

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DMC, Central.
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT (KMC) _____
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT MPA PRIORITY PROGRAMME
- 4). TENDER NUMBER 015(01)/2010-11
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Improvement of Road in UC-01, Gulberg Town, Karachi.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P & D GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.900 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 5.000 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 45 ((Days)
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03-11-2011 at (02.:30 PM)
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Attached)
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s M. Ammar Enterprises.

- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.48,99,888/-
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) M/s M. Ammar Enterprises.
M/s Tracon Associates
M/s King Interspersion
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)
 - a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ✓
 - b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE X
 - c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE X
 - d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE X

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT MC / Administrator.

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5580/2011	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011, "Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011,	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST
EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

30). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

32). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


Project Director
(MPA-PP) KM

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.

Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Eligibility & Minimum Qualification Criteria **(to be declared responsive bid)**

The evidence / documents for the following minimum qualification / eligibility will be checked during opening process of tender & if anyone is missing then the tender will be summarily rejected at the moment by the tender opening committee.

PART-A: Eligibility Criteria

1. Valid PEC in relevant category & relevant field of specialization as mentioned in the NIT.
2. NTN Certificate.
3. Valid Professional Tax.

PART-B: **Minimum Qualification Criteria**

- i). Bid Security, as mentioned in the NIT & Bidding Documents, is furnished.
- ii). All rates quoted including the total amount of the bid shall be in figures & words (both).
- iii). All corrections / overwriting shall be clearly re-written with initials & duly stamped by the bidder.
- iv). The bid shall be properly signed, named & stamped by the authorized person of the firm and authorization letter for signatory shall be enclosed with the tender by the authorized person, if other than the signatory of the firm.
- v). Evidence of employing Engineer as declared in the PEC License should be provided in shape of copy of Engineer's Registration certificate by PEC, Bank Statement showing the name and amount of the monthly salary of the Engineer / Paid copy of the Income Tax challan in the name of the Engineer of the salary is taxable. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vi). Bank certificate (original) should be produced in respect of financial capability / credit limit of the bidder not less than 30% of the bid amount issued on or after the date of publishing NIT in the press. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vii). The evidence of ownership/lease of at least 03 Nos. road construction Machinery out of Tractor, Grader, Roller, Dump Truck, Concrete Mixture, asphalt plant & its allied equipment to the bidder.
- viii). The minimum turn over / work experience in last 2 years either with the satisfactory completion report for completed project or work in hand should be equal to the work cost in which bidder interested to participate (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR (MPA'S PP)

4th Floor, Room No. C-409, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi
Phone: 021-99232426, Fax: 021-99230669

No. PD/MPAPP/K.M.C/ 157 /2011

Dated: 19 / 12 /2011

To,

Director (CB)

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh,
Karachi.

Deputy District Officer (WEB)
Administrator Secretariat,
Karachi Metropolitan Corporation,
Karachi.

PROVINCIAL ADP (MPA'S PRIORITY PROGRAMME)

SUBJECT: - PROVIDING & LAYING OF CC FLOORING IN UC-06, 07, 08 LIAQUATABAD TOWN, KARACHI.

In conformity with Clause-50 of SPP Rule-2010, a contract evaluation form, duly filled in respect of subject work is enclosed herewith for hoisting the same on your respective web-site.

Copy to: -

1. Administrator, KMC
2. Office File


PROJECT DIRECTOR
MPA's Priority Programme
K.M.C

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
No. 2859
Dated 20/12/11
Sindh Public Procurement
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DMC, Central.
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT (KMC) _____
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT MPA PRIORITY PROGRAMME
- 4). TENDER NUMBER 015(02)/2010-11
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Providing & Laying of CC Flooring in UC-06, 07, 08 Liaquatabad Town, Karachi.
- 6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P & D GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.900 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 5.000 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 45 ((Days)
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03-11-2011 at (02.:30 PM)
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Attached)
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s M. Ammar Enterprises.
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.48,99,841/-
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) M/s M. Ammar Enterprises.
M/s Tracon Associates
M/s King Interspores
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)
 - a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ✓
 - b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE X
 - c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE X
 - d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE X PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT MC / Administrator,

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5580/2011
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011 "Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011,
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST
EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

30). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

32). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


38). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


Project Director
(MPA-PP) KMC.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Eligibility & Minimum Qualification Criteria **(to be declared responsive bid)**

The evidence / documents for the following minimum qualification / eligibility will be checked during opening process of tender & if anyone is missing then the tender will be summarily rejected at the moment by the tender opening committee.

PART-A: Eligibility Criteria

1. Valid PEC in relevant category & relevant field of specialization as mentioned in the NIT.
2. NTN Certificate.
3. Valid Professional Tax.

PART-B:

Minimum Qualification Criteria

- i). Bid Security, as mentioned in the NIT & Bidding Documents, is furnished.
- ii). All rates quoted including the total amount of the bid shall be in figures & words (both).
- iii). All corrections / overwriting shall be clearly re-written with initials & duly stamped by the bidder.
- iv). The bid shall be properly signed, named & stamped by the authorized person of the firm and authorization letter for signatory shall be enclosed with the tender by the authorized person, if other than the signatory of the firm.
- v). Evidence of employing Engineer as declared in the PEC License should be provided in shape of copy of Engineer's Registration certificate by PEC, Bank Statement showing the name and amount of the monthly salary of the Engineer / Paid copy of the Income Tax challan in the name of the Engineer of the salary is taxable. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vi). Bank certificate (original) should be produced in respect of financial capability / credit limit of the bidder not less than 30% of the bid amount issued on or after the date of publishing NIT in the press. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vii). The evidence of ownership/lease of at least 03 Nos. road construction Machinery out of Tractor, Grader, Roller, Dump Truck, Concrete Mixture, asphalt plant & its allied equipment to the bidder.
- viii). The minimum turn over / work experience in last 2 years either with the satisfactory completion report for completed project or work in hand should be equal to the work cost in which bidder interested to participate (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR (MPA'S PP)

4th Floor, Room No. C-409, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi
Phone: 021-99232426, Fax: 021-99230669

No. PD/MPAPP/K.M.C/ 158 /2011

Dated: 19/12/2011

To,

Director (CB)

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh,
Karachi.

Deputy District Officer (WEB)
Administrator Secretariat,
Karachi Metropolitan Corporation,
Karachi.

PROVINCIAL ADP (MPA'S PRIORITY PROGRAMME)

SUBJECT: - IMPROVEMENT OF ROAD IN UC-06, 07 & 08 LIAQUATABAD TOWN, KARACHI.

In conformity with Clause-50 of SPP Rule-2010, a contract evaluation form, duly filled in respect of subject work is enclosed herewith for hoisting the same on your respective web-site.

Copy to: -

1. Administrator, KMC
2. Office File


PROJECT DIRECTOR
MPA's Priority Programme
K.M.C

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
No. _____
Dated _____
Sindh Public Procurement
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

(8)

MP

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DMC, Central.
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT (KMC) _____
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT MPA PRIORITY PROGRAMME
- 4). TENDER NUMBER 015(03)/2010-11
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Improvement of Road in UC-06, 07 & 08 Liaquatabad Town, Karachi.
- 6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P & D GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.900 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 5.000 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 45 ((Days)
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03-11-2011 at (02.:30 PM)
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Attached)
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s MS Electric Services.
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.48,99,748/-
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) M/s MS Electric Services.
M/s Tracon Associates
M/s King Interspores
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)
 - a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ✓
 - b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE X
 - c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE X
 - d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE X PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT MC / Administrator.

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5580/2011
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011 "Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST
EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

30). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

32). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


Project Director
(SPPRA-PP) KMC

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Eligibility & Minimum Qualification Criteria **(to be declared responsive bid)**

The evidence / documents for the following minimum qualification / eligibility will be checked during opening process of tender & if anyone is missing then the tender will be summarily rejected at the moment by the tender opening committee.

PART-A: Eligibility Criteria

1. Valid PEC in relevant category & relevant field of specialization as mentioned in the NIT.
2. NTN Certificate.
3. Valid Professional Tax.

PART-B: **Minimum Qualification Criteria**

- i). Bid Security, as mentioned in the NIT & Bidding Documents, is furnished.
- ii). All rates quoted including the total amount of the bid shall be in figures & words (both).
- iii). All corrections / overwriting shall be clearly re-written with initials & duly stamped by the bidder.
- iv). The bid shall be properly signed, named & stamped by the authorized person of the firm and authorization letter for signatory shall be enclosed with the tender by the authorized person, if other than the signatory of the firm.
- v). Evidence of employing Engineer as declared in the PEC License should be provided in shape of copy of Engineer's Registration certificate by PEC, Bank Statement showing the name and amount of the monthly salary of the Engineer / Paid copy of the Income Tax challan in the name of the Engineer of the salary is taxable. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vi). Bank certificate (original) should be produced in respect of financial capability / credit limit of the bidder not less than 30% of the bid amount issued on or after the date of publishing NIT in the press. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vii). The evidence of ownership/lease of at least 03 Nos. road construction Machinery out of Tractor, Grader, Roller, Dump Truck, Concrete Mixture, asphalt plant & its allied equipment to the bidder.
- viii). The minimum turn over / work experience in last 2 years either with the satisfactory completion report for completed project or work in hand should be equal to the work cost in which bidder interested to participate (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR (MPA'S PP)

4th Floor, Room No. C-409, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi
Phone: 021-99232426, Fax: 021-99230669

No. PD/MPAPP/K.M.C/ 159 /2011

Dated: 19 / 12 /2011

To,

Director (CB)

Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh,
Karachi.

Deputy District Officer (WEB)

Administrator Secretariat,
Karachi Metropolitan Corporation,
Karachi.

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
No. 5861
Dated 20/12/11
Sindh Public Procurement
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

PROVINCIAL ADP (MPA'S PRIORITY PROGRAMME)

SUBJECT: - PROVIDING & LAYING OF CC FLOORING IN UC-1 GULBERG TOWN, KARACHI.

In conformity with Clause-50 of SPP Rule-2010, a contract evaluation form, duly filled in respect of subject work is enclosed herewith for hoisting the same on your respective web-site.

PROJECT DIRECTOR
MPA's Priority Programme
K.M.C

Copy to: -

1. Administrator, KMC
2. Office File

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DMC, Central.
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT (KMC) _____
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT MPA PRIORITY PROGRAMME
- 4). TENDER NUMBER 015(04)/2010-11
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Providing & Laying of CC Flooring in UC-1 Gulberg Town, Karachi.
- 6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P & D GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.900 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 5.000 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 45 ((Days)
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03-11-2011 at (02.:30 PM)
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Attached)
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s MS Electric Services.
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.48,99,721/-
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) M/s MS Electric Services,
M/s King Enterprises
M/s Tracon Associates
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)
 - a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ✓
 - b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE X
 - c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE X
 - d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE X PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT MC / Administrator.

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5580/2011	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011 "Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST
EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

30). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

32). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

33). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


Project Director
(MPA-PP) KMC

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Eligibility & Minimum Qualification Criteria **(to be declared responsive bid)**

The evidence / documents for the following minimum qualification / eligibility will be checked during opening process of tender & if anyone is missing then the tender will be summarily rejected at the moment by the tender opening committee.

PART-A: Eligibility Criteria

1. Valid PEC in relevant category & relevant field of specialization as mentioned in the NIT.
2. NTN Certificate.
3. Valid Professional Tax.

PART-B: **Minimum Qualification Criteria**

- i). Bid Security, as mentioned in the NIT & Bidding Documents, is furnished.
- ii). All rates quoted including the total amount of the bid shall be in figures & words (both).
- iii). All corrections / overwriting shall be clearly re-written with initials & duly stamped by the bidder.
- iv). The bid shall be properly signed, named & stamped by the authorized person of the firm and authorization letter for signatory shall be enclosed with the tender by the authorized person, if other than the signatory of the firm.
- v). Evidence of employing Engineer as declared in the PEC License should be provided in shape of copy of Engineer's Registration certificate by PEC, Bank Statement showing the name and amount of the monthly salary of the Engineer / Paid copy of the Income Tax challan in the name of the Engineer of the salary is taxable. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vi). Bank certificate (original) should be produced in respect of financial capability / credit limit of the bidder not less than 30% of the bid amount issued on or after the date of publishing NIT in the press. (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).
- vii). The evidence of ownership/lease of at least 03 Nos. road construction Machinery out of Tractor, Grader, Roller, Dump Truck, Concrete Mixture, asphalt plant & its allied equipment to the bidder.
- viii). The minimum turn over / work experience in last 2 years either with the satisfactory completion report for completed project or work in hand should be equal to the work cost in which bidder interested to participate (If PEC limit is over & above C-6).

OFFICE OF THE TOWN OFFICER (I&S)

TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION GULBERG ③

No. 241/11/Adm/Gulberg

dated 05-11-2011

Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Town Municipal Administration Gulberg Town ✓ |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | <u>015(03)/TMA/Gulberg.T/MPA</u> ✓ |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | <u>Improvement of Road in UC-06, 07 & 08 Liaquatabad Town, Karachi.</u> ✓ |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure ✓ |
| 5. Tender Published: | Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011 ✓
"Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.
SPPRA Website ID # 5580/2011. ✓ |
| 6. Total Bid documents Sold: | 03 Nos. |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 03 Nos. |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid(s) Rejected: | Nil |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 03-11-2011 ✓ |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
 No. 4377
 Dated 05/11/2011
 Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh
MUS

S ^{No}	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. MS Electric Services	Rs.48,99,748/- (as per Calculation)	1 st Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Tracon Associates	Rs.49,09,590/- (as per Calculation)	2 nd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. King Enterprises	Rs.49,14,825/- (as per Calculation)	3 rd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive

This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.

[Signature]
 ADD. MEMBER / D.I.D.O
 Co (W&S) CDGK
 Work & Services

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t ID # 5580/2011
 With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

- C.C to: -
1. Administrator, TMA, Gulberg Town
 2. P.D (MPA's PP) CDGK
 3. PS to DCO (W&S) CDGK

[Signature]
 Member / T.O (I&S)
 TMA, Gulberg
TOWN OFFICER (I & S)
GULBERG TOWN

[Signature]
 Chairman
 ADMINISTRATOR
 TMA GULBERG KARACHI

OFFICE OF THE TOWN OFFICER (I&S) TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION GULBERG

No. 241/1/2011/Gulberg

dated 05-11-2011

Bid Evaluation Report (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Town Municipal Administration Gulberg Town ✓ |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | 015(02)/TMA/Gulberg.T/MPA ✓ |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | <u>Providing & Laying of CC Flooring in UC-06, 07, 08 Liaquatabad Town, Karachi.</u> |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure ✓ |
| 5. Tender Published: | Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011
"Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.
SPPRA Website ID # 5580/2011. ✓ |
| 6. Total Bid documents Sold: | 03 Nos. |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 03 Nos. |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid(s) Rejected: | Nil |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 03-11-2011 ✓ |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
 No. 241/1/2011/Gulberg
 Dated 05-11-2011
 Sirah Public Procurement
 Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh
 M.D.

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. M. Ammar Enterprises	Rs.48,99,841/- (as per Calculation)	1 st Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Tracon Associates	Rs.49,07,813/- (as per Calculation)	2 nd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. King Enterprises	Rs.49,13,128/- (as per Calculation)	3 rd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive

This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.


 Member / D.D.O
 (W&S) CDGK


 Member / T.O (I&S)
 TMA, Gulberg Town
 GULBERG TOWN


 Chairman / T.M.O
 TMA, Gulberg
 GULBERG TOWN

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t ID # 5580/2011
 With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

- C.C to :-
1. Administrator, TMA, Gulberg Town
 2. P.D (MPA's PP) CDGK
 3. PS to DCO (W&S) CDGK

S
N
0
1.
2.
3.
TI

Ai
Dire
C.C to
1.
2.
3.

OFFICE OF THE TOWN OFFICER (I&S)

TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION GULBERG ①

No. 28/11/Admn/Gulberg

dated 05-11-2011

Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Town Municipal Administration Gulberg Town ✓ |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | 015(01)/TMA/Gulberg.T/MPA ✓ |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | <u>Improvement of Road in UC-01, Gulberg Town, Karachi.</u> ✓ |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure ✓ |
| 5. Tender Published: | Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011
"Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.
SPPRA Website ID # 5580/2011. ✓ |
| 6. Total Bid documents Sold: | 03 Nos. |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 03 Nos. |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid(s) Rejected: | Nil |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 03-11-2011 ✓ |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

SPPRA INWARD DIARY
 No. _____
 Dated _____
 Sindh Public Procurement
 Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. M. Ammar Enterprises	Rs.48,99,888/- (as per Calculation)	1 st Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Tracon Associates	Rs.49,03,678/- (as per Calculation)	2 nd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. King Enterprises	Rs.49,07,890/- (as per Calculation)	3 rd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive

This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.

[Signature]

**Member / D.D.O
C.C. (W&S) CDGK**

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t ID # 5580/2011

With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

C.C to: -

1. Administrator, TMA, Gulberg Town
2. P.D (MPA's PP) CDGK
3. PS to DCO (W&S) CDGK

[Signature]
Member / T.O (I&S)
TMA, Gulberg
TOWN OFFICER (I&S)
GULBERG TOWN

[Signature]
Chairman / Administrator
TMA, Gulberg
TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
GULBERG TOWN

OFFICE OF THE TOWN OFFICER (I&S) TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION GULBERG ④

No. 237/11/ Admin/ Gulberg

dated 05-11-2011

Bid Evaluation Report (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Town Municipal Administration Gulberg Town ✓ |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | 015(04)/TMA/Gulberg.T/MPA ✓ |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | <u>Providing & Laying of CC Flooring in UC-1 Gulberg Town, Karachi.</u> ✓ |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure ✓ |
| 5. Tender Published: | Daily Express Tribune dt: 16-10-2011, "Pak" dt: 16-10-2011
"Sarwan" dt: 17-10-2011.
SPPRA Website ID # 5580/2011. ✓ |
| 6. Total Bid documents Sold: | 03 Nos. |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 03 Nos. |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid(s) Rejected: | Nil |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 03-11-2011 ✓ |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

MLB
 SPPRA INWARD DIARY
 No. 237/11/ Admin/ Gulberg
 Dated 05-11-2011
 Sindh Public Procurement Reg. Act 2012
 Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. MS Electric Services	Rs.48,99,721/- (as per Calculation)	1 st Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. King Enterprises	Rs.49,06,701/- (as per Calculation)	2 nd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. Tracon Associates	Rs.49,38,429/- (as per Calculation)	3 rd Lowest	estimated cost of Rs.4.900 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive


This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.


 Member / D.D. Officer
 (W&S) CDGK
 (Works & Services)

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t ID # 5580/2011
With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

C.C to:-

1. Administrator, TMA, Gulberg Town
2. P.D (MPA's PP) CDGK
3. PS to DCO (W&S) CDGK


 Member / T.O (I&S)
 TMA, Gulberg
 TOWN OFFICER (I&S)
 GULBERG TOWN


 Chairman
 TMA Gulberg
 TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
 GULBERG KARACHI