



No. RSU /SERP-II/ SID-TOP/PMIU/2015/  
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH  
EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT  
Karachi Dated: April 29, 2015

Managing Director,  
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,  
SPPRA, Government of Sindh,  
Karachi

SUBJECT: NIT No. EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014

The undersigned is directed to submit the Bid Evaluation Report including the following documents under procurement of Consulting Services FOR Project Management and Construction Supervision Services for School Infrastructure Development in Sindh Province

- Minutes of Meeting for Technical Evaluation of Proposal and the meeting attendance sheet (original copy enclosed)
- Duly signed cumulative Technical Scoring Sheet by Procurement Committee (original copy enclosed)
- Minutes of Meeting for Financial Opening and Finalization of Evaluation (Technical and Financial) with meeting attendance sheet (original copy enclosed)
- Duly signed cumulative Technical and Financial Scoring Sheet by Procurement Committee (original copy enclosed)
- Individual Technical Assessment Sheets duly signed by the members (original copy enclosed)


You are requested to Hoist the same at your website (Hard and Soft Copies are enclosed)

SPPRA INWARD DIARY

NO: 16328

DATED: 04-05-15

*Pr: examine & po.*  
*MRCF*  
*29/5*

  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR (C-III.)  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT  
Government of Sindh, Karachi

Copy forwarded for information to:-

- The Secretary, Education & Literacy Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi
- The Additional Secretary (PDF)/Project Director-PMIU, Education & Literacy Department

# **BID EVALUATION REPORT**

NIT No. EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014

FOR HIRING

CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION  
SUPERVISION SERVICES FOR SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN  
SINDH PROVINCE

BY

Project Director,

PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION UNIT (PMIU)

School Infrastructure Development under (SERP-II)  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Old KDA Building Sindh Secretariat,  
Education and Literacy Department, Sindh Karachi

April 29, 2015

## **INTRODUCTION:**

The process of Evaluation for Hiring of Consulting Services for Project Management and Construction Supervision Services for School Infrastructure Development in Sindh Province has been completed.

The following documents are the part of Bid Evaluation Report (BER)

- Minutes of Meeting for Technical Evaluation of Proposal and the meeting attendance sheet
- Duly signed cumulative Technical Scoring Sheet by Procurement Committee
- Minutes of Meeting for Financial Opening and Finalization of Evaluation (Technical and Financial) with meeting attendance sheet
- Duly signed cumulative Technical and Financial Scoring Sheet by Procurement Committee
- Individual Technical Assessment Sheets duly signed by the members

**Minutes of Meeting for Technical Evaluation of Proposal**

03/26



**MINUTES OF MEETING ON TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES FOR  
SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH PROVINCE**

Date: April 20, 2015

A meeting was called by the Consultant Selection Committee for finalization of technical evaluation of proposals/bids for above said consultancy services on April 20, 2015 at 12:00 PM at Committee room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Old KDA Building, Sindh Secretariat, Karachi. The following participants attended the meeting

**Participants:**

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddiqui – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer (Edu), Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

**Minutes of Meeting**

- Project Management and Implementation Unit (PMIU), Education & Literacy issued letter of Invitation and Request for Proposal document for above cited consultancy service to the following consultant firms vide Letter No. RSU /SERP-II/ SID-TOP/PMIU/2015/05 dated Feb 09, 2015. The deadline for submission of Proposals/bids was Feb 27, 2015 on or before 3:00 PM
  1. M/s EA Consulting Pvt Ltd
  2. M/s Umar Munshi Associates
  3. M/s Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)
  4. M/s NAA Consulting Engineers (PVT.) Ltd
- Out of above 04 shortlisted firms, the following firms submitted the proposals on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2015 at 3:00 PM and consultant EA and ECIL participated in Joint venture. The proposals were opened in the presence of Consultant Selection Committee and

*Rehan*



NIT. No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

representatives of firms at 04:00 PM in the committee room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department;

1. M/s EA Consulting Pvt Ltd & Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (JV)
2. M/s Umar Munshi Associates
3. M/s NAA Consulting Engineers (PVT.) Ltd

- The proposals received were distributed amongst the members on 27<sup>th</sup> February for evaluation and members evaluated the proposal based on the set evaluation criteria and submitted duly signed individual assessment sheets to the Secretary/Member of the Consultant Selection Committee.
- Subsequent to this a cumulative assessment sheet was prepared during the meeting based on which the following marks and ranks were obtained by the firms. According to specified scoring criteria in RFP/bid document, the minimum scoring marks required to qualify is 70, therefore rank 1 & 2 firms were qualified technically for the consultancy services. The duly signed evaluation of each member along with cumulative assessment sheet is enclosed herewith for reference.

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Technical Score Out of 100	RANKS
1	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	71	2
2	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	75	1
3	Umer Munshi Associates	68	3

- The Consultant Selection Committee decided in the meeting to open the financial proposals of above 02 qualified consultant firms on April 21, 2015 at 4:00 PM and advised also to invite the representatives of all Technically Qualified firms to participate in the meeting.

*Heba*

**Duly signed cumulative Technical Scoring Sheet by  
Procurement Committee**

07/26



NIT. No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The Consultant Selection Committee further decided to share the Evaluation/Scoring sheets of qualified firms with SPPRA and complete all codal formalities.
- The meeting ended with vote of thanks to and from the chair.

Mr Taufique Shaikh,  
Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS

Mr Shakeel Ahmed,  
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV),  
Finance Department, GoS

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar  
Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Mr Mazhar Siddiqui,  
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy  
Department, GoS

Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch  
Additional Secretary – PDF  
(Education & Literacy Department)



TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)			Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region			
		20	30	15	5	30	100	
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	22	7	0	30	71	2
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	19	10	5	25	75	1
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	18	5	2	27	68	3

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION CONSULTANCY FIRMS

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Criteria	Specific Experience	Adequacy of the proposed Methodology in response to the TOR's			Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)					Total Wt. Score
				Technical Approach & Methodology	Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region	a) Project Manager	b) Procurement/Contract Engineer	c) Environment/Engineer at Engineer	d) Resident Engineers (02 Nos.)	e) Material Engineer	
		Marks	20	30	15	5	10	5	5	5	5	100
		Max. Score	20	Project Execution & Quality Assurance								
		Wt. Score obtained	12	10	7	0	10	5	5	5	5	
		Total Wt. Score obtained	12	22	7	0	10	5	5	5	5	
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL (JV)		In last 05 years the firm executed 03 nos. of projects of similar nature.	The consultant will emphasize over Quality Control and Quality Assurance procedures in its proposed methodology. Consultant presented 04 steps Quality Assurance procedure which start from Pre-Activity assessment to Post Quality Audit of Activity performed and that must comply with specified standards. Consultant also discussed the need of Quality Compliance Manual which includes SOP's of construction procedures. But the consultant didn't highlight quality issues region wise in its methodology based upon his vast experience in engineering field.	The consultant prepared generalize work plan based upon its methodology. specific regional work plan as required not discuss in its methodology. Beside that consultant didn't realize that the 06 months time for 1st year already been passed and didn't recoup the same in its proposal.	Consultant considered only the Key staff as proposed in the assignment didn't share any Organizational chart to reflect the utilization of team with reporting pattern and also didn't mention the total manmonths requirement.	Met the requirement, Masters & BE Civil = 30 years experience (regions covered)	Met the requirement, BE Civil + 25 years experience	Met the requirement, MS(Envr.) & BE (Civil) + 25 years of experience	Met the requirement, 1) ME & 15 years of BE exp. 2) BE civil & 14 years of experience	Met the requirement, MSc Geology, 43 years of experience	71
		Important Remarks & Consultant Comments Over TOR's										

*Shakeda D...*

*M...*

*Deh...*

09/26

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Adequacy of the proposed Methodology in response to the TOR's				Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)					Total Wt. Score		
		Criteria	Specific Experience	a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region	a) Project Manager	b) Procurement Contract Engineer	c) Resident Environment Engineer (02 Nos.)	d) Material Engineer			
		Marks	20	30	15	5	30						
				Project Execution & Quality Assurance									
		Max. Score	20	10	15	5	10	5	5	5	5	5	100
		Wt. Score obtained	16	5	10	5	10	5	5	5	5	0	
		Total Wt. Score obtained	16	19	10	5	25						
	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	Remarks	<p>The consultant understanding about the assignment is clear. The construction methodology and protocols and procedures to be followed during the construction are being discussed in details, all required aspects covered including the issues associated with Sindh regions but the mechanism of reviewing and recommending contractor payments along with recorded MB's wouldn't discuss in proposal</p> <p>The consultant emphasized over Quality Control and Quality Assurance procedures in its proposed methodology. consultant discuss quality issues and relevant procedures to ensure quality of construction in its proposal but what are the issues due to which the quality didn't achieve not discussed.</p> <p>The consultant has prepared very detail work plan, covering all regions. Consultant divide entire Sindh in to 02 zones, each zone headed by Resident Engineer along with ARE's (District base) &amp; Inspectors (Taluka base) for supervision. Total 03 RE proposed separate RE for Karachi. Details work activity describe in TECH-8</p> <p>The consultant considered all required staffs and also shared proposed Organization Chart as per the designed methodology. Total manmonths proposed in TECH-7 for 01 year is 765 manmonths.</p>	<p>Met the requirement, PHD (Civil) + 10 years of Experience</p> <p>Met the requirement, ME civil + 11 years experience</p> <p>Met the requirement, int. PHD, MS (Envr.) &amp; BE (Civil) + 55 years of experience</p> <p>Met the requirement, 1) BE civil &amp; 15 years of expir. 2) BE civil &amp; 14 years of years of experience.</p>	Unmet	75							
		Important Remarks & Consultant Comments Over TOR's	<p>1) Registration with PEC 2) Not Registered with SRB 3) Applied for All regions. (4) 1% security deduction from Running bill is proposed</p>										

*[Signature]*

*Tech*

*Mudini*

*T. Khan*

*Shahid Ahmad*

10/26

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Criteria	Specific Experience	Adequacy of the proposed Methodology in response to the TOR's				Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)					Total Wt. Score
				4) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region	a) Project Manager	b) Instrumental/Contract Engineer	c) Environment Engineers (02 Nos.)	d) Resident Engineer	e) Material Engineer		
		Marks	20	20	15	5	10	5	5	5	5	5	100
		Max. Score	20	10	15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
		Wt. Score obtained	16	6	5	2	10	5	5	5	2	2	
		Total Wt. Score obtained	16	15	5	2	10	5	5	5	2	2	
03	Umer Munshi Associates	Remarks	<p>The consultant given the priority to quality achievement in its methodology. Number of steps are being described at each level to confirm the quality and meet the desired standards. But in the proposed methodology consultant didn't discuss in details the construction quality issues in the past upon SEFP-1 project. The consultant only discussed general set of quality standards procedures which required more time to execute but we have fast track project we need innovative approach to overcome the quality issues.</p> <p>The firm understanding of the assignment is not very clear they split the assignment in 03 work heads, project management, capacity building and construction supervision and separately describe the methodology. In PM's services only general protocols were discussed and specific modalities didn't describe. Regarding project monitoring activity for progress, cost &amp; quality of construction no computer or web based technology is being proposed that how the monitoring would take place by proposed Project Call office (Karachi, Head office). The construction methodology based upon general practices not specific for assignment. The capacity building is considered for upgrading (SIPRA, ESMF knowledge among project stakeholder. The consultant also addressed past experience of SEFP-1 in its proposal, but the issues pertaining to construction are not being highlighted separately. Beside that the mechanism of contractor payments was not discussed in proposal (Recording of MBN).</p>	<p>The consultant work plan covered all regions. Consultant proposed Project Cell at head office who would monitor the entire work. Project inception report would be prepared first before the start of construction work. The consultant proposed team to cover all regions. It is insufficient, they proposed 01 ARE for 02 Districts with 01 Inspector/district which is below the required standard given in RFP docs.</p>	<p>The consultant has shared organization chart with reporting requirements. The manpower/staffing shown are not enough total 335 manmonths considered which is far below the requirement proposed in RFP.</p>	<p>Met the requirement, ME (Civil) + 27 years of Experience</p>	<p>Met the requirement, MBA, BE (Civ) +8 years of experience + 42 years of experience</p>	<p>Met the requirements (Enr) &amp; BE (Civil) + 42 years of experience</p>	<p>Partially Met the req. 1) BE civil &amp; 18 +37 years of experience</p>	<p>MSC (Geology).</p>	68		
		Important Remarks & Consultant Comments Over TOR's	<p>1) Registration with PEC 2) Not Registered with SRA. 3) Applied for All regions. (4) Few additional position proposed</p>										

*Shahid Ali Khan*

*Munish D. Khan*

*Umer Munshi*

11/26

**Minutes of Meeting for Financial Opening and Finalization  
of Evaluation (Technical and Financial) with meeting  
attendance sheet (original copy enclosed)**

1-2/26



**MINUTES OF MEETING FOR FINACIAL OPENING OF CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR  
PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION FOR SCHOOL  
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH PROVINCE**

Date: April 21, 2015

A meeting was called by the Consultant Selection Committee for financial opening of proposals/bids of qualified consultant firms for above cited consultancy services on April 21, 2015 at 4:00 PM at Committee room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Old KDA Building, Sindh Secretariat, Karachi. The following participants attended the meeting

**Participants:**

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddiqui – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer (Edu), Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

**Minutes of Meeting**

- Subsequent to the the technical evaluation meeting of Consultant Selection Committee which was held on April 20, 2015 (Minutes enclosed), the meeting for financial opening of technically qualified consultants was called on April 21, 2015 at 4:00 PM, the participants from following 02 qualified firms attended the meeting

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Technical Score Out of 100	RANKS
1	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	75	1
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	71	2

*Rehan*



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- In this meeting Consultant Selection Committee opened the financial proposals of aforementioned qualified firms in presence of their representatives, afterward the necessary financial analysis of proposals was done by the committee and according to cumulative scoring of both technical and financial bids, the following regional based ranks were assigned to qualified consultant firms.

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Financial Amounts					
		Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazerabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	97,387,750	120,525,750	72,570,750			72,570,750
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	36,915,000	44,677,500	29,152,500	33,637,500	29,152,500	29,152,500

S.No.	Name of the Firm	CUMMULATIVE RANKS					
		Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazerabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)
1	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	2	2	2	Not Applied	Not Applied	2
2	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	1	1	1	1	1	1

*Rehan*



- The detail assessment sheet of both technical & financial of aforementioned consultant firms is enclosed herewith for reference.
- The Consultant Selection Committee further decided to share the Evaluation/Scoring sheets of qualified firms with SPPRA and complete all codal formalities.
- The meeting ended with vote of thanks to and from the chair.

Mr Taufique Shaikh,  
Planning Officer, Planning and Development,  
GoS

Mr Shakeel Ahmed,  
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance  
Department, GoS

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar  
Senior Program Manager (RSU)

Mr Mazhar Siddique,  
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy  
Department, GoS

Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch  
Additional Secretary – PDF  
(Education & Literacy Department)





ATTENDANCE SHEET

Meeting of Procurement

Committee Regarding Financial Opening of Proposals/Bids of Consultant Firms for Project Management & Construction Supervision under SERP-II Program, Education & Literacy Department is held on 21-04-2015 at 4:00 PM under the Chairmanship of Additional Secretary (PDF)

S.No	NAME	DESIGNATION	DEPARTMENT	CONTACT	SIGNATURE
1	ZEHAN IBBAL BAKHT	AS PDF/PD	E + L Dept	-	Zehan
2	Mazhar Aji Siddiqui	Director (PDR)	Education and Literacy Dept		M. Aji Siddiqui 21/4/2015
3	Toufique Parikh	PO (Edn)	P.D LSI Dept	03009371125	Toufique Parikh 21/4/15
4	Haseeb Khan Toor	SPM - RBU	RBU	0300/9097542	Haseeb Khan Toor 21/4/15
5	Shakeel Ahmad Sy. Seeg.		Fin. Dept	99222150	Shakeel Ahmad Sy. Seeg. 21/4/15



**Duly signed cumulative Technical Scoring Sheet by  
Procurement Committee**

(TECHNICAL + FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT)



PROCUREMENT OF CONSULTANT FIRM FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION OF SCHOOL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

COMBINED TECHNICAL & FINANCIAL SCORES OF QUALIFIED CONSULTANT FIRMS AT REGIONS BASIS

SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	WT. Technical Score (80%)	WT. Financial Score (20%)						Total Cumulative Score					
			Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazirabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)	Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazirabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	56.8	7.58	7.41	8.03			8.03	64.38	64.21	64.83			64.83
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	60	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00	80.00

S.No.	Name of the Firm	CUMULATIVE RANKS					
		Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazirabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	2	2	2	NOT APPLIED	NOT APPLIED	2
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	1	1	1	1	1	1

*Shahid Ahmad*

*M. Khalid*

*Rehman*

*[Signature]*

FINANCIAL DETAILS OF QUALIFIED CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR REGIONS

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Financial Amounts						Financial Score "SF"					
		Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazerabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)	Region -I (Karachi)	Region -II (Hyd)	Region -III (Benazerabad)	Region -IV (Larkana)	Region -V (Sukkur)	Region -VI (Mirpurkhas)
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	97,387,750	120,525,750	72,570,750			72,570,750	37.91	37.07	40.17			40.17
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	36,915,000	44,677,500	29,152,500	33,637,500	29,152,500	29,152,500	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

36,915,000	44,677,500	29,152,500	33,637,500	29,152,500	29,152,500
------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Lowest Value (fm)

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

*Handwritten signature*

**Individual Technical Assessment Sheets duly signed by the  
members (original copy enclosed)**

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)			Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region			
		20	30	15	5	30	100	
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	20	7	0	30	69	2
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	19	10	5	25	75	1
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	16	5	2	27	66	3

Rehan

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)				Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region				
		20	30	15	5	30	100		
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	24	7	0	30	73	2	
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	18	10	5	25	74	1	
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	18	7	2	27	70	3	





TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)				Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region				
		20	30	15	5	30	100		
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	20	6	0	30	68	2	
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	19	7	5	25	72	1	
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	16	5	2	27	66	3	

17/10/26

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)			Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region			
		20	30	15	5	30	100	
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	22	8	0	30	72	2
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	21	10	5	25	77	1
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	18	6	2	27	69	3

*(Handwritten signature)*

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISOR CONSULTANCY FIRMS

TECHNICAL SCORE SUMMARY SHEET

S.No.	Name of the Firm	Specific Experience	Adequacy of Proposed Methodology and Work Plan (50 Marks)				Key Professional Staffing (Qualification & Competence for the Assignment)	Technical Scores	Rank
			a) Technical Approach & Methodology	b) Work Plan For Typical 01 Region	c) Organization & Staffing For Typical 01 Region				
		20	30	15	5	30	100		
01	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd & ECIL	12	22	9	0	30	73	2	
02	NAA Consulting Engineers Pvt. Ltd	16	19	12	5	25	77	1	
03	Umer Munshi Associates	16	18	6	2	27	69	3	

*Shakeel Ahmed*



**MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR PROJECT  
MANAGEMENT & CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES FOR SCHOOL  
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH PROVINCE**

**&**

**FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR SUPERVISION CONSULTANCY TO  
BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS**

Date: Jan 20, 2015

**Participants:**

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddique – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

**Minutes of Meeting**

- On 07<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The meeting was called to complete the Evaluation process for Shortlisted firms and to finalize the Request for Proposal.
- EOIs from 09 following firms were considered for evaluation.

1	Umar Munshi Associates
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)
5	Techno Consult International

6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd
7	National Engineering Corporation
8	Young Associates
9	Consultants Group

34

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
20/1/2015

*[Handwritten signature]*  
20/1/15



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOI-II/PRO/2014  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A.
- During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP. The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

S.NO	Name of Shortlisted Firms
1.	Umar Munshi Associates
2.	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd
3.	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.
4.	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)

- The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

  
20/1/15

Mr Taufique Shaikh,  
Planning Officer, Planning and  
Development, GoS

  
20/1/15


Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar  
Senior Program Manager (RSU)



Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch  
Additional Secretary – PDF  
(Education & Literacy Department)

  
20/1/15

Mr Shakeel Ahmed,  
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance  
Department, GoS

  
20/1/15

Mr Mazhar Siddique,  
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy  
Department, GoS

**MAIN SUMMARY**

**EVALUATION OF EOI'S AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR  
PROJECT MGT. AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES**

S.NO.	NAME OF THE FIRM	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	Umar Munshi Associates	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECL)	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	RR ASSOCIATES	NON-RESPONSIVE (REGISTERED AS CONSTRUCTOR)

✓  
20/11/15

✓  
20/11/15

✓  
20/11/15

✓  
20/11/15

20/11/15

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES



Disqualified

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector 20 Marks	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan) 20 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project 25 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully 10 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years 15 Marks	TOTAL 100 Marks
1	Umair Munshi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Nabeen  
20/11/15

N. Khan  
20/11/2015

Robert Ahmad  
20/11/15

Nabeen  
20/11/15

[Signature]

8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

*Nabeer*  
 20/11/15

*M. Anjum*  
 20/11/2015

*S. Akmal*  
 20/11/15

*M. Anjum*  
 20/11/15

*S. Akmal*  
 20/11/15





RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
		20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marks	15 Marks	10 Marks	
1	Umair Muneer Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	96%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd.	100%	40%	96%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Mus-Field Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Yasung Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

*Nick*  
20/11/15

*Dr. Faraz*  
20/11/15

*Shahid Ahmad*  
20/11/15

*Shahid Ahmad*  
20/11/15

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Mr. Mazhar Siddiqui

Disqualified

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years.	TOTAL
1	Umair Munsifi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NANA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

27.05.2015

B	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

*Dr. Saif*  
*20/11/2015*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPTRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth RS. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
1	Umar Manshi Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd	100%	40%	86%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

*11.08.2011/2015*

Disqualified

Mr. Shakeel Ahmed

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	TOTAL
1	Umar Mansuri Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	75	10	2	
6	Multi-Faced Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Shakeel Ahmed

6	Young Associates	20	12	30	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

*Shahid Ali*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	20 Marks	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	20 Marks	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	25 Marks	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	10 Marks	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	15 Marks	REMARKS
1	Umar Munshi Associates	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	79%	25 Marks	70%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	85%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	20 Marks	40%	20 Marks	86%	25 Marks	60%	10 Marks	53%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	20 Marks	80%	20 Marks	100%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks	100%	15 Marks	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%	20 Marks		20 Marks	71%	25 Marks	100%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executives Pvt. Ltd	40%	20 Marks		20 Marks	64%	25 Marks	60%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%	20 Marks		20 Marks		25 Marks	100%	10 Marks		15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	20 Marks	60%	20 Marks		25 Marks	90%	10 Marks	53%	15 Marks	DISQUALIFIED

*Handwritten signature*

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Disqualified  
M. Rehman 31/6/21 Bulech.

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SP/PRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	TOTAL
		20 Marks	20 Marks	25 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	
1	Umar Muneesh Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd.	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

*Rehman*



8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

*Rehan*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
		20 Marks	20 Marks	35 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	
1	Umar Munshi Associates	100%	80%	75%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAVA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd	100%	40%	85%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

*Nabees*

Disqualified

Mr. Taqiyue Chowdhury

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of Firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SP/PR, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	TOTAL
							20 Marks
1	Umair Munshi Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72
4	Engineering Consultans International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	20	16	35	10	15	96
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2	
6	Multi Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	5	0	
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0	

Umair Munshi

6	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	---

*Handwritten signature*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years.	REMARKS
1	Umair Murshid Associates	100%	80%	79%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	85%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	40%	85%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (E.C.I.)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Mudh Field Consultants & Exports Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

*Handwritten signature*

  
Disqualified

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION SUPERVISION SERVICES

Dr. Hamza

Khan Tahir

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	20 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks	
								Experiences of firm in Engineering Sector
1	Umair Munir Associates	20	16	27.5	7	15	85.5	
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	20	16	30	10	15	91	
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt.) Ltd	20	8	30	6	8	72	
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECL)	20	16	35	10	15	96	
5	Techno Consult International	20	4	25	10	2		
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	8	0	22.5	6	0		
7	National Engineering Corporation	20	0	0	10	0		
<b>TOTAL</b>								

  
S. I. R. B.

8	Young Associates	20	12	10	9	8	
---	------------------	----	----	----	---	---	--

  
20/11/13

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\*(Atleast 40% Scoring is required under each category to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services for Education buildings (Procurement Services as per SPPRA, Detailed Construction Supervision, Project Execution & Quality Assurance in Pakistan)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Project Management and Detailed Construction Supervision Work of Worth Rs. 100 million in last five years	REMARKS
1	Umair Munshi Associates	100%	80%	75%	70%	100%	QUALIFIED
2	EA Consulting Pvt. Ltd	100%	80%	86%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
3	NAA Consulting Engineers (Pvt) Ltd.	100%	40%	86%	60%	53%	QUALIFIED
4	Engineering Consultant International Pvt. Ltd (ECIL)	100%	80%	100%	100%	100%	QUALIFIED
5	Techno Consult International	100%		71%	100%		DISQUALIFIED
6	Multi-Field Consultants & Executants Pvt. Ltd	40%		64%	60%		DISQUALIFIED
7	National Engineering Corporation	100%			100%		DISQUALIFIED
8	Young Associates	100%	60%		90%	53%	DISQUALIFIED

*(Handwritten signature)*  
Sindh





**MINUTES OF MEETING FOR SHORTLISTING CONSULTANCY SERVICES FOR NEED VERIFICATION, SITE SPECIFIC SCHOOL ARCHITECTURE & STRUCTURE DESIGN (CALCULATION SHEETS), PREPARATION OF TOWN/TALUKA PACKAGES, ENGINEERING ESTIMATES & TENDER/BIDDING DOCUMENTS**

**&**

**FINALIZATION OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR DESIGN CONSULTANCY TO BE SHARED WITH THE SHORTLISTED FIRMS**

Date: Jan 20, 2015

**Participants:**

1. Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch – Additional Secretary (Education & Literacy Department)
2. Mr Mazhar Siddique – Director (PDR), Education & Literacy Department, GoS
3. Mr Taufique Shaikh, Planning Officer, Planning and Development, GoS
4. Mr Shakeel Ahmed, Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance Department, GoS
5. Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar – Senior Program Manager (RSU)

**Minutes of Meeting**

- On 07<sup>th</sup> January, 2015 the received Expression of Interest were opened for the above cited Consulting services by the Procurement Committee at the committee room, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Old KDA Building # 03 of Education and Literacy Department. The committee found that 07 consultancy firms participated for design consultancy and 12 firms participated for both Design and Supervision consultancies.
- In the eligibility criteria of the EOIs Design Consultancy published in Jung on Dec 21, 2014 it was clearly mentioned that the firm participating for this particular assignment will not be eligible for construction supervision consultancy of same project.
- The procurement committee directed to take consent from the participating firms whether to participate for the design or supervision services via email dated on 8<sup>th</sup> January, 2014.
- After the consent was received from the firms, EOIs for 19 firms were considered for evaluation.

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*  
24/1/2015

*[Signature]*

*[Signature]*  
20/1/2015

*[Signature]*  
20/1/15



- Out of 19 firms, the following 15 firms were qualified for further evaluation

1	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates
5	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)
6	A.A.Associates
7	Surti & Partners
8	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd

9	Consultants Group
10	RCC Consultants
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd
13	Shahzad Associates
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd
15	Arch Vision
16	NESPAK

- Out of 19 the following 03 firms were identified as non-response as the firms have not communicated to date which area (Design or Supervision) the firm is interested.

17	Osmani & Company
18	Al-Hussaini & Company
19	Consult-Tech International

- The procurement committee during the meeting deliberated and discussed the entire issue and finalized the evaluation process; the evaluation report is attached at Annexure A.
- During the meeting the RFP was discussed in detail and the committee approved the RFP.

24

20/11/2015

20/11/2015

20/11/2015

20/11/2015



No.EDU/PMIU/SERP-II/EOL-I/PRO/2014  
PMIU, EDUCATION & LITERACY DEPARTMENT

- The procurement committee has directed to share the Shortlisted Firms and RFP with SPPRA and after completing the codal formalities the RFPs shall be provided to all shortlisted firms details of which are as under.

S.NO	Name of Shortlisted Firms
1.	NESPAK
2.	ESS.I.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant
3.	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd
4.	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaumi Architect (JV's)
5.	Zaheeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd
6.	Turkpak International Pvt. Ltd
7.	Shahzad Associates

- The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

  
20/11/15


Mr Taufique Shaikh,  
Planning Officer, Planning and  
Development, GoS

  
20/11/15


Mr Shakeel Ahmed,  
Deputy Secretary (B&E-IV), Finance  
Department, GoS

  
20/11/15

Dr Hamzo Khan Tagar  
Senior Program Manager (RSU)

  
20/11/2015

Mr Mazhar Siddique,  
Director (PDR), Education & Literacy  
Department, GoS

  
Rehan

Mr Rehan Iqbal Baloch  
Additional Secretary – PDF  
(Education & Literacy Department)

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

*D. Hamza Khan*

Disqualified  
*Tagat*

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	TOTAL
		Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	
1	(MVT ESS) AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Aif Bejaumal Architect (MVS)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hakim Pakistan (MVs with Habib Fida Aif)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	22.5	6	0	
7	Suit & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

*Tagat*  
20/11/15

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	4	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IQD Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Acron Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

20/11/15

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	JMO ESS LMAH Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Aif Begum Auhilid (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Harb Fida Ali)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Saifi & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

  
Sd/-

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Ashraf Vaseer	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

  
20/11/15

MAIN SUMMARY

EVALUATION OF EOIS AND SELECTION OF CONSULTANT FIRMS FOR NEED VERIFICATION & DESIGN SERVICES FOR SCHOOL  
INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT IN SINDH

S.NO.	NAME OF THE FIRMS	QUALIFIED/DISQUALIFIED/NON-RESPONSIVE
1	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd.	QUALIFIED
2	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Belgaurri Architect (JV's)	QUALIFIED
3	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	QUALIFIED
4	Turpsak International Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
5	Shahzad Associates.	QUALIFIED
6	National Engineering Services Pvt. Ltd	QUALIFIED
7	(JV) ESS1.AAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	QUALIFIED
8	Dr. Alvi & Associates	DISQUALIFIED
9	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fida Ali)	DISQUALIFIED
10	A/A Associates	DISQUALIFIED
11	Surti & Partners	DISQUALIFIED

*Shahzad*  
20/11/15

*Shahzad*  
20/11/15

*Shahzad*  
20/11/15

*Shahzad*  
20/11/15



12	Consultants Group	DISQUALIFIED
13	RCC Consultants	DISQUALIFIED
14	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SS&A International	DISQUALIFIED
15	IDG Pvt. Ltd	DISQUALIFIED
16	Arch Vision Consulting Engineers	DISQUALIFIED
17	Osemani & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
18	Al-Hussaini & Company	NON-RESPONSIVE
19	Consult-Tech International	NON-RESPONSIVE

*Heber*  
20/11/15

*Q. Sani*  
20/11/2015

*S. H. Nadeem*  
20/11/15

*N. S. Khan*  
20/11/2015

*[Signature]*  
20/11/15

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified

S. NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
		20 Marks	25 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks
1	(JV) ESSLMAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/F Belgauri Architects (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hakrom Pakistan (JV with Habib Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Surti & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

Nabeen  
20/11/15

20/11/2015

Rakesh Chaudhary  
20/11/15

20/11/15

20/11/15

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	HCQ Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Tajpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shervani Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

*Nehar*  
20/11/15

*Q. Sult*  
20/11/2015

*J. Akbar*  
20/11/15

*M. S. Khan*  
20/11/15

*S. Ishaq*

• (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	Remarks
		Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	
1	JVI/ESS I/M/R Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MAM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Aft. Begum Architect (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Aze & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Halcrow Pakistan (JV's with Halcrow Fida A/C)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sun & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zareeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

Nabeel  
 20/11/15  
 D. Aft 20/11/2015  
 S. Kulkarni  
 20/11/15  
 M. J. J. J.  
 20/11/15  
 [Signature]

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSMA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDCI Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Auch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

*Ali Khan*  
20/11/15

*Dr. Saif*  
20/11/2015

*Arif Ahmad*  
20/11/15

*M. Shafiq*  
20/11/15

*S. Jilani*  
20/11/15









EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resources Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
		20 Marks	25 Marks	30 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	100 Marks
1	JVI ESS/AAE Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/H Baqarani Architect (Pvt) Ltd	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ahsan & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hafizwa Pakistan (Pvt)'s (with Hafeez Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Suif & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	20	15	25	5	12	78

Disqualified  
Mr. Muztar Siddiqui

*Handwritten signature and date: 20/11/2015*

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSMA International	6	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

*D. Khan 20/11/2015*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm	Experience in Required	Technical Capacity of	Administrative &	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage	Remarks
		In Engineering Sector	Services (Heed Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Human Resource Required for the project	Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	
		20 Marks	25 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	Qualified/Unqualified
1	JAY ESS E&AR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G J Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	NW Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Mr. Baqar Ali (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Aze & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Halcrow Pakistan JV's with Habib Fida Ali	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associate	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Surt & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

*D. Prof 20/11/2015*

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDCI & SSICA International)	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Sharada Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IDCI Pvt Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Avon Wisdom	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

*17. May 2011/2015*

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified  
Mr. Saad Ahmed

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	(JV) ESS LAAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arif Begum Architects (JVs)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Awi & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Haseem Pakistan (JV's with Haseem Fida Ali)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Surb & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

*Saheed Ahmed*

9	Consultants Group	9	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SCAA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Avon Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

*Shahzad Associates*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
		20 Marks	25 Marks	20 Marks	10 Marks	15 Marks	Qualified/Disqualified
1	JWO ESS LAAR Planning Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Air Belgium Architect (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Hazrat Pakistan (JV's with Harb Fida AU)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sunb & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zareeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

*Shahid Mahmood*

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDCI & SSKA International)	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
12	Shahzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IDG Pvt Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
10	Arch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

*S. Akbar Khan*



EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Mr. Farzique Sharikh

Disqualified

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents), of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	JW ESS / A&T Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A&T Bejaum Archtect (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ali & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Hellcow Pakistan (JV's with Head Office AU)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Sunil & Partners	20	0	6	10	0	
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	20	15	25	6	22	78

Handwritten signature and date: 20/11/11

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RCC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSAA Internasional	6	10	25	9	4	
12	Turjaya Internasional Pw. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Shahzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	ICG Pw. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arca Wahan	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pw. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
20/1/15

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	JVI ESS (A/R Planning Engineering & Services Consultant)	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	D 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Anr Baigam Architects (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Alvi & Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Hatchrow Pakistan (JV's with Habib Fozz Ali)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A.A. Associates	100%		92%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sunil & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zabeeruddin Consultants (Pvt) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
20/11/13

9	Consultants Group	40%		57%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSKA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shehzad Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	IGS PVT. LTD		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Ayub Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
20/11/2011

EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS PER ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA  
FOR NEED VERIFICATION AND DESIGN SERVICES

Disqualified  
Mr. Rehman Jeysof Baloch

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of firm In Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents) of Education Infrastructure projects	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	TOTAL
1	(JV) ESS/LAAR Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	20	25	22.5	9	15	91.5
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	20	25	25	9	15	94
3	M&A Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & A/F Belgaumi Archited (JV's)	20	12.5	30	9	15	86.5
4	Dr. Ali & Associates	20	0	25	9	0	
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Habitat Fida A/I)	20	15	25	9	2	
6	A.A. Associates	20	0	27.5	6	0	
7	Sunil & Partners	20	0	5	10	0	
8	Zaheruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	20	15	25	6	12	78

Rehman

9	Consultants Group	8	7.5	20	6	8	
10	RDC Consultants	8	10	27.5	9	4	
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSAA International	8	10	25	9	4	
12	Turpaz International Pvt. Ltd	20	15	27.5	7	8	77.5
13	Sherzad Associates	8	15	25	9	8	65
14	IDG Pvt. Ltd	2	5	5	6	2	
15	Arch Vision	20	0	5	6	2	
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPAK)	20	25	25	10	15	95

*Notes*

RELEVANT PERCENTAGE SCORING UNDER EACH CATEGORY

\* (Atleast 40% Scoring under each category is required to qualify)

S.NO.	Name of the Firm	Experience of Firm in Engineering Sector	Experience in Required Services (Need Verifications, Designing of Buildings & utilities, preparation of detailed engineering estimates, Tender documents)	Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project	Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully	Financial Capacity of the firm to manage Architecture & Design Works of Worth 100 million	Remarks
1	(JV) ESSILAMK Planning, Engineering & Services Consultant	100%	100%	75%	90%	100%	Qualified
2	G 3 Engineering Consultants Pvt. Ltd	100%	100%	83%	90%	100%	Qualified
3	MM Pakistan Pvt. Ltd & Arit Begawan Architects (JV's)	100%	50%	100%	90%	100%	Qualified
4	Dr. Amir X Associates	100%		83%	90%		Disqualified
5	Harcrow Pakistan (JV's with Harb. F&S AU)	100%	60%	83%	90%		Disqualified
6	A. A. Associates	100%		97%	60%		Disqualified
7	Sunil & Partners	100%			100%		Disqualified
8	Zameeruddin Consultants (Pvt.) Ltd	100%	60%	83%	60%	80%	Qualified

*Rehman*

9	Consultants Group	40%		67%	60%	53%	Disqualified
10	RCC Consultants	40%	40%	92%	90%		Disqualified
11	Management & Development Center (MDC) & SSSA International	40%	40%	83%	90%		Disqualified
12	Turpak International Pvt. Ltd	100%	60%	92%	70%	53%	Qualified
13	Shafiq Associates	40%	60%	83%	90%	53%	Qualified
14	EDG Pvt. Ltd		20%	17%	60%		Disqualified
15	Arch Vision	100%		17%	60%		Disqualified
16	National Engineering Services Pakistan Pvt. Ltd (NESPA)	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	Qualified

*16/10/20*