



**MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PROJECT
MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES FOR SCHOOL
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH PROVINCE**

&

**FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR SUPERVISION CONSULTANCY TO
BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS**

Date: Jan 20, 2015

Participants:

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddique – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Minutes of Meeting

- On 07th January, 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3rd Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The meeting was called to complete the Evaluation process for Shortlisted firms and to finalize the Request for Proposal.
- EOIs from 09 following firms were considered for evaluation.

1	Umar Munshi Associates
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)
5	Techno Consult International

6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd
7	National Engineering Corporation
8	Young Associates
9	Consultants Group

34

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]

[Handwritten signature]
20/1/2015

[Handwritten signature]
20/1/15



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A.
- During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP. The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

S.NO	Name of Shortlisted Firms
1.	Umar Munshi Associates
2.	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd
3.	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.
4.	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)

- The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.


20/1/15

Mr Taufique Shaikh,
Planning Officer, Planning and
Development, GoS


20/1/15


Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar
Senior Program Manager (RSU)



Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch
Additional Secretary – PDF
(Education & Literacy Department)


20/1/15

Mr Shakeel Ahmed,
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance
Department, GoS


20/1/15

Mr Mazhar Siddique,
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy
Department, GoS

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOI'S AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR
PROJECT MGT. AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

S.NO.	NAME OF THE FIRM	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	Umar Munshi Associates	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	RR ASSOCIATES	NON-RESPONSIVE (REGISTERED AS CONSTRUCTOR)

✓
20/11/15

✓
20/11/15

✓
20/11/15

✓
20/11/15

20/11/15


EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES


Disqualified

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector 20 Marks	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPQR, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan) 20 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project 25 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully 10 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years 15 Marks	TOTAL 100 Marks
1	Umair Munshi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Nabeen
20/11/15

N. Khan
20/11/2015


Rabeel Ahmad
20/11/15

Nabeen
20/11/15



8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

Nabeer
 20/11/15

M. Anjum
 20/11/2015

S. Akmal
 20/11/15

M. Anjum
 20/11/15

S. Akmal
 20/11/15



RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
		20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marks	15 Marks	10 Marks	
1	Umair Muneer Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	96%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd.	100%	40%	96%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	MUS-Field Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Yasung Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

Nick
20/11/15

Dr. Faraz
20/11/15

Shahid
20/11/15

Shahid
20/11/15

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Disqualified
Mr. Mazhar Siddiqui

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	TOTAL
		Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years.	
1	Umair Munsifi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NANA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

27.05.2015

B	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

Dr. Saif
20/11/2015

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPTRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth RS. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
1	Umar Marashi Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	40%	86%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECCI)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

11.08.2011/2015

Disqualified

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	TOTAL
1	Umar Mansi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvl Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	75	10	2	
6	Multi-Fied Consultants & Executives Pvt Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Shakeel Ahmed

6	Young Associates	20	12	30	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

Sheela Bhatti

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	20 Marks	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	20 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	25 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	10 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	15 Marks	REMARKS
1	Umar Munshi Associates	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	79%	25 Marks	70%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	85%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	20 Marks	40%	20 Marks	86%	25 Marks	60%	10 Marks	53%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	100%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%	20 Marks		20 Marks	71%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	40%	20 Marks		20 Marks	64%	25 Marks	60%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%	20 Marks		20 Marks		25 Marks	100%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	20 Marks	60%	20 Marks		25 Marks	90%	10 Marks	59%	15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED

Handwritten signature

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Disqualified

Mr. Rehman Syed / Bulech.

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SP/PRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	TOTAL
		20 Marks	20 Marks	25 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	
1	Umar Muneesh Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Rehman

8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

Rehan

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
		20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	
1	Umar Munshi Associates	100%	80%	75%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAVA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd	100%	40%	85%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

Nabees

Disqualified

Mr. Taqiyue Chowdhury

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks		25 Marks		10 Marks		15 Marks		TOTAL
		Experience of Firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SP/PR, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years				
1	Umair Munshi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15		85.5		
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15		91		
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8		72		
4	Engineering Consultans International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15		96		
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2				
6	Multi Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	5	0				
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0				

Umair Munshi

6	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	---

Handwritten signature

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years.	REMARKS
1	Umair Murrshi Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	85%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	40%	85%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (E.C.I.)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Mudh Field Consultants & Extradants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

Handwritten signature


Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Dr. Hamza

Khan Tahir

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	20 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks	
								Experiences of firm in Engineering Sector
1	Umair Munir Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5	
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91	
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72	
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECL)	20	16	35	10	15	96	
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2		
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0		
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0		
TOTAL								


S. I. R. B.

8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--


20/11/13

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
1	Umair Munshi Associates	100%	80%	75%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd.	100%	40%	86%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

(Handwritten Signature)
Sindh



MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR NEED VERIFICATION, SITE SPECIFIC SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE & STRUCTURE DESIGN (CALCULATION SHEETS), PREPARATION OF TOWN/TALUKA PACKAGES, ENGINEERING ESTIMATES & TENDER/BIDDING DOCUMENTS

&

FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DESIGN CONSULTANCY TO BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS

Date: Jan 20, 2015

Participants:

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddique – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Minutes of Meeting

- On 07th January, 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3rd Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The committee found that 07 consultancy firms participated for design consultancy and 12 firms participated for both Design and Supervision consultancies.
- In the eligibility criteria of the EOIs Design Consultancy published in Jung on Dec 21, 2014 it was clearly mentioned that the firm participating for this particular assignment will not be eligible for construction supervision consultancy of same project.
- The procurement committee directed to take consent from the participating firms whether to participate for the design or supervision services via email dated on 8th January, 2014.
- After the consent was received from the firms, EOIs for 19 firms were considered for evaluation.

[Signature]

[Signature]
24/1/2015

[Signature]

[Signature]
20/1/2015

[Signature]
20/1/15



Out of 19 firms, the following 15 firms were qualified for further evaluation

1	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates
5	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)
6	A.A.Associates
7	Surti & Partners
8	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd

9	Consultants Group
10	RCC Consultants
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd
13	Shahzad Associates
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd
15	Arch Vision
16	NESPAK

Out of 19 the following 03 firms were identified as non-response as the firms have not communicated to date which area (Design or Supervision) the firm is interested.

17	Osmani & Company
18	Al-Hussaini & Company
19	Consult-Tech International

The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A.

During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP.

[Signature]

[Signature]
20/11/2015

[Signature]

[Signature]
20/11/15

[Signature]
20/11/15



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOL-I/PRO/2014
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

S.NO	Name of Shortlisted Firms
1.	NESPAK
2.	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
3.	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
4.	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)
5.	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd
6.	Turkpak International Pvt. Ltd
7.	Shahzad Associates

- The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.


20/11/15

Mr Taufique Shaikh,
Planning Officer, Planning and
Development, GoS


20/11/15


Mr Shakeel Ahmed,
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance
Department, GoS


20/11/15

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar
Senior Program Manager (RSU)


20/11/2015

Mr Mazhar Siddique,
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy
Department, GoS


Rehan

Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch
Additional Secretary – PDF
(Education & Literacy Department)

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

D. Hamza Khan

Disqualified
Tagat

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
		20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	
1	(MVT ESS) AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Aif Bejaumal Architect (MVS)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ali & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hakim Pakistan (MVs with Habib Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	22.5	6	0	
7	Suit & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

W. J. J. J. J.
20/11/15

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	ODD Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Acron Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

20/11/15

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	JMO ESS LMAH Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Aif Begum Auhilid (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Harb Fida Ali)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Saifi & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified


S. H. KHAN

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Ashraf Vaseer	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified


 29/11/15

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOIS AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR NEED VERIFICATION & DESIGN SERVICES FOR SCHOOL
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

S.NO.	NAME OF THE FIRMS	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	QUALIFIED
2	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaurri Architect (JV's)	QUALIFIED
3	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Turpsak International Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
5	Shahzad Associates.	QUALIFIED
6	National Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
7	(JV) ESS1.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	QUALIFIED
8	Dr. Alvi & Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)	DISQUALIFIED
10	A/A Associates	DISQUALIFIED
11	Surti & Partners	DISQUALIFIED

Shahzad
20/11/15

Shahzad
20/11/15

Shahzad
20/11/15

Shahzad
20/11/15

12	Consultants Group	DISQUALIFIED
13	RCC Consultants	DISQUALIFIED
14	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	DISQUALIFIED
15	IDG Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
16	Arch Vision Consulting Engineers	DISQUALIFIED
17	Osemani & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
18	Al-Hussaini & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
19	Consult-Tech International	NON-RESPONSIVE

Heber
20/11/15

Q. Sani
20/11/2015

S. Khan
20/11/15

N. Sani
20/11/2015

[Signature]
20/11/15

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified

S. NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
		20 Marks	25 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks
1	(JV) ESSIMAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/F Belgauri Architects (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hakrom Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Surti & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

Nabeen
20/11/15

N. Jafar
20/11/2015

Rakesh Chaudhary
20/11/15

Umer Jafar
20/11/15

Dr. Asim

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	HCQ Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Tajpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shervani Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

Rehan
20/11/15

Q. Saif
20/11/2015

J. Akbar
20/11/15

M. Saif
20/11/15

S. Ishaq

• (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	Remarks
		Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	
1	JVI/ESS I/M/R Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MAM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Airi Baigwan Architect (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Aze & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Halcrow Fida Av)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sun & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zareeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

Nabeel
 20/11/15
 D. Prof 20/11/2015
 S. Kulkarni
 20/11/15
 M. J. Khan
 20/11/15
 [Signature]

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSMA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDCI Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Auch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

Ali Khan
20/11/15

Dr. Saif
20/11/2015

Arif Ahmad
20/11/15

M. Shafiq
20/11/15

S. Ali
20/11/15

ID	Activity Name (Project)	Status	Approved by	Comments	Start Date	End Date	Funding Source	Budget	Actual Cost	Variance	Risk Level	Compliance	Reporting	Notes
15	Annual Conference Expenses	Approved	Yes	Approved with CEO and Accounting Dept Review. Closed.	20	20	Yes	100,000	95,000	5,000	Low	Compliant	Quarterly	Standard budget approved for 01 years. See HQ. Targeted under 20K. 5th year. All in 1st quarter. High level of activity in 1st 15 years.
16	Major Equipment Purchase (e.g. IT)	Approved	Yes	Approved with CEO and Accounting Dept Review. Closed.	20	20	Yes	500,000	480,000	20,000	Medium	Compliant	Quarterly	Standard budget approved for 01 years. See HQ. Targeted under 20K. 5th year. All in 1st quarter. High level of activity in 1st 15 years.

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resources Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
		20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks
1	JVI ESS/AAE Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/H Baqarani Architect (Pvt) Ltd	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ahsan & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Halima Paristan (JV's with Hekto Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Sult & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	5	12	78

Disqualified

Mr. M. A. Khan

Signature

20/11/2015

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSMA International	6	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Sharzed Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

D. Khan 20/11/2015

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm	Experience in Required	Technical Capacity of	Administrative &	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage	Remarks
		In Engineering Sector	Services (Heed Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Human Resource Required for the project	Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	
1	JAY ESS E/ARR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G J Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	NW Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Mr. Baqar Ahmad (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Aze & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Halcrow Pakistan JV's with Habib Fida Ali	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Surt & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

D. Prof 20/11/2015

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDCI & SSICA International)	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Sharada Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IDCI Pvt Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Avon Wisdom	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

17. May 2011/2015

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified
Mr. Saad Ahmed

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	(JV) ESS LAAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Begum Architects (JVs)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Awi & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Haseem Pakistan (JV's with Haseem Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Surb & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

Saheed Ahmed

9	Consultants Group	9	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSCAA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	SDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Avon Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

Shahzad Associates

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
		20 Marks	25 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	Qualified/Disqualified
1	JWO ESS LAAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Air Belgium Architect (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Hazrat Pakistan (JV's with Harb Fida AU)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Surb & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

Shahid Mahmood

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDCI & SS&A International)	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
12	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IDG Pvt Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
10	Arch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

S. Akbar Khan

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Mr. Farzique Sharikh

Disqualified

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents), of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	JW ESS / A&T Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A&T Bejaum Archtect (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ali & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hellcow Pakistan (JV's with Head Office AU)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Sunil & Partners	20	0	6	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	20	15	25	6	22	78

Handwritten signature and date: 20/11/11

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSAA Internasional	6	10	25	9	4	
12	Turjaya Internasional Pw. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	ICG Pw. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arca Wahan	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pw. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

Handwritten signature and date:
20/1/15

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	JVI ESS (AAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant)	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	D 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Anif Baigam Architects (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Hatchrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fozz Ali)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sunil & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zabeeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

Handwritten signature and date:
20/11/13

9	Consultants Group	40%		57%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSKA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shehzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IGS PVT. LTD		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Avon Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

Handwritten signature and date:
20/11/2011

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified
Mr. Rehman Jeysof Baloch

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	(JV) ESS/LAAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	M&A Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/P Belgaumi Archited (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ali & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habitat Fida A/I)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Sunil & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

Rehman

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RDC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSAA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpaz International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Sherzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

Notes

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of Firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	(JV) ESSILAMK Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arit Begawan Architects (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Harb Fida AU)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A. A. Associates	100%		97%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sunil & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

Rehman

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	50%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shafiq Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Arch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPA)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

16/10/20

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOIs AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR NEED VERIFICATION & DESIGN SERVICES FOR SCHOOL
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

S.NO.	NAME OF THE FIRMS	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
2	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)	QUALIFIED
3	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
5	Shahzad Associates	QUALIFIED
6	National Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	QUALIFIED
7	(JV) ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	QUALIFIED
8	Dr. Alvi & Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)	DISQUALIFIED
10	A.A.Associates	DISQUALIFIED
11	Surti & Partners	DISQUALIFIED

Ilchan
20/1/15

M. Syed
20/1/2015

Shahid Ahmed
20/1/15

[Signature]
20/1/15

[Signature]
20/1/15

12	Consultants Group	DISQUALIFIED
13	RCC Consultants	DISQUALIFIED
14	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	DISQUALIFIED
15	IDG Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
16	Arch Vision Consulting Engineers	DISQUALIFIED
17	Osmani & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
18	Al-Hussaini & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
19	Consult-Tech International	NON-RESPONSIVE

Ilkhan
20/1/15

7/20/1/2015

Shakeel Ahmad
20/1/15

N. A. J.
20/1/2015

[Signature]
20/1/15