




BID EVALUATION REPORT .

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency | Mr. Ali Anwar Bhatti |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: | Recond: of road from approved road to Connect Gymkhana road length 580ft |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage one Envelope Procedure . |
| 5. Tender Published | Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold | 03 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received | 03 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) | N/A (Provide details in separate form) |
| 9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) | N/A |
| 10. Bid (S) Rejected: | 02 |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 12.11.2013 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report. | 1,136,622/- |

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Ali Anwar Bhatti	1,136,622/-	1 st Lowest	12.18% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Ashfaque Khan	1,186,861/-	2 nd Lowest	8.25% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Abdul Basit	1,190,056/-	3rd Lowest	8% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


 Municipal Engineer
 Municipal Committee
 Nawabshah


 Executive Engineer
 Highway Division
 Benazir Abad


 Project Director
 Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
 Taluka Nawabshah

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 167 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 13/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,
Mr. Ali Anwar Bhatti
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO CONNECT GYMKHANA
ROAD LENGTH 580 SFT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate/ Quoted Rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,36,622/- Eleven Lacs Thirty Six thousand Six Hundred Twenty two rupees @12.18%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

- 1.
- 2.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of road from approved road to Connect
Gymkhana road length 580ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.182 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.1658 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclnse a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1,136,622- |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | _____ |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Ali Anwar Bhatti
2.Mr.Ashfaqe Khan
3.Mr.Abdul Basit |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	No	
-----	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	15/5/21/3075
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
Project Director
Strengthening Road of Infrastructure

[Handwritten Initials]

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 168 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 13/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,
Mr. Zohaib Anwar
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED HIRA PUBLIC SCHOOL LENGTH 530FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-18,91,931/- Eighteen Lacs Ninety One thousand Nine Hundred Thirty One rupees @12.20%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director


Strengthen Plan of infrastructure


Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr.Zohaib Anwar ✓
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from Approved road Hira Public School length 530 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 1,891,931/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	1,891,931/-	1 st Lowest)	12.20% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mrlnamullah Shaikh	1,901,091/-	2 nd Lowest	11.77% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mohammad Hussain	1,917,495/-	3rd Lowest	11% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


 Municipal Engineer
 Municipal Committee
 Nawabshah


 Executive Engineer
 Highway Division
 Benazir Abad


 Project Director
 Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
 Taluka Nawabshah



COMPERATIVE STATEMENT

Date of issue 11.11.2013
Date of open 12.11.2013


Name of Work: Recond: of Road from approved road Hira Public School Length 530ft


Authority Estimate Sanctioned by Superintending Engineer Works & Services Snaheed Benazir Abad No.DB/G- Dated:


S.No.	Description	Estimate Cost	Mr.Zohaib Anwar Government Contractor		Mr.Inamullah Shaikh Government Contractor		Mr.Muhammad Hussain Government Contractor	
			Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount
1	Schedule-"B"	2,130,298		2,130,298		2,130,298		2,130,298
2	Add:2% Contingencies	42,606		--		--		--
	Rate Quoted By Conatrator		12.20% Below	259,896	11.77% Below	250,736	11 % Below	234,333
3	Diff: cost of Bitumen 6.59 Tons @Rs. 3267.00 P.Ton Rs.21,530	21,530		21,530		21,530		21,530
	Ded:12% Below	255,636		--		--		--
	Total	1,938,798		1,891,931		1,901,991		1,917,495

Certified that the entries have been correctly incorporated in the comparative statement as per rates and amount quoted in the individual tenders

The Lowest Rate of Mr.Zohaib Anwar Government Contractors of the estimate rates shown in the Schedule-B is hereby Recommended/approved


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Divisional Accounts Officer
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- 1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
- 2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial Government
- 3 TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of Road from approved road to Hira Public School length 530ft
- 4 TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
- 5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT
- 6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P
- 7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.099 Million
- 8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 1.853 Million
- 9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months
- 10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013
- 11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
- 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos:
- 14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy). Enclosed
- 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 1891931
18,08,422/-
- 16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE
- 17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1. Mr. Zohaib Anwar
2. Mr. Inamullah Shaikh
3. Mr. Muhammad Hussain
- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

[Handwritten Signature]

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Official Stamp]
Project Director
Structure

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 177 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 13/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: Recond: of road from approved road to Irrigation rest house length 800ft

Reference:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-1,448,012/- Fourteen Lacs Forty Eight Thousand Twelve rupees @12.20%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of road from approved road to Irrigation rest house length 800ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.550 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.483 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1,448,012/- |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | _____ |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon
2.Mr.Ubedullah Shaikh
3.M/s Khaldi Construction |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	15752/3076
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
Director
Department of Infrastructure
[Official Stamp]

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No. P.D (S&P) 172 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Nasrullah Shaikh
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH .


Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO GULSHAN SCHOOL ROAD
& UBEDULLAH SHAIKH STREET LENGTH 1390 FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-39,29,103/- Thirty Nine Lacs Twenty Nine Thousand One Hundred Three rupees @12.17%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .



(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.





Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr. Nasrullah Shaikh
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved road to Gulshan School Road & ubedullah Shaikh Street length 1390 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 39,29,103/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Nasrullah Shaikh	39,29,103/-	1 st Lowest)	12.17% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approve by P.D
2	Zohaib Anwar	39,60,913/-	2 nd Lowest	11.45% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Hadi Bux Brohi	39,96,258/-	3rd Lowest	10.65% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- 1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
- 2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial Government
- 3 TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of Road from approved road to Gulshan School Road & ubedullah Shaikh Street length 1390 ft
P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
- 4 TENDER NUMBER
- 5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT
- 6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P
- 7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.285 Million
- 8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 4.025 Million
- 9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months
- 10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013
- 11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos
- 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. 03 Nos:
- 14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy). Enclosed
- 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 3.929 Million
- 16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE
- 17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1.Mr.Nasrullah Shaikh
2.Mr.Zohaib Anwar
3.Mr.Hadi Bux Brohi
- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15/5/21/3076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]

[Official Stamp]

[Handwritten Initials]

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No. PD (S&P) 173

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11 /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr. Mohammad Nouman Qureshi
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO MUAZ DAWAKHANA
STREET LENGTH 580FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-1,366,820/- Thirteen Lacs Sixty Six Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty rupees @12.27%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director


Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr.Mohammad Nouman Qureshi
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from Approved road to Muaaz Dawakhana Street length 580ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site
6. Total Bid Documents Sold SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 1,366,820/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mohammad Nouman	1,366,820/-	1 st Lowest)	12.27% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Ubedullah Shaikh	1,372,514/-	2 nd Lowest	11.90% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Khaldi Construction	1,407,907/-	3rd Lowest	9.60% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
 (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from Approved road to Muaaz |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | Dawakhana Street length 580ft
P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.416 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.402 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1,366,820/- |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Mohammad Nouman Qureshi
2.Mr.Ubedullah Shaikh
3.M/s Khaldi Construction |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]

[Official Stamp]
Strength...

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

2)

No: P.D (S&P) 174 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Dost Ali Rind
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO SUI GAS OFFICE & DAHRI
HOSPITAL LENGTH 400 FT

Ref 'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above
subject work amounting for Rs:-15,57,825/- Fifteen Lacs Fifty Seven Thousand Eight
Hundred Twenty Five rupees @12.19%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has
been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will
be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven
days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03
Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and
instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned
to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director

Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director


Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr.Dost Ali Rind
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved road to Sui Gas Office & Dahri Hospital length 400 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 15,57,825/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Dost Ali Rind	15,57,825/-	1 st Lowest)	12.19% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Kashanullah	15,75,189/-	2 nd Lowest	11.20% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	M/s Permanand & Co	16,31,313/-	3rd Lowest	8% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved road to Sai Gas Office & Dahri Hospital length 400 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.674 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.596 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1.557 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Dost Ali Rind
2.Mr.Kashanullah
3.M/s Permanand & Co. |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1575213076	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer



Project Director
Department of Infrastructure
100, ...



OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 175

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr. Abdul Sattar Mari
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO SAKRAND RAILWAY
LINE LENGTH 475 FT

Reference:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above
subject work amounting for Rs:-9,18,826/- Nine Lacs Eighteen Thousand Eight
Hundred Twenty Six rupees @12.22%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has
been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will
be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven
days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03
Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and
instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned
to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-


1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr.Abdul Sattar Mari
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved road to Sakrand Railway line length 475 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 9,18,826/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Abdul Sattar	9,18,826/-	1 st Lowest)	12.22% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s Khaldi Construction	9,36,630/-	2 nd Lowest	10.50% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Ubedullah	9,61,473/-	3rd Lowest	8.10% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


 Municipal Engineer
 Municipal Committee
 Nawabshah


 Executive Engineer
 Highway Division
 Benazir Abad


 Project Director
 Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
 Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved road to Sakrand |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | Railway line length 475 ft
P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 0.994 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 0.941 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 0.918 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Abdul Sattar
2.M/s Khaldi Construction
3.Mr.Ubedullah |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE | |
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

W. K. K. K.

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

Project Director
Strengthening of Road Structures

4/16/87

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No.S.D (S&P) 176 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Abdul Basit
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD ALONG RAILWAY LINE
LENGTH 1150 FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-22,61,611/- Twenty Two Lacs Sixty One Thousand Six Hundred Eleven rupees @15.18%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .


You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .



(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.



Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

It will be personal responsibility of Chief Engineer incharge to verify the extra work of embankment before making payment to the Contractor.

D.A/s above.





SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Mr.Abdul Basit
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved road along railway line length 1150 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published: Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (5) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 22,61,611/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Abdul Basit	22,61,611/-	1 st Lowest)	15.18% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	22,86,129/-	2 nd Lowest	14.25% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Mohammad Nouman	23,01,947/-	3rd Lowest	13.65% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved road along railway line length 1150 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 2.406 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 2.319 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 2.261 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Abdul Basit
2.MZohaib Anwar
3.Mr.Mohammad Nouman |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15752/3076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
[Official Stamp]

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 177 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 18/11 /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Feroze Gul
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.


Subject: **RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO MIANSHORO ROAD
LENGTH 1320 FT**

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-24,33,100/- Twenty Four Lacs Thirty Three Thousand One Hundred rupees @12.25%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .


You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .


(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

also extra lead of earth work of embankment before making payment to the contractor.

D/As above.


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved road to Mianshoro
Road length 1320 ft 475 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 2.684Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 2.495 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 0.918 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | _____ |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Froze Gul Leghari
2.MZohaib Anwar
3.Mr.Mohammad Nouman |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
b) SINGLE STAGE -- TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

name of work? Payment of road work approved

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____
Director
State of Maharashtra
Mumbai

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

S&P)

178

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

ORDER

Mr. Khaldi Construction Co.
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: Recond: link road from approved road to Railway line length 1320 ft

Reason:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above
subject work amounting for Rs:-45,75,112/- Forty Five Lacs Seventy Five Thousand
Nine Hundred Twelve rupees Part-A@15.18%below & Part-B @ 9.11% Above on
Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest
in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven
days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03
Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and
instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned
to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

- 1.
- 2.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director


Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency M/s Khaldi Construction
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: link road from approved road to
Railway line length 1320 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site
SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 45,75,112/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Khaldi Construction	PartA.4500219/- PartB. 74893/-	1 st Lowest)	15.18% Below 9.11% Above	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Ubedullah	PartA.4549080/- PartB. 75264/-	2 nd Lowest	14.25% Below 9.65% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Hadi Bux	PartA.4580604/- PartB. 75470/-	3 rd Lowest	13.65% Below 9.95% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: link road from approved road to Railway line length 1320 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 4.934 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 4.692 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 4.5751 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.M/s Khaldi Construction
2.Mr.Ubedullah
3.Mr.Hadi Bux Brohi |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one) | |
- a) SINGLE STAGE -- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
b) SINGLE STAGE -- TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
d) TWO STAGE -- TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(if yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
Project Director
Department of Infrastructure
Ministry of Transport, Works and Infrastructure

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) / 79

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr. Abdul Sattar Arain
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: Recond: of Road from approved road to Nadra Office length 500ft

Reference:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate/ Quoted Rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,56,815/- Eleven Lacs Fifty Six thousand Eight Hundred Fifteen rupees @12.25% below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.



(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.





Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency | Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: | Recond: of Road from approved road to Nadra Office length 500ft . |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage one Envelope Procedure . |
| 5. Tender Published | Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold | SPPRA.T.I No.1575213076
03 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received | 03 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) | N/A (Provide details in separate form) |
| 9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) | N/A |
| 10. Bid (S) Rejected: | 02 |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 12.11.2013 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report. | 1,156,815/- |

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain	1,156,815/-	1 st Lowest)	12.25% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Allah Nawaz	1,174,399/-	2 nd Lowest	10.90% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Bilal Khan	1,215,429/-	3rd Lowest	7.75% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


 Municipal Engineer
 Municipal Committee
 Nawabshah


 Executive Engineer
 Highway Division
 Benazir Abad


 Project Director
 Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
 Taluka Nawabshah



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved road to Nadra |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | Office length 500ft
P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.221 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.186 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1.156 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain
2.Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon
3.Mr.Bilal Khan |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN:

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
Project Director
Strategic Planning & Infrastructure
Pakistan National Bank

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 180

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

M/s Crescent Engg:
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH .

Subject: RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO AJMEERI CHOWK
LENGTH 600 FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,10,529/- Eleven Lacs Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Nine rupees @12.19%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-


1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency M/s Crescent Engg.
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved Road to Ajmeeri chowk length 600 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 11,10,529/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Crescent Engg:	11,10,529/-	1 st Lowest)	12.19% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s KHalsi Construction	11,31,463/-	2 nd Lowest	10,50% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Imran Khan	11,68,622/-	3rd lowest	7,60% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


 Municipal Engineer
 Municipal Committee
 Nawabshah


 Executive Engineer
 Highway Division
 Benazir Abad


 Project Director
 Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
 Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved Road to Ajmeeri chowk length 600 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.176 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 1.138 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 1.110 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | _____ |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.M/s Crescent Engg:
2.M/s Khaldi Construction
3.Mr.Imran Khan |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.):

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

[Handwritten Signature]

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

Project Director
Structure

[Handwritten Signature]

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 181

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr. Mohammad Hussain
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO CONNECT AMIN KABARI
SHOP LENGTH 300 FT

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-4,69,916/- Four Lac Sixty Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Sixteen rupees @12.15% below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

- 1.
- 2.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director


Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency Mr.Mohammad Hussain
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item: Recond: of Road from approved Road to connect Amin Kabari shop length 300 ft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A
10. Bid (S) Rejected: 02
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12.11.2013
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 4,69,916/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Mohammad Hussain	469,916/-	1 st Lowest)	12,15% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Khaldi Construction	474,894/-	2 nd Lowest	11,20% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Ubedullah Shaikh	477,775/-	3rd Lowest	10,65% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- | | | |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Recond: of Road from approved Road to connect
Amin Kabari shop length 300 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 0.500 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 0.476 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER
CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF
OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 0.469 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | _____ |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION
REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.Mr.Mohammad Hussain
2.M/s Khaldi Construction
3.Mr.Ubedullah shcikh |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING
PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213076
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

Name of work: Record of road from approved road

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

R. Helber
Project Director
Strengthening of Infrastructure

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) 182 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 18/11 /2013

WORK ORDER

To,
M/s United Engineers & Constt. Co.
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.


Subject: W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz
Shah Garden Road Length 2050 Rft

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above
subject work amounting for Rs:-65,76,586/- Sixty Five Lacs Seventy Six Thousand Five
Hundred Eighty Six rupees Part-A @15.11%below & Part-B 19.10% Above on Schedule
items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the
competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.


You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven
days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03
Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and
instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned
to complete the tender documents immediately .


(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-


1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.



Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1. Name of Procuring Agency M/s United Construction
2. Tender Reference No: P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3. Tender Description/Name of Work / tem: W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz Shah Garden Road Length 2050 Rft
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5. Tender Published Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site
6. Total Bid Documents Sold SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
7. Total Bids Received 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) 03 Nos:
9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable) N/A (Provide details in separate form)
10. Bid (S) Rejected: N/A
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 02
12. Bid Evaluation Report. 12.11.2013
13. Bid Evaluation Report. 6,576,586/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s United <i>Engineers</i> Construction <i>co.</i>	6,576,586/-	1 st Lowest	Part.A 15.11% Below Part.B 19.10% Above	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s Crescent Engg	6,613,017	2 nd Lowest	Part.A 14.63% Below Part.B 19.45% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	M/s Abdul Ahad Associates	6,667,543/-	3 rd Lowest	Part.A 13.91% Below Part.B 19.87% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah



... road starting from

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

- 1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
- 2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER Provincial Government
- 3 TITLE OF CONTRACT: W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz Shah Garden Road Length 2050 Rft
P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
- 4 TENDER NUMBER --
- 5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT A.D.P
- 6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME 6.951 Million
- 7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 6.862 Million
- 8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 03 Months
- 9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12.11.2013
- 10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03 Nos
- 11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. Enclosed
- 14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy). 6.576 Million
- 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER
- 16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE
- 17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1.M/s United Construction
2.M/s Crescent Engg:
3.M/s Ubedullah Shaikh
- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	1575213078
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

... and read carefully

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Handwritten signature

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

Handwritten signature

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF
INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH
DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) / 192 of 2013 Nawabshah Dated 2/12/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

M/s Abdul Ahad Associates
Government Contractor
NAWABSHAH.

Subject: Construction/ Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter House Street length 2050 ft

Ref'nce:- Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-42,73,130/- Forty Two Lac Seventy Three Thousand One Hundred Thirty rupees Part-A @15.11%below Part-B 19.10%~~Above~~ & Part-C 1.03% Above on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items .

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah .

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately .

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah.

C.C to:-


1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad
2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.


Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah


BID EVALUATION REPORT .

1 Name of Procuring Agency	M/s Abdul Ahad Associates
2 Tender Reference No:	P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013
3 Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:	Construction/ Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter House Street length 2050 ft
4 Method of Procurement:	Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .
5 Tender Published	Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075
6 Total Bid Documents Sold	03 Nos:
7 Total Bids Received	03 Nos:
8 Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)	N/A (Provide details in separate form)
9 No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)	N/A
1 Bid (S) Rejected:	02
1 Financial Bid Opening date:	12.11.2013
1 Bid Evaluation Report.	4,273,130/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Abdul Ahad Associates	4,273,130/-	1 st Lowest)	Part. A 15.11% Below Part .B 19.10% Above Part-C 1.03% Above	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s Khaldi Construction	4,295,914/-	2 nd Lowest	Part.A14.63% Below Part .B 19.45% Above Part-C 1.09% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mohammad Ashfaque Khan	4,330,158/-	3rd Lowest	Part.A13.91% Below Part.B19.87% Above Part-C 1.22% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected


Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah


Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad


Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
(WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)**

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: | Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure |
| 2 | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Provincial Government |
| 3 | TITLE OF CONTRACT: | Construction/ Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter House Street length 2050 ft |
| 4 | TENDER NUMBER | P.D(S&P) 136 dated 24.09.2013 |
| 5 | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT | -- |
| 6 | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | A.D.P |
| 7 | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 4.3740 Million |
| 8 | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) | 4.3270 Million |
| 9 | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) | 03 Months |
| 10 | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 12.11.2013 |
| 11 | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) | 03 Nos |
| 12 | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 03 Nos: |
| 13 | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. | 03 Nos: |
| 14 | BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy). | Enclosed |
| 15 | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | 4.273 Million |
| 16 | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | |
| 17 | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). | 1.M/s Abdul Ahad Associates
2.M/s Khaldi Construction
3.Mr.Mohammad Ashfaq Khan |
| 18 | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one) | |
| | a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | |
| | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | |
| | PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS | |

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1575213076	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(if yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

[Handwritten Signature]
Project Director
 Strengthening of Infrastructure
 [Illegible text]