



No. Dir (CB-0391)/SPPRA/37-1(CD/GK)/10-11/2245
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Karachi, dated the 28th November 2011

The Administrator,
Town Municipal Administration, (Korangi Town)
City District Government Karachi,
Karachi.

SUBJECT: NIT NO. ADMIN/TMA/Korangi.T/CDGK/697/2010 DATED 23.12.2010.

I am directed to refer to your letter No. Admtr/K.T/770/2011 dated 21.01.2011.

2. It is advised to furnish Bid Evaluation Report duly signed by all members of the Procurement Committee alongwith Contract Evaluation Form, Letter of Award, Form of Contract (Agreement) and Bill of Quantities within seven days of Award as stipulated by Rule-50 of SPP Rules, 2010.

MANAGER (CB)

Copy forwarded for information to:-

1. The Secretary, Local Government Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Commissioner, Karachi Division, Karachi.
- ✓ 3. The District Officer (MPA-PP), Karachi
4. The Director, Local Fund Audit, Karachi.

DAO (MPA) PP

PPA MIA PP

29/11

47/11
29-11-11

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. WORKS & SERVICES DEPARTMENT
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER LOCAL GOVERNMENT (CDGK)
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT MPA PRIORITY PROGRAMME
- 4). TENDER NUMBER 020/2010-11
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Improvement / Rehabilitation of Roads and CC Flooring in 33/D, UC-07, Korangi Town.

- FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P & D GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 12.730 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 12.99 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 120 ((Days)
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24-01-2011 at (02.:30 PM)
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 04 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 04 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 04 Nos
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Attached)
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s M.A. Amin Enterprises
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.1,26,84,500/-
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
M/s M.A. Amin Enterprises
M/s Haseeb Enterprises
M/s Horose Builders
M/s M.I. Engineering (Pay order Not Attached)
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)
 - a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ✓
 - b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE X
 - c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE X
 - d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE X

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT DCO / Administrator.

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2760/2011
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Daily Quami, Express & Jurat on 09-01-2011 & others News Papers
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

32). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

36). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


38). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

39). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____



FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291



CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT OFFICER (MPA-PP)

WORKS & SERVICES GROUP OF OFFICES

4th Floor, Room No. C-409, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

Phone: 021-9232426 / 9230669, Fax: 021-9230669

No. DO (MPA-PP)/W&S/CDGK/56/11

Dated: 10/03/2011

M/s. M.A Amin Enterprises,
Karachi.

MODIFIED WORK ORDER

SUBJECT: IMPROVEMENT / REHABILITATION OF ROADS AND C.C FLOORING IN 33/D, UC-07, KORANGI TOWN, KARACHI.

In continuation to the Work Order issued vide DO/MPAs-PP/CDGK/35/2011 dated 04-03-2011, the breakup of the sanctioned cost is modified and now shall be read as follows:

1. Cost of Schedule Rate:	Rs.33,84,240/-
2. Premium @ 93.00% above on S/R (Including Cartage, Carriage and difference of cost as per prevailing market rate)	Rs.31,47,343/-
3. Cost of Approved Rate as per PC-I.	Rs.61,52,917/-
Grand Total	Rs.1,26,84,500/-

District Officer

MPA's Priority Programme

C.D.G.K

Copy to:

1. With Compliance Honourable MPA Mr. Muhammad Moin Amir Pirzada (PS-125)
2. Executive District Officer (W&S) CDGK.
3. Town Officer (I&S), TMA Korangi Town
4. Deputy District Officer (MPA-PP) W&S, CDGK.
5. Divisional Accounts Officer (MPA-PP) W&S, CDGK.
6. Office File.

M/s. M.A. Amin Enterprises.



Stamp Value :- Rs. 38,060/-

An AGREEMENT made this 4th day of March (2011) between the City District Government of the city of Karachi by in the Christian Year two thousand Eleven their DO-I(P&D)/G.M.CDGK. hereinafter called the CDGK (which expression shall include their successors) of the one part and M/s.M.A. Amin Enterprises, Karachi.

carrying on business under the name and style of M/s. Amin Enterprises Karachi.

by their Proprietor. hereinafter called the Contractors (which expression shall include their successors and assignees of the said firm and heirs executors, administrators and assignees of the said individual partners of the said firm) of the other part.

WHEREAS the CDGK has accepted the Contractor's tender here unto annexed and marked 6/n and dated 24-1-2011 For the work "Improvement/Rehabilitation of Roads & G.C. Flooring in 33/D. UC-07, Korangi Town at a cost Rs. 12684500/- (Rupees One Crore Twenty Six Lacs Eighty four Thousand & Five hundred only) Sanctioned by DCO vide para-6/n. Time limit: 04 Months. Penalty Rs. 2000/- P. day.

according in to the specification and the general conditions of the contract here-unto annexed and marked 6/n and dated 24-1-2011 and signed by the Contractors after having made themselves fully acquainted with its meaning and where as the Contractors have already deposited with the CDGK the sum of Rs.

100000/- as security deposit Rs 8% deducted from R.A-Bills. vide Challan No. in addition to the Earnest Money

Rs. 2% E/Money Rs. 2.60.000/- vide Challan No. 5089 dated 01-02-2011 and have given to the CDGK a lien over such sum as Security for the due fulfillment of the

Contract NO V THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSETH that the CDGK and the Contractors shall respectively well truly carry and fulfil the Contract and abide by all the terms and conditions of the above-said specification and tender.

The Contractors do hereby bind themselves, their heirs, successors/legal representatives and assignees to pay to the CDGK a sum of Rs. equal to 10% as mentioned above by way of penalty in case of the breach of any of the terms and conditions of the contracts including those mentioned in the tender without prejudice to the right of the CDGK to recover the damages for and such breach.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the said parties have set their hands this 4th day of March 2011 for the City of Karachi.

G. Lal

DISTRICT OFFICER M.P.A. SP.P.CDGK Seal

04 MAR 2011

1. M/s. Balaji Enterprises

2. Al-Balajj Corporation Witness

KANWAR ATAZ MUHAMMAD KHAN M.A. AMIN ENTERPRISES OFFICE SUPERINTENDET STAMP OFFICE GOVT OF SINDH PROCEEDING COURTS, KARACHI Contractors



TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION KORANGI TOWN

NAME OF WORK: Rehabilitation of Road i/c C.C.Flooring in Sector 33/D UC- 07 Korangi Town.

Estimated Cost : Rs.1,27,30,000/-
Tender Cost : Rs.3,000/-
Penalty : Rs.2,000/- Per Day

Earnest Money : Rs.2,59,800/-
Time Limit : 04 Months

S.No.	DESCRIPTION	QUANTITY	RATE (Rs.)
1	Dismantling cement concrete black masonry etc.	2480 Cft	544.50 % Cft
2	Dismantling cement concrete plan 1:2:4	2880 Cft	1597.20 % Cft
3	Earthwork Excavation of road side nails i.c formation its bank or putting the same on embankment as directed clod breaking dressing etc complete in ordinary soil(H.W. Sch .1/1	5200 Cft	631.60 %0Cft
4	Earth work of road embankment by bulldozer i/c pulling, mixing, breaking, compacting, opting moisture content + 6 miles lead.	25177 Cft	4571.89 %0Cft
5	Carriage of 100 Cft/5 tones of all material like stone aggregate,spawl.coal Lime, sukhi etc. B.G rail fastenings points and crossing bridge, Girders, pipes, sheet rails, M.S bar etc ,or 1000Nos bricks,10" x 5" x 3" or 1000Nos:tiles, 12"x6"x2: or 150 cft of timber or 100 Mounds of fuel wood by truck or any other means owned by the contractor."6 miles lead" (Sch: of carriage 1/1)	10560 Cft	595.80 % Cft
6	Preparing sub grade i/c earth excavation or filling to and average depth of 9" dressing to chamber and consolidation with power roller.(H.W.Sch:2/6)	171425 Sft	236.73 %Cft
7	Supplying, Laying and spreading and compacting Granular sub base in one or more layers not exceeding 15 cm each Granular sub base more layers material shall consists of natural or processed aggregates such as grave, sand or stone fragment and shall be such nature other deleterious under watering and rolling to from affirm, stable sub base (app: Rate from city government	40711.25 Cft	2400%Cft

8	Supplying, lying, spreading and compacting graded stone ballast up to any thickness in one more layers. Aggregate base course material shall consist of crush hard durable gravel, rock or stone fragment, it shall be clean and free from organic matters, lums clay and other deleterious. The material shall be of such a nature that it can be compacted readily under watering and rolling to form a firm, stable base for both flexible and rigid pavements, (app: Rate from city govt.)	65970 Cft ✓	A/R 3100.% Cft
9	Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½ to inch gauge ratio 1:4:8.	25550 Cft	3584.10 %Cft
10	P/L 2" thick trooping cement concrete 1:2:4 including surface finishing and dividing into panel et complete.	51100 Sft	1396.67 %Sft
11	Providing and spraying tack coat with slow setting emulsified asphalt of penetration grade 60/70 tack coat shall be used @ 3.7 liter %sft (0.4 litter per Sq meter) mixed with emulsifying agent at 70 digree centigrade using uniformly pressure spray distributor boiler with automatic temperature controller prior to application ,earth /rubbish stack on the existing road surfaces shall be scarified . The road surface shall be cleaned by brush be free from dust and dirt and disposed of all kind of excavated material with 3 chain after application traffic will be kept of f and the surface must in tackily condition till the laying of bituminous course. Bitumen course must be laid after 2 to 4 of tack cot application material and methodology shall fully comply the test and specification of AASHTO and as directed by Engineer Incharge	73573 Sft ✓	A/R 300 %Sft
12	Providing and laying in single layer of 3 cm compacted thickness of premixed asphalt concrete wearing course on the following job mix formula. US Standard Sieve Passing by Wg. ¾" (19.0 mm) 100 ½" (12.7 mm) 80-100 No.4 (3.76 mm) 46-63 No.10 (2.00mm) 30-50 Prior to paving of premixed asphalt concrete surface shall be clean from rubbish etc, and must be slickly be virtue of tack or prime coat to make unslipory boundage asphalt concrete shall be laid manually with skilled labour and compacted with tendens steel		

	wheel roller at 98% modified AASHTO density. During laying the temperature of asphalt concrete shall not be less than 140 degree centigrade wearing course shall be 1000 Kg (Minimum) on stability and 20% (Maximum) on loss of stability and specification of AASHTO	73573 Sft ✓	A/R 3400 %Sft
13	Providing and spraying prime coat with medium curing asphalt of penetration grade 60/70. prime coat shall be used @ kerosene oil, using uniformly pressure spray distributor /boiler with automatic temperature controller prior to application of the prime coat all user material shall be removed from the base course and the same shall be cleaned by means of approved mechanical sweeper, blowers, hard brooms and disposal the laying of bituminous course material and methodology shall fully comply the tests and specification of AASHTO and as directed by the engineer incharge.(rates include all cost of material labour all test T&P and all lead and lifts) (app:rate from the govt	58368 Sft ✓	A/R 700 %Sft
14	P/L to proper line and grade plant mixed asphalt concrete paver finished (Hydraulic/Electronic control) prepared to specific formula according to job mix formula approved by engineer incharge i/c rolling and finishing to proper line grade level and camber etc. complete rate including tack coat with bitumen 80/100 penetration and all cost of material and roller. T&P and carriage of material from source of supply to plant to site of work 1 1/2 thick	58368 Sft	2000.70%Sft

Joseph
Town Officer (Infrastructure)
Korangi Town

Checked by A.T.O / D.T.O: *A. Mulla*

I / We hereby quoted the Rate @ 93%
by all terms & conditions of the Contract Rules.

Full Signature of Contractor: *[Signature]*

Address: 202 2ND FLOOR Block-5A
FAIZA AVE NORTH KORANGI

P.O. E.M: 1228280

21-1-11 Rs. 2,60,000/-

Nearly Three Percent Above
% above / ~~below~~ the schedule of rate and also abide

2000/11/11
24/01/2011

TENDER ISSUED TO:
M/s. M.A. Amin. Ent.
Agent No. 430 44 71
Dated 14-1-11

3000/-
T.M. Accountant (P&A)

OFFICE OF THE TOWN OFFICER (I&S)

TOWN MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION KORANGI

No. Tol/Inf/Sar/WT/588/11

dated 04-02-2011

Bid Evaluation Report

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Town Municipal Administration Korangi Town |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | 020/TMA/Korangi.T/MPA |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | <u>Improvement / Rehabilitation of Roads and C.C Flooring in 33/D, UC-07, Korangi Town, Karachi.</u> |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage – One Envelope Procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | Daily Qummi, Daily Express,
dated 09-01-2011.
SPPRA Website ID # 2760/2011. |
| 6. Total Bid documents Sold: | 04 Nos. |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 04 Nos. |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid(s) Rejected: | 01 No. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 24-01-2011 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

SPPRA INWARD TMA PM
 No. 3679
 Date: 04/02/2011
 Regulatory Authority: U/S/2011

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. M.A Amin Enterprises	Rs.1,48,49,595/- (as per Calculation)	1 st Lowest	93% Above the estimated cost of Rs.14.561 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Hasecb Enterprises	Rs.1,49,17,644/- (as per Calculation)	2 nd Lowest	95% Above the estimated cost of Rs.14.561 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. Hirise Builders	Rs.1,49,85,329/- (as per Calculation)	3 rd Lowest	97% Above the estimated cost of Rs.14.561 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
4.	M/s. M.I Engineering (Pay Order Not Attached)	---	---	---	Bids not conforming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Documents.	Non Responsive

This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.



Town Officer (I&S) TMA, Korangi

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t ID # 2758/2011
With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

C.C to: -

1. Administrator, TMA, Korangi Town
2. DO (MPA's PP) W&S, CDGK
3. PS to EDO (W&S) CDGK


 1/2
 M (CB)