

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS
OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- | | | |
|-----|--|--|
| 1. | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | <u>LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE</u> |
| 2. | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 3. | TITLE OF CONTRACT | <u>RENOVATION & IMPROVEMENT OF</u>
<u>FOOTBALL HOUSE AT LYARI</u>
<u>KARACHI</u> |
| 4. | TENDER NUMBER | <u>13</u> |
| 5. | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | <u>FOOTBALL HOUSE</u> |
| 6. | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | <u>LOCAL GOVERNMENT</u> |
| 7. | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | <u>WITHIN RS. 2.806(M)</u> |
| 8. | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(Attach list of buyers) | <u>Schedule Rate + Offer Rate</u> |
| 9. | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) | <u>2 MONTH</u> |
| 10. | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | <u>17-10-2011 AT 12:00 P.M.</u> |
| 11. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENT SOLD
(Attach list of buyers). | <u>03 NOS. ATTACHED AS ANNEX "B"</u> |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 13. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | <u>03 NOS.</u> |
| 14. | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(enclose copy). | <u>ATTACHED AS ANNEXURE "C"</u> |
| 15. | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE
SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. | <u>M/S. S.M Builders</u> |
| 16. | DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING | <u>-----</u> |

17. CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RS. 2,801,727/-

18. RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT.
(i.e. 1st, 2nd , 3rd Evaluation Bid).

1ST LOWEST

19. METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

- a) Single Stage One Envelope Procedure
- b) Single Stage Two Envelope Procedure
- c) Two Stage Bidding Procedures.
- d) Two Stage Two Envelope Bidding Procedure
- e) In case of Consultancy:

- (i) Least Cost _____
- (ii) QCBS _____
- (iii) QBC _____
- (iv) CQS _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20. APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT.

PROJECT DIRECTOR

21. WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

22. ADVERTISEMENT:

- i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)
- ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	29-09-2011 Tender ID: 3448/2011
Yes	29-09-2011 Tender ID: 3448/2011

23. NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local Int.

24. WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENT? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25. WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA BID WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

26. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

N/A

27. WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

28. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

29. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUTED BID/BEST EVALUTED BID (in case of Consultancies).

N/A

30. WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED? (If yes, enclose a copy).

COPY ENCLOSED

31. WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICE WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

32. WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report).

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
COPY ENCLOSED			

33. WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF SPPRA/OTHER APPLICABLE RULES (If yes, give reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT. (If yes, give details).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

37. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

38. WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILED TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, OF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

N/A

40. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

N/A

41. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY.
(If yes, give Brief Description).

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of



Authorized Officer

Executive Engineer
Lyari Development Package

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

SPPRA, Block-No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356, 021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE
Old District Council Hall, Sir Shah Suleman Road, Karachi.

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: LYARI DEVELOPMENT PACKAGE ✓
2. Tender Reference No: 3448/2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation & Improvement of Football House at Lyari ✓
Town Karachi
4. Method of Procurement: SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOP PROCEDURE ✓
5. Tender Published: Jan baz 29/09/2011 10.5454/2011 ✓
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03
7. Total Bids Received: 03
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____
10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 17-10-2011 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S/No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost-offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S S.M Builders	2,801,727/-	1 st lowest	19.30 % Above		
2.	M/S Niaz Muhammad	3,392,826/-	2 nd lowest	35.50 % Above		
3.	M/S Akbar & Ali	3,489,799/-	3 rd lowest	38 % Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.