

No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014 PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES FOR SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH PROVINCE

8

FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR SUPERVISION CONSULTANCY TO BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS

Date: Jan 20, 2015

Participants:

- Mr Rehan Iqbai Baloch Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
- 2. Mr Mazhar Siddique Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
- 3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
- 4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
- 5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Minutes of Meeting

- On 07th January 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3rd Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The meeting was called to complete the Evaluation process for Shortlisted firms and to finalize the Request for Proposal.
- EOIs from 09 following firms were considered for evaluation.

1	Umar Munshi Associates
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)
5	Techno Consult International

6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd
7	National Engineering Corporation
8	Young Associates
9	Consultants Group

Zx

1.4

20 No. 1/1 10018

10/1/10



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014 PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A
- During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP. The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

Name of Shortlisted Firms	
Umar Munshi Associates	
EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	
NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	
Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	
	Umar Munshi Associates EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

Mr Taufique Shaikh.

Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar

Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Mr Rehan Igbal Baloch

Additional Secretary – PDF (Education & Literacy Department) Mr Shakeel Ahmed.

Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS

Mr Mazhar Siddigue

Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EDI'S AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR PROJECT MGT. AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

ø	ထ	7	Ø	55	4	ω	N	37	S.NO.
RR ASSOCIATES	Young Associates	National Engineering Corporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates	NAME OF THE FIRM
NON-RESPONIVE (REGISTERED AS CONSTRUCTOR)	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE

11 char 1115 The Art 20/1000

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

	a)	e e	J.	Æ	ų.	N	्यो।		5.NO
	National Engineering Corporation	Muth-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consuming Engineers (Pvr.) Ltd	EA Consulting Pvt, Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
-	20	00	29	26	20	25	20	JO Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
	Q	a	¥	16	ţs:	16	*	20 Maries	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procument Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
	Ø.	22.5	25	35	8	5	27.5	35 Munite	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
1	15:	o	D.	10	ø	10	ä	II Maria	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
	6	ю	Eg .	15	4	350	315	16 Mades	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
				96	72	91	85.5	100 00-00	TOTAL

), de /1/10 / / / / 20/1/2015

Riber 201115

100 C

*

1/1. 1.1.5 D. My 2011/2015 Young Associates 8 20101/15 2 - To

*(Atteast 40% Scoring is required under each category to quality)

	ø	4	6	io.	1200	3	2	22		S.NO.
,	Young Associates	National Engineering Corporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pyl. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	EA Consulling Pvt. Ltd	Uniar Munchi Associates		Name of the Firm
	100%	100%	40%	100%	%00t	%00L	100%	300%	30 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
	80%				80%	40%	80%	80%	20 Martin	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
			64%	718	%00T	96%	36%	79%		Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
	ND6	100%	80%	100%	100%	60%	3,000	70%		Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
	53				100%	¥85	MOOT	100%	15 Mades	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUAUFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	означирою /означило	REMARKS

0

Disqualified

Mr. Marked Sidighti

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

SNO σ 16 -EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd Umar Munshi Associates NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd Engineering Consultant Multi-Field Consultants & Techno Consult International Pvt. Ltd. Corporation National Engineering Executants Pvt Ltd international Name of the Firm Experience of firm in Engineering 20 Marks Sector ö 20 20 참 20 d 90 Services as per SPPRA. Experience in Required **buildings** (Procurment Services for Education Assumnce in Pakistan) **Dotailed Construction** Execution & Quality Supervision, Project 30 Marks 16 15 16 ю, O, ø Human Resource Required Technical Capacity of for the project 35 Marks 27.5 225 90 8 35 13 0 Capacity to manage Administrative & the assignment Operational succesfully STI Marks 6 H 2 Ħ H (C) Construction Supervision Work of Worth Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Rs. 100 million in last five years 15 Marin 5 5 7 0 0 14 TOTAL TOO MANER 85.5 3 2 96



PROJECT PROVIDENTIAL IMPLEMENTATION UNIT, PMIU EDUCATION LITERACY DEPARTMENT, SINDH

Young Associates

20

12

10

PREQUALIFICATION OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PROJECT MGT. CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION

Sicalilization 12

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

00	×	or .	O.	*	(14)	(80)	(e :)		8
Young Associates	National Engineering Corporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executents Pvt Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pyt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consuling Engineers (Pw.) Ltd.	EA Consuting Pvt. Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
100%	X001	40%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	20 Mares	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
60%				80%	40%	80%	80%	20 Martes	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
		54%	71%	*00%	86%	86%	79%	25 Marks	Tochnical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
30%	100%	60%	100%	300%	60%	100%	70%	10 Maria	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
9425				100%	38.65	700F	100%	15 Martys	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUAUFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED/ DISQUALIFIED	REMARKS

11/02/20/1/ ros

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed

7	o	69.0	*	Gat	8	((e)		S. S.
National Engineering Curporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Corisult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ud (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
20	*	20	20	20	20	20	20 84-9	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
0	9	•	16		16	16	20 Marina	Experience in Réquired Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
D	22.5	75	.24	30	86	27/5	**	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
10	•	15	10	6	10	32	10 Mates	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
0	.0	(M)	(144) 460)	O E	15	15	13.8071	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Monagement and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
			ø	72	9	85.5	SO Marce	TOTAL

Julie John

Young Associates

20

12 Samble of the same of the s

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to quality) Experience of firm Social	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision,Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project		Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
		20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marins		10 Martin
21	Umar Munshi Associates	100%	80%	79%		70%
:N:	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	300%	%C08	86%		100%
w	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	100%	40%	86%		60%
363	Engineering Consultant International Pvf. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	Wilder	10	100%
. (45)	Techno Consult International	700%		71%		%00.t
i ani	Multi-Feld Consultarits & Executarits Pvt Ltd	40%		\$ 5 k	1	60%
4.	National Engineering Corporation	3,00%				100%
æ	Young Associates	100%	60%			206

Rabbelle Mon 1.

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

	EVAL
xperience of firm	UATION OF CON
Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment	VALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITER FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION
Technical Capacity of	PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION
Administrative & Operational	SUPERVISION SERVICES
Financial Capacity of the	2
firm to manage	r. Retron Sylval
	Bulock

390	a	300		3	M	æ		S.NO.
National Engineering Corporation	Muti-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consuling Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	EA Consulting Pvl. Ltd	Untar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
70	ta .	20	20	20	20	20	3	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
В	0	•	16	8	36	16		Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
0	22.5	74	12	30	30	20.5	ľ	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
10	6	5	5	ō	5	33 26		Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
0.	.0	.21	15	300	15	.15	To burne	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
		À	96	n	91	85.5	10 100	TOTAL



Young Associates

20

12

10

~	J	
15		
1 9		

"(Atteast 40% Scoring is required under each category to quality)

×	(40)	a	(6)	*	¥	(N)	5 8 5		OK.
Young Association	National Engineering Corporation	Must-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvi.) Ltd.	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
100%	3,001	40%	100%	100%	200%	300%	100%	***	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
60%		() — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		80%	40%	80%	80%		Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
		64%	71%	100%	86%	26%	79%	SS Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
3/D6	100%	60%	100%	1008	800%	100%	20%	10 Marts	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
382		X ===		300%	%E5	100%	100%	15 Majos	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUAUFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUAUFIED	QUAUFED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED/ BEQUIALISED	REMARKS

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

SION SERVICES Me Touty fine Shorkh

30	. op (350	.	*	1.66	200		8
National Engineering Corporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvr.) Ltd	EA Consuting Pvl. Ltd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
20	09	20	20	.02	20	20	20 Marya	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
0	o		16	18%	16	16	20 Marca	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
0	22.5	25	355	8	×	27.5	tolen st	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
.01	6	10	10	•	15	7	10 Mares	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
0	000	28	55.	00	15	16	15 Wartes	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
			*	n	91	85,5	STO Marks	TOTAL

J. J.

Young Associates

20

12

16

38.

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

а		(de)	(0)	:#	3,0	Ŋ.	100		SNO
Young Associates	National Engineering Corporation	Muti-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	EA Consulting Pvt. Etd	Umar Munshi Associates		Name of the Firm
100%	150%	40%	¥001	300t	%00 <u>1</u>	¥001	%00t	20 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
80%				80%	40%	80%	80%	20 Marts	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
		\$	71,%	100%	865%	86.88	Net.	Harris	Tochnical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
90%	36001	60%	100%	*a01	60%	100%	70%	10 Martin	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
53%				100%	53%	100%	100%	15 Maries	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in fast five years
DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUAUFED	QUALIFIED	QUAUFIED	GENTRED! DESCRIPTION	REMARKS

Tag



EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA

Disqualified

N N N	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan) Administrative & Administrative & Finer Capacity of Operational Project the assignment successfully Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project		Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
		30 Mars	20 Marks	16 Marks		10 Marca
30	Umar Munsh: Associates	20	16	27.5		S.
Na.:	EA Consuling Pvt Ltd	20	10	36	_	g
(te)	NAA Consuming Engineers (Pw.) Ltd.	8		96		6
3 8 6	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	70	16	35		10
0346	Techno Consust International	20	4	25		10
ø.	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	*	.0	22.5		6
**	National Engineering		a	ф		10



Young Associates

20

E

10

9

\cap	
E VE	1
3	2

*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

Ö	×	æ	in.	20	· Ga	Ni	£ 34 .0		SNO
Young Associates	National Engineering Corporation	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	Techno Consult International	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.:	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd.	Umar Nurshi Associates		Name of the Firm
100%	300%	40%	X001	X00.T	100%	*000	100%	20 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
60%				80%	40%	NA.O.S	80%	DO Marka	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurment Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)
	107	SAS.	71%	100%	86%	86%	79%	35 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
90%	NOOT	500%	NODY	100%	60%	100%	70%	TO Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
% ES		die.		7,000.E	N85	%par	100%	TE MANUAL TO THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN NAMED	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years
DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUAUFIED	Character (castravian	REMARKS





No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-I/PRO/2014 PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR NEED VERIFICATION, SITE SPECIFIC SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE & STRUCTURE DESIGN (CALCULATION SHEETS), PREPARATION OF TOWN/TALUKA PACKAGES, ENGINEERING ESTIMATES & TENDER/BIDDING DOCUMENTS

&

FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DESIGN CONSULTANCY TO BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS

Date: Jan 20, 2015

Participants:

- Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
- 2. Mr Mazhar Siddique Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
- 3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
- Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
- Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Minutes of Meeting

- On 07th January, 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3th Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The committee found that 07 consultancy firms participated for design consultancy and 12 firms participated for both Design and Supervision consultancies.
- In the eligibility criteria of the EOIs Design Consultancy published in Jung on Dec 21, 2014 it was clearly mentioned that the firm participating for this particular assignment will not be eligible for construction supervision consultancy of same project.
- The procurement committee directed to take consent from the participating firms whether to participate for the design or supervision services via email dated on 8th January, 2014.
- After the consent was received from the firms, EOIs for 19 firms were considered for evaluation.

Et 11-2015

W J. 4. 1. 2.14

217.5



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-I/PRO/2014 PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

Out of 19 firms, the following 15 firms were qualified for further evaluation

ŧ	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates
5	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)
6	A.A.Associates
7	Surti & Partners
8	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd

9	Consultants Group
10	RCC Consultants
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International
12	Turpak International Pvt, Ltd
13	Shahzad Associates
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd
15	Arch Vision
16	NESPAK

 Out of 19 the following 03 firms were identified as non-response as the firms have not communicated to date which area (Design or Supervision) the firm is interested.

17	Osmani & Company	
18	Al-Hussaini & Company	
19	Consult-Tech International	

 The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A.

 During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP.

21

N. 7/20/1/2015

TOO

W. 1.11

0011115



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-I/PRO/2014 PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

 The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

S.NO	Name of Shortlisted Firms
1.	NESPAK
2.	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
3,	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
4.	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JVs)
5.	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd
6.	Turkpak International Pvt. Ltd
7.	Shahzad Associates

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

Mr Taufique Shaikh.

Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar

Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Mr Rehan Igbal Baloch

Additional Secretary – PDF (Education & Literacy Department) Mr Shakeel Ahmed,

Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS

Mr Mazhar Siddique.

Director (PDR), Education & Literacy

Department, GoS

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

	76	۰		A.		340	<u> </u>		S.NO.
Zetwenuddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd:	Surt & Partiers	A.A.sociates	Halorow Pasistan (JV's with Halo's Fida (J))	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Pokiston Pkt. Lad & Anf Belgaumi Architect (JV 5)	G 3 Engineering Consultarits Pvt. Ltd	(JV) ESS LAAR Planning, Engineering & Serges, Consulted		Name of the Firm
20	20	20	20	20	26	26	26	20 Marts	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
15	ö	a	15		113	Þ	*	ti Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Vertilications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects
25	ún	2%5	25	ч	8	C4	22.5	30 Marks	ce in Required ces (Need trs, Designing of trs, Capacity of ton of detailed for the project unfrastructure rejects Administ
oi	30	8	ø	(cos)	36	ш	(946)	10 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
tt.	0	0.00	22:	30. 10.	15	15	t.	15 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
78					86.5	2	91.5	JDD Marks	TOTAL



16	15	Ŧ.	ä	5	#	ð	
National Engineering Services Paulston Pxt. Ltd (NESPAX	Arch Vision	IDD PM (III	Shahead Associates	Turpak International Pet. Lia	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
20	2.0	(84)	(m)	20	90	00	00
25	90	See V	15	15	10	10	75
25	35	(SQ) (S	25	277.5	ĸ	27.5	20
10	6	(a)	(45 %)	SAR	36	w	đ
15	3 %			*	*	•	De
95			S	77.5			



PREQUALIFICATION OF DESIGN CONSULTANCY SERVICES



RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to quality)

œ	ž	o.	95	₩ŝ	898	1990	**		ONS
Zahegruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	Suit & Pathers	A A Associates	Hacrow Pakistan (JV's with Habb Fida Ali)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Pakasan Pvr Ltd & Arif Bergaumi Anthiliad (JVs)	G-3 Engineering Consultants Pxt. UB	(JV) ESS LAAR Planning Engineering & Serices Consultant		Name of the Firm
100%	100%	100%	SCOOL	100%	100%	100%	100%	30 Martin	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
¥09			60%		50%	100%	,100%	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)
88%		92%	83%	WE3	100%	83%	75%	30 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
%D3	100%	60%	90%	90%	30%	90%	90%	10 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
80%		to:—			100%	100%	100%	10/44/0	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified/Drogualified	Romarks



81.8

National Engineering Services 100% Pakatan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK 100%	15 Arch Vision 100%	14 IDG PVL LIH	13 Shahtad Associates 40%	12 Turpak International Pvr. Ltd 100%	Management & Development Center (NDC) & SS&A International	10 RCC Consultants 40%	OF THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON O
100%		20%	60%	60%	40%	40%	
88.58 84.58	17%	17%	83%	%26	36.68	92%	2.00
100%	50%	60%	90%	70%	90%	90%	
¥001			53%	52%			
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	100 mm



.

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOIS AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR NEED VERIFICATION & DESIGN SERVICES FOR SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

1	10	9	Ća:	-7	6	3995	4	w	N	2	S.NO.
Surti & Partners	A.A.Associates	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fide Ali)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	(JV) ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	National Engineering Services Pvt, Ltd	Shahzad Associates	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Ant Belgaumi Architect (JV's)	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	NAME OF THE FIRMS
DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE

1 2 mis

We the second se

19 Cor	18 AH	17 Osr	16 Arc	16	14 Mar	13 RO	12 Cor
Consult-Tech International	Al-Hussaini & Company	Osmani & Company	Arch Vision Consulting Engineers	IDG Pvr. Ltd	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
NON-RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE	NON-RESPONSIVE	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED	DISQUALIFIED

hite Both of the same

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

-00	3	ő	ě.	i a	76	N	1243	// 2	S.NO.
Zaheenuddin Consultants (PM) Ltd	Surt & Partiers	A.A.Associates	Hacrow Pakisten (JV's with Hebb Fide Ait)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Pakistan Pvf Ltd 8 Apř. Belgaum Architect (JVS)	G 3 Engaseering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	(JV) ESSIAAR Planning Engineering & Serices Consultant		Name of the Firm
20	20	20	26	20	20	20	20	20 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
15	0	Q	- 15	0	12.5	25	t	25 Number	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education infrastructure projects
25	5	27.5	25	25	30	25	22.5	20 Marries	ces (Need ts, Designing of ps & utilities, on of detailed ng estimates, ocuments) of Infrastructure ojects
9	.10	G	W	() (#)	i.ie	(#)	(M)	10 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
12	0	0	ž.	0	15	15	15	18 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
78					86.5	¥	91.5	100 Marts	TOTAL

The part of March Control of Ward

Disqualified

ŧ

PREQUAL	
"ICATION O	
OF DESIGN	
CONSULT	
ANCY SERV	
CES	

16	G.	*	15	3	33	õ	ø
National Engineering Services Pavistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK	Arch Vision	IDG PM LIB	Stational Association	Tupak Internatorial Pvt. Ltd	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	RCG Consultants	Consultants Group
20	20	.2	8	20		co	D0
25	Q	, de	ıs	15	10	01	7.5
25	5		25	27.5	25	27.5	18
100	9	₽ °;	ø	7	.a	•	.0
15	7842	No.). de:	(Cardo)	2 4		60
95			55	77.5			

(Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

20	**	a	ėr.	3	348	360	34 3		S NO
Zaheeruddin Consultarits (Pvt.) Uid	Sun & Patners	AAAssocates	Halorow Pakistan (JV's with Halorin Fide All)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Pauster Pvt Ltd & Art Begaum Architect (IVI)	G 5 Engineering Consultares Pvt. Ltd	(JV) ESS (AAR Planning Engineering & Sences Consultant		Name of the Firm
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	20 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
203			60%		50%	100%	¥001	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)
83%		92%	83%	90 19 18	3001 3001	% 80 80	75%	30 Mares	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
K09	100%	¥08	90%	30%	90%	90%	3006	10 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
 80%					100%	1.00%	100%		Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified/Obqualified	Remarks

Soulland Total Total

- Anka (M) . D. J. 1.15

	92% 90% 92% 90% 92% 90% 12% 60% 83% 100%		National Engineering Services 100% 100% 100%	15 Arch Vision 100%	14 IDG Pvt 15 20%	55 Shahaad Associates 40% 60%	12 Turpak International Pvt. Ltd 100% 60%	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International 40%	10 RCC Consultants 40% 40%
m m m m m m		20% 90% 90%				921			into i

とこう

1) 1110c

		×	I		740						(90)			196			83	d	;		
	TI.	A. J. January			1000m-200m 200 m-200m 200 m-200m 200 m-200m 200 m-200m 200 m-200 m			Dr. Ann &			Man Palament For Land And Replaced Account (Long)			MT P. S. SERVINGS.						antigraphon.	
*******	944.85	1	tales al	Aspend	Ī	******	Section	1	B COUNTY B	200	I	Supportation of the last of th	8000	Transaction -	Sytherine	200	-			BILL SOME	
ľ	í	Í	271.5	74	Parent Pa	100	í	Ĭ		ï	1		7	and place		á	To the second	3	19	-2	
	ĭ	County Co	2000	74	Out of the last	B	700	Second Sec		798	Toposed of			Registered with PEC and Authorise Town Planting County		í	ingapes proposition	1		Distance of the latest of the	1
			İ			Ī				ľ	11112			-	Ī		Table 1	-	11		1
24	80	I	2	æ	i i	2	35	April 10 sees	3	38	dos i jest	10	ti.	NOV that I years	26	12	TI JAMES SOM THE S BY OF THE STATE STATE OPENING STATE STATE OPENING STATE STATE	j	1	į	
		1			1			2:			3			1			The Transposition of Control Till years interfered in terretaining and the and operations since 2011.	i	1		1
- 4	0	to a green chast of projects, my relevant project found in last of present in the chapter of a project of the chapter of the c	- 18	15	For piper than of a special four case III. Separation and the special four cases. In particular the special four cases. If particular the special four cases is the special four cases.		100	The projects specified in the bit likely! sower that the distriction is large 20 year, county that the dam to religious of standard throat of \$50,000 or expense project throat of \$50,000 or executing throat the country to the country throat the project of projects assessed by consistency.	111	+61	to a gave constability of paper pay, I. I. project to not execute and pay recommend or that (it pay of constability proposed to the extended services and (if particular these and of the particular of the pay of the particular payment.	2		The time had 20 property or land 20 priors of security of	12	H.	The timp performed come time (it projects of managers are not on the first of another time of the first of another time of the first of	***************************************		1100	Considered in Regional Devices (Maria Authorized Despitely of Devicting in Interest, proprietor of position regionarity protections (Institute Consessant) of Property
	-	1	Ī		15			1:			12		-	17		4	1	1	1111		1
		117		11	120			1		,	A Paris		111	11		Ĩ	11	ı	1114		tal Capaci
		11		-	127					-	4		11	11		-	1	1	III		Technical Capacity of Plantain Basic
1		-	15	1	2 7	25		Doorne (Frant Fra	k			1		14	53		11	1		9.5	- 8
	AA.	1			1.	9		1.			W 7-		-	1			12 Percent	ï			our Report for the project
		Name of Street	1		1 s	١	9	1.			1		-	1			15	1	11111	Tributa	1
t	-	ī	ı	di		t	0		İ		1	İ		í			1	1	113		181
	4	ŧ		4	1			3			13		_	3	١.	F	t	1	ij	-	
	#	ï	1		E		4	ŧ		ŀ	8		-	ı	ĺ		16	í	1,1		111
İ		17	Ī	Ī	1111			11111		Ī	port of the first	İ	Ī	T PERSONAL PROPERTY AND PERSONAL PROPERTY AN	Ī	Ī	100 miles	i	11[1		
	**	The first action statement above the probability dust the first claim continue service course of property in lead of print course of property in lead of print print course of property in lead of print print course of property in lead of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of print course of course br>course of course of course c			The time short begins for properly useful and properly useful. The short is a manifestate of each in all and a short in a short of the control of the contro			The form count for projects of stores (II). The country but no Audited Statement or each order is being stated for installation and confirmation of the same through a properly distribution provided properly are provided in the statement of the country are provided in the statement of the country for any provided in the country for the country are provided in the country.			The Feet Tall, performed the property of performance of the Tall period specified parties the CO M became the first first property portions in come Comment of the CO parties.		u	Of the first name and Audited University Of the Stylenes seed to prevent upon any arterior, any transport over 1284 Supple that for all the processor over 1284 If processor is seed to appear and the seed to appear the processor is seed to appear the proc	l		The first had an interest to design and of all and an interest property of the first pro	1	1111	18 Sharks	Amount Colorie of the first to manage Artification & Greeky meets of Worth LEC million
			2.		100 March 1			Audiod St.	-			ľ	ľ	1	1	=	The state of	1	1111	-	
		321			1			derive to						100			THE PERSON NAMED IN	İ	1111	100	100
	î	í		-			- 50	ā			3			Û			ı	1			disease
5			1			£			***			,			21.5					1	TOTAL MATERIAL

INALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCOWNS ONTERNA

FOR HEED VERSPICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

		¥:			ĕ	ľ		(4)			14			7.41			*					
		E1 10470			Section account			2		100	Management 1. Descriptions Community of Comm			600 Tamadam			Comment Const			Charles (M. 1947)		2
445.00			15000018	Sepre		-	Books	1	and details	Score.	I	PETERTOR	54444	1	approvat.	Spela		married.	Sidney.	ļ		
1		16		Yes	4		í	(1)	100	i	11	33	ž	Pacifide Projector		1000	3		7	1		1
100		Anna your		***	Representation of the Court Property of the		**	Register of a SEC and Architect in a Personal Council	1770	5	Comment of the commen	200	Yes	Female and Market and Market & Theorem A Transfer &		700	Party Party Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Line		*	County (CC)		Regiment with Arthur Council but let with ECC
6.0		Exposure of the last is conjugately sentency (12 years)		*)	Annual or some	*	38	Squeeza abor 1) pers	٠		Name of the Owner			to the first second and the second se			Expenses till John Lidgyry Han Of in 13 years	u	ii ii	Above 11 more	* :	Access 19 years
		The Dry pages of the first board released and the pages	100		The Districts of	250		Two CD property of the State Square respect	**	4	The first has assected only OD projects in that OD years of harter particular.	ő	8	The first tax executed only (i) projects in			The first had recovered 50 values of projects of the 50 period but record to companie per throaten are could conside those 50 periods periods.	*		here to the section of the section o	o's	The time them the time project con- traction and time to the time to the time of the time of the time to the time of the time of the time to the time to the time to time to the time time time at time to time to time time time to the time time time time time time time at time time to time
ŀ	0	li		-	Mark Mark			1 5	1000		1		u	E			1			A STATE		- 1
1	0	Įį.		11	111			1	1	-24	118			ŧ		-	1,		2.5	Name of Street		- [
ļ	e	100			u de		-	1		,	da in			H		=	-		2.5	77		- []
		Distance of the last	y		1	9.75		1	25		1,	643		1	8		Donal Se	Li	,	44.72		-
	10	United the		17	and Applicated		2.5	I			İ		1	l s		11	The same			II.		- [1
		- Martin			Ę,			Į.		-	- 2		-7.11	- 2			A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN			Į.		- 11
		í		2	1		-	ı			í		*	i		-	ř			1		. 1
		8		-	1	-	ige.	1			1			713	ŀ	0				1	11	
1	-	ε		-	ŧ		-	ī	Ì		ŧ			ा≢ं		9	¥			3		- 1
-	400	The Combany extended its beauting property of the Combany extended and Eligenton Elegant property of the Combany extended and the property of the Combany extended and the	R		The Company was religiously by the second price makes where the privacy of parties in profession in and CO peach for a company stope that The Company and CO peach of prody COM in any CO peach the edition of any COM peach to contage upon the COM and Code peach to contage upon COM associated company to the COM associated company to t			The Company according to the parts of the pa		•	The 100th representation appeared authors transmiss colors 2014 & MOC submission and Olympia submission for the property of th	•	•	The time point submit auction interview of use (i) years. The françois determine submitted selects (Idio) Therefore an archit? and to prove françois values of the firm.	•		The free had scientist the audited construct which is scored program in proper only and the part of the or had been proved to an appear to the country of the country of provide scores amply more than the proper to the country of the the country of the country of the first country of the country of country o	4	- 17	the Shirt Assembled The Audited Statement of second 2012, second that the first has recognized another condition the second managing some projects may 100% Each Audited Statement street not much audited Statement street not much second	The New staff provide any Audited Statement in Wide Cheer of spek proposal to prope 5 works the forement Country of the first	
	Yes			1257	i		í	i		a	1		ŧ	1		989	i	10000	766	ī		E E
×						1			*			=						7	100		11	

 $\overline{\Psi}$

H

		¥:			#
	Contraction of the last of the				ijį.
-	i	ļ	tablished.	-	
1000	Ven	3		Z	£
	Yes	Registed off SCC we Actual Survivance Dated	1000	í	Const.
88	35	Consense of the first and passing	26	35	Esperience of the Crime angelescopy Sectors advisor 15 person
36		Wood man this projects meanings of which provide tracking or more the years perfect and present instances between			To Figure hard special to the companies of the company of services performed a company of services performed a company of services performed and company.
No.	11	1			1
		1			1
		81			15
*		1	te		1
		E 7			Į.
	2.5	Ì		-	100
Contract of		- 1		•	î
	-	1	-	**	ğ
	-	1			1
	ц	The end for the end of			Euconoti Author between the CD point. The early Turnovit profes (Me. 8 the first short savings happ expect of physical in tell M point.
	12.0	i		W.	ř
Ŕ			8		

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA

	ī	VALUATION OF CO	NSULTANTS PROFILES A	EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS FER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES	SERVICES	Ma Marka	Erdiry.
ONS	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of Architecture & Designation	TOTAL
		20 Marin	25 Marke	30 Marke	SO MAINS	15 Marks	130 14-1
57	(JV) ESS: AAR Planning Engineering & Serious Consultant	20	134	21.5	Va.	t s	91.5
20	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pyl Ltd	20	ь	ы	766 6	15	94
2 8 92	NM Pakistan Pvi. Ltd & Art Bergaum Architect (JVII)	20	ш5	36	9	535	86.5
	Dr. Alvi & Associators	20	W-6	25	290	a	
590)	Helcow Pakistan (JV's wd) Heldt Fida Au)	20	15:	25:	16	(A)	
(0)	A.A.Associates	28	: O :	27.5	4	0	
~	Suit & Partners	20	0	\$	ot	٥	
m	Zahasnuddin Consultanta (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	đi	z	7

510c/11/0c/ 100 1

.

16	15	4	t)	G	3	10	00
National Engineering Services Paristan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK	Arch Vision	IDG PAT LIE	Shahrad Associates	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
20	20	×	50	20	93	(00)	500
25	o	a	15	15:5	10	8	15
235	O)	ie.	25	27-5	25	275	20
10	6	o	(e	3	10	9) av
35	2	(56)		00	ě		2
95			6	77.5			

Jan 11 Jan /

* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to quality)

œ	(N)	a	090(1)	3 # 0	394	64	æ		O. S.
Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvc) Lid	Sur & Partiers	A.A.Associates	Halotow Pakistan (JV's with Habin Fida Al)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	NM Pakistan PVI, Ltd & Auf Belgaum Architect (JVIs)	Q 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	(JV) ESS LAAR Planning, Engineering & Serices Consultant		Name of the Firm
1001	100%	100%	woot	MOOT	100%	¥001	100%	26 Marries	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
40%			50%		50%	700%	100%	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Vertifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)
NEB		92%	3000	83%	100%	83%	75%	30 Marts	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
60%	76007	ECIN	3606	50%	, KO6	90%	90%		Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
90%					100%	%d01	100%		Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Charles (Chapailles	0 Romarks

1. 1/2/2/11/m/2

-	
3	ļ
2	į
~	
Þ	
3	i
õ	i
2	ì
ŏ	
2	
S	
-	' 1
10	
3	i
3	
8	
3	
č	
Ē	2
2	
á	į
	Ć
7	ì
3	9
è	į
E	i

16 National Engineering Services 10 Pakestan Per Ltd (NESPAK	15 Arth Vision 10	14 IDG Pvt 150	13 Shahgad Associates 40%	12 Turpak International Pvt Ud 10	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International 40	10 RCC Consultants 40	9 Consultants Group 40%
100%	700%	20%	60%	100%	40%	40%	3
83%	17%	17%	83%	92%	WEB.	92%	ti-2 %
100%	%CO%	60%	92%	70%	90%	80%	900
100%			53%	53%			55%
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Described


Similiar for

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

	24	9 6;	01	: **	(44)	(993)	1953	7	N S
Zaheeruddin Crinsultaris (P.d.) List	Sut) & Partners	A A Associates	History Pakistan (IV's with Habbi Fida At)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	AM Pakasan PVI Ltd & Ard Bergaumi Andresot (3/Cs)	G 3 Engreering Consultants	(JV) ESS (JAAR Parning Engineering & Serces Consultant		Name of the Firm
20	20	20	20	20	20	70	20	20 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
15	3,0,0	0.	ts	0	12.5	ts.	25	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education infrastructure projects
25	J.	27.5	25	25	30	25	22.5	25 Marks	ce in Required ous (Need ta, Designing of gs & utilities, ion of detailed ing estimates, for the project infrastructure rojects Administ
6	Б	6	9	9	9	्कृ	262	SO Marian	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
Ħ	O	o	M	D	:15	15	ts.	15 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
78					86.5	2	91.5	300 Marks	TOTAL

8	15	ī	13	ii.	3	5	: 9 2
National Engineering Services Pealistan Pat Lot (NESPAX	Auth Vision	RT WE DG	Shahzad Associates	Turpak Hernatonal Pvt Ltd	Management & Development Center (MDC) & S5&A International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
20	20	3	80	20	66	00	11(6)
25	:0:	•	15	15	10	15	7.5
25	Č4	Special Control of the Control of th	25	27.5	25	27.5	20
0.0	6	Ď	٠	(7)	9	9	6
5	79	3 9 2)	90	398	*	*	(- **
95			83	77.5			



(Atteast 40% Scoring under each category is required to quality)

	75	ø		劃	39 9 3	N	वर्तीते. -		ě
Zaheeruddin Consultants (PVI.) Ltd	Suit & Parhers	A.A.Associates	Habbe Fda Ali)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Paulstan PV, 1,td & Anf Belgaum Anchibed (IVs)	G 3 Engineering Consultants PALLIS	(JV) ESSTAAR Planning Engineering & Serices Consultant		Name of the Firm
700%	100%	700%	100%	3001	100%	100%	100%	20 Maries	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
80%	4-		60%		\$0%	100%	100%	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)
83%		92%	83%	83%	100%	83%	75%	30 Martin	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
60%	100%	50%	3406 3406	%0%	90%	90%	90%	10 Marris	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
30%					100%	Spar	3000	15 Maries	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified/Disqualited	Romana

< Shike Warr's

į		7
	į	ř
	1	2
	Š	5
		5
	ě	3
	i	5
	9	h
	ŝ	₹
		ď
		3
		ä
1	1	5
	. 1	5
	ì	ę
	1	Ç
	S	2
	4	S
	- 4	ē
	1	٥
	ì	=
	ŝ	z
	ě	ç
	i	Š
	7	3
	ì	3
		5
		ş
		4
		ń

				5			
Qualified	%001	2001	\$68	100%	100%	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvf Ltd (NESPAK	3
Disqualified		60%	17%		100%	Arch Vision	ä.
Disqualified		60%	17%	20%		IDG PM 148	15.5
Qualified	53%	98	81%	60%	NO.	Shahzad Associates	đ
Qualified	*65	70%	%2.6	60%	100%	Turpak International Pvr. Ltd.	12
Disqualified		90%	83%	40%	40%	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	
Disqualified		90%	92%	40%	40%	RCC Consultants	6
Disqualified		60%	62%		40%	Consultants Ordup	٧
					1.1		

- John Marky

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

36	s	ø	9-	(a)	(0)	((88))			S. S.
Zaneeruddin Consulteras (PA) (25	Sunt & Partners	A A Associates	Halloow Pakistan (JV's with Habit Flot (II)	Dr. Alw & Associates	MM Pakasan Pyl. Ltd & Adl Belgaumi Architect (JV's)	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt 115	(AV) ESS I AAR Planning. Engineering & Serces Consultant		Name of the Firm
20	.20	20	20	20	20	20	20	26 Marks	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
15	0	7.0%	15	0	12.5	15	25	3 4-7-	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education infrastructure projects
25	18	27.5	25	25	30	5	21.5	20 Marries	ce in Required ces (Need is, Designing of gs & utilities, on of detailed ing estimates, for the project counsents) of infrastructure rejects
D)	10		95	٥	٥	9	٠	SO MARKS	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
32	O	•	366()	0	tis.	15	t		Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 TOTAL million
8					86.5	Æ	5.16	100 Marks	TOTAL

15	ts	**	ಪ	363	#	ž	99
National Engineering Services Pasistan Pvi Lttl (NESPAK	And Vision	DG Pvr. Upi	Shahzad Ausocialies	Turpex international Pvt. Ltd	Management & Development Center (MDC) & 85&A, International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
26	20	2	60	20	on I	ā	
25	.0	3	15	15	10	16	7.5
25	15	9	*	27.5	E .	27.5	20
10	6	ø	•	(4)	10	٥	6
15	ю(((* #]i	(00)	300	A	÷	
35			55	77.5			

20 19.

(Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to quality)

*	397	o	Se.	*	99)	(100)	:#X		ON S
Zaheeruddin Consultants	Surf & Partners	A.A. Associates	Hacrow Pakistan (JV's with Hand Fida All)	Dr. Alvi & Associates	MM Pakette Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JVs)	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt 100	(JV) ESS (AAR Plenning) Engineering & Serices Consultant		Name of the Firm
100%	100%	300%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	20 Marts	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
80%			60%		\$0%	100%	100%	25 Marie	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed ongineering estimates, Tender documents)
WSB		92%	83%	83%	700%	83%	75%	30 Maria	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
60%	NDOI	50N	Was	90%	90%	90%	90%	10 Martin	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
80%					100%	100%	300%		Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Oisqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualitary/Disquarified	Remarks

Sold .

45.0

PREQUALIFICATION OF DESIGN CONSULTANCY SERVICES

	100%	83%	NDOL	100%	National Engineering Services Payistan Put Ltd (NESPAX	ä
	E5	T.		100%	Aran Vision	5
	60%	17%	20%		DG PM LH	#
33%	90%	83%	80%	40%	Sharizad Associates	4
53%	70%	92%	90%	100%	Turpak Intereationer Pvl. Ltd.	25
	90%	83%	40%	40%	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	# 1
	90%	928	40%	40%	RCC Consultants	8
53%	60%	67%		40%	Consultants Group	

30-10-1

Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

S.NO. 44 14 τ^{2} m g) Zahoeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd A A Association Dr. Alvi & Associates MM Pakistan Pvt Ltd 8 Avil G 3 Engineering Consultarits Pvt 13d Engineering & Serioss Son & Partners Habib Fida Aii) Halcrow Patiesten (JV's with Beigeum Architect (JVN) Consultant (JV) ESSIJAAR Planning Name of the Firm Experience of firm in Engineering 20 Marie Sector 8 20 ă K ö 20 8 ö Ventications, Designing of Experience in Required Education infrastructure engineering estimates, preparation of detailed Tender documents) of Buildings & utilities, Sprvices (Need 25 Maris projects 12.5 故 25 O 25 t 0 o Human Resource Required | Capacity to manage | Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 Technical Capacity of for the project 30 Marks 27.5 22.5 1X 23 8 13 K M Administrative & the assignment Operational Successfully TO Marks 10 0 10 10 (d) 3 D) 6 Financial Capacity of the firm to manage M million 15 Marks ts H 17 15 Ó. 0 9 111 Balock TOTAL SURFA DES 91.5 86.5 94 젊



Ė
g
020
MALIENCATION OF DESIGN
9
3
2
J
ş
5
5
묶
€
٤
5
ž
ž
3
ď
ξ
ŝ
3
⋶
N CONSTITANOV SEBURSE
2

6	u	Ä.	೫ಹಂ	Ħ.	(4)	5	90
National Engineering Services Posiston Pd. Ltd (NESPAK	Act Vision	IDG Pvd Dill	Shahuad Associates	Turpus International Pvt. Ud	Management & Development Center (WDC) & SS&A International	RCC Consultants	Consultants Group
20	Zq			20	œ	191	6
25	o	9	ts	5	10	30	7,5
25	W.	· w	25	27.5	25	27.5	20
10	6	8	•	7		9	Ď.
Ħ	*	140	TEO .	> 007	(4)	3	*
95	Ų		65	n.s			



æ	9	ø	æ	*	(100)	586	: : ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	Ŋ.	SMO
Zanooruddin Consultants (Pvt.) (3d	Surf & Pathors	A A Adsociates	Hatenw Pakistan (JV's with Hatels Fide All)	Dr. Alwi & Associates	WM Pausen Pvr. Ltd & Art Begsum Anthest (JVIs)	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pat Ltd	(JV) ESSLAAR Planning. Engineering & Serces Consultant		Name of the Firm
100%	5001	100%	100%	3001	100%	100%	100%	M Montu	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector
*00%			60%		50%	100%	700X	25 Marks	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)
83%		92%	8,658	83%	100%	83%	75%	30 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project
60%	100%	60%	90%	90%	90%	90%	90%	10 Warfes	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
80%					100%	WOOL	MOOT	13.86000	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Qualified	Openited/Disqueller	Romarks



National Engineering Services 100% 100%	35 Aven Vision 100%	14 IDG Pvr. Ltd 20%	t3 Shahzad Associates 40% 50%	12 Turpak International Pyt. Ltd 100% 50%	Management & Development 11 Center (MDC) & SS&A 40% 40% 40%	10 RCC Consultants 40% 40%	
375.8	17%	17%	88	92%	82%	92%	
100%	60%	60%	90%	70%	90%	90%	
100%			53%	53%			
Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	Qualified	Qualified	Disqualified	Disqualified	-



MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOIs AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR NEED VERIFICATION & DESIGN SERVICES FOR SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

s.no.	NAME OF THE FIRMS	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
2	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)	QUALIFIED
3	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
5	Shahzad Associates	QUALIFIED
6	National Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd.	QUALIFIED
7	(JV) ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	QUALIFIED
8	Dr. Alvi & Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)	DISQUALIFIED
10	A.A.Associates	DISQUALIFIED
11	Surti & Partners	DISQUALIFIED

1 de 10/15

1 20 1 20 1 20 15

Poli115

onsultants Group	DISQUALIFIED
CC Consultants	DISQUALIFIED
anagement & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	DISQUALIFIED
G Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
rch Vision Consulting Engineers	DISQUALIFIED
smani & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
I-Hussaini & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
onsult-Tech International	NON-RESPONSIVE
arc - s	C Consultants nagement & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International Pvt. Ltd Ch Vision Consulting Engineers mani & Company Hussaini & Company

1/2/1/2015 ANGCAMMAL DENNIST