**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAYS**

**WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT @ GOVERNMENT BOYS DEGREE COLLEGE MATIARI**

No. XEN(H/W)/TC/G-55/ /of 2016, Matiari, Dated:  **/ /2016**

**SUBJECT: - PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON 01-04-2016.**

A meeting of the procurement committee was held in the office of Executive Engineer, Highways Division Matiari under the chairmanship of Executive Engineer.

2. The below mentioned member of committee attended the meeting to discuss the bids invited for the procurement of works vide letter **No. TC/G-55/338** dated: **11-03-2016** and subsequently hoisted on the website of Sindh Public Procurement Regularity Authority and Information Technology Department Government of Sindh.

Following attend the meeting:

1. Executive Engineer

Auqaf Department, Member

Hyderabad.

2. Divisional Accounts Officer Member

Highways Division Matiari.

3. During the course of meeting following discussion were made by the committee.

1. The tender received on dated: 01-04-2016 at 1:00 PM were opened before the procurement committee in presence of representatives of participants.
2. The methods for bidding documents observed as single envelop.
3. The tender was opened on 01-04-2016 before the tender opening Committee.

4. The committee was informed that 12 Nos bidders have purchased the bidding documents upto dated: 01-04-2016 and 12 Nos have responsed the bids and received today upto 3:00 PM in the office of Executive Engineer, Highways Division Matiari.

5. During the opening of tender received, following status was found.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **S.No.** | **Name of Firms** | **Raking in Term of Cost** | **Remarks** |
| 01 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Ghulam Nabi Samoon | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. Nazir Ahmed | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 02 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. G. Hussain Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. RMC Rehmatullah & Brothers | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 03 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Prime Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Sahito | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 04 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Prime Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. RMC Rehmatullah & Brothers | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 05 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Prime Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. G. Hussain Constt: Co. | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 06 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. A.D Constt: & Engrr: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. RMC Rehmatullah & Brothers | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 07 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Nazir Ahmed | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. A.D Constt: & Engrr: Co. | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 08 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Prime Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. G. Hussain Constt: Co. | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 09 | M/s. Ghulam Abbas & Brothers | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Prime Constt: Co. | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. RMC Rehmatullah & Brothers | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 10 | M/s. M/A Ameen Enterprises | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Sahito | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | M/s. A.D Constt: & Engrr: Co. | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 01 | M/s. New Max General Technology | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Sahito | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | Mr. Irshad Ali Dahri | 3rd | Highest Rejected |
| 02 | M/s. Abbas Ali Builders & Co. | 1st Lowest | Accepted |
|  | M/s. Ghulam Nabi Samoon | 2nd | Highest Rejected |
|  | Mr. Waleed Raza | 3rd | Highest Rejected |

6. The committee thoroughly scrutinized each case / tender document, by comparing earthimatically and financially with sanctioned estimates / bill of quantities and criteria for eligibility and minimum qualification as per bidding documents.

7. **Recommendation**

After due deliberation / scrutiny of each tender document by the committee, it was recommended that lowest evaluated responsive bidder may be awarded the respective tender.

8. **Decision**

In view of Para No.7 of this report it is decided that tenders are being awarded to above mentioned lowest responsive bidders accordingly.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **-sd-**  **SIKILADHO KAKA**  **DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  **HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  **MATIARI** | **-sd-**  **ALI MOHAMMAD JAT**  **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  **AUQAF DEPARTMENT**  **GOVERNMENT OF SINDH**  **@ HYDERABAD** | **-sd-**  **ARSHAD HUSSAIN BHUTTO**  **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  **HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  **MATIARI** |