

(1)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF
PAKISTAN NATIONAL BOXING CLUB
I/C BOXING RING PEOPLES SPORTS
COMPLEX (CDGK) MARIPUR ROAD
KARACHI |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | 1 |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Pakistan National Boxing Club |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | WITHIN RS. 2.495(M) |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | Schedule Rate + Offer Rate |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | 2 MONTH |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M. |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | 03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B" |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 NOS. |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 NOS. |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C" |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | M/S. Niaz Muhammad C.C |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | ----- |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 2,268,300/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost _____

(ii) QCBS _____

(iii) QBC _____

(iv) CQS _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of

Authorized Officer


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.


(2)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF PAKISTAN NATIONAL BOXING CLUB I/C BOXING RING PEOPLES SPORTS COMPLEX (CDGK) MARIPUR ROAD KARACHI
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
Print 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Niaz Muhammad	2,268,300/-	1 st lowest	18.95 % Above		
2.	M/S S.M Builders	4,189,073/-	2 nd lowest	86 % Above		
3.	M/S New Asif Enterprises	4,360,909/-	3 rd lowest	90 % Above		
4.						


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package
Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(2)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF
AZAD BALOCH BOXING CLUB I/C
BOXING RING GABOL PARK LYARI
TOWN KARACHI |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | 2
Azas Baloch Boxing Club |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | WITHIN RS. 0.680(M) |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | Schedule Rate + Offer Rate |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | 2 MONTH |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M. |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | 03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B" |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 NOS. |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 NOS. |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C" |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/S. Ahmed & Co |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | ----- |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 345,069/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS



PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).
- | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| COPY ENCLOSED | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).
- | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| COPY ENCLOSED | | | |
33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).
- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)
- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

2

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Azad Baloch Boxing Club
i/c Boxing Ring Gabol Park Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: 1st at 26/09/2011 1D 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ahmed & Co.	345,068/-	1 st lowest	45.50 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	381,235/-	2 nd lowest	56 % Above		
3.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	379,757/-	3 rd lowest	67 % Above		
4.						


Executive Engineer, Lyari Development Package
Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1. NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT
3. TITLE OF CONTRACT REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF
LYARI PROJECT BOXING CLUB I/C
BOXING RING MOLVI USMAN PARK
LYARI KARACHI
4. TENDER NUMBER 3
5. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Lyari Project Boxing Club
6. FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMENT
7. TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE WITHIN RS. 2.779(M)
8. ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) Schedule Rate + Offer Rate
9. ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) 2 MONTH
10. TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.
11. NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). 03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"
12. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
13. NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. 03 NOS.
14. BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"
15. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. M/S. New Asif Enterprises
16. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING -----

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 2,757,881/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

(B)
(5/11)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Lyari Project Boxing Club
i/c Boxing Ring Molvi Usman Park Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Ughat 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S New Asif Enterprises	2,757,881/-	1 st lowest	19 % Above		
2.	M/S B.A.R Builders	4,569,071/-	2 nd lowest	90 % Above		
3.	M/S Niaz Muhammad	N/Q	3 rd lowest	N/Q		
4.						

Executive Engineer Signatures of the Members of the Committee.
Lyari Development Package

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

1.	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT.	LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2.	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
3.	TITLE OF CONTRACT	REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF MUSLIM AZAD BOXING CLUB I/C BOXING RING KAKRI GROUND & ELITE BOXING CLUB AND BODY BUILDING CLUB LYARI KARACHI
4.	TENDER NUMBER	4
5.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Muslim Azad & Elite Boxing Club
6.	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
7.	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	WITHIN RS. 4.416(M)
8.	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (Attach list of buyers)	Schedule Rate + Offer Rate
9.	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	2 MONTH
10.	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.
11.	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD (Attach list of buyers).	03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"
12.	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 NOS.
13.	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	03 NOS.
14.	BID EVALUATION REPORT (enclose copy).	ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"
15.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER.	M/S. New Asif Enterprises
16.	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING	-----

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 4,380,408/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | |
26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).
- | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| N/A | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).
- | | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| COPY ENCLOSED | | | |
|---------------|--|--|--|
31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
- | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|-----|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|
32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).
- | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------------|----|--------------------------|
| Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| COPY ENCLOSED | | | |
33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).
- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)
- | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------|--|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

W

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Muslim Azad Boxing Club
i/c Boxing Ring Kakri Ground & Elite Boxing Club and Body Building Club Lyari Karachi.
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Ibrat 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S New Asif Enterprises	4,380,408/-	1 st lowest	19.50 % Above		
2.	M/S S.M Builders	5,983,698/-	2 nd lowest	95 % Above		
3.	M/S Mubarak Sons	N/Q	3 rd lowest	N/Q		
4.						

Executive Engineer Signatures of the Members of the Committee.
Lyari Development Package

(5)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF
LYARI LABOUR WELFARE CENTER
BOXING CLUB I/C BOXING RING
BALOCH CHOWK CHAKIWARA
LYARI KARACHI |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | 5 |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Lyari Labour Welfare Club |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | WITHIN RS. 2.499(M) |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | Schedule Rate + Offer Rate |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | 2 MONTH |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M. |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | 04 NOS. ATT ACHED AS ANNEX "B" |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 04 NOS. |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 04 NOS. |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C" |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | M/S. M.H Khan Brother |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | ----- |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 2,036,175/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

- a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
- b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
- c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.
- d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure
- e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS



PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.




GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Lyari Labour Welfare Center Boxing Club i/c Boxing Ring Baloch Chowk Chakiwara Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Pbsat 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011.
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 04
7. Total Bids Received: 04
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S M.H Khan Brother	1,958,175/-	1 st lowest	14.99 % Above		
2.	M/S Akbar & Ali	4,192,237/-	2 nd lowest	80 % Above		
3.	M/S B.A.R Builders	4,289,838/-	3 rd lowest	84.75 % Above		
4.	M/S Mubarak Sons	5,086,365/-	4th lowest	86 % Above		


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package
Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(C)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | <u>LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE</u> |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | <u>REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF R.C.D</u>
<u>BOXING CLUB OLD K.M.C</u>
<u>WORKSHOP NISHTER ROAD</u>
<u>KARACHI</u> |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | <u>6</u>
<u>R.C.D Boxing Club</u> |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | <u>WITHIN RS. 1.747(M)</u> |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | <u>Schedule Rate + Offer Rate</u> |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | <u>2 MONTH</u> |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | <u>13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.</u> |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | <u>04 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"</u> |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | <u>04 NOS.</u> |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | <u>04 NOS.</u> |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | <u>ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"</u> |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | <u>M/S. M.H Khan Brother</u>
<u>-----</u> |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | <u>-----</u> |



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

(6)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of R.C.D Boxing Club Old K.M.C Workshop Nishter Road Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Start 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 04
7. Total Bids Received: 04
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S M.H Khan Brother	1,715,078/-	1 st lowest	14.99 % Above		
2.	M/S S.M Builders	2,988,541/-	2 nd lowest	80 % Above		
3.	M/S Niaz Muhammad	3,069,508/-	3 rd lowest	85 % Above		
4.	M/S New Asif Enterprises	3,186,976/-	4th lowest	92 % Above		


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

2

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1.	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT.	LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2.	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
3.	TITLE OF CONTRACT	REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF THE YOUNG LYARI BOXING CLUB HAJI PIR MUHAMMAD ROAD LYARI KARACHI
4.	TENDER NUMBER	7 The Young Lyari Boxing Club
5.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	
6.	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	LOCAL GOVERNMENT
7.	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	WITHIN RS. 1.268(M)
8.	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (Attach list of buyers)	Schedule Rate + Offer Rate
9.	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	2 MONTH
10.	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.
11.	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD (Attach list of buyers).	04 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"
12.	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	04 NOS.
13.	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	04 NOS.
14.	BID EVALUATION REPORT (enclose copy).	ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"
15.	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER.	M/S. Ubaid Enterprises
16.	DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING	-----

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 1,724,798/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 1,128,270/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

Q

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of The Young Lyari Boxing Club Haji Pir Muhammad Road Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: 26/09/2011 10 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 04
7. Total Bids Received: 04
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ubaid Enterprises	1,128,271/-	1 st lowest	7 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahmed & Co.	1,721,665/-	2 nd lowest	48.49 % Above		
3.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	1,869,297/-	3 rd lowest	59 % Above		
4.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	1,866,372/-	4th lowest	61 % Above		

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package
Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

8

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1. NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
- 2. PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT
- 3. TITLE OF CONTRACT REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF PAK
SHAHEEN BOXING CLUB JAMA
BALOCH ROAD KALRI KARACHI
- 4. TENDER NUMBER 8
- 5. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Pak Shaheen Boxing Club
- 6. FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMENT
- 7. TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE WITHIN RS. 2.039(M)
- 8. ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (Attach list of buyers) Schedule Rate + Offer Rate
- 9. ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 2 MONTH
- 10. TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.
- 11. NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"
- 12. NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13. NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. 03 NOS.
- 14. BID EVALUATION REPORT (enclose copy) ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"
- 15. NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. M/S. United Contractor
- 16. DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING -----

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS. 2,016,504/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT. 1ST LOWEST
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost /

(ii) QCBS /

(iii) QBC /

(iv) CQS /

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT. PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of

Authorized Officer


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

2

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Pak Shaheen Boxing club
Jama Baloch Road Kalri Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: about 26/9/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S United Contractor	2,016,504/-	1 st lowest	19.25 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	2,807,235/-	2 nd lowest	50 % Above		
3.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	2,810,295/-	3 rd lowest	49.50 % Above		


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(A)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-----|--|---|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | <u>LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE</u> |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | <u>REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF</u>
<u>YOUNG BALOCH BOXING CLUB</u>
<u>SHERAK LANE KALAKOT LYARI</u>
<u>KARACHI</u> |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | <u>9</u>
<u>Young Baloch Boxing Club</u> |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | <u>WITHIN RS. 1.257(M)</u> |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | <u>Schedule Rate + Offer Rate</u> |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | <u>2 MONTH</u> |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | <u>13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.</u> |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | <u>03 NOS. ATT ACHED AS ANNEX "B"</u> |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | <u>ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"</u> |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | <u>M/S. United Contractor</u>
<u>-----</u> |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | <u>-----</u> |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 1,205,854/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS



PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes



No



22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local



Int.



24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Young Baloch Boxing Club Sherak Lane Kalakot Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Ibstat 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011.
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S United Contractor	1,205,854/-	1 st lowest	19 % Above		
2.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	1,628,135/-	2 nd lowest	50 % Above		
3.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	1,639,629/-	3 rd lowest	49 % Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

(10)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF
GREEN FALG BOXING CLUB USMAN
BROHI ROAD SINGOLANE LYARI
KARACHI |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | 10
Green Flag Boxing Club |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | WITHIN RS. 2.037(M) |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | Schedule Rate + Offer Rate |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | 2 MONTH |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M. |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | 03 NOS. ATT ACHED AS ANNEX "B" |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 NOS. |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 NOS. |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C" |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | M/S. Mubarak Sons
----- |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 1,938,734/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD
OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS
INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT
PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.



16

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Green Flag Boxing Club
Usman Brohi Road Singolane Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Start 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Mubarak Sons	1,938,734/-	1 st lowest	19.25 % Above		
2.	M/S B.A.R Builders	3,121,223/-	2 nd lowest	81 % Above		
3.	M/S Akbar & Ali	3,135,754/-	3 rd lowest	81.5 % Above		

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(11)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF AL-
FAIZ BOXING CLUB SINGOLANE

LYARI KARACHI |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | 11 |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Al Faiz Boxing Club |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | LOCAL GOVERNMENT |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | WITHIN RS. 1.022(M) |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | Schedule Rate + Offer Rate |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | 2 MONTH |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M. |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | 03 NOS. ATT ACHED AS ANNEX "B" |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 NOS. |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 NOS. |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C" |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | M/S. United Contractor |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | ----- |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 1,016,601/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost

(ii) QCBS

(iii) QBC

(iv) CQS

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

(1)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Al-Faiz Boxing Club
Singolane Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
Print 26/09/2011. ID 5408/2011
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S United Contractor	1,016,601/-	1 st lowest	19.50 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	1,374,221/-	2 nd lowest	50 % Above		
3.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	1,375,142/-	3 rd lowest	52 % Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | <u>LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE</u> |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | <u>REPAIRING & RENOVATION OF</u>
<u>ZAHID HUSSAIN SHAHEED BOXING</u>
<u>CLUB BAGHDADI SAIFI BAMBASA</u>
<u>STREET LYARI KARACHI</u> |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | <u>12</u>
<u>Zahid Hussain Boxing Club</u> |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | <u>WITHIN RS. 0.812(M)</u> |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | <u>Schedule Rate + Offer Rate</u> |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | <u>2 MONTH</u> |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | <u>13-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.</u> |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | <u>03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"</u> |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | <u>ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"</u> |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | <u>M/S. Ahmed & Co</u> |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | <u>-----</u> |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS. 799,361/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT. (i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid). 1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure

b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure

c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.

d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure

e) In case of Consultancy:

(i) Least Cost /

(ii) QCBS /

(iii) QBC /

(iv) CQS /

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT. PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011
Yes	26-09-2011 Tender ID: 3398/2011

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Zahid Hussain Shaheed Boxing Club Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Istak 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ahmed & Co	799,361/-	1 st lowest	19.75 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	1,137,951/-	2 nd lowest	59 % Above		
3.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	1,142,532/-	3 rd lowest	65 % Above		


Signatures of the Members of the Committee.
Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A			
-----	--	--	--

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED			
---------------	--	--	--

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of

Authorized Officer


Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
2. Tender Reference No: 3398/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repairing & Renovation of Zahid Hussain Shaheed Boxing Club Lyari Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE
5. Tender Published: Isbat 26/09/2011 ID 5408/2011
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 13-10-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ahmed & Co	799,361/-	1 st lowest	19.75 % Above		
2.	M/S Ahtesham Builders	1,137,951/-	2 nd lowest	59 % Above		
3.	M/S Pearl Engg & Trading	1,142,532/-	3 rd lowest	65 % Above		


Signatures of the Members of the Committee.
Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package