## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi.
2. Tender Reference No: CED/01/2011/12
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Solvent room
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage-One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: 5090/2011 on $9^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011, Daily Nawai Waqt on $10^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011

Print \& Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. \& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: $\underline{05}$
7. Total Bids Received: $\underline{03}$
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): $\underline{N / A}$
10. $\operatorname{Bid}(\mathrm{s})$ Rejected: $\underline{0}$
11. Financial Bid Opening date: $\underline{27^{\text {th }} \text { Aug, } 2011}$

## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| $\boldsymbol{S}$ No | Name of <br> Firm or <br> Bidder | Cost offered by <br> the Bidder | Ranking in <br> terms of cost | Comparison <br> with <br> Estimated <br> cost | Reasons for <br> acceptance/ <br> rejection | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 1. | M/s Pace <br> Group. | $5,713,741.75$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ Lowest | $5.55 \%$ below | Recommended | Accepted |
| 2. | M/s S. <br> Shakeel <br> Ahmed | $5,753,321.65$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Lowest | $4.89 \%$ below | Not <br> recommended <br> due to higher <br> cost | Not <br> Accepted |
| 3. | M/s Hussain <br> Construction <br> Co. | $6,161,293.13$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Lowest | $1.85 \%$ above | Not <br> recommended <br> due to higher <br> cost | Not |

## Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi.
2. Tender Reference No: CED/01/2011/12
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction Walkway cum road in the institute
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage-One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: 5090/2011 on $9^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011, Daily NawaiWaqt on $10^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011

Print \& Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. \& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: $\underline{06}$
7. Total Bids Received: $\underline{05}$
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): $\underline{N / A}$
10. $\operatorname{Bid}(\mathrm{s})$ Rejected: $\underline{0}$
11. Financial Bid Opening date: $27^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011

## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S No | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/ rejection | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1. | M/s Pace Group | 2,790,938.85 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Lowest | 12.37\% below | Technically qualified with lowest cost | Accepted |
| 2. | M/s <br> Rehman <br> Constructio <br> n Co. | 2,819,289.01 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Lowest | 11.48\% below | Technically qualified but higher cost | Not Accepted |
| 3 | M/s <br> Hussain <br> Constructio <br> n Co. | 3,275,900.72 | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Lowest | 2.86\% above | Technically qualified but higher cost | Not Accepted |
| 4 | M/s <br> Shakeel <br> Ahmed | 3,472,924.28 | $4^{\text {th }}$ Lowest | 9.05\% above | Technically qualified but higher cost | Not Accepted |
| 5 | M/s Altaf Hussain Jatoi \& Co. | 10,041,750.88 | $5^{\text {th }}$ Lowest | $215.31 \%$ <br> above | Technically qualified but higher cost | Not Accepted |

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.


Consultant


1

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi.
2. Tender Reference No: CED/01/2011/12
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Industrial Linkages Technology Park and Technology Incubator
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage-One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: 5090/2011 on $9^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011, Daily Nawai Waqt on $10^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011 Print \& Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. \& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: $\underline{08}$
7. Total Bids Received: $\underline{03}$
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): $\quad N / A$
10. $\operatorname{Bid}(\mathrm{s})$ Rejected: $\underline{0}$
11. Financial Bid Opening date: $27^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S No | Name of <br> Firm or <br> Bidder | Cost offered by <br> the Bidder | Ranking in <br> terms of cost | Comparison <br> with <br> Estimated <br> cost | Reasons for <br> acceptance/ <br> rejection | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| 1. | M/s Agha <br> Gul \& Co. | $125,529,688.53$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ Lowest | $11.39 \%$ <br> below | Recommended | Accepted |
| 2. | M/s Wiqar <br> Associates | $132,235,465.23$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Lowest | $6.66 \%$ below | Not <br> recommended <br> due to higher <br> cost | Accepted |
| 3. | M/s Shams <br> \& Zain Meo <br> Rajput <br> Construction <br> Co. | $133,923,950.34$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Lowest | $5.47 \%$ above | Not <br> recommended <br> due to higher <br> cost | Accepted |

## Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: International Center for Chemical and Biological Sciences, University of Karachi.
2. Tender Reference No: CED/01/2011/12
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Road
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage-One Envelope Procedure
5. Tender Published: $5090 / 2011$ on $9^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Aug}, 2011$. Daily NawaiWaqt on $10^{\text {th }} \mathrm{Aug}, 2011$ Print \& Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. \& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: $\underline{07}$
7. Total Bids Received: $\underline{06}$
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): $\quad \underline{N} / A$
10. $\operatorname{Bid}(\mathrm{s})$ Rejected: $\quad \underline{0}$
11. Financial Bid Opening date: $27^{\text {th }}$ Aug, 2011

## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S No | Name of <br> Firm or <br> Bidder | Cost offered by <br> the Bidder | Ranking in <br> terms of <br> cost | Comparison <br> with <br> Estimated <br> cost | Reasons for <br> acceptance/ <br> rejection | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |  | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| 1. | M/s Pace <br> Group | $5,231,082.37$ | $1^{\text {st }}$ Lowest | $11.42 \%$ below | Technically <br> qualified with <br> lowest cost | Accepted |
| 2. | M/s Rehman <br> Construction <br> Co. | $5,294,528.50$ | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Lowest | $10.35 \%$ below | Technically <br> qualified but <br> higher cost | Not <br> Accepted |
| 3 | M/s Altaf <br> Hussain Jatoi <br> \& Co. | $5,570,748.33$ | $3^{\text {tr }}$ Lowest | $5.67 \%$ above | Technically <br> qualified but <br> higher cost | Not <br> Accepted |
| 4 | M/s Hussain <br> Construction <br> Co. | $6,298,250.16$ | $4^{\text {th }}$ Lowest | $6.65 \%$ above | Technically <br> qualified but <br> higher cost | Not <br> Accepted |
| 5 | M/s Shakeel <br> Ahmed | $6,683,786.95$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ Lowest | $13.17 \%$ above | Technically <br> qualified but <br> higher cost | Not <br> Accepted |
| 6 | M/s New <br> Crown Const <br> co. | $6,767,693.71$ | $6^{\text {th }}$ Lowest | $14.59 \%$ above | Technically <br> qualified but <br> higher cost | Not <br> Accepted |

## Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



