1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr. Ali Anwar Bhatti

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of road from approved road to Connect

Gymkhana road length 580ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.1 No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (1f

N/A

applicable)
10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

1,136,622/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	Ali Anwar Bhatti	1,136,622/-	1 st Lowest)	12.18% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Ashfaque Khan	1,186,861/-	2 nd Lowest	8.25% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Abdul Basit	1,190,056/-	3rd Lowest	8% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Nawabshah Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Jaluka Nawabshah

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /3 /1/ /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr. Ali Anwar Bhatti Government Contractor NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO CONNECT GYMKHANA

ROAD LENGTH 580 SFT

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate/ Quoted Rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,36,622/- Eleven Lacs Thirty Six thousand Six Hundred Twenty two rupees @12.18%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad C.C to:-1. 2.

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strepgthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Provincial Government PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Recond: of road from approved road to Connect TITLE OF CONTRACT: Gymkhana road length 580ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 TENDER NUMBER BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT $\Lambda.D.P$ FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME 1.182 Million TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 7 1.1658 Million ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 03 Months ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12.11.2013 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03 Nos NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos: NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS. Enclosed BID EVALUATION REPORT 14 (Enclose a copy). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 1,136,622-CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 1.Mr.Ali Anwar Bhatti RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION 17 2.Mr.Ashfaque Khan (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

3.Mr.Abdul Basit

- 13 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

								OCUREM Yes		No	
21	(i)	ADVERTISE	MENT:			Yes					
	İ) SPPI	RA Website es, give date and	CDDR A Ident	ification No.)		15	752/3	50 <i>)</i> 	/ ,5 	
		(н ус	es, give date and	011 (VII 130m)	1270411011	No					
		i) New (If y	s Papers cs, give names o	f newspapers a	and dates)	Yes	/				
						No				.,	
								Local	-/	Int.]
2	2)	NATURE OF	CONTRACT		، سونمی			17000			i
2	3)	WHETHER (QUALIFICATION DED IN BIDDI	ON CRITERIA NG / TENDEI	R DOCUMEN	NTS?				т ——· 1	· ·
		(If yes, enclose	se a copy)					Yes		No	
2	(4)	WHETHER I	BID EVALUAT	ION CRITER	JA			Yes		No]
		WAS INCLU (if yes, enclo	IDED IN BIDDI	NG / TENDE	R DOCUMEN	STS?		<u> </u>		,L <u>.</u> -	
			APPROVAL OI	r AND ADUTUS	it ALITHODI	TV WAS	S OBTA	INED FO	R US	ING A	
2	25)	WHETHER METHOD O	THER THAN C	PEN COMPE	TITIVE BIDI	DING?	, 02, 11.	Yes		No	
7	26)	WAS BID S	ECURITY OBT	ained fron	и ALL THE В	SIDDER!	3?	Yes		No	Ī
2.		1,210 2,40									,···
2	27)	WHETHER	THE SUCCESS	FUL BID WA	S LOWEST I	EVALU <i>a</i> es)	\TED .	Yes		No	
					with the second			37		No	ļ
2	28)	WHETHER COMPLIAN	THE SUCCESS	FUL BIDDER	R WAS TECH	MCALI	.Y	Yes		180	J
o-											
í	29)	WHETHER THE TIME	NAMES OF TH OF OPENING C	IE BIDDERS . OF BÍDS?	and their	QUOTE	D PRIC	Yes	REA	No	T
					CHTN TO	DIENDI	19 2 01	L	L	AWAR	(D (I)
	30)	CONTRACT	EVALUATIO f? of the bid evalu		GIVEN 10	וטמום	-1X() L)	J. O			

AND THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONT

T T T

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (if yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN	VITHE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BUACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIGITY (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO IT, IF ABROAD:
	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOI THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes .
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Strengther Read of Authorized	ente.
40.	
-\	

DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF OFFICE OF THE PROJECT INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

o: P.D (S&P) /68

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 13 / ///2013

VORK ORDER

Mr. Zohaib Anwar Government Contractor NAWABSHAH.

Su Ject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED HIRA PUBLIC SCHOOL LENGTH 530FT

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject—work amounting for Rs:-18,91,931/- Eighteen Lacs Ninety One thousand Nine Hundred Thirty One rupees @12.20%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

> (ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Directór

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr.Zohaib Anwar

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from Approved road Hira Public

School length 530 ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure.

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

₹7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If

N/A

applicable)
10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

1,891,931/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	77
1	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	1,891,931/-	1 st Lowest)	12.20% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mrinamullah . Shaikh	1,901,091/-	2 nd Lowest	11.77% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mohammad Hussain	1,917,495/-	3rd Lowest	11% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Nawabshah Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

. Taluka Nawabshah

COMPERATIVE STATEMENT

Date of issue 11.11.2013 Date of open 12.11.2013

Name of Work: Recond: of Road from approved road Hira Public School Length 530ff

Authority

Estimate Santioned by Superitending Engineer Works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

No.DB/G-

Dated:

		Estimate	Mr.Z	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	Mr.Inan	Mr.Inamullah Shaikh	Mr.Muha	Mr.Muhammad Hussain
S.No.	Description	Cost	Governr	Government Contractor	Governm	Government Contractor	Governm	Government Contractor
			Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount	Rate	Amount
_	Schedule."B"	2,130,298		2,130,298		2,130,298		2,130,298
7	Add:2% Contigencies	42,606						1
\ 	Rate Quaoted By Conatrator		12.20% Below	259,896	11.77% Below	250,736	250,736 11 % Below	234,333
m	Diff. cost of Bitumen 6.59 Tons @Rs. 3267.00 P.Ton Rs. 21,530	21,530		21,530		21,530		21,530
	Ded:12% Below	255,636						
	Total	1,938,798		1,891,931		1,901,091		1,917,495

incorporated in the comparative statement as per rates and amount quoted in the individual tenders Certified that the entries have been correctly

of the estimate rates shown in the Schedule-B is hereby Recommended/approved The Lowest Rate of Mr.Zohaib Anwar Government Contractors

2 Cales Divisionfar Accounts Officer Strengthen Plan of 1--6

Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah Project Director

Taluka Nawabshah

Municipai Committee Manidipal Engloca

Nawabshah

フスールが

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALLT ROOKS, SERVICES & GO	DODS)
NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Provincial Government Recond: of Road from approved road to Hira Public
3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	School length 530ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
4 TENDER NUMBER	
5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	
6 PORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	A.D.P
7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	2.099Million
	1.853Million
(For civil works only) 9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER	03 Months
CONTRACT) 10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	12.11.2013
11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos
12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos:
13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	03 Nos:
OPENING OF BIBS. 14 BID EVALUATION REPORT	Enclosed
1 (m. dama conv.)	1891931 18,08,422/-
15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	
16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	N 1,Mr.Zohaib Anwar
17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION	
17 RANKING OF SOCCIOST OF REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	2.Mr.mamuman Shakh
(1,0,1,0) = /	3.Mr.Muhammad Hussain

- METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. PROCEDURE FLEASE SEECHET IF AIM FOR HER METROD OF FROCUMENTENT WAS ADOFTED TO.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

20)	WILEI	HER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I			es	No	
21)	ADVE	RTISEMENT:	Yes	1575	2/30	 7 &	
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No	(2/)			
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes				
			No				
	NATU	RE OF CONTRACT		Į.	ocal	int.	
23)	WASI	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN , enclose a copy)	ITS?	Y	es 🗸	No	
24)	WAS I	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEI , enclose a copy)	VTS?	Y	es	No	
25	WHETI METI	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI IOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDI	TY WAS DING?	1	FOR US	SING A	
26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDERS	\$? <u> </u>	'es	No	
27) WHE BID/	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST IN BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancia	VALUA :s)	TED 5	res	No	
28	•	THER THE SUCCESSPUL BIDDER WAS TECH	MCALL	, ү	/es	No	
e- - -	V MATERIAL	THER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	OUOTEI	D PRICES W	ERE REA	AD OUT	ΑТ
29	THE	THE OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Q	1	čes	No	
30	CONT	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO RACT? In copy of the bid evaluation report)	BIDDE	RS BEFOR	E THE	AWARI) ()E
	,						


L

14

ion.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No .	···
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE (If yes, give details)	N IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUME	VTS
() if g =	Yes	
22) WAS THE ENTENDED AND A SECONDARY	-No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIM (If yes, give reasons)	E? Yes	
	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENT BLACK LISTED?	Yes No	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF V (15 yes, enclose a copy)	IU DD/Wit Digita reason of a company)
200 WCDD AD CHAR	Yes No	
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT	IN
	Yes No	
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Authorized Officer Survey 1	ore.	

PART BANAL BANAL BANAL BANAL BANAL



No: P.D (S&P) 17/

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 13/11/2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon Government Contractor NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

Recond: of road from approved road to Irrigation rest house length $800 \mbox{\%}$

nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-1,448,012/- Fourteen Lacs Forty Eight Thousand Twelve rupees @12.20%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Caluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad 1.

2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner -

Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taduka Nawabshali 😽

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr.Zohaib Anwar

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from Approved road Hira Public

School length 530 ft

Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

1,891,931/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
		3	4	5	6	†
1	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	1,891,931/-	1 st Lowest)	12.20% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mrlnamullah Shaikh	1,901,091/-	2 nd Lowest	11.77% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mohammad Hussain	1,917,495/-	3rd Lowest	11% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected .

Municipal Engines Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division

Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr. Allah Nawaz Memon

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of road from approved road to Irrigation

rest house length 800ft

Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

1,448,012/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	·2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Allah Nawaz Memon	1,448,012/-	1 st Lowest)	12.20% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Ubedullah Shaikh	1,453,214/-	2 nd Lowest	11.88% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Khaldi Construction	1,490,279/-	3rd Lowest	9.60% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejec ted

Municipal Engine Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial Government 3 TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of road from approved road to Irrigation rest house length 800ft TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09,2013 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 1.550 Million ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 8 1.483 Million (For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER 03 Months CONTRACT) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers) 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos: NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS. BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed (Enclose a copy). 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 1,448,012/-CONTRACT A WARD PRICE I.Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon

17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

2.Mr.Ubedullah Shaikh

3.M/s Khaldi Construction

18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

20) WHI	THER THE PROCUREMENT WA	AS INCLUDED IN ANN	IUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
			Yes No
21) ADV	ERTISEMENT:		
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Id	entification No.)	1575213076
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspape	No Yes	
	.,	No	
22) NATU	JRE OF CONTRACT		Local int.
WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITER INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TEND , enclose a copy)	IA ER DOCUMENTS?	Yes No
WAS I	HER BID EVALUATION CRITES NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDI , enclose a copy)	RÍA ER DOCUMENTS?	Yes No
25) WHET METH	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETEI OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPE	NT AUTHORITY WAS ETITIVE BIDDING?	OBTAINED FOR USING A
26) WAS E	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM	M ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes No
27) WHET. BID/B	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WA EST EVALUATED BID (in case o	S LOWEST EVALUAT f Consultancies)	ED Yes No
8) WHETI COMPI	IER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER JANT?	. WAS TECHNICALLY	Yes No
			•
9) WHETI THE TU	IER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS A ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	AND THEIR QUOTED F	PRICES WERE READ OUT AT Yes No
CONTR	HER EVALUATION REPORT (ACT? copy of the bid evaluation report)	GIVEN TO BIDDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF

in the second

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) 32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE (If yes, give details) Ye -No 33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) Ye. 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT BLACK LISTED?	TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE (If yes, give details) Ye No 33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) Ye No 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE (If yes, give details) Ye No 33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) Ye. No 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT.	TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) Ye. No 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT.	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) Yes 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
Yes 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
No 34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
Yes (If yes, give detailed reasons.) Yes No 35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT BLACK LISTED?	
	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PI SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCU BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF AI (If yes, enclose a copy)	
27) HUDE DIVIDED OF COM.	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZAT THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description) Yes No	
gnature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	

•

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH

DISTRICT	SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD
ري هو يت فو يتر ري مو اي مو مو مو يو يا و يا و يو مو يو يو يو اي اي يو يا يا يا يا ي	

🛪 .P.D (S&P)

of 2013 Nawabshah Dated $\frac{8}{M}$ /2013

WORK ORDER

To.

Mr.Nasrullah Shaikh Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO GULSHAN SCHOOL ROAD

& UBEDULLAH SHAIKH STREET LENGTH 1390 FT

Ref 'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-39,29,103/- Thirty Nine Lacs Twenty Nine Thousand One Hundred Three rupees @12.17%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has belon approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

2. The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

> Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Paluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr. Nasrullah Shaikh

Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Gulshan School Road & ubedullah Shaikh Street length

1390 ft

Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable) 8.

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

39,29,103/-

	S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of	Comparison with Estimate	Reasons for acceptance	Remai
	1.	2	3	cost	cost	/rejection	
Г				4	5	6	7
-	1	Nasrullah Shaikh	39,29,103/-	1 st Lowest)	12.17% Below	Lowest Bid being	Rate Approve
	2	Zohaib Anwar	39,60,913/-	2 nd Lowest	11.45% Below	approved Higher Bid Hence rejected	by P.D Rejected
	3	Hadi Bux Brohi	39,96,258/-	3rd Lowest	10.65% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Committee Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

aluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF

(WORKS, SERVIC	ENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	is a Goods)
2 PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT./OTHER	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	Provincial Government
4 TENDER NUMBER 5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Recond: of Road from approved road to Gulshan School Road & ubedullah Shaikh Street length 1390 ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME 7 TENDER ESTIMATION	A.D.P
8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE:	4.285 Million
9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION 17	4.025 Million
CONTRACT) 16 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	03 Months
NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	12.11.2013
12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos
13 NUMBER OF BIDDEDS INDUSTRIA	03 Nos:
14 BID EVALUATION PERONT	03 Nos:
(Enclose a copy).	Enclosed
15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 16 CONTRACT AWARD THE	3.929 Millian
16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	3
17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. ist, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	I.Mr.Nasruliah Shaikh
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	2.Mr.Zohaib Anwar
8 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT LIGHT A COLOR	2.Mr.Zohaib Anwar 3.Mr.Hadi Bux Brohi

- METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) A	PPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONT	RACT_	
20) W	THETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	NNA NI	UAL PROCUREMENT PLANS
21) Al	DVERTISEMENT:		Yes No
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	15752/3076
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No Yes	
^	. ,	No	
22) NA	TURE OF CONTRACT		Local Int.
23) WH WA	ETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA S INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN res, unclose a copy)	TS?	Yes V No
WA:	ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA S INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT cs, cuclose a copy)	ľS?	Yes No
25) WHI Met	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDIN	√WAS C √G?	DBTAINED FOR USING A Yes No
26) Was	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS?	Yes No
27) WHE BID/	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVABUST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	ALUATE	Yes No
28) WHE COM	ITIER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC PLIANT?	CALLY	Yes No
يم المحالية 29) WHEN THE 1	THER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUO TME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	YTED PR	RICES WERE READ OUT AT
	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIE RACT? copy of the bid evaluation report)	DDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF
_			Yes No

Seems of the seed of the company of the seed of the se

Control of the first and the state of the st

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
(i) your count energy	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
- <i>,</i>	Yes	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	No	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY TO BLACK LISTED? \$\superstack{\circ}\$36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF 1 SUPPLIER S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBLEM ASCERTAINED REGARDING REAL NOISE OF MINERAL PROPERTY.	HE PROC	Yes No CURING AGENCY TO THE
(If yes, enclose a copy)	, IF ABKO	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATIO	N ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
nature & Official Stamp of Not No.	ŤĈ	

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No. P.D (S&P) /73

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/// /2013

WORK ORDER

To.

Mr. Mohammad Nouman Qureshi

Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO MUAAZ DAWAKHANA

STREET LENGTH 580FT

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-1,366,820/- Thirteen Lacs Sixty Six Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty rupees @12.27%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Schitems.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned

to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abáð-&

Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. 2.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Jaluka Nawabshaff

Name of Procuring Agency

Method of Procurement:

Mr.Mohammad Nouman Qureshi

Tender Reference No:

The state of the s

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from Approved road to Muaaz

Dawakhana Street length 580ft

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

1,366,820/-

5.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
<u> </u>		3	4	5	6	
1	Mohammad Nouman	1,366,820/-	1 st Lowest)	12.27% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved
2	Ubedullah 5haikh	1,372,514/-	2 nd Lowest	11.90% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Khaldi Construction	1,407,907/-	3rd Lowest	9.60% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engine

Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer **Highway Division**

Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

naluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF

	(WORKS, SERVICES	& GOODS)
	I NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
	2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Provincial Government
	3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	
	4 TENDER NUMBER	Recond: of Road from Approved road to Muaaz Dawakhana Street length 580ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
:	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	-
6	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	A.D.P
	受 ^r ENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	1.416 Million
8	(For civil works only)	1.402 Million
9	CONTRACT)	03 Months
10		12.11.2013
11	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos
12	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos:
13	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	03 Nos:
14	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy).	Enclosed
15	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	1,366,820/-
16	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	
17	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	I.Mr.Mohammad Nouman Qureshi

- 17

- 2.Mr.Ubedullah Shaikh
- 3.M/s Khaldi Construction
- 12 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

	19) APPE	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTI	RACT _	
	20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANN	
	21) ADVI	ERTISEMENT:		Yes No
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	15752/3078
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No Yes	
			No	
	22) NATU	RE OF CONTRACT		Local Int.
	23) WHET WAS I	HER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes V No
	WAS II	HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN enclose a copy)	rs?	Yes No
	25) WHETH METHO	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDL	Y WAS (NG?	OBTAINED FOR USING A
	26) WAS B	ID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS?	Yes No
	27) WHETF BID/BI	TER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EV, EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	ALUAT.	ED Yes No
	28) WHETH COMPL	IER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNI JANT?	CALLY	Yes No
ey le	29) WHETH THE TIM 30) WHETH CONTRA	UR NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUIDE OF OPENING OF BIDS? ER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIACT? Opy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No
				Yes No

A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH

Control of the state of the sta

21) 4519/2001/78/19		
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	
 ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details) 	THE TENI	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes	
	_No —	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
(2) (24) FIFTH ((WOMAND CO.)	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY T BLACK LISTED?	UAF THE	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF T SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PR BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, (If yes, enclose a copy)		
(1) July enclose a copy)		Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	IZATION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The second data of the second da		Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY		<u> </u>
(If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes No	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Streng act		
467		

TOTAL MATTER AND THE STATE OF A S

No: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/// /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Dost Ali Rind

Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO SUI GAS OFFICE & DAHRI

HOSPITAL LENGTH 400 FT

Ref 'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

e lit Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-15,57,825/- Fifteen Lacs Fifty Seven Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Five rupees @12.19%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned

to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Dixector

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

Ţ

されているとのものものというできます。

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad 1.

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 2.

> Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director trengthen Plan of infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr.Dost Ali Rind

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Sui Gas

Office & Dahri Hospital length 400 ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

15,57,825/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3_	4	S	6	7
1	Mr.Dost Ali Rind	15,57,825/-	1 st Lowest)	12.19% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Kashanullah	15,75,189/-	2 nd Lowest	11.20% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	M/s Permanand & Co	16,31,313/-	3rd Lowest	8% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer

Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director
Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

⊼aluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

LECTION SECTIONS

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial Government TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of Road from approved road to Sui Gas

Office & Dahri Hospital length $400~\mathrm{ft}$ TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT

FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P

TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 1.674 Million

ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 1.596 Million (For civil works only)

ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months 10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013

NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers)

NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:

13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS.

14 BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed (Enclose a copy),

15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 1.557 Million

16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION I.Mr.Dost Ali Rind REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 2.Mr.Kashanullah

3.M/s Permanand & Co.

METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING

PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

21) ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papors (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER THE BUCCESSFUL BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		ETHER THE PROCURI				'es	No	
ii) News Papers (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No No No No No No No No No N	21) AD	VERTISEMENT :		<u></u>				
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Local Int. 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDIER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	i)		I SPPRA Identification ?	(o.)	1575	2/30	76	
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDIER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	ii)		of newspapers and dates)	Yes				
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	÷			No				
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? YES NO SOME THE BIDDERS AND THEIR PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	22) NAT	URE OF CONTRACT			[].c	ocal	Int.]
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDI	DN CRITERIA NG / TENDER DOCUM	ENTS?	Ye	s	No T	
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDI		ENTS?	Ye	s	No	
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF	COMPETENT AUTHO:	RITY WAS	OBTAIN <u>ED</u>	FOR US	SINGA	
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	WEI	HOD OTHER THAN OF	TN COMPETITIVE BR	DDING?	Ye	s	No	
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTA	INED FROM ALL THE	BIDDERS?	Ye	s	No L	
COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No South Contract?	27) WHE BID /	THER THE SUCCESSF BEST EVALUATED BI	UL BID WAS LOWEST ID (in case of Consultance)	EVALUAT	ED Yc	3	No [
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?			UL BIDDER WAS TEC	INICALLY	Ye	s	No	
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?			V					
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	THE T	THE OF OPENING OF	BIDS?	QUOTED I			T	.T
	CONT	RACT?		BIDDERS	<u> </u>		-l	OF
					Yes		No	

Constant

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RE (If yes, result thereof)	CEIVED		Yes	
			No	
	M SPECIFICATIO) AS GIVEN I	N THE TEND	ER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)			Yes	
			No-	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION	MADE IN RESPO	ONSE TIME?	Yes	
(If yes, give reasons)			res	
			No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUA (If yes, give detailed reaso		RITERIA	l V.	
(if yes, give detailed reaso	ns.)		Yes	
			No	•
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY BLACK LISTED?	THE PROCURI	NG AGENC	Y THAT THE	
switch Biology.				Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY	IN CONNECTION	N WITH THE	PROCUREM	URING AGENCY TO THE IENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG	IN CONNECTIO ARDING FINAN UARDS PROVIE	N WITH THE CING OF VIS DED ON MO	PROCUREM SIT, IF ABRO	URING AGENCY TO THE IENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD:
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG (If yes, enclose a copy)	IN CONNECTIO ARDING FINAN UARDS PROVIE GUARANTEE E	N WITH THE CING OF VIS DED ON MO	PROCUREM SIT, IF ABRO	URING AGENCY TO THE MENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD: Yes No ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG (If yes, enclose a copy) 37) WERE PROPER SAFEG THE CONTRACT (BANK) 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS,	IN CONNECTIO ARDING FINAN UARDS PROVIE GUARANTEE E	N WITH THE CING OF VIS	EPROCUREM SIT, IF ABRO BILIZATION	URING AGENCY TO THE MENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD: Yes No ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG (If yes, enclose a copy) 37) WERE PROPER SAFEG THE CONTRACT (BANK) 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS,	IN CONNECTION ARDING FINAN UARDS PROVID GUARANTEE E	N WITH THE CING OF VIS	PROCUREM SIT, IF ABRO BILIZATION Yes	URING AGENCY TO THE MENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD: Yes No ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG (If yes, enclose a copy) 37) WERE PROPER SAFEG THE CONTRACT (BANK 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, (If yes, give Brief Descript)	IN CONNECTION ARDING FINAN UARDS PROVIDE GUARANTEE E	N WITH THE CING OF VIS	PROCUREM SIT, IF ABRO BILIZATION Yes	URING AGENCY TO THE MENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD: Yes No ADVANCE PAYMENT IN

THE SAME SAME SAME

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/ // /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Abdul Sattar Mari Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO SAKRAND RAILWAY

LINE LENGTH 475 FT

`af 'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above work amounting for Rs:-9,18,826/- Nine Lacs Eighteen Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Six rupees @12.22%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium wir be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure ///Taluka Nawabshah

C.C. to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 2.

> **Deputy Commissioner** Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr. Abdul Sattar Mari

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Sakrand

Railway line length 475 ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

9,18,826/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	Mr.Abdul Sattar	9,18,826/-	1 st Lowest)	12.22% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D	
2	M/s Khaldi Construction	9,36,630/-	2 nd Lowest	10.50% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected	
3 Mr.Ubedullah		9,61,473/-	3rd Lowest	8.10% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected	

Municipal Engineer

Municipal Committe

Municipal Commiti Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division

Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER

Provincial Government

3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Sakrand

TENDER NUMBER Railway II
P.D(S&P)

Railway line length 475 ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT

6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME

A.D.P

7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE

0.994Million

8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

0.941 Million

(For civil works only)
ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER

03 Months

CONTRACT)
0 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)

12.11.2013

11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)

03 Nos

12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED

03 Nos:

13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.

03 Nos:

14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy).

Enclosed

15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER

0.918 Million

16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3nd EVALUATION BID).

1.Mr.Abdul Sattar

2.M/s Khaldi Construction

3.Mr.Ubedullah

18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

	19)) APPR(OVING AUTH	ORITY F O	R AWAR	D OF CO	NTRA	\CT_						
	20)	WHET	HER THE PRO	CUREME	NT WAS	INCLUD	ED IN	ANN	UAL	PRO	CUREA Yes	AEN1	PLAY	<u> </u>
	21)	ADVE	RTISEMENT :							ł	res		INO	Jİ
		I)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)				No.) [Yes	es 15752/307 .6				6	
		ii)	News Papers (If yes, give n	ames of ne	wspapers :	and dates)	, [No Yes		<u>_</u>				
	·-				, ,	,		No						
 ئى	22)	NATUR	E OF CONTR	ACT							Local	<i></i>	int.	
ا ا	23)	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN (If yes, enclose a copy)						S?		[Yes	~]	No]	
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS (If yes, enclose a copy)						8?			Yes		No]		
	25)	WHETH METHO	ER APPROVA D OTHER TH	L OF COM AN OPEN	APETENT COMPET	LAUTHO TTIVE BI	RITY DDIN	Was G?	OBTA		D FOR Yes		vG A No	
	26)	WAS BII	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?								Yes	;	No I	
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)							red .		Yes	J	No.		
		WHETH COMPLI	BIDDER V	R WAS TECHNICALLY					Yes		Vo J			
$e_{\tilde{t}}^{V}$	29) V	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUO THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?						TED	PRICE	7	ERE RI	~~~T-	OUT A	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
i	C	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD C CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)									OF			
										Y	'es		No .	

The second design of the Second Secon

Ļ

φ? Э.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	N IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	E? Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED? 36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL C SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING UNIANOUS CONNECTION.	Yes No
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VI (If yes, enclose a copy)	TISIT, IF ABROAD: Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
(If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
ture & Official Stamp of Strength Strength Strength	ature
. // 🔭	
4/11/	

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No 4.0 (S&P) 176

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/// /2013

WORK ORDER

To.

Mr.Abdul Basit

Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD ALONG RAILWAY LINE

LENGTH 1150 FT

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-22,61,611/- Twenty Two Lacs Sixty One Thousand Six Hundred Eleven rupees @15.18%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazîî\Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad 1. 2.

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure A Paluka Nawabshah

also extra lend of earth work of embankment before making payment to the Contractor.

<u>D.A/As above</u>

Name of Procuring Agency

Method of Procurement:

Mr.Abdul Basit

Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road along

railway line length 1150 ft Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (5) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

22,61,611/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder 2	Cost offered by the bidder	terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
			44	5	6	7
1	Mr.Abdul Basit	22,61,611/-	1 st Lowest)	15.18% Below	Lowest Bid being	Rate Approved
2	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	22,86,129/-	2 nd Lowest	14.25% Below	Approved Higher Bid Hence	by P.D Rejected
	Mr.Mohammad Nouman	23,01,947/-	3rd Lowest	13.65% Below	rejected Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Committee Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Juka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial Government TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of Road from approved road along railway line length 1150 ft TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.406Million ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 2.319 Million ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers) 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos: 13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. 03 Nos: BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed (Enclose a copy). 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 2.261 Million CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION 1.Mr.Abdul Basit REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

2.MZohaib Anwar

3.Mr.Mohammad Nouman

METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

20) WHI	ETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	IN ANN	UAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
			Yes No
21) adv	ERTISEMENT:		
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	15752/307 %
		No	
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	
•		No	
22) NATU	JRE OF CONTRACT		Local int.
23) WHE WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN' s, enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes No
WAS.	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT , enclose a copy)	rs?	Yes No
25) WHE'T METH	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY OOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDII	Y WAS (VG?	DBTAINED FOR USING A Yes No
26) WAS I	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS?	Yes No
27) WHET BID/E	TIER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	ALUAT	ED Yes No
28) WHET Compi	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC LIANT?	CALLY	Yes No
- 29) WHET THE TI	HER NAMES OF THE BUDDERS AND THEIR QUE ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	OTUD P.	RICES WERE READ OUT AT Yes No
CONTR	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BI IACT? copy of the bid evaluation report)	DDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF

Mark Law County and St. St. Law.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No .
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	N THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	No -
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRETERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
and the second second	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE I BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISI (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROCUREMENTS IN CO. DUTE IT COM
(it yes, should a dopy)	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOB THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
STOREST (STATE OF ACTIVITIES FC.):	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Street	e

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

ฟื้ด: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/// /2013

WORK ORDER

To.

Mr.Feroze Gul

Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO MIANSHORO ROAD

LENGTH 1320 FT

Ref 'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-24,33,100/- Twenty Four Lacs Thirty Three Thousand One Hundred rupees @12.25%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Schitems.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Dixector Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 2.

> Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director Strengthen Plan of infrastructure (CTaluka Nawabshall

aiso extra lead of earth work of embankment before making payment to the contractor

D./VAs above.

Name of Procuring Agency

Mr.Feroze Gul

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Mianshoro Road length 1320 ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure.

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

24,33,100/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr.Feroze Gul Leghari	24,33,100/-	1 st Lowest)	12.25% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Zohaib Anwar	24,42,688/-	2 nd Lowest	11.90% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Mohammad Nouman	24,62,686/-	3rd Lowest	11.17% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer of Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division

Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Maluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Provincial Government PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Recond: of Road from approved road to Mianshoro TITLE OF CONTRACT: Road length 1320 ft 475 ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 TENDER NUMBER BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.684Million 7 2.495 Million ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) 03 Months ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos: NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS. BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed (Enclose a copy). 0.918 Million NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

I.Mr.Froze Gul Leghari

2.MZohaib Anwar

3.Mr.Mohammad Nouman

METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING

PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

	19) APPI	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AW	ARD OF CONT	RACT	
	20) WITH	THER THE PROCUREMENT W	AS INCLUDED :	in anni	JAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No
	21) ADV.	ERTISEMENT:		,	163 180
	í)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA I.	dentification No.)	Yes	15752/3076
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspape	ers and dates)	Yes	
. 2	2) NATU	RE OF CONTRACT	ſ	No	
ŝ	3) WHET WAS I	HER QUALIFICATION CRITER NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TEND (mclose a copy)	IA DER DOCUMENT	rs?	Yes V No
24	WAS I	HER BID EVALUATION CRITE NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TEND enclose a copy)	RIA ER DOCUMENT	S?	Yes No
25) WHETH METH(HER APPROVAL OF COMPETE DD OTHER THAN OPEN COMP	NT AUTHORITY ETITIVE BIDDIN	WAS C	BTAINED FOR USING A
26) WAS B	D SECURITY OBTAINED FROI	M ALL THE BID.	DERS?	Yes No
27)	WHETE BID / BI	ER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WA EST EVALUATED BID (in case o	AS LOWEST EVA of Consultancies)	LUATE	D Yes Ne
28)	WHETH COMPL	ER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER ANT?	WAS TECHNIC	ALLY	Yes No
30)	WHETHA CONTRA	ER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS ARE OF OPENING OF BIDS? ER EVALUATION REPORT OF CT? Only of the bid evaluation report)			Yes No
					Yes No

Commence Commence S. L. Jan.

a Name of world? Dormal: of road stores approved

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No ·
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN (If yes, give details)	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(11 yes, give detains)	Yes
	No.
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	? Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL O SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH TH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VI	E PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOTHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBJLIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes No
nature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	ries Maritifia
	[32m48]

OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH FFICE DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/ // /2013

ORDER

Mr.Khaldi Construction (o. Government Contractor NAWABSHAH.

Recond: link road from approved road to Railway line length 1320 ft.

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above bject work amounting for Rs:-45,75,112/- Forty Five Lacs Seventy Five Thousand ne Hundred Twelve rupees Part-A@15.18%below & Part-B @ 9.11% Above on chedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest n the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Atraduka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad 1. 2.

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

M/s Khaldi Construction

2. Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: link road from approved road to

. Method of Procurement:

Railway line length 1320 ft Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

45,75,112/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	/rejection	7
1	M/s Khaldi Construction	PartA.4500219/- PartB. 74893/-	1 st Lowest)	15.18% Below 9.11% Above	Lowest Bid being	Rate Approved
2	Mr.Ubedullah	PartA.4549080/- PartB. 75264/-	2 nd Lowest	14.25% Below 9.65% Above	approved Higher Bid Hence	by P.D Rejected
3	Mr.Hadi Bux	PartA.4580604/- PartB. 75470/-	3rd Lowest	13.65% Below 9.95% Above	rejected Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Englished Municipal Committee Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

	<u>LWORKS, SERVICES &</u>	& GOODS)
1	1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
. 2	2 PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER	Provincial Government
3	3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	
4		Recond: link road from approved road to Railway line length 1320 ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
5	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	•
6	THE SCHEME	A.D.P
7	THE PARTY PARTY	4.934Million
8	(For civil works only)	4.692 Million
9	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	03 Months
10		12.11.2013
. 11	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos
12	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos:
13	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	03 Nos:
14	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy).	Enclosed
15	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	4.5751 Million
16	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	
	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT	1.M/s Khaldi Construction
	(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	2.Mr.Ubedullah
		3.Mr.Hadi Bux Brohi

- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

1:

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

20) WHI	ETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUL	DED IN ANNU	AL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
			Yes No
21) ADV	VERTISEMENT:		4
1)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification	Yes No.)	15752/3075
		No	
11)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes	
•		No	
22) NATT	URE OF CONTRACT		Local int.
WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM s, enclose a copy)	MENTS?	Yes No
WAS	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM 5, enclose a copy)	MENTS?	Yes No
25) WHETE METE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHO IOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BI	DRITY WAS OI IDDING?	BTAINED FOR USING A Yes No
26) WAS I	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THI	BIDDERS?	Yes No
27) WHET BID / E	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWES: BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultan	ΓEVALUATEI cies)	D Yes No
28) WHET, COMP	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TEC LIANT?	HNICALLY	Yes No
29) WHETI ТНЕ ТІ	HER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	R QUOTED PR	ICES WERE READ OUT AT
CONTRACTOR	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO LACT? copy of the bid evaluation report)) BIDDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF

92 *.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	[····	
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	No-	
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
21	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY 1 BLACK LISTED?	THAT T	HE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF I SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE P. BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	ひくなついけつで	CARLINITION FOR CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE CONTRACTOR AND ADDRE
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBI THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATIC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Signature & Official Stamp of Project Director Authorized Officer State of	e si	

TO TOO

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) /79

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/11 /2013

WORK ORDER

Τo,

Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain Government Contractor NAWABSHAH .

Subject:

∹ ince:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate / Quoted Rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,56,815/- Eleven Lacs Fifty Six thousand Eight Hundred Fifteen rupees @12.25%below on Schedule items of Schedule premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

%

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)
Deputy Commissioner
Shaheed Benazir Abad &
Project Director
Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

1. 2.

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Str

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director engthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

Name of Procuring Agency

Method of Procurement:

Mr. Abdul Sattar Arain

Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved road to Nadra

Office length 500ft.

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure.

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213076

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

1,156,815/-

Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	for acceptance	Remarks
2	3	4	5	1	-
Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain	1,156,815,/-	1 st Lowest)	12.25% Below	Lowest Bid being	Rate Approved
Mr.Allah Nawaz	1,174,399/-	2 nd Lowest	10.90% Below	Higher Bid Hence	by P.D Rejected
Mr.Bilal Khan	1,215,429/-	3rd Lowest	7.75% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
	2 Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain Mr.Allah Nawaz	Bidder the bidder 2 3 Mr.Abdul Sattar 1,156,815/- Arain 1,174,399/-	Bidder the bidder terms of cost 2 3 4 Mr.Abdul Sattar 1,156,815/- 1st Lowest) Mr.Allah Nawaz 1,174,399/- 2nd Lowest	the bidder terms of cost Estimate cost 2 3 4 5 Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain 1,156,815/- 1st Lowest) 12.25% Below Mr.Allah Nawaz 1,174,399/- 2nd Lowest 10.90% Below	Bidder the bidder terms of cost Estimate cost for acceptance /rejection 2 3 4 5 6 Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain 1,156,815/- 1st Lowest) 12.25% Below being approved Higher Bid Hence rejected Mr.Allah Nawaz 1,174,399/- 3rd Lowest 7.75% Below Hence

Munkipal Engi Municipal Committee Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

(WORKS, SERVICES	S & GOODS)
1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	
2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure Provincial Government
3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	
4 TENDER NUMBER	Recond: of Road from approved road to Nadra Office length 500ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	
6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	A.D.P
7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	1.221Million
8 · ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	1.186 Million
9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	03 Months
10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	12.11.2013
11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos
12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos:
13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS.	03 Nos:
14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy).	Enclosed
15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	1.156Million
16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	
17 PANKING OF IT	
17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT	1.Mr.Abdul Sattar Arain
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	2.Mr.Allah Nawaz Memon

3.Mr.Bilal Khan

- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: (Tick one)
 - © SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

	19) APP	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD C	E CONTI-	ACT	
	20) WH	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INC	TLUDED I	n ann	JAL PROCUREMENT PLANT
	21) ADV	ERTISEMENT :			Yes No
	Ð	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identified	ation No.)	Yes	15752/3078
	ii)	News Papers		No Yes	
	As .	(If yes, give names of newspapers and	dates)	No	
	_ 22) NATU	JRE OF CONTRACT	·		Local Int.
	23) WHET WAS:	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DO , enclose a copy)	CUMENT	rs?	Yes V No
	WAS I	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DO enclose a copy)	CUMENT	S?	Yes No
	25) WHET METH	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AU OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIV	THORITY E BIDDIN	WAS C	BTAINED FOR USING A
	26) WAS B	ID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL	THE BID	DERS?	Yes No
	27) WHETT BID/B	EER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOW EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Const	VEST EVA	LUATE	D Yes No
	28) WHETH COMPL	IER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS JANT?	TECHNIC	ALLY	Yes No
247 05 100	1113.111	IER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THE OF OPENING OF BIDS?			Yes No
		ER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN ACT? opy of the bid evaluation report)	то ви	DERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF
					Yes No

37. 3.

Contract to make the state over the

a.,	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
20	No.
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
4. 255 70.000	No
4 35 DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
·	N
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY T BLACK LISTED?	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF TO SUPPLIER SPREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PREDE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, (If yes, enclose a copy)	HE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE ROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO IF ABROAD:
37) WERE PROBED SAFEOUTING TO THE	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	JIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
will	No.
Signature & Official Stamp of Profess Transfer Authorized Officer Street	70
2 Taleste : Filosoph stada	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
: 	•

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH

DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P) /80

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8 / // /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

M/s Crescent Engg: Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO AJMEERI CHOWK

LENGTH 600 FT

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-11,10,529/- Eleven Lacs Ten Thousand Five Hundred Twenty Nine rupees @12.19%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

> (ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure
Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 2.

> Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Paluka Nawabahah

D.A/As above.

1. Name of Procuring Agency

M/s Crescent Engg.

Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved Road to Ajmeeri

chowk length 600 ft

Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure.

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (if Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

11,10,529/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Crescent Engg:	11,10,529/-	1 st Lowest)	12.19% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s KHalsi Construction	11,31,463/-	2 nd Lowest	10,50% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Imran Khan	11,68,622/-	3rd Lowest	7,60% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Englineer Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure aluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial Government

3 TITLE OF CONTRACT: Recond: of Road from approved Road to Ajmeeri chowk length 600 ft

TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT

6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P

7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 1.176Million

8 ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 1.138 Million

(For civil works only)
9 ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER 03 Months

CONTRACT)
10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013

11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers)

12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:

13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS.

14 BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed

(Enclose a copy).

15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 1.110 Million

16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE

17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION 1.M/s Crescent Engg: REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 2.M/s Khaldi Construction

3.Mr.Imran Khan

18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PROCEDURE
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

20)	W	HETHER THE PROC	UREMENT WAS I	MCDODED II	MINIO	AD I ICC	Yes	N	lo	
21)	Λ) VERTISEMENT :			Yes	, 5	752/3	071	5	
	i)	SPPRA Websi (If yes, give da	te te and SPPRA Llent	ification No.)	No					
	ii	News Papers (If yes, give na	imes of newspapers	and dates)	Yes					
22) >	ATURE OF CONTR	ACT	wigo.			Local	<u> </u>	Int.	
₹ 23	1	HETHER QUALIFICAS INCLUDED IN 1 f yes, enclose a copy)	BIDDING/JENDE	N R DOCUMEN	ITS?		Yes	/]	No]	_]
24	,	/HETHER BID EVA VAS INCLUDED IN f yes, enclose a copy)	BIDDING / TENDE	ia R documen	VTS?		Yes	1	No]
25	5) '	VHETHER APPROV METHOD OTHER TI	AL OF COMPETEN IAN OPEN COMPE	NT AUTHORE ETITIVE BIDE	TY WA: DING?	S OBTA	Yes	R USII	NG A	
20	s) '	VAS BIO SECURITY	OBTAINED FROM	M ALL THE B	idder:	S?	Yes		No.	
20	7)	VHETHER THE SUC BID / BEST EVALUA	CCESSFUL BID W/ ATED BID (in case o	AS LOWEST I	EVALUA (8)	ATED	Yes		No	
23		WHETHER THE SUC	CCESSFUL BIDDEI	R WAS TECH	NICALI	Ϋ́	Yes		No	
	9)	WHETHER NAMES THE TIME OF OPEN	OF THE BIDDERS IING OF BIDS?	AND THEIR	QUOTE	D PRIC	ES WERE Yes	REAI	No No	ľ
3		WHETHER EVALU CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bi		GIVEN TO	BIDD)	ERS B	EFORE T	HE /	AWARD	OF
		Attach copy of the bi	d evaluation report)				Yes		No	-

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No .
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN (If yes, give details)	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIMES (If yes, give reasons)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(yes, give reasons)	Yes
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	No
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
35) WAS IT ASSUMPT TO THE	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VIS. (If yes, enclose a copy)	F THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO IT, IF ABROAD:
	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOI THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
Signature & Official Stamp of Project Discutor Authorized Officer Change Control Contr	ritte
	e field V

A.

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: 16 (S&P) /8/

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated /8/ // /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

Mr.Mohammad Hussain Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

RECOND: OF ROAD FROM APPROVED ROAD TO CONNECT AMIN KABARI

SHOP LENGTH 300 FT

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013 Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above work amounting for Rs:-4,69,916/- Four Lac Sixty Nine Thousand Nine Hundred Sixteen rupees @12.15%below on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

> (ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Nawabshab Taluka Nawabshah

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad C.C to:-1.

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 2.

> Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

1. Name of Procuring Agency

Mr.Mohammad Hussain

Tender Reference No:

P.D(5&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3. Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Recond: of Road from approved Road to connect

Amin Kabari shop length 300 ft

4. Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5. Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T./ No.1575213075

6. Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7. Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

\$8. Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No: Of Bid technically qualified (If

N/A

applicable)
10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

4,69,916/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	Mr.Mohammad Hussain	469,916/-	1 st Lowest)	12,15% Below	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	Mr.Khaldi Construction	474,894/-	2 nd Lowest	11,20% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	Mr.Ubedullah	477,775/-	3rd Lowest	10,65% Below	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer

Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer
Highway Division
Benazir Abad

Project Director

Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

Taiuka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Provincial Government PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Recond: of Road from approved Road to connect TITLE OF CONTRACT: Amin Kabari shop length 300 ft P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013 TENDER NUMBER BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT 5 A.D.PFORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME 6 0.500Million TUNDER ESTIMATED VALUE 7 0.476 Million ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER 03 Months CONTRACT) 12.11.2013 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos: NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF 03 Nos: OPENING OF BIDS. Enclosed BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 0.469 Million CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION 1.Mr.Mohammad Hussain 2.M/s Khaldi Construction REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 3.Mr.Ubedullah sheikh

- METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: (Tick one)
 - $\frac{i}{r^2}$ a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING

PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

2,				S INCLUDED			Yes	No	1
21)	ADVE	RTISEMENT:							
	1)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date ar	nd SPPRA Ide	entification No.	Yes	15	752/3 	3076	
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names	of newspaper	rs and dates)	Yes	_			
					No				
22)	NATU	RE OF CONTRACT					Local	In	t.
23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No				,				
24)) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, cuclose a copy))				
25)	WHETE METE	HER APPROVAL O OOD OTHER THAN	F COMPETE OPEN COMP	ENT AUTHORI PETITIVE BIDI	TY WAS DING?	5 ОВТА	Yes	R USING	
26)	WAS	BID SECURITY OB	FAINED FRO	M ALL THE I	HDDER8	3?	Yes	Ne)
27)	WHET	THER THE SUCCESS BEST EVALUATED	SFUL BID W BID (in case	AS LOWEST I	EVALUA cs)	TED	Yes	No)
28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No COMPLIANT?								
29)) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No								
30)	CONT	THER EVALUATIC RACT? h copy of the bid eval			BIDDE	RS BE	FORE TI	HE AW	ARD O

,我们是要人的,我们就放弃,我就是被罚人的人们,这种可能的是一种人们的人们的人们,也可以是人们的人们的人们的人们的人们的人,也可以是人们的人们的人们的人们的人们 1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1966年,1

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) :	Yes	
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE T	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(if yes, give detains)	Yes	
	-N°0	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
Å.	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA		
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No	,
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY T BLACK LISTED?	ТАТ Т	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF T SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, (If yes, enclose a copy)	ノヘベコロ	EMENITO IF DO DETT. 11 2 1913
(if yes, cholose a copy)		Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATI	ON ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
on the text (BANK GOMMANTEE ETC.)?		Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	•
rlilly	70	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Strengthen Fine Proceedings	<u>, </u>	
4/W		
•		

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 18/// /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

M/s United Engineer Const. co: Government Contractor

NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz

Shah Garden Road Length 2050 Rft

Refince:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-65,76,586/- Sixty Five Lacs Seventy Six Thousand Five Hundred Eighty Six rupees Part-A @15.11%below & Part-B 19.10% Above on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

> (ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI) Deputy Commissioner

Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure

Taluka Nawabshah

C.C to:-

The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah. 1. 2.

> **Deputy Commissioner** Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

Name of Procuring Agency

M/s United Construction

Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

Tender Description/Name of Work / tem:

W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz Shah Garden Road Length

2050 Rft

Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No: Of Bid technically qualified (If applicable)

N/A

10. Bid (S) Rejected:

02

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

12. Rid Evaluation Report.

6,576,586/-

S.No:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	terms of	Comparison with Estimate	Reasons for acceptance	Remark
1.	2	3	cost 4	cost	/rejection	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
1	M/s United Engine Construction co.	-/6,576,586		5 Part.A15.11% Below Part.B 19.10%	Lowest Bid being approved	7 Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s Crescent Engg	6,613,017	2 nd Lowest	Part.A14.63% Below Part.B 19.45% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected
3	M/s Abdul Ahad Associates	6,667,543/-	3rd Lowest	Part.A13.91% Below Part.B19.87% Above	Higher Bid Hence rejected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee

Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division

Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure aluka Nawabshah

& med tasting from

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS O

THE BY ALL PROCUPING	
NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION	GENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF CES & GOODS)
NAME OF THE	CES & GOODS)
1 NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT:	3.
2 PROVINCIAL (1.2	Project In:
2 PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure
3 TITLE OF CO.	Provincial G
3 TITLE OF CONTRACT:	Provincial Government
4 TENDER NUMBER	W/R link of Road Starting from approved Bucheri road to Connect Umaz Shah Garden Road Length
5 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013
6 FORUM THAT APPROVED -	••
6 FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	
7 TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	A.D.P
ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE	6.951 Million
(For civil works only)	
2 ESTIMA (EI) COVID	6.862 Million
CONTRACT) CONTRACT) COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER	
10 TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	03 Months
TIME)	10.0
11 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	12.11.2013
(Attach list of buyers)	03.14
12 NUMBER OF PER	03 Nos
12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	
13 NUMBER OF DID	03 Nos:
13 NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF	103,
14 BID EVALUATION	03 Nos:
Y OLO DVALLIATION DATE	
-1000 и сору).	Enclosed
15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	Thetosed
ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL PURPOS	
16 CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	6.576 Million
AWARD PRICE	
17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION (i.e. 1st 2ct 3 t EVALUATION	
REPORT REPORT	
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	I.M/s United Construction
A TOTALUATION BID).	
	2.M/s Crescent Engg:
	23456

- 17

3.M/s Ubedullah Shaikh

- 18 METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

 - e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING/NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

Í		
19) /	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF COM	TRACT
20) \	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDE	D IN ANNUAL PROCUPEAUNE DI
		Yes No
21) A	DVERTISEMENT:	
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No	Yes 15752/307 -6
ii)	Nows Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No Yes
r de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de	TURE OF CONTRACT	Local Int.
71.71	ETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA S INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN cs, enclose a copy)	Yes No No
77.11	ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA S INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN cs, enclose a copy)	TS? Yes No
	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDI	Yes No
26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS? Yes No
27) WHET BID / I	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVA BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	ALUATED Yes No
28) WHET COMP	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC LIANT?	CALLY Yes No
1	HER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUO ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes No
30) WHETE CONTR	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIE COPY of the bid evaluation report)	DDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
		Yes No

" I would that from

			And the second s
į	•		
	31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	No THE TE	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes No	
	35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THE BLACK LISTED? 36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROBLEM ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, II	'E PROC	Yes No
	37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZ THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)." 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	- 7151(0	V ₂₀
	(If yes, give Brief Description)	es	
	Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer		
	7* >		

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR STRENGTHEN PLAN OF INFRASTRUCTURE TALUKA NAWABSHAH DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD

No: P.D (S&P)

of 2013

Nawabshah Dated 2 //2 /2013

WORK ORDER

To,

M/s Abdul Ahad Associates Government Contractor NAWABSHAH.

Subject:

Construction/ Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter House Street length 2050 ft

Ref'nce:-

Your B-I (Percentage Rate) tender received & opened on 12.11.2013

Your B-I (Item / Percentage rate) tender for the execution of the above subject work amounting for Rs:-42,73,130/- Forty Two Lac Seventy Three Thousand One Hundred Thirty rupees Part-A @15.11%below Part-B 19.10% Place & Part-C 1.03% Above on Schedule items of Schedule -B has been approved by undersigned being the lowest in the competition no premium will be allowed on Non-Sch items.

You are therefore requested to please start the work within (7) seven days after issue of this order and complete the same within stipulated period of 03 Months according to the drawing design and specification and under supervision and instructions of the Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

You are further requested to please attend the Office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents immediately.

(ABDUL ALEEM LASHARI)

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad &

Project Director Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah.

C.C to:-

1. 2. The Superintending Engineer works & Services Shaheed Benazir Abad

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Nawabshah.

Deputy Commissioner Shaheed Benazir Abad & Project Director

Strengthen Plan of infrastructure Taluka Nawabshah

1 Name of Procuring Agency

M/s Abdul Ahad Associates

2 Tender Reference No:

P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2013

3 Tender Description/Name of Work /Item:

Construction/Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter

House Street length 2050 ft

4 Method of Procurement:

Single Stage one Envelope Procedure .

5 Tender Published

Leading News paper (Sobh & others) & Web Site

SPPRA.T.I No.1575213075

6 Total Bid Documents Sold

03 Nos:

7 Total Bids Received

03 Nos:

§ 8 Technical Bid opening date (If Applicable)

N/A (Provide details in separate form)

9 No: Of Bid technically qualified (If

N/A

applicable)
1 Bid (S) Rejected:

02

1 Financial Bid Opening date:

12.11.2013

1 Bid Evaluation Report.

4,273,130/-

S.N o:	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimate cost	Reasons for acceptance /rejection	Remarks
1.	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Abdul Ahad Associates	4,273,130/-	1 st Lowest)	Part. A 1S.11% Below Part .B 19.10% Above Part-C 1.03% Above	Lowest Bid being approved	Rate Approved by P.D
2	M/s Khaldi Construction	4,295,914/-	2 nd Lowest	Part.A14.63% Below Part .B 19.45% Above Part-C 1.09% Above	Higher Bid Hence relected	Rejected
3	Mohammad Ashfaque Khan	4,330,158/-	3rd Lowest	Part.A13.91% Below Part.B19.87% Above Part-C 1.22% Above	Higher Bid Hence reJected	Rejected

Municipal Engineer
Municipal Committee
Nawabshah

Executive Engineer Highway Division Benazir Abad

Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure

2/11

Taluka Nawabshah

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF (WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS)

NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Project Director Strengthen Plan of Infrastructure PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial Government TITLE OF CONTRACT: Construction/ Recond: of Road from approved Road to Aisha Degree College and Slaughter House Street length 2050 ft TENDER NUMBER P.D(S&P) 136 dated24.09.2D13 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME A.D.P TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.3740Million ENGENEER'S ESTIMATE ro. civil works only) 4.3270Million ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 12.11.2013 NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos 12 NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos: NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS. 03 Nos: 14 BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy), Enclosed 15 NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER 4.273 Million CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 17 RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION 1.M/s Abdul Ahad Associates REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 2.M/s Khaldi Construction 3.Mr.Mohammad Ashfaque Khan

METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

j		
19) #	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CO	ONIRACT
ĺ	VHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUI	DED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
1		Yes No
Z1) A.	DVERTISEMENT:	
)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification)	
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
·-		No
22) NA1	TURE OF CONTRACT	
	THE ST CONTRACT	Local Int.
	ETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA S INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMI cs, enclose a copy)	
		Yes No
	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUME s, enclose a copy)	<u> </u>
	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI IOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDE	Yes No
26) WAS I	HID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B.	DDERS? Yes No
27) WHETI BID/B	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVECTED BID (in case of Consultancies	VALUATED Yes No
28) WHETT COMPL	IER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNI JANT?	CALLY Yes No
		·
	ER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QU ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes Ves
30) WHETHE CONTRA	ER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BI .CT? ppy of the bid evaluation report)	DDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
		Yes No
		

				٠.,	•
31) ANY COMPLAINTS R (If yes, result thereof)	ECEIVED	i ,	Yes		
			No		•
 32) ANY DEVIATION FRO (If yes, give details) 	OM SPECIFICATION	IS GIVEN IN	THE TENI	DER NOTICI	E / DOCUMENTS
			Yes		
33) WAS THE EXTENSION (If yes, give reasons)	NMADE IN RESPON	ISE TIME?	Yes		
		į	No		
34) DEVIATION FROM QU (If yes, give detailed reaso	'ALIFICATION CRIT	ERIA	Yes		
		· .	No		
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY BLACK LISTED?	THE PROCURING	AGENCY T	НАТ ТНЕ	SELECTEI Yes	D FIRM IS NOT
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY SUPPLIER'S PREMISES BE ASCERTAINED REG (If yes, enclose a copy)					NCY TO THE DETAILS TO
(11 yes, enclose a copy)				Yes	No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEG THE CONTRACT (BANK	UARDS PROVIDED GUARANTEE ETC.	ON MOBIL)?	IZATION	ADVANCE Yes	
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (If yes, give Brief Description)	IF ANY	, [Y ps	168	No
·	2/1	W	40		
gnature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	Project Dis	seide	2		
	4M <	~			