

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Riaz Hussain Behan Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr.Riaz Hussain Behan	689352	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	691480	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr.Rahib Khan Panhwar	690061	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

-m.ary

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 01
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS RIAZ HUSSAIN BEHAN
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER RIAZ HUSSAIN BEHAN P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE ~~609350/-~~ 689352/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
 1. RIAZ HUSSAIN BEHAN
 2. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADER
 3. MR. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *DO works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
M. FERDUSE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Sakhi Dad Wahi Taluka Bhiria
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Nazar ^{Naseer} Ahmed ^{Chorn}	690500	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Rahib Khan Panhwar	691040	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr. Afzal Panhwar	692360	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 02
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS SAKHI DAD WAHI
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER NASEER AHMED CHARAN P.O THARUSHAH
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 690500/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. NASEER AHMED CHARAN
2. MUHAMMAD AFZA PANHWR
3. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do works & services. *air force*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N.FEROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Amir Ali Shah
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr.Sabir Hussain Abro	689360	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Bros.	690500	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S J.M Contractor	691320	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 9
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS AMIR ALI SHAH
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER SABIR HUSSAIN ABRO P.O DARBELO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 689360/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. SABIR HUSSAIN ABRO
2. M/S GHULAM MUSTAFA & BRS.
3. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
ALFEROZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Mitho Solangi
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr.Rahib Khan Panhwar	688500	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Muhammad Saleh Solangi	689150	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S J.M Contractor	689750	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 04
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS MITHO SOLANGI
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER MR. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR P.O DALIPOTA
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 688500/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
2. MUHAMMAD SALEH SOLANGI
3. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Workes & Services
a'frye

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT B. Do Works & Services.
a' fuzje

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N.FEROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Kouro Ujjan
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr.Muhammad Ayoob Larik	689900	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Rahib Khan Panhwar	690200	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr. Muhammad Uris Bhurt	691300	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 05
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT KOURO UJJAN
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER MUHAMMAD AYOOB LARIK P.O MOLEDINO LARIK
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 689900/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. MUHAMMAD AYOOB LARIK
2. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
3. MUHAMMAD URIS BHURT
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic / Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FEROUZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 06
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT SUKHIO KALHORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER NIAZ HUSSAIN ABRO P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 692000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. NIAZ HUSSAIN ABRO
2. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
3. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intl.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	-------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FERZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at GPS Andal Solangi
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Atif Ali Sahito	691300	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito	692000	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr. Afraz Ali Sahito	692900	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
at large

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FEROCZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at Shahbz Colony Kandiara Taluka Kandiara
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Muneer Ahmed Ghaghro	689000	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S S.K. Corporation	689010	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr. Iqbal Ahmed Baladi	690200	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 09
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS SHAHBAZ COLONY KANDIARO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER MUNEER AHMED GHANGHRO P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 689000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. MUNEER AHMED GHANGHRO
2. M/S S.K CORPORATION
3. IQBAL AHMED SOOMRO
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intl.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	-------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
IN FERDUSE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Addl: One Class Room in existing P.S at Tameer-e-Millat Mehrabpur Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Zahid Ali Sahito	692000	1 st	700000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Zaheer Hussain Warer	692900	2 nd	700000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S J.M Contractor	693500	3 rd	700000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 00
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ADDL. C/R IN EXISTING PRIMARY SCHOOL AT GPS TAMEER-E-MILLAT MEHRABPUR
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 700000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER ZAHID AHMED SAHITO P.O HALANI
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 692000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ZAHID AHMED SAHITO
2. ZAHEER HUSSAIN WARER
3. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

[Handwritten Signature]
DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
INFERIOR

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of C/Wall at GPS Bahadur Khan Zardari Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari	294449	1 st	297600/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Tarique Ali Zardari	295300	2 nd	297600/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Ghulam Akbar Zardari	295800	3 rd	297600/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 11
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF C/WALL AT GPS BAHADUR KHAN ZARDARI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 294000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 297600/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 294449/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st ,2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI
2. TARIQUE ALI ZARDARI
3. GHULAM AKBAR ZARDARI
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic / Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
air force

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FERDZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Ghulam Akbar Zardari Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ghulam Akbar Zardari	360490	1 st	361100/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari	361000	2 nd	361100/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Zaheer & Co.	361900	3 rd	361100/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 12
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GPS GHULAM AKBAR ZARDARI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 750000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 361100/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 360490/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. GHULAM AKBAR ZARDARI
2. AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI
3. M/S ZAHEER & CO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic / Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ N.A
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
A. Singh

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
G. FERROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Mukhtiar Zardari
Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari	494000	1 st	500000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Tarique Zardari	494800	2 nd	500000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Zaheer & Co.	495200	3 rd	500000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O.(E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 13
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GPS MUKHTIAR ZARDARI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 100000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 500000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 494000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st ,2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. AIJAZ ALI ZARDARI
2. TARIQUE ALI ZARDARI
3. M/S ZAHEER & CO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services. a/fwdg*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes
No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes
No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FERDZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No.: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Photo Khan Zardari
Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Qadir Bux Zardari	580000	1 st	590000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	580600	2 nd	590000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr.Afraz Sahito	581200	3 rd	590000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 14
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GPS PHOTO KHAN ZARDARI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 590000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER QADIR BUX ZARDARI P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 580000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. QADIR BUX ZARDARI
2. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADERS
3. AFRAZ AHMED SAHITO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
a. fuz

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
INFEROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Hakim Ali Zardari Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Qadir Bux Zardari	374000	1 st	380000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	374800	2 nd	380000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Tarique Ali Zardari	374985	3 rd	380000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 15
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GPS HAKIM ALI ZARDARI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 400000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 380000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (finclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER QADIR BUX ZARDARI P.O MORO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE ~~580000/-~~ 374000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. QADIR BUX ZARDARI
2. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADERS
3. TARIQUE ALI ZARDARI.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
at large

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :
i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies).
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
N. FERZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Muhammad Liaque Zardari Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Tarique Ali Zardari	657378	1 st	663000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	658000	2 nd	663000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Qadir Bux Zardari	658980	3 rd	663000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 16
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GPS MUHAMMAD LIAQUE ZARDARI TALUKA MORO

- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 650000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 663000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER TARIQUE ALI ZARDARI
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 657378/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. TARIQUE ALI ZARDARI
2. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADERS
3. QADIR BUX ZARDARI.

- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services as per*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN-BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
IFFK020

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/IC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of C/Wall GGMS Loung Khan Korejo Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ashique Ali Mallah	297484	1 st	298700/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mirbaz Chowan	297700	2 nd	298700/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	298000	3 rd	298700/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 17
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF C/WALL GGMS LOUNG KHAN KOREJO TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 294000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 298700/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ASHIQUE ALI MALLAH
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 297484/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ASHIQUE ALI MALLAH
2. MIRBAZ CHOWAN
3. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADERS.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
air force

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
[Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of C/Wall at Ghulam Muhammad Sabki Taluka Moro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Najumddin Sabki	387167	1 st	398000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	390200	2 nd	398000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Wazir & Co.	391000	3 rd	398000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHIARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 19
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF C/WALL AT GHULAM
MUHAMMAD SABKI TALUKA MORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 400000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 398000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (I enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER NAJUMDDIN SABKI
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 387167/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. NAJUMDDIN SABKI
2. M/S AL-SHAHBAZ TRADERS
3. M/S WAZIR & CO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
A. Singh

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :
i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
M. FERAZI

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Datar Dino Khoso
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Sarwan Constt.	478598	1 st	485000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S Al-Hassnain	480385	2 nd	485000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Iqbal Ahmed Soomro	481375	3 rd	485000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 20
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS DATTAR DINO KHOSO TALUKA KANDIARO

- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 500000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 485000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER M/S SARWAN CONST.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 478598/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. M/S SARWAN CONST.
2. M/S AL-HASNAIN
3. IQBAL AHMED SOOMRO

- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do works & services.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
M. N. FEROUZ

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road. Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GMS Gher Gaju Taluka Bhiria
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Iqbal Ahmed Soomro	676837	1 st	680000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mr. Manzoor Ahmed Abbasi	677850	2 nd	680000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mr. Inayatullah Rajper	678500	3 rd	680000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 21
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GMS GHER GAJU TALUKA BHIRIA

- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 650000-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 680000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER IQBAL AHMED SOOMRO.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 676837/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. IQBAL AHMED SOOMRO
2. MANZOOR AHMED ABBASI
3. INYATULLAH RAJPER
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
A. Singh

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
H. FEROZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No.: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GMS Atta Muhammad Dayo Taluka Bhiria
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Abdul Waheed Dahio	341930	1 st	345000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Atta Muhammad Rajper	342800	2 nd	345000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Iqbal Ahmed Baladi	343900	3 rd	345000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 22
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GMS ATTA MUHAMMAD DAYO TALUKA BHIRIA
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 343000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 345000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ABDUL WAHEED DAHIO P.O BHIRIA.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 341930/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ABDUL WAHEED DAHIO
2. ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
3. IQBAL AHMED BALADI
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services. *a. frage*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
N. FERDUSI

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Hajjan Baladi Taluka Bhiria
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Iqbal Ahmed Baladi	389578	1 st	398000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Atta Muhammad Rajper	391200	2 nd	398000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brs.	392300	3 rd	398000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 23
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS HAJJAN BALADI TALUKA BHIRIA
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 400000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 398000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER MUHAMMAD IQBAL BALADI P.O KANDIARO.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 389578/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. MUHAMMAD IQBAL BALADI
2. ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
3. M/S GHULAM MUSTAFA & BRS.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
N. FROD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of Khokhar New Sahita
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ghulam Hyder Bhurt	645400	1 st	650000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Afraz Ahmed Sahito	646300	2 nd	650000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Rahib Khan Panhwar	646930	3 rd	650000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 24
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Repair of Govt. Primary School Khokar New Sahita
-
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 700000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 650000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER GHULAM HYDER BHURT P.O DALIPOTA
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 645400/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. GHULAM HYDER BHURT
2. AFRAZ AHMED SAHITO
3. RAHIB KHAN PANHWAR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *DO WORKS & SERVICES. a. large*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
HYDERABAD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of C/Wall at GGMS Sher Khan Khoso
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Zafar Ali Khoso	298000	1 st	300000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Ghulam Ali Nangraj	298200	2 nd	300000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mirbaz Khan Chowan	299300	3 rd	300000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 26
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF C/WALL AT GGMS SHER KHAN KHOSO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 300000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 300000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ZAFAR ALI KHOSO P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 298000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ZAFAR ALI KHOSO
2. GHULAM ALI NANGRAJ
3. MIRBAZ KHAN CHOWAN
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
As per

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
KARACHI**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of C/Wall at GPS Dittal Khalhoro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ---
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ---
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Muneer Ahmed Ghanghro	298900	1 st	300000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Niaz Hussain Abro	299300	2 nd	300000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Atif Ali Sahito	299398	3 rd	300000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 27
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF C/WALL AT GPS DITAL KALHORO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 300000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 300000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Encluse a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER MUNEER AHMED GHANGHRO P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 298900/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. MUNEER AHMED GHANGHRO
2. NIAZ HUSSAIN ABRO
3. ATIF ALI SAHITO
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
at large

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
 Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
 Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
 Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
 Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
 Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
 Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
 Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

DISTRICT OFFICE
(EDUCATION OFFICER)
Karachi

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GHS Dehat Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ghulam Mujtaba Khaskheli	638900	1 st	645000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Nisar Ahmed Sahito	639400	2 nd	645000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mujeeb Ahmed Sahito	640800	3 rd	645000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 28
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR/RENOVATION OF GHS DEHAT TALUKA
MEHRABPUR
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 650000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 645000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER GHULAM MUJTABA KHASKHELI P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 638900/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. GHULAM MUJTABA KHASKHELI
2. NISAR AHMED SAHITO
3. MUJEEB AHMED SAHITO
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED LE EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
as per

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes
No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes
No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
N.FERATE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GPS Allah Dino Jogi
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mujeeb Ahmed Sahito	497400	1 st	499800/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mir Shoukat Sahito	498500	2 nd	499800/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Yasir Pathan	499200	3 rd	499800/-	3 rd Lowest	---

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services.*
a. fuz

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
M.F. 2012**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of Compound Wall for GBHS Tameer-e-Millat Mehrabpur Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ---
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ---
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Zahid Hussain Sahito	612212	1 st	616800/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mirbaz Khan Chowan	612357	2 nd	616800/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Afraz Ahmed Sahito	612793	3 rd	616800/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 30
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR OF COMPOUND WALL FOR GBHS TAMEER-E-MILLAT MEHRABPUR
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 600000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 616800/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ZAHID HUSSAIN SAHITO P.O HALANI
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 612212/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ZAHID HUSSAIN SAHITO
2. MIRBAZ KHAN CHOWAN
3. AFRAZ AHMED SAHITO
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services
at large

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS.
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
[Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Haji Photo Khan Dahri
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Muhammad Iqbal Baladi	194300	1 st	198000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	M/S J.M Contractor	194900/-	2 nd	198000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Zaheer Hussain	195450/-	3 rd	198000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 31
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS HAJI PHOTO KHAN DAHRI
-
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 198000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER MUHAMMAD IQBAL BALADI P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 194300/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. MUHAMMAD IQBAL BALADI
2. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
3. ZAHEER HUSSAIN WARER
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do works & services.
at site

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
[Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Mehar Haji Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Atta Muhammad Rajper	382191	1 st	387000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Zaheer Hussain Warer	382380	2 nd	387000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Wazir & Co.	882544	3 rd	387000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 32
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS MEHAR HAJI TALUKA MEHRABPUR
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 386000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 387000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 382191/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
2. ZAHEER HUSSAIN WARER
3. M/S WAZIR ALI & CO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intl.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	-------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
L.F.F.C.O.E**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Sher Khan Kaihoro
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Ghulam Ali Nangraj	197980	1 st	199700/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Zafar Ali Khoso	198390	2 nd	199700/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S Wazir & Co.	197970	3 rd	199700/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 33
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS SHER KHAN KALHORO
-
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 199700/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER GHULAM ALI NANGRAJ P.O KANDIARO
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 197980/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. GHULAM ALI NANGRAJ
2. ZAFAR ALI KHOSO
3. M/S WAZIR ALI & CO.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N.F.F.F.E.E.**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Renovation of GPS Noor Muhammad Amir Taluka M.Pur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Wazeer Ahmed & Co.	235090	1 st	237500/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mujahid Ali Sahito	236000	2 nd	237500/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mujeeb Rehman Sahito	236900	3 rd	237500/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 34
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RENOVATION OF GPS NOOR MUHAMMAD AMIR TALUKA MEHRABPUR

- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 236000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 287500/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ZAWEER AHMED & CO P.O HALANI
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 235090/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. M/S WAZEER AHMED & CO.
2. MUJAHID ALI SAHITO
3. MUJEEB REHMAN SAHITO

- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

Domestic / Local

 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____

N.A

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do Works & Services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)**
[Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of GPS Allah Wasavo Unnar
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Yasir Ali Pathan	198500	1 st	200000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mashooque Ali Sahito	199480	2 nd	200000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Muhammad Anwar Makee	199800	3 rd	200000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 35
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR OF GPS ALLAH WASAYO UNNAR
-
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 200000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER YASIR ALI PATHAN
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 198500/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. YASIR ALI PATHAN
2. MASHOOQUE ALI SAHITO
3. MUHAMMAD ANWAR MAKEE
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*
a/s/xyz

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
Yes No

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
Yes No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FERDUS**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Earth work & Park at GGHS Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ---
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ---
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S J.M Contractor	398070	1 st	410000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Mir Shoukat Ali Sahito	398790	2 nd	410000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	Mashooque Ali Sahito	399200	3 rd	410000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 36
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT EARTH WORK & PARK AT GGHS MEHRABPUR
-
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 400000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 410000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 398070/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
2. MIR SHOUKAT ALI SAHITO
3. MASHOOQUE ALI SAHITO
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N.FEROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of GGMS Mole Dino Rajper Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Atta Muhammad Rajper	677303	1 st	701000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	MIR Khan Khaskheli	680259	2 nd	701000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S J.M contractor	681218	3 rd	701000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 37
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT REPAIR OF GGMS MOLE DINO RAJPER TALUKA MEHRABPUR
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 700000-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 701000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (us per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE ~~398070/-~~ 677303/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
2. MIR KHAN KHASKHELI
3. M/S J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | |
|--|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT *Do works & services.*

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____


**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FEROZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) N.Feroze
2. Tender Reference No: D.O(E.W)/TC/727, dated: 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of C/Wall & Repair of Main Building at GGMS Mole Dino Raiper Taluka Mehrabpur
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: Through on web site
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) --- *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 08-06-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Atta Muhammad Rajper	651442	1 st	680000/-	1 st Lowest	---
2.	Muhammad Anwar Makee	652102	2 nd	680000/-	2 nd Lowest	---
3.	M/S J.M contractor	681218	3 rd	680000/-	3 rd Lowest	---

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACTOR EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOOD

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. D.O (E.W) NAUSHHARO FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 38
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF C/WALL AND REPAIR OF MAIN BUILDING AT GGMS MOLE DINO RAJPER
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 680000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (for civil work only) 680000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PRIOD (as per contract) 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 09-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TEDNDER DOCUMENT SOLD 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BID: ALL
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDER ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 651442/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e 1st , 2nd , 3rd EVALUATION BID) 1. ATTA MUHAMMAD RAJPER
2. MUHAMMAD ANWAR MAKEE
3. M/S .J.M CONTRACTOR
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | Domestic / Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEUDRE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | N.A |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METOD OF PROCURMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENC, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Do Works & Services.
A. Singh

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	No <input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)
Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: 9-6-2011

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

**DISTRICT OFFICER
(EDUCATION WORKS)
N. FERDZE**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset