy): Copy Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. M. Iqbal Anjum
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE: 0.5447 Million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID): 1<sup>st</sup> ranked

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- |   |                                     |   |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic/Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |
| d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC.) WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT A.D.L.G (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>IDH with Held</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE - DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**ASSISTANT DIRECTOR**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
Tando Muhammad Khan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando
2. Tender Reference No: No. UC/D/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Const. of C.C Bleck at Revo Malab ur-Dando
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID# 1516/114  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 07 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2013

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M. Lytal Avani	16.70% above	1st lowest	0.8497 million	Qualified as per his offer as per Rule -	Being lowest & he was allowed to do the work as per offer
2	Mr. M. Amun	18.0% above	High	0.8573 million	Not Qualified as per his offer as per Rule -	Non-Responsive
3	Mr. Nanjee	19.40% above	High	0.8675 million	- do -	- do -

*Mahesh Sec*  
*J. Sarda*

*[Signature]*  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan  
*[Signature]*  
Maha  
Sec UC Sh: Bb

*[Signature]*  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan  
*[Signature]*  
Maha / Sec  
UC S. Malo

*[Signature]*  
Maha / Sec UC 2







**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO  
DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**

**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT**

Name of Work - Construction of CC Block at Revo Mallah  
U.C. Dando T.M. Khan  
 Estimate Cost - 0.850 Million

S.No	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	QUOTED RATE	REMARKS
①	Mr. M. Iqbal Arain Contractor	16.70% above	0.8497 Million
②	Mr. M. Amir Contractor	18.0% above	0.8593 Million
③	Mr. Manjoo Contractor	19.40% above	0.8675 Million

Note:- Rate offered by M/S Mr. M. Iqbal Arain contractor is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the competition hence recommended for approval.

 Member  
Sec. UC S. Malik  
 Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan  
 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan  
 Member  
Sec. UC S. Bhatt  
 Member  
Sec. UC S. Malo  
 Member  
Sec. UC 2

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION - DEPTT Union Council Dando
- 2) PROVINCIAL - LOCAL GOVT. OTHER Local Government -
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Const. of C.C Block at Sakhi Solangi vic-Rando
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (4) No. OC/D/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of C.C Block at Sakhi Solangi vic-Rando
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.P.L.G of T.M Khan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.350 (Million)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 0.3025 (Million)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 (Months)
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) (03 Nos) Three
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED (03 Nos) Three
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (03 Nos)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mr Nausar
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 0.3494 million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1<sup>st</sup> lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED - (Tick one)

- |   |                                     |                          |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Domestic/Local           |
| b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE                | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT KDLG (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	JD withheld
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultants)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE - DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer **ASSISTANT DIRECTOR**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
Tando Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

## BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando ✓
2. Tender Reference No: No UC/D/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Const. of 1 Bore at Datcha Solangi UC Dando. ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID No. (With held)  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2013 ✓


### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Nanjoe Contractor	15.50% above	lowest	0.2494 Million	Qualified as per his offered Rate	Being a lowest bidder all cases of to do the work offered.
2.	Mr. Iqbal Arain	16.30% above	High	0.3518 Million	Not Qualified as offered rate	Non-Responsive
3.	Mr M. Amir Contractor	18.50% above	High	0.3584 Million	- do -	- do -

  
 Member/Sec  
 UC Sh. Bh.

  
 Municipal Engineer  
 Municipal Committee  
 Tando Muhammad Khan

  
 ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
 LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
 Tando Muhammad Khan

  
 Member/Sec  
 UC Sh. Malo

  
 Member/Sec  
 UC 2

**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO  
DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**

**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT**

Name of Work:- Construction of c.c Block at Sakli Solangi etc -  
Dando T.M.Khan


Estimate Cost:- 0.350 (Millions)

S.No	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	QUOTED RATE	REMARKS
①	Mr. Nanjee Contractor	15.50% above	0.3494 Million
②	Mr. Iqbal Arain	16.30% above	0.3518 Million
③	Mr. M. Amir	18.50% above	0.3584 Million


Note:- Rate offered by M/S Mr. Nanjee contractor is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the compition hence recommended for approval.

  
Member/Sec  
UC - Sh. Bh

  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Member/Sec  
UC - Sh. Dhakia

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Member/Sec  
UC S. Malo

  
Member Sec  
UC - 2

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION - DEPTT Union Council Dando
- 2) PROVINCIAL - LOCAL GOVT. - OTHER: Local Government -
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of Sewing Machine for Dando
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (OS) No UC/DP/44/2013 dated: 20/6/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of Sewing Machine for UC Dando
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.L. of T.M Khan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.5000 (Million)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 0.5000 (Million)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 (Months)
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M. Iqbal Arain
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 498,760/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1<sup>st</sup> Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE   Domestic/Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT ADLG (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>IDH will hold</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY:  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

GOVT. GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**

**Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291**

Print

Save

Reset

**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL, DANDO, DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**

**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT  
OVER ALL ITEMS**

**NAME OF WORK:-** SUPPLY OF SWING MACHINE FOR UNION COUNCIL DANDO, TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN.  
**ESTIMATE COST:-** 0.5000 (MILLION)

S.No	Items	QUOTED RATE BY M/S MR. M. IQBAL ARAIN			QUOTED RATE BY M/S MANI CONTRACTOR			QUOTED RATE BY M/S M. AMIR CONTRACTOR			REMARKS
		Qty	Rate	Amount	Qty	Rate	Amount	Qty	Rate	Amount	
1	Supplying of Sewing Machine (Salika) complete Quality No 1	1.0	6930/-	6930/-	1.0	6965/-	6965/-	1.0	7000/-	7000/-	Being a comparable is 6930/- per Sewing Machine of M/S Mr. M. Iqbal Arain contractor and Qualified as per the offered quoted rate
Total Rs:-			6,930/-	For each Sewing machine		6,965/-	For each Sewing machine		7,000/-	For each Sewing machine	
Total No of Supply Swing Machine: 72 @ Nos			72 x 6930 = 498,960/-		72 x 6965 = 501,480/-		72 x 7000 = 504,000/-				

**Note:-** Rate offered by M/S MR. M. IQBAL ARAIN CONTRACTOR is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the comparison hence recommended for approval

*Handwritten signature*  
 Sec U.C.S.I.Bh  
 Tando

*Handwritten signature*  
 Sec U.C.S.I.Bh  
 Tando

*Handwritten signature*  
 Tando Muhammad Khan

*Handwritten signature*  
**Municipal Engineer**  
**Municipal Committee**  
**Tando Muhammad Khan**

*Handwritten signature*  
**ASSISTANT DIRECTOR**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**Tando Muhammad Khan**

*Handwritten signature*  
 Sec U.C.S.M.Ko  
 Tando




## BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando
2. Tender Reference No: (05) No. UC/D/44/2013 Dated 20/6/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Supply of Swing machine for UC Dando
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA I DNO H with Hold  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2013


### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Mr. M. Sajjad Aram	6930/- Per Swing machine	lowest	498,760/-	Qualified as per his offered rate -	Being lowest rate he was allowed to do the work of his offered
2	Mr. Manji Contractor	6965/- Per Swing machine	High	Rs. 501,480/-	Not Qualified as per his offered rate -	Not Responsive
3	Mr. M. Amin Contractor	7000/- Per Swing Machine	High	Rs. 504,000/-	-do-	-do-

  
**Municipal Engineer**  
**Municipal Committee**  
**Dando**

*see uc 2 member*

  
*see uc 2 member*

  
*see uc 3 member*

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION (DEPT) Union Council Dando 6
- 2) PROVINCIAL - LOCAL GOVT - OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Supply of hand pump for U.C Dando
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (6) No. UC/D/44/2013 Dated 20/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of hand pump for UC Dando
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.O.L.G of T.M. Khan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.5000 (million)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 0.5000 (million)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos. (Three)  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 nos. (Three)
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (enclose a copy) Copy Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Jawaid Ahmed Pirzada G.C
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 499,545/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 5<sup>th</sup> lowest
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED - (Tick one)
- |    |  |                                     |   |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| a) | SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic Local |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |
| d) | TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>                |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (e.g. EMERGENCY DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT ADLG (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	ID # withheld	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

# BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Danda
2. Tender Reference No. (6) No. UC/10/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Supply of Hand pump for U.C Danda
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID No # will held  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2012


## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:


S.No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S Sajad Ahmad Pirzada Government Contractor	11,101/- Per Hand pump	lowest	0.49954 Million	Qualified as per Govt's official Rate	Recommended lower to rate he has allowed into the work after approval
2	M. Amir Contractor	11,223/- Per Hand pump	High	0.50503 Million	Not Qualified as per Govt's official Rate	Not Responsive
3	M. Iqbal Amir Contractor	11,307/- Per Hand pump	High	0.50881 Million	-do-	-do-

  
**Municipal Engineer**  
 Municipal Council Danda  
 Union Council Danda

  
 See all the numbers

  
 See all the numbers

  
 See all the numbers

  
 See all the numbers

# OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO, DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN

## COOPERATIVE STATEMENT OVER ALL ITEMS

NAME OF WORK:- SUPPLY OF HAND PUMP FOR U.C DANDO, TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN.  
ESTIMATE COST:- 0.5000 (MILLION)

S.No	Items	QUOTED RATE BY M/S JAWAID AHMED PIRZADA			QUOTED RATE BY M/S M. AMIR CONTRACTOR			QUOTED RATE BY M/S M. IJHAB AFRAN CONTRACTOR			REMARKS
		Qty	Rate	Amount	Qty	Rate	Amount	Qty	Rate	Amount	
1	Supplying G.I Hand Pump Machine 10 ft Length (11.1) of best approved quality / etc. Keerti valve etc in all respects brass material (M.R) / carriage to U.C Dando	1.0 Nos	5100/-	5100/-	1.0 Nos	5200/-	5200/-	1.0 Nos	5100/-	5100/-	
2	Supplying P.V.C Pipe (Supplier Asia Made Hand Pipe 1-1/4" dia etc complete in all respect (M.R)	78.0 Rft	57.22 P-Rft	4463/-	78.0 Rft	57.22 P-Rft	4463/-	78.0 Rft	59.0 P-Rft	4607/-	Being a lowest rate is 11,101/ per hand pump of M/S Jawaid Ahmed Pirzada Contractor and Qualified as per his offered quoted rate.
3	Supplying P.V.C Pipe (Supplier Asia) strainer etc complete (M.R)	10.0 Rft	65.0 P-Rft	650/-	10.0 Rft	65.0 P-Rft	650/-	10.0 Rft	68.0 P-Rft	680/-	
4	Supplying G.I Socket 1-1/4" dia etc complete in all respects (M.R)	2.0 Nos	81.0 Each	162/-	2.0 Nos	85.0 Each	170/-	2.0 Nos	85.0 Each	170/-	
5	Supplying G.I Pipe Nipple of 1/2" (E.L) Light Quality etc complete in all respects (M.R)	3.0 Rft	142.0 P-Rft	426/-	3.0 Rft	145.0 P-Rft	435/-	3.0 Rft	150.0 P-Rft	450/-	
6	Supplying Ball plug wooden etc complete in all respects (M.R)	1.0 Nos	50.0 Each	50/-	1.0 Nos	55.0 Each	55/-	1.0 Nos	55.0 Each	55/-	
7	Supplying cloth for strainer pipe etc complete in all respects (M.R)	1.0 Nos	250.0 Each	250/-	1.0 Nos	250.0 Each	250/-	1.0 Nos	250.0 Each	250/-	
<b>Total No of Hand Pump:- 45 Nos:-</b>		45 x 11,101 = 499,545/-		45 x 11,223 = 505,035/-		45 x 11,307 = 508,815/-					

Note:- Rate offered by M/S JAWAID AHMED PIRZADA GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR

Contractor is reasonable. Appraisals per separate cost rate found lowest. Hence recommendation is for approval.

*Signature: S. S. B. I.*  
*Signature: Secy. U.C Dando*  
*Signature: Secy. U.C Dando*  
**Municipal Engineer**  
**Municipal Committee**  
**Assistant Director**  
**LOCAL GOVERNMENT**  
**Tando Muhammad Khan**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

(7)

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION - DEPTT Union Council Dando
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Local Government -
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Const. of Culvert at Syed walvi village Mor Mattala
- 4) TENDER NUMBER (7) No. UC/D/44/2013 Dated 20/6/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of Culvert at Syed walvi village Mor Mattala
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.P.L.G (Local) of Imkhan
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 0.1500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 0.1464 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) (03 Months)
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 19-07-2013 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos. (Three)  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos (Three)
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy Enclosed  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mr. M. Iqbal Dando
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 0.1493 Million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) [3<sup>rd</sup> / only]
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)
 

a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> Domestic <input type="checkbox"/> Local
b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT A.D.L.G (local)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<u>20# with Hold</u>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inf.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID - BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultants)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/EMPLOYEE OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) DATE OF AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**


**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291


# BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Union Council Dando
2. Tender Reference No: No. UC/D/44/2013 Dated: 20/6/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Const. of Culvert at Spal work village Mur Mallala UC Dando
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA ID# with Hold  
*Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No & News papers names with dates)*
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N/A
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 19-07-2013


## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. M. Iqbal Aram	02% above	lowest	0.1443 million	Qualified as per his offered Rate	Being lowest & he has allowed 10% for work of his offered
2.	Ms. M. Amir Contractor	2.70% above	High	0.1503 million	Not Qualified as per his offered Rate	Non-Responsive
3.	Mr. Nongjo	4.0% above	High	0.1522 million	- do -	- do -

  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Member Secy

  
Member Secy  
UC Dando

**OFFICE OF THE UNION COUNCIL DANDO  
DISTRICT TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN**

**COMPERATIVE STATEMENT**


Name of Work: Construction of Culvert at Syed wala  
village No. 1 Mallah Ue - Dando 9 M Khan  
Estimate Cost: 0.1500 Million

S.No	NAME OF CONTRACTOR	QUOTED RATE	REMARKS
①	Mr. M. Iqbal Arain Contractor	0.1493 above	0.1493 million
②	Mr. M. Amin Contractor	2.70% above	0.1503 million
③	Mr. Nanjoo	4.0% above	0.1522 million


Note:- Rate offered by M/S Mr. M Iqbal Arain  
contractor is reasonable and is as per estimate cost rate found lowest in the  
compition hence recommended for approval.

  
Member  
Sec UC 3

  
Municipal Engineer  
Municipal Committee  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Member  
Sec. UC Sh. Bh.

  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Tando Muhammad Khan

  
Member  
Sec UC S. M. So

  
Member  
Sec. UC 2