No.-XEN/RDD/HYD/Solar/2016-17/ 202

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

Dated the 15th. February, 2017

To,

The Director,
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
(SPPRA) Karachi.

Subject: **SUBMISSION OF BID EVALUATION REPORT, MINUTES OF TECHNICAL BID OPENING MEETING, MINUTES OF FINANCIAL BID OPENING MEETING, BIDDERS ELIGIBILITY / QUALIFICATION REPORT & ATTENDANCE SHEET.**

Reference: This office NIT No. XEN/RDD/HYD/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/166 Dated: 24-11- 2016 and upload on SPPRA Website vide Serial No. 30625 upload on 28-11-2016.

Kindly find enclosed herewith following documents regarding above referred N.I.T in pursuance of Rule-45 of SPPRA.

1. Bid Evaluation Report
2. Minutes of Technical Bid Opening Meeting.
3. Minutes of Financial Bid Opening Meeting.
4. Bidders Qualification / Eligibility report.
5. Attendance Sheet.

Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

C.C to:

1. The Director General, Rural Development Department, Hyderabad Sindh.
2. Members of Procurement Committee (all) _____.

1274
16-02-17

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN/RDD/KYC/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/166 Dated: 24-11- 2016
Letter for Financial Bid Opening No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/201/2017 dated: 13-02-2017.

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division.

4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelopes.

5. Tender Published: SPPRA's Serial # 30625 upload on 28-11-2016, Daily Express dated: 27-11-2016. Daily Dawn dated: 28-11-2016.

6. Total Bid documents Sold; 08 Nos.

7. Total Bids Received: 08 Nos.

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) *16-12-2016* *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos.

10. Bid(s) Rejected: 02 Nos.

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 17-01-2017

12. Redressal Committee decided that all the participants may be given equal opportunity and scheduled for Opening of Financial Bid on 15-02-2017.

13. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S National Logistic Cell	168750000/-	2nd Lowest	At Par	Higher Side	Rejected being on higher side.
2.	M/S Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co. (JV)	181500000/-	3rd Lowest	7.55% Above	Higher Side	Rejected being on higher side.
3.	M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV)	167250000/-	1st Lowest	-0.88% Below	Accepted	Accepted being lowest & reasonable.

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
(MEMBER)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

MINUTES OF THE TECHNICAL BID OPENING MEETING

A meeting of the Procurement Committee of the Rural Development Department was held on 16th December 2016 for opening the Technical Bids received in respect of **"Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division".** The receiving of technical & financial bids was upto 11:00 AM and technical bids were opened at 12:00 Noon the deadline. The meeting was attended by the Members of the Procurement Committee and the representatives of bidders. **(Attendance sheet is enclosed).**

The following bidders submitted their technical bids till the deadline of submission:

1) M/S Kashif Constructors.
2) M/S Mass Developers.
3) M/S Oslo Lighting Solution.
4) M/S National Logistic Cell.
5) M/S ZTE Zhongxing Telecom.
6) M/S Y.Z & co.
7) M/S Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co. (JV).
8) M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV).

The Technical Bids were opened at 12:00 pm on 16th December 2016 in the presence of the participants as per list attached under the Chairmanship of Convener and Member of the Procurement Committee of Rural Development Department, Hyderabad. The following are the details marks obtained by the contractor/firm/company as per evaluation criteria of technical bids announced:

S. #	Name of Bidder	Max Marks	Qualifying Marks	Total Marks Obtained	Remarks
1.	M/S Kashif Constructors	100	80	53	Disqualified for obtaining less marks than the prescribed.
2.	M/S Mass Developers	100	80	29	Disqualified for obtaining less marks than the prescribed.
3.	M/S Oslo Lighting Solution	100	80	28	Disqualified for obtaining less marks than the prescribed.
4.	M/S National Logistic Cell	100	80	86	Qualified as per evaluation criteria
5.	M/S ZTE Zhongxing Telecom	100	80	72	Disqualified for obtaining less marks than the prescribed.
6.	M/S Y.Z & Co.	100	80	0	Disqualified due to PEC not in relevant category C2 and call deposit refunded.
7.	M/S Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co. (JV)	100	80	81	Qualified as per evaluation criteria
8.	M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV)	100	80	89	Qualified as per evaluation criteria

Continued Page-02

The Procurement Committee has examined all the technical bids as per Qualification/ Evaluation Criteria provided in the technical bids, technically checked and verified the documents submitted by the bidders.

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
(MEMBER)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

Tech.

ATTENDANCE SHEET OF PARTICIPANTS IN THE BIDDING FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

S.#	Name of participants	Name of Firm/Company	Signatures
1.	Munir Hussain Kashif Constructors	Kashif Constructors	
2.	M. H. Shahid	Mass Developers	
3.	SAYYAM HUSSAIN	OSLO LIGHTING	
4.	Shaikh. Mir Hamza	Oslo and Abdullah Bros	
5.	NADEEM KHOKHAR	NLC	
6.	Rashid Rana.	ZTE	
7.	Y. Z. & Co: Govt: Contractor	Shahzad	
8.	SAQIB AHMED	Outdoor Unlimited (R. K Const)	Saqib Ahmed.
9.	Talat Hussain.	Nadeem Engg. Enterprises	

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

ATTENDANCE SHEET OF PARTICIPANTS FOR OPENING OF FINANCIAL BID OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION ON 17TH JANUARY 2017.

S #	Name of Contractor / Firm / Company	Signature
1	ZTE / Rashid Rana.	
2	M/S Deve Lopers	
3	SAYYAM HUSSAIN OSLO LIGHTING	
4	Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd.	
5	NLC SOUTH KARACHI	
6	Outdoor Unlimited Karachi	
7		
8		

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
(MEMBER)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON TUESDAY THE 24TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/COMPLAINT OF M/S WADOOD ENGINEERI NG SERVICES (PVT) LTD, KARACHI , HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with the recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Redressal Committee.

The Complaint Redressal Committee called the complainant M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., Karachi and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) and read the queries made in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid.

1	It is most respectfully submitted that the Financial Proposal Opening of the afore said tender was held on the January 17, 2017. In that regard it is brought to your knowledge that by fully complying with the SPPRA Rule of "Single Stage Two Envelope" and on 16/12/2016 we had submitted our bid in a duly sealed master envelope wherein, two separate proposals titled as 'Technical' & 'Financial' in two sealed envelopes were enclosed. These two sealed envelopes were duly submitted by us, duly collected and confirmed by the Procuring Agency. At that stage if there was any irregularity on our part then our bidding documents would have been out-rightly rejected / returned
2	At this juncture, it is pertinent to submit that according to the Procurement Laws and Rules for province of Sindh, we are fully eligible and further entitled as bidders in regard to the above said tender and have at all times complied with the relevant rules and procedures in their entirety. Moreover, we were the only firm which has submitted the sample as per the specifications and provided a demonstration in the presence of the procuring agency, procurement committee and the participants
3	It is imperative to mention that the final date for submission and evaluation of the Technical proposal was scheduled for the December 16, 2016 while in fact the time for submission of the tender documents for the bidding process for the above said tender was 11:00 AM and the subsequent opening of the technical proposal was 12 Noon. Accordingly, we had submitted our bid through our Master Envelope within the time prescribed by the Procurement Agency
4	For purposes of our grievances, it is imperative to set forth the relevant facts leading to the instant complaint, which are as follows: ▪ That bidding process of the XEN Karachi Division began on 16/12/2016 in presence of the procurement committee, the participant bidders and a few media/press representatives. ▪ That in presence of above mentioned all, our sealed Master Envelope was duly opened, and as per the agency settled practice only the Technical Proposal was initially reviewed. Whereas, the Financial Proposal was kept aside separately in a sealed envelope. Our Pay Order for the earnest money was also submitted with our bidding documents. ▪ That upon scrutiny, our technical bid was cleared and accepted by the respective committee.

	▪ That We alongwith two other bidders were declared technically qualified. ▪ Thereafter, on 17/1/2017 at the time of opening of the Financial Proposals, it was discovered that our financial proposal for the XEN Karachi Division was missing from the bid box. ▪ However, the concerned representatives of the XEN Karachi Division clarified that he had mistakenly kept our sealed financial proposal in his custody while scrutinizing/evaluating the Technical Proposal.
	It should be noted that our financial proposal was found in a sealed envelope and hence was not opened before Procurement Committee until the XEN Karachi Division presented our financial proposal. However, due to an objection raised by a competitor bidder our financial proposal was not accommodated in the financial opening.
6	In light of the aforesaid narrations, it is pertinent to state that Rule 30 of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 sets forth the criterion whereby, a bidder can be disqualified from the bidding process. The said rule is quoted herein below for your kind perusal: *30.* Disqualification of Suppliers, Contractors and Consultants (1) The procuring agency shall disqualify a supplier, consultant or contractor, whether already pre-qualified or not, if it finds at any time, that the information submitted by him concerning his qualification and professional, technical, financial, legal or managerial competence as supplier, consultant or contractor, was false and materially inaccurate or incomplete; or (2) At any stage has indulged in corrupt and fraudulent practices, as defined in these rules; (3) A supplier, contractor or consultant being aggrieved by the decision of the procuring agency regarding disqualification may seek relief through the mechanism of grievance redressal, as provided under Rule 31.
7	From a bare perusal of the above quoted statutory provision, it is unequivocally clear that a respective bidder can only be disqualified if it is seen to have been fraudulently indulged in corrupt practices of submitting inaccurate, false and/or incomplete details in either of its technical or financial proposals.
8	Nevertheless, in light of the abovementioned facts, it is categorically submitted that at the time of submission of our Master Envelope, the same did in fact contain both the technical and financial proposals. However, it was only because of the oversight on part of the XEN Karachi Division authorities that our financial proposal was not found with other financial bids. This assertion is substantiated by the fact that our Financial Proposal was found to be in a sealed envelope at the time when it was later presented to the procurement agency for opening and purposes of evaluation.
9	Moreover, it is settled law and practice that no party can be made to suffer for the negligence and/or carelessness of public functionaries. In fact, public functionaries are always under a corresponding obligation to exercise the same fairly and justly, and where the Authority did not find it appropriate to exercise its discretion, it still had to provide reasons for inaction on its part. Accordingly, a failure to exercise discretionary power under a statute without legal justification was not acceptable as it significantly impairs the due process of law to be treated in accordance with the law. Consequently, it is most respectfully prayed that the Procurement Committee being the custodian of the proposals submitted must diligently exercise caution when evaluating any bids submitted to it and thus, any mismanagement of the same cannot result in disqualifying us from the bidding process.
10	Therefore, considering the facts and reasons recorded hereinabove, it is categorically submitted that we cannot be held responsible to any measure for the negligence / careless conduct / oversight of the relevant authorities. Even otherwise, it is reiterated that for purposes of actively participating in the bidding process we had submitted our Master Envelope wherein, we had enclosed our Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal. This is evident from that fact that in the other Five (05) Division tenders with the same nomenclature, our Technical and Financial Proposals were duly found in the master envelopes submitted thereof. It is also relevant to mention that, our banking instrument amounting to 2% as earnest money for the total consideration was also found in the master envelope, which is strict proof our intention, willingness and ability to contest the bidding process for the above quoted tender(s).
11	Moreover, it is further pertinent to state that, in total there were Six Division Tenders with identical classifications as categorized by the Rural Development Department. It is would also not be prejudicial to our interests to state that we have participated in all Six tenders and in fact, have been ranked as possessing the Bid with the lowest evaluated cost in all other five divisions ie. excluding the Karachi Division. Nevertheless, our proposal/bid submitted for the Karachi Division is more or less the same as what submitted for the other Five (5) Division tenders, which will be evident when the same proposal is perused by the procurement committee.
12	Furthermore, it is self-explanatory that we have offered the lowest price and subsequently, have won (5) Five of the Six (6) division tenders. Out of the six (6) tender divisions, it was only in the Karachi Division Tender that the problem of the missing Financial Proposal from box has occurred. In fact, it is also reiterated that the concerned officer of the XEN Karachi Division has already clarified that our financial proposal was in his possession for reasons unknown to him. Once the bidding documents were in the custody and care of the procuring agency, then the bidders cannot be saddled to suffer for failure to act on part of the authorities.
13	The fact that the financial proposal subsequently found was sealed and was present in the same manner as it was submitted by us goes to show that we had always possessed a clear and unequivocal intention and/or ability to acquire the Karachi Division Tender as well as complete the transaction with the utmost efficiency and diligence.
14	It is most humbly prayed that the Learned Procurement Committee may kindly be pleased to consider our financial proposal for the Karachi Division by strictly adhering to the relevant and applicable rules, witnesses, proof in a

	judicious manner. Furthermore, it is also requested that this Procurement Committee may be pleased to initiate/conduct an inquiry against the officer responsible for such mismanagement and investigate upon the real reasons as to why our Financial Proposal not lying with the other bidding envelops, as the same amounts to unfair discrimination being exercised against us and our business interests and opportunities.
15	Furthermore, we being the lowest evaluated bidders in all the other five districts of Sindh, goes to show that we are qualified and capable of serving the interest of our Province esp. Rural Areas of Karachi at the most compatible rates and this will also safe national exchequer from unnecessary expenditure.
16	That we are available to assist the respectable committee and / or clarify any query raised by the said Committee.

Proceedings of Complaint Redressal Committee

Mr.Talat a representative of the complainant firm M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd, and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) appeared before the Complaint Redressal Committee, he submitted that their firm had submitted one Master envelope, duly sealed , containing two separate envelops, one marked as " Technical Proposal " and the other marked as " Financial Proposal ". The Master envelop was opened by the Procurement Committee on 16.01.2017, in presence of all the participant Bidding Contractors or their representatives. The envelope containing Technical Proposal was opened for evaluation while the other envelope marked " Financial Proposal " was kept a side.

Their Technical Proposal was opened by the Procurement Committee and after scrutiny, it was accepted. Their proposal was declared as technically qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the opening day of the Financial Proposals, it was told that their Financial Proposal was missing from the Tender Box. The Executive Engineer, RDD, Karachi who is procuring agency had clarified that the envelope carrying " Financial Proposal" was in his custody There was objection from other participating Contractors, so their Financial proposal was not included in the 'Financial Opening process' for no fault on their part.

He further added that they had presented 'sample' and give details regarding its operation, robot control system and give full details of understanding of the project and the Procurement Committee after their being satisfied declared them qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the Financial Bid opening day, their sealed envelope "Financial Proposal" was produced by the Executive Engineer, Rural Development ,Karachi from his office.

Decision

The facts elaborated by M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) are considerable as the mistake occurred in the office as such their grievance is considered as genuine and the same may be accepted. The Procurement Committee for Karachi Contract is therefore hereby required to reconvene and include the Financial Bid of M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) in evaluation process of the pending procurement process. Consequently they may decide to recommend the lowest evaluated bid of award of contract as per law.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General,
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad- Convener

OFFIC E OF THE DIRECTOR (TECHNICAL) RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HYDERABAD SINDH

No. Dir.(Tech.)/RDD/ 028 of 2017
Hyderabad dated: 13.2.17

To,

Mr. Aslam Pervaiz Memon,
Executive Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
KARACHI

Subject:- RE-CONVENING MEETING OF PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE IN CONNECTION WITH OPENING OF FINANCIAL BID PROPOSALS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

In pursuance to decision arrived at, in the meeting of the Complaint Redresssal Committee ,held on 24th Janauary,2017 to address the grievances / complaint of M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.,& Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd (JV), Karachi you are required to please re-convene meeting of the Procurement Committee for opening of the 'Financial Bids' in respect of the work 'Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh, Karachi Division at an early date.

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
DIRECTOR (TECHNICAL)
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HYDERABAD
CONVENER
PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE

Copy fwcs to the Director General Rural Development Department Sind, Hyderabad for information.

Copy fwcs to the Director (Technical),Rural Development Department, Director General Office, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad for information

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, KARACHI DIVISISON

No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/ 201/2017
Karachi, dated: 13.02.2017

To,

1 M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.& Star Link Activities (Pvt.).(JV) ,
Government Contractor,
503, Marine Point DC-1 ,Block-9, Clifton KARACHI
2 M/s National Logistic Cell,
Government Contractor, Headquarter Project Directorate N.L.C South,
New Haji Camp, Sultanabad, Karachi
3 M/s Outdoor Unlimited & R.K. Construction Co. (JV),Karachi
Government Contractor,
238-A, Block-2, Shahreh-e-Qaideen,PECH,Karachi.

Subject:- OPENING OF FINANCIAL BID PROPOSALS OF TENDERING PROCESS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

In pursuance of decision, taken by the Complaint Redressal Committee, in its meeting held on 24th January,2017, to address the grievances / complaint of M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt.)Ltd. & Star Link Activities (Pvt.).(JV) , Karachi, and in response to instructions from the Convener of the Procurement Committee, the opening of Financial Bid Proposal submitted by M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.& Star Link Activities (Pvt.).(JV), Karachi, will be held on Wednesday the 15th February,2017 at 3.00 pm in the office of the Director (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad.

Accordingly it is requested to please attend the Financial Bid Proposals opening process on the above noted date, time and venue.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI

Copy fwcs to the Director General Rural Development Department Sind, Hyderabad for information.

Copy fwcs to the Director (Technical), Rural Development Department, Director General Office, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad for information.

Copy forwarded to the Director (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad & Convener Procurement Committee for the work 'Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh' for information and conducting the Financial Bid Proposals opening process as per above cited program..

ATTENDANCE SHEET OF PARTICIPANTS OF THE OPENING OF FINANCIAL BID PROPOSALS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION HELD ON 15TH FEBRUARY,2017

S.#	Name of Contractor Firm/Company	Signature
	(KAMRAN AHMED) WADOOD ENGINEERING SERVICES	

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
EXECCUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOP MENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI
MEMBER

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG
TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN
MEMBER

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
DIRECTOR (TECHNICAL)
RURAL DEVELOP MENT DEPARTMENT
HYDERABAD
CONVENER

NIT NO. XEN/RDD/KYC/TENDE3R(SOLAR)/2016-17 DATED 24.11.2016

Method and procedure of procurement: **National Competitive Bidding (Single Stage-Two Envelopes)**

Minutes of Meeting of Financial Bid re-Opening

INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

In pursuance of the decision taken by the Complaint Redressal Committee, in its meeting , held on 24th January,2017, the financial bids, received in respect of the subject NIT till the deadlines of submission, were re-opened on this Wednesday the 15th February,2017 in presence of the Convener, Members of the Procurement Committee, and the Bidder firms / Representatives of bidders. (Attendance sheet attached)

The financial bid of M/s Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV) was opened at 3..0 p.m, on 15th February,2017 in presence of the participants as per list attached and the rates quoted by bidders were read OVER LOUDLY AND ENCIRCLED BY THE Convener of the Procurement Committee. All the Members of the Procurement Committee signed each and every page of the financial proposal/ bid. The bid do not contain any over- writing, or cutting.

Following are the details of bids announced.

Sr.#	Name of Bidder	Offered Price	Amount of Bid Security	Pay Order No. & Date
1	M/s National Logistic Cell	168750000/-	3375000/-	AIKBL/001/BBG/213/2016 Date of issue 15.12.2016 Bid Bond Guarantee Askari Ban2k Limited
2	M/s Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co.(JV)	181500000/-	3800000/-	209253341 dt.15.12.2016 Meezan Bank
3	M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV)	167250000/-	3306750/-	01982045 dt.15.12.2016 Soneri Bank

The Committee has examined all the bids as per Qualification eligibility criteria, provided in the bidding documents, arithmetically checked, verified the documents including the bid security submitted by the bidder firms.

The meeting ended with a vote of thanks to and from the chair.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
Member

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
Member

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Convener

NIT No. XEN/RDD/KYC/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/166 Dated: 24-11- 2016.

Method and procedure of procurement: Open Competitive Bidding (National/ International Competitive Bidding)
(Single Stage – Two Envelopes)

Description of work: Installation Of Solar Lights In Rural Sindh Karachi Division.

Name of Bidder: M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV).

BIDDERS' ELIGIBILITY/ QUALIFICATION REPORT

Eligibility/ Qualification Criteria:

S. No.	Eligibility / Qualification Criteria	M/S Kashif Constructors	M/S Mass Developers	M/S Oslo Lighting Solution	M/S National Logistic Cell	M/S ZTE Zhongxing Telecom	M/S Y.Z & Co.	M/S Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co. (JV)	M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV)
1	Registration with PEC (if applicable)	C-2 License # 01525	C-3 License # 03808	C-2 License # 01305	C-A License # 00007	FC-A License # 005	C-4 License # 08597	C-2 License # 01287	C-1 License # 01067
2	NTN	NTN # 2670084-7	NTN # 1353932-9	NTN # 1177430-4	Exempted	NTN # 1734953-2	NTN # 4332718-4	NTN # 1291742-7	NTN # 3928169-8
3	Registration with Sindh Revenue Board (SRB)	SNTN # 2670084-7	SNTN # 1353932-9	SNTN # 2452047-7	Exempted	SNTN # 1734953-2	Not Attached	SNTN # 1291742-7	SNTN # 3928169-8
Qualification Criteria:									
4	Minimum three years experience of relevant field.	Provided	Provided	Provided	Provided	Provided	Not Provided	Provided	Provided
5	Turnover of at least last three years	Provided	Provided	Provided	Provided	Provided	Not Provided	Provided	Provided
6	Required Bid Security is attached.	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Not Attached	Attached	Attached
7	Bid is signed, named and stamped by the authorized person of the firm along with Authorization letter.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
8	Affidavit	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Attached	Not Attached	Attached	Attached
9	Evaluation Criteria Provided in the NIT.	Obtained 53 Marks and didn't meet the criteria	Obtained 29 Marks and meet the criteria	Obtained 28 Marks and didn't meet the criteria	Obtained 86 Marks and meet the criteria	Obtained 72 Marks and didn't meet the criteria	Obtained 0 Marks and didn't meet the criteria	Obtained 81 Marks and meet the criteria	Obtained 89 Marks and meet the criteria
	Qualified/ disqualified	Disqualified due to non-meeting the criteria.	Disqualified due to non-meeting the criteria.	Disqualified due to non-meeting the criteria.	Qualified	Disqualified due to non-meeting the criteria.	Disqualified due to non-meeting the criteria.	Qualified	Qualified

Note: The procuring agency may modify the criteria as per their requirements.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
(MEMBER)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

Evaluation Criteria M/s Kshif constructions

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**	6	6
	(i) **Number of years**		
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**	4	4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks		
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**	4	4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks		
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**	5	10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks		
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) **AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER**		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	(B) **SPECIFICATION**		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	2	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	2	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
	(C) **SPECIALIZATION**		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	4	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	–	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	6	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE 4 Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE 2 **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION	20
	TOTAL MARKS 53	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria M/s Mass Developers

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**	3	6
	(i) Number of years		
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**	2	4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks		
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**	-	4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks		
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**	5	10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks		
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer	-	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer	-	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"	-	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation	-	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	(B) SPECIFICATION		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	-	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	-	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	-	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	-	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
	(C) SPECIALIZATION		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	-	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	5	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	-	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION** 12	20
	TOTAL MARKS 29	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR [illegible] AHMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria m/s Oslo lighting Solution

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**		6
	(i) **Number of years**	3	
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**		4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**		4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**		10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks	5	
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) **AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER**		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	(B) **SPECIFICATION**		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	-	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	-	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	-	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	-	2
	(C) **SPECIALIZATION**		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	-	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	-	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	-	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** 2 Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** — **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION** —	20
	TOTAL MARKS 28	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria M/s ZTE Zhongxing Telecom. Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**		6
	(i) **Number of years**	6	
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**		4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**		4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**		10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks	10	
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	(B) SPECIFICATION		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	—	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface		2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	2	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
		2	
	(C) SPECIALIZATION		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	6	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	—	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	6	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development
Karachi

(SHAUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHALID [illegible])
Director
Rural Development
Hyderabad
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** 6 Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** 6 **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION** 8	20
	TOTAL MARKS 72	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHALID [illegible])
Director
Rural Development
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

Evaluation Criteria M/s National logistic cell

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**		6
	(i) **Number of years** 10 Years - 6 Marks 5 Years - 3 Marks	6	
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**		4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks (ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks	4	
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**		4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks (ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks	4	
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**		10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks	10	
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) **AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER**		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	2
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	2
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery" Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	2
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	2
	(B) **SPECIFICATION**		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	2	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	—	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
	(C) **SPECIALIZATION**		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	4	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	8	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN** Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project	6	8

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION**	14	20
	TOTAL MARKS	86	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS		80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria M/s Y.Z and Co

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA	MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**	6
	(i) Number of years	
	10 Years - 6 Marks	
	5 Years - 3 Marks	
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**	4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks	
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks	
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**	4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks	
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks	
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**	10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks	
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks	
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**	
	(A) AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER	
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	
	(B) SPECIFICATION	
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2
	(C) SPECIALIZATION	
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project	

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SECRETARY / MEMBER)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION**	20
	TOTAL MARKS	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria M/s Outdoor unlimited & R.K construction Co (JV) Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**		6
	(i) **Number of years**	6	
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**		4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**		4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**		10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks	10	
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) **AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER**		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation	2	2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)		
	(B) **SPECIFICATION**		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	2	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	2	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
	(C) **SPECIALIZATION**		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	6	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	10	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	6	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** 6 Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** 4 **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (fi) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION** 7	20
	TOTAL MARKS 81	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

Evaluation Criteria M/s Wadood Engineering Services J.V. Star link JV)

Annexure A

S.NO.	CRITERIA		MAX MARKS
A	**COMPANY PROFILE**		6
	(i) **Number of years**	6	
	10 Years - 6 Marks		
	5 Years - 3 Marks		
B	**FINANCIAL CAPABILITY**		4
	(i) 600 Million or more - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) 300 Million or more - 2 Marks		
C	**GENERAL / SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE**		4
	(i) Public Sector - 4 Marks	4	
	(ii) Private Sector - 1 Marks		
D	**MACHINERY & EQUIPMENT**		10
	D (i) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value greater than 300 Million - 10 Marks	10	
	D (ii) Similar Nature of project executed with the contract value less than 300 Million - 5 Marks		
E	**TECHNCIAL PROPOSAL**		
	(A) **AUTHORIZED DISTRIBUTOR / SOLE AGENT / DEALER**		
	A (i) Solar Panel Manufacturer		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (ii) LED light Manufacturer		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (iii) Battery Manufacturer "Lithium LifePO4 Battery"		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	A (iv) Electronics & Automation		2
	Certifications (ISO, IEC, RoHS, TUV, CE etc)	2	
	(B) **SPECIFICATION**		
	B (i) Integrated Solar LED Light 72W @ Drive Power 42W	2	2
	B (ii) Fully integrated Energy Management Controller	2	2
	B (iii) Builtin Wireless interface	2	2
	B (iv) Lithium LifePO4 Battery, greater than 2000 cycles (removable cartridge)	2	2
	B (v) Pole to Pole Spacing @ 35 Meters	2	2
	(C) **SPECIALIZATION**		
	C (i) Wireless connectivity gateway with data sim	6	6
	C (ii) Automatic / Robotic Solar Panel Cleaning	5	10
F	**UNDERSTANDING OF PROJECT REQUIREMENT AND PROJECT PLAN**	8	8
	Participant must establish by submitted documents and write-ups that they have understanding of project requirements and shall submit its detailed project plan for the subject project. The submitted material would be evaluated for Participant understanding of the project		

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT [illegible])
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engg. (Fr.)
Taluka Mirpurkhas

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENOR)

G	**PROPOSED METHODOLOGY/SOLUTION AND COMPLIANCE** 8 Participant must submit a detailed project methodology meeting the procuring agency requirements. The submitted methodology would be evaluated for participant understanding of project. The offered solution would be evaluated against the requirements of the assignment.	8
H	**REPAIR, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE** 6 **Repair & Maintenance for two (02) years after commissioning of the Solar LED Light.** (i) Participant must submit an affidavit on registered stamp paper duly attested by notary public. - 4 Marks (ii) Clearly defined support and escalation procedures in place. - 2 Marks	6
I	**DEMONSTRATION AND SAMPLE AS PER REQUIRED SPECIFICATION** 14	20
	TOTAL MARKS 88	100
	QUALIFIYING MARKS	80

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Tech...)
Rural Development ...ment
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

Headquarters Project
Directorate NLC South
New Haji Camp, Sultanabad
Karachi - 1
Telephone : 021-99205459
Email : nlckci@yahoo.com
Case No. 607 / NLC / 31
15 February 2017

To: Director General
Rural Development Department,
Old SRTC Office Premises, Wahdat Colony,
Near Agriculture Complex,
Hyderabad

Subject: **Opening of Financial Bid Proposals of Tendering Process for Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division**

Reference: Office of the Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi letter No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/201/2017 dated 13 February 17.

It is informed that process of financial bid opening for Rural Sindh Karachi Division was completed on 17 January 2017. M/s National Logistics Cell (NLC) South Karachi was declared lowest bidder of-tender in question.

M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited bid was not found in sealed box but was taken out of drawer of a cabinet, a primafacie evidence of malpractice and against the spirit of transparency and tendering procedure.

All the participants objected to this and M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited's bid was rejected.

M/s NLC South Karachi, strongly object the process of opening of rejected bid of M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited, Complaint Redressal Committee took exparte decision and clearly favoured M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited by entertaining the firm. It is suspected that complete tendering procedure was an eye wash and every effort is being made to favour a particular company and falls under Mis-Procurement.

Pl. examine
AD (Ashraf SB) 16/2

DIARY NO: 1401 16-2-17

Foregoing in view, M/s NLC South Karachi, don't accept / protest the decision of opening of commercial bid for the tender for which process has already been completed.

The matter is being pursued with appropriate legal / government agencies for investigation of complete tendering process to avoid the loss to government exchequer.

Forwarded for information / appropriate action, please.

HQ PROJS DTE SOUTH NLC ENGRS KARACHI

Lieutenant Colonel
Project Manager Solar Projects
(Tahir Jamil, Retired)

Copy forwarded to:-

1. PS to Honorable Minister for Public Health Engg: & Rural Development Department, Govt of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director NAB, 197/5, PRCS Building, Dr Dawoodpota Road, Cantt Karachi.
3. Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA) Barrack 8, Secretariat 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
4. Office of the Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi Division.
5. The Director (Technical), Rural Development Department, at Director General Office, Rural Development Department Sindh, Old SRTC Office Premises, Wahdat Colony, Near Agriculture Complex, Hyderabad.
6. The Convener Procurement Committee for the work 'Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh, Barrack 8, Secretariat 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.

No.AD(Asmt)/SPPRA30625/16-17 / 10639

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY
Karachi Dated February 17, 2017

The Executive Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
Karachi

SUBJECT: **NIT REF NO: XEN/RDD/KK/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/161 dated 24.11.2016 (Sr. No:30625)**

I am directed to refer to the Bid Evaluation Report of the subject NIT received vide your letter No:XEN/RDD/HYD/Solar/2016-17/202 dated 15.02.2017. It is observed that Bid validity period in terms of Rule-38(1) mentioned in the bidding documents as 60-days which has been expired on 14.02.2017 as the bids were opened on 16.12.2016. Clarification regarding extension in bid validity period (if any) is required.

2. I am also directed to enclose herewith a copy of complaint dated 15.02.2017 of M/S NLC whereby they raised objection regarding financial bid opening and claimed that they were found lowest bidder. It is advised to redress the grievances of above bidder through Complaint Redressal Committee under Rule-31 of SPP Rules, 2010 (Amended 2017) (Copy of complaint dated 15.02.2017 is enclosed). It may be noted that contract cannot be award until unless the complaint is not decided by CRC in terms of Rule-31(6) of SPP Rules, 2010 (Amended 2017). It is also advised to respond to this Authority's earlier letter dated 07.02.2017 (copy enclosed).

3. It may be noted that it is the sole responsibility of the procuring agency to carry all the public procurement by observing SPP Rules, 2010(Amended-2013), regulations and procedure in vogue. Non-Compliance of Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 (Amended 2010) shall render the case of procurement as "Mis-procurement".

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ASSESSMENT)

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Rural Development Department, Karachi
2. The Staff Officer to the Managing Director, SPPRA

Headquarters Project
Directorate NLC South
New Haji Camp, Sultanabad
Karachi - 1
Telephone : 021-99205459
Email : nlckci@yahoo.com
Case No. 607 / NLC / 31
15 February 2017

To: Director General
Rural Development Department,
Old SRTC Office Premises, Wahdat Colony,
Near Agriculture Complex,
Hyderabad

Subject: **Opening of Financial Bid Proposals of Tendering Process for Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division**

Reference: Office of the Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi letter No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/201/2017 dated 13 February 17.

It is informed that process of financial bid opening for Rural Sindh Karachi Division was completed on 17 January 2017. M/s National Logistics Cell (NLC) South Karachi was declared lowest bidder of-tender in question.

M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited bid was not found in sealed box but was taken out of drawer of a cabinet, a primafacie evidence of malpractice and against the spirit of transparency and tendering procedure.

All the participants objected to this and M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited's bid was rejected.

M/s NLC South Karachi, strongly object the process of opening of rejected bid of M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited, Complaint Redressal Committee took exparte decision and clearly favoured M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Limited by entertaining the firm. It is suspected that complete tendering procedure was an eye wash and every effort is being made to favour a particular company and falls under Mis-Procurement.

SPPRA DIARY NO: 1598 23-2-17

For n/a plz
23/2

Foregoing in view, M/s NLC South Karachi, don't accept / protest the decision of opening of commercial bid for the tender for which process has already been completed.

The matter is being pursued with appropriate legal / government agencies for investigation of complete tendering process to avoid the loss to government exchequer.

Forwarded for information / appropriate action, please.

HQ PROJS DTE SOUTH NLC ENGRS KARACHI

Lieutenant Colonel
Project Manager Solar Projects
(Tahir Jamil, Retired)

Copy forwarded to:-

1. PS to Honorable Minister for Public Health Engg: & Rural Development Department, Govt of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director NAB, 197/5, PRCS Building, Dr Dawoodpota Road, Cantt Karachi.
3. Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA) Barrack 8, Secretariat 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
4. Office of the Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi Division.
5. The Director (Technical), Rural Development Department, at Director General Office, Rural Development Department Sindh, Old SRTC Office Premises, Wahdat Colony, Near Agriculture Complex, Hyderabad.
6. The Convener Procurement Committee for the work 'Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh, Barrack 8, Secretariat 4-A, Court Road, Karachi.

NO.-XEN(L&TR)RDD/ADP(SOLAR)/2016/63

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

Hyderabad, dated the 21st February, 2017

022-9201391

To,

The Project Manager Solar Projects,
Headquarters Project Directors NLC South ,
New Haji Camp Sultanabad, KARACHI

Subject:- OPENING OF FINANCIAL PROPOSALS OF TENDERING PROCESS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

Reference your office letter Case No.607/NLC/31 dated 15th February,2017 on the subject cited above.

It may be appreciated that the Complaint Redressal Committee had responded to your grievances /complaint contained in your letter No.NLC/607/22 dated 20th January,2017, and had convened a meeting on 26th January,2017 for addressing your grievances with regard to opening of 'Financial Bid Proposals'. The Committee was waiting for you for more than 2 ½ hours. The Committee had gone through your complaint, held detailed discussions and decision so arrived at, was communicated vide letter No. SO(T)/RDD/Misc./2017 dated 30th January,2017.

The Procurement Committee, in pursuance of decision of the Complaint Redressal Committee, had re-convened its meeting and invited representative of the NLC vide their letter No...XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/2017 dated 13th February,2017 but none from your Company attended the same. The Procurement Committee accordingly held its meeting on 15th February,2017 and opened the financial bid proposals in respect of work " Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh" Rural Karachi.

1563 22-2-17

Pl: examine & 22/2
(Ashfaq SB)

As regard your assertions, as contained in para 4 of the letter under reference, seems that you have not gone through the decision of the Complaint Redressal Committee, proceedings of the Procurement Committee, instead appreciation, taken it otherwise although all the proceedings were in accordance with the Rules of the Sindh Public Procurement Act, 2009, (Amended up to 2013) .

21-02-17

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (L&TR)
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL
SINDH HYDERABAD
CONVENER REDRESSAL COMMITEE

Copy forwarded for information to:-

1. The Secretary, Public Health engineering & Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi
3. The Director (Technical) Rural Development Department Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Tech) RDD, Hyderabad /Convener Procurement Committee.
5. The executive Engineer, RDD, Karachi /Procurement Agency.

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, KARACHI
DIVISION HEADQUARTER AT HYDERABAD

No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/ 208/2017
Hyderabad , date 24.02.2017

To,

The Assistant Director (Assessment),
Sindh Public Procurement Authority,
Government .of Sindh, Karachi

Subject:- NIT REF NO: XEN/RDD/KK/TENDER(Solar) 2016-17/161 dated 24.11.2016 (Sr.No.30625)

Reference your office letter No.AD (Asmt)/SPPRA 30625/16-17/10639 dated 17th February, 2017 on the subject cited above.

It is stated that the opening process of the bidding for the work 'Installation of Solar Lights In Rural Sindh, Rural Karachi Division had commenced on 16th December, 2016. The bid validity period as per Bidding Documents -Documents 'Sr.No.14.1 'Period of Bid Validity ' is 60 days. Foreseeing the time factor in 'opening of the financial bid proposals' and resolving / redressal of grievances of complainants , the extension of bid validity period was felt necessary.

Accordingly the competent authority i.e. the Secretary, Public Health engineering & Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh was approached for grant of extension of bid validity period by 20 days as permissible under the Bidding Documents. On receipt of the same, the bidding qualified Contractors were requested for extension in Bid Validity Period who being convinced with the requested, had acceded to, the request. Photocopies of the orders regarding grant of extension of bid validity period by the competent authority and approval accorded by the bidding Contractors are enclosed for ready reference.

As regard complaint of M/s NLC, their complaint dated 20.01.2017(which was received on 23.01.2017) was disposed of by the Complaint Redressal Committee and decision thereto was communicated by the Secretary of Complaint Redressal committee vide No DG/RDD/ADP Solar/16.1.3/07 dated 27th January,2017 (Photocopy enclosed).Their subsequent letter /Case No.607/ NLC /31 dated 15th February,2017 was also responded by the Chairman Complaint Redressal Committee vide his letter Photocopy whereof is enclosed for ready reference.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. The Secretary, Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General, Rural Development Department Sind, Hyderabad.
3. The Director (Technical), Rural Development Department, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad

SPPRA NO: 1742 DATED: 01-03-17

Fi: examine 1/3

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING &
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

No. SO (T)/RDD/Misc/2017
Karachi dated the 08th February, 2017

To,

The Executive Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
Karachi.

SUBJECT: - EXTENSION IN BID VALIDITY PERIOD OPENINING OF FINANCIAL BID PROPOSALS OF TENDERING PROCESS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/2017/199 dated 07.02.2017, regarding extension in validity period in the light of SPP Rules-38(2) & (4) and to state that with the approval of competent authority, for asking bidders to extend bid validity period is further extended for (20) days with effect from 14.02.2017 due to litigation in complaint redressal committee.

You are directed to take further necessary action in the matter within further extended time period under intimation to this department.

08/2/2017
(MUHAMMAD BUX JARWAR)
SECTION OFFICER (Tech) /RDD

CC to:-

- The Director General, RDD Sindh Hyderabad.
- The Director (Tech), RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.
- PS to Secretary, PHE & RDD, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.

SECTION OFFICER (Tech) /RDD

Shakeel

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI DIVISISON HEADQUARTER AT HYDERABAD

No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/ 200 /2017
Karachi, dated: 08.12.2017

To,

1 M/s Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt.) Ltd.& Star Link Activities (Pvt.).(JV) ,
Government Contractor,
503, Marine Point DC- , Block-9, Clifton KARACHI

2 M/s National Logistic Cell,
Government Contractor, Headquarter Project Directorate N.L.C South,
New Haji Camp, Sultanabad, Karachi

3 M/s Outdoor Unlimited & R.K. Construction Co. (JV),Karachi
Government Contractor,
238-A, Block-2, Shahreh-e-Qaideen,PECH,Karachi.

Subject:- EXTENSION IN BID VALIDITY PERIOD

It may be appreciated that the bidding process for installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh, for Rural Karachi Division has commenced on 16th December,2016 with the opening of 'Technical Bid proposals'.

As per Bidding Documents ,Bidding Data ,the 'Bid validity period is 60 days. The process of opening of financial bid proposals and redressal of grievances of the bidding Contractors may involve more than 60 days, therefore it need to be extended for further period of 20(twenty) days.

Accordingly it is requested that the 'Bid validity Period' may please be extended for a further period of 20 (twenty) days enabling smooth completion of the process.

Looking forward for a positive and timely response from your side.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. The Secretary, Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi
2. The Managing Director ,Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh,Karachi
3. The Director General Rural Development Department Sindh, Hyderabad
4. The Director (Technical), Rural Development Department Sindh, Hyderabad.

NO. -DG/RDD/ADP(Solar)/2016-17/ 09

GOVERNMENT OF SINDH
DIRECTORATE GENERAL
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

022-9201391

Hyderabad, dated the 27th Jan, 2017

To,

The Managing Director,
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,
Government of Sindh,
Karachi.

Subject:- **SUBMISSION OF MINUTES OF MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE**

I am enclosing herewith the minutes of Complaint Redressal Committee held on 24th and 26th January, 2017 under the chairmanship of Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) excersing the powers of Director General RDD Sindh, Hyderabad /Convener of Complaint Redressal Committee for hoisting on SPPRA website.

27-01-17

(ANWAR ALI CHARAN)
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (L&TR)/
CONVENER OF REDRESSAL COMMITTEE

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY 30 JAN '17

Copy f.w.cs for information to:-

1. The Secretary, Public Health Engg: & Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. M/S MASS Developers, Govt. Contractor, Karachi.
3. M/S ZTE Zhongxing Telecom, Govt. Contractor, Karachi.
4. M/S Wadood Engg: Services & Star Link (JV) Karachi.
5. M/S NLC Engineer, Govt. Contractor, Karachi.
6. Master file 2017.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON TUESDAY THE 24TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/COMPLAINT OF M/S WADOOD ENGINEERI NG SERVICES (PVT) LTD, KARACHI , HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with the recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Redressal Committee.

The Complaint Redressal Committee called the complainant M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., Karachi and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) and read the queries made in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid.

1	It is most respectfully submitted that the Financial Proposal Opening of the afore said tender was held on the January 17, 2017. In that regard it is brought to your knowledge that by fully complying with the SPPRA Rule of "Single Stage Two Envelope" and on 16/12/2016 we had submitted our bid in a duly sealed master envelope wherein, two separate proposals titled as 'Technical' & 'Financial' in two sealed envelopes were enclosed. These two sealed envelopes were duly submitted by us, duly collected and confirmed by the Procuring Agency. At that stage if there was any irregularity on our part then our bidding documents would have been out-rightly rejected / returned
2	At this juncture, it is pertinent to submit that according to the Procurement Laws and Rules for province of Sindh, we are fully eligible and further entitled as bidders in regard to the above said tender and have at all times complied with the relevant rules and procedures in their entirety. Moreover, we were the only firm which has submitted the sample as per the specifications and provided a demonstration in the presence of the procuring agency, procurement committee and the participants
3	It is imperative to mention that the final date for submission and evaluation of the Technical proposal was scheduled for the December 16, 2016 while in fact the time for submission of the tender documents for the bidding process for the above said tender was 11:00 AM and the subsequent opening of the technical proposal was 12 Noon. Accordingly, we had submitted our bid through our Master Envelope within the time prescribed by the Procurement Agency
4	For purposes of our grievances, it is imperative to set forth the relevant facts leading to the instant complaint, which are as follows: ▪ That bidding process of the XEN Karachi Division began on 16/12/2016 in presence of the procurement committee, the participant bidders and a few media/press representatives. ▪ That in presence of above mentioned all, our sealed Master Envelope was duly opened, and as per the agency settled practice only the Technical Proposal was initially reviewed. Whereas, the Financial Proposal was kept aside separately in a sealed envelope. Our Pay Order for the earnest money was also submitted with our bidding documents. ▪ That upon scrutiny, our technical bid was cleared and accepted by the respective committee.

	That We alongwith two other bidders were declared technically qualified. • Thereafter, on 17/1/2017 at the time of opening of the Financial Proposals, it was discovered that our financial proposal for the XEN Karachi Division was missing from the bid box. • However, the concerned representatives of the XEN Karachi Division clarified that he had mistakenly kept our sealed financial proposal in his custody while scrutinizing/evaluating the Technical Proposal.
	It should be noted that our financial proposal was found in a sealed envelope and hence was not opened before Procurement Committee until the XEN Karachi Division presented our financial proposal. However, due to an objection raised by a competitor bidder our financial proposal was not accommodated in the financial opening.
6	In light of the aforesaid narrations, it is pertinent to state that Rule 30 of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 sets forth the criterion whereby, a bidder can be disqualified from the bidding process. The said rule is quoted herein below for your kind perusal: *30.* Disqualification of Suppliers, Contractors and Consultants (1) The procuring agency shall disqualify a supplier, consultant or contractor, whether already pre-qualified or not, if it finds at any time, that the information submitted by him concerning his qualification and professional, technical, financial, legal or managerial competence as supplier, consultant or contractor, was false and materially inaccurate or incomplete; or (2) At any stage has indulged in corrupt and fraudulent practices, as defined in these rules; (3) A supplier, contractor or consultant being aggrieved by the decision of the procuring agency regarding disqualification may seek relief through the mechanism of grievance redressal, as provided under Rule 31.
7	From a bare perusal of the above quoted statutory provision, it is unequivocally clear that a respective bidder can only be disqualified if it is seen to have been fraudulently indulged in corrupt practices of submitting inaccurate, false and/or incomplete details in either of its technical or financial proposals.
8	Nevertheless, in light of the abovementioned facts, it is categorically submitted that at the time of submission of our Master Envelope, the same did in fact contain both the technical and financial proposals. However, it was only because of the oversight on part of the XEN Karachi Division authorities that our financial proposal was not found with other financial bids. This assertion is substantiated by the fact that our Financial Proposal was found to be in a sealed envelope at the time when it was later presented to the procurement agency for opening and purposes of evaluation.
9	Moreover, it is settled law and practice that no party can be made to suffer for the negligence and/or carelessness of public functionaries. In fact, public functionaries are always under a corresponding obligation to exercise the same fairly and justly, and where the Authority did not find it appropriate to exercise its discretion, it still had to provide reasons for inaction on its part. Accordingly, a failure to exercise discretionary power under a statute without legal justification was not acceptable as it significantly impairs the due process of law to be treated in accordance with the law. Consequently, it is most respectfully prayed that the Procurement Committee being the custodian of the proposals submitted must diligently exercise caution when evaluating any bids submitted to it and thus, any mismanagement of the same cannot result in disqualifying us from the bidding process.
10	Therefore, considering the facts and reasons recorded hereinabove, it is categorically submitted that we cannot be held responsible to any measure for the negligence / careless conduct / oversight of the relevant authorities. Even otherwise, it is reiterated that for purposes of actively participating in the bidding process we had submitted our Master Envelope wherein, we had enclosed our Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal. This is evident from that fact that in the other Five (05) Division tenders with the same nomenclature, our Technical and Financial Proposals were duly found in the master envelopes submitted thereof. It is also relevant to mention that, our banking instrument amounting to 2% as earnest money for the total consideration was also found in the master envelope, which is strict proof our intention, willingness and ability to contest the bidding process for the above quoted tender(s).
11	Moreover, it is further pertinent to state that, in total there were Six Division Tenders with identical classifications as categorized by the Rural Development Department. It is would also not be prejudicial to our interests to state that we have participated in all Six tenders and in fact, have been ranked as possessing the Bid with the lowest evaluated cost in all other five divisions ie. excluding the Karachi Division. Nevertheless, our proposal/bid submitted for the Karachi Division is more or less the same as what submitted for the other Five (5) Division tenders, which will be evident when the same proposal is perused by the procurement committee.
12	Furthermore, it is self-explanatory that we have offered the lowest price and subsequently, have won (5) Five of the Six (6) division tenders. Out of the six (6) tender divisions, it was only in the Karachi Division Tender that the problem of the missing Financial Proposal from box has occurred. In fact, it is also reiterated that the concerned officer of the XEN Karachi Division has already clarified that our financial proposal was in his possession for reasons unknown to him. Once the bidding documents were in the custody and care of the procuring agency, then the bidders cannot be saddled to suffer for failure to act on part of the authorities.
13	The fact that the financial proposal subsequently found was sealed and was present in the same manner as it was submitted by us goes to show that we had always possessed a clear and unequivocal intention and/or ability to acquire the Karachi Division Tender as well as complete the transaction with the utmost efficiency and diligence.
14	It is most humbly prayed that the Learned Procurement Committee may kindly be pleased to consider our financial proposal for the Karachi Division by strictly adhering to the relevant and applicable rules, witnesses, proof in a

	licious manner. Furthermore, it is also requested that this Procurement Committee may be pleased to initiate/conduct an inquiry against the officer responsible for such mismanagement and investigate upon the real reasons as to why our Financial Proposal not lying with the other bidding envelops, as the same amounts to unfair discrimination being exercised against us and our business interests and opportunities.
	Furthermore, we being the lowest evaluated bidders in all the other five districts of Sindh, goes to show that we are qualified and capable of serving the interest of our Province esp. Rural Areas of Karachi at the most compatible rates and this will also safe national exchequer from unnecessary expenditure.
	That we are available to assist the respectable committee and / or clarify any query raised by the said Committee.

Proceedings of Complaint Redressal Committee

Mr.Talat a representative of the complainant firm M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd, and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) appeared before the Complaint Redressal Committee, he submitted that their firm had submitted one Master envelope, duly sealed , containing two separate envelops, one marked as " Technical Proposal " and the other marked as " Financial Proposal ". The Master envelop was opened by the Procurement Committee on 16.01.2017, in presence of all the participant Bidding Contractors or their representatives. The envelope containing Technical Proposal was opened for evaluation while the other envelope marked " Financial Proposal " was kept a side.

Their Technical Proposal was opened by the Procurement Committee and after scrutiny, it was accepted. Their proposal was declared as technically qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the opening day of the Financial Proposals, it was told that their Financial Proposal was missing from the Tender Box. The Executive Engineer, RDD, Karachi who is procuring agency had clarified that the envelope carrying " Financial Proposal" was in his custody There was objection from other participating Contractors, so their Financial proposal was not included in the 'Financial Opening process' for no fault on their part.

He further added that they had presented 'sample' and give details regarding its operation, robot control system and give full details of understanding of the project and the Procurement Committee after their being satisfied declared them qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the Financial Bid opening day, their sealed envelope "Financial Proposal" was produced by the Executive Engineer, Rural Development ,Karachi from his office.

Decision

The facts elaborated by M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) are considerable as the mistake occurred in the office as such their grievance is considered as genuine and the same may be accepted. The Procurement Committee for Karachi Contract is therefore hereby required to reconvene and include the Financial Bid of M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) in evaluation process of the pending procurement process. Consequently they may decide to recommend the lowest evaluated bid of award of contract as per law.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad- Convener

NO.-XEN(L&TR)RDD/ADP(SOLAR)/2016/03

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH
OLD SRTC OFFICE PREMISES, WAHDAT COLONY
NEAR AGRICULTURE COMPLEX
HYDERABAD

Hyderabad, dated the 21st February, 201

022-9201391

To,

The Project Manager Solar Projects,
Headquarters Project Directors NLC South ,
New Haji Camp Sultanabad, KARACHI

Subject:- OPENING OF FINANCIAL PROPOSALS OF TENDERING PROCESS FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH KARACHI DIVISION

Reference your office letter Case No.607/NLC/31 dated 15th February,2017 on the subject cited above.

It may be appreciated that the Complaint Redressal Committee had responded to your grievances /complaint contained in your letter No.NLC/607/22 dated 20th January,2017, and had convened a meeting on 26th January,2017 for addressing your grievances with regard to opening of 'Financial Bid Proposals'. The Committee was waiting for you for more than 2 ½ hours. The Committee had gone through your complaint, held detailed discussions and decision so arrived at, was communicated vide letter No. SO(T)/RDD/Misc./2017 dated 30th January,2017.

The Procurement Committee, in pursuance of decision of the Complaint Redressal Committee, had re-convened its meeting and invited representative of the NLC vide their letter No...XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/2017 dated 13th February,2017 but none from your Company attended the same. The Procurement Committee accordingly held its meeting on 15th February,2017 and opened the financial bid proposals in respect of work " Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh" Rural Karachi.

As regard your assertions, as contained in para 4 of the letter under reference, seems that you have not gone through the decision of the Complaint Redressal Committee, proceedings of the Procurement Committee, instead appreciation, taken it otherwise although all the proceedings were in accordance with the Rules of the Sindh Public Procurement Act, 2009, (Amended up to 2013) .

21-02-17

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (L&TR)
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
OFFICE OF DIRECTOR GENERAL
SINDH HYDERABAD
CONVENER REDRESSAL COMMITEE

Copy forwarded for information to:-

1. The Secretary, Public Health engineering & Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Managing Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi
3. The Director (Technical) Rural Development Department Sindh, Hyderabad.
4. The Director (Tech) RDD, Hyderabad /Convener Procurement Committee.
5. The executive Engineer, RDD, Karachi /Procurement Agency.

WADOOD ENGINEERING SERVICES (PVT) LTD (JV)
STARLINK ACTIVITIES (PVT) LTD

Dated: 09th February 2017

Executive Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
Karachi.

REF : YOUR LETTER NO. XEN/RDD/SolarLights/200/2017
SUB : BID VALIDTY EXTENSION

Dear Sir,

With reference to above, we are in receipt of your letter stated above.

We hereby extend our bid validity for 20 days extended after the expiry of 60 days validity accordindly.

Our bid is vaild for the period of 80 days since the bidding process started i.e. 16th December 2016.

Thanking you,

Yours truly,

Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd.

Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. JV Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd.

503, Marine Point DC-1, Block-9, Clifton, Karachi – Pakistan
Tel: 021-111 123 124, 021-35837478, 021-35837412 Fax: 021-35837478

OUTDOOR UNLIMITED – R.K. CONSTRUCTION (J.V.)
238-A, BLOCK-2, SHAHRAH E QUAIDEEN, P.E.C.H.S., KARACHI.

10/02/2017

Executive Engineer,
Rural Development Department,
Karachi.

SUB : **BID VALIDTY EXTENSION LETTER NO. XEN/RDD/SOLARLIGHTS/200/2017 DATED 08/02/2017**

Dear Sir,

We are in the receipt of your letter mentioned above regarding extension of bid validity. We duly extend our bid validity period for further 20 days.

The bid validity may be read 80 days instead of 60 days towards our bid documents.

Thanking you,

R K Construction Outdoor Unlimited Venture Karachi

Outdoor Unlimited

NO.XEN/RDD/KYC/Solar(W.O)/2016-17/204-

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
KARACHI

Dated the, 27th March, 2017

To,

M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link Activities Pvt Ltd. (JV),
Government Contractor,
503, Marine Point DC-1, Block-9, Clifton,
Karachi.

Subject:- **WORK ORDER FOR INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH OF KARACHI DIVISION.**

Ref'nce-:- Acceptance letter bearing No.XEN/RDD/KYC/Solar/2016-17/198 dated 15-03-2017.

I am directed to convey the decision of Departmental Procurement Committee with reference to the financial tender/bid for the Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh of Karachi Division at the quoted rates by your firm which are hereby accepted and approved by the Department Procurement Committee of Rural Development Department & also by the Competent Authority being evaluated the lowest bidder for execution of work as per approved specification invited by the Rural Development Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi.

You are hereby directed to execute the work Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh of Karachi Division as per approved specification for year 2016-17 under ADP No.2058.

S.#	Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Bid Amount
1	Supply & Installation of the Solar LED Lights with Removable 33Ah Lithium Battery cartridge. A built in Intelligent Energy Management Controller with auto on/off, Adaptive light level control and wireless remote management system with data sim (data sim for 2 years). A High Efficient 120W Solar module and 72W LED light head with maximum of 7500 lumens should be fully integrated into the Light. Supply & Installation of approved hot dip galvanized shaft/tubular/Single Head 8 meter height, pole maximum dia 4 inch 3 mm wall thickness planted type 1.2 meter planted below ground with required accessories (complete) as per approval of Engineer Incharge	750 Set	223000/-	167,250,000/-
	TOTAL:-			**167,250,000/-**

Total Price in words: Pak Rupees Sixteen Crore Seventy Two Lac Fifty Thousand only)

TERMS & CONDITION

1. The firm will provide products two years limited warranty or 2% spares of street light component.
2. The concerned person of the department shall inspect the site after satisfaction will approve the completion if there will be defects the contractor shall rectify the defects on urgent basis at their own risk and cost as per terms & condition mentioned in Bidding documents.
3. The payment of the Performance Security shall be made after final inspection & on the recommendation of inspection committee.
4. The firm will provide (2) two years O&M.
5. All other terms & condition as per Bidding document.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
KARACHI

Copy f.w.cs for information to:-

1. The Secretary, PHE & Rural Development, Department, Govt. of Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Director General RDD, Sindh Hyderabad.
3. The Director General, NAB Sindh, Karachi
4. The Director (Technical) RDD, Hyderabad.
5. The Director, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority,Barrack No.8 Sindh Secretariat 4-A Karachi.
6. The Director (Development) RDD Karachi.
7. The Assistant Director (Development) RDD, Karachi.
8. The District Officer (Technical), RDD, Karachi. He is directed to look-after the execution of the scheme as per drawing, designs & specification.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPTT:
KARACHI

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer, Rural Development Department, Karachi.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN/RDD/KYC/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/166 Dated: 24-11- 2016
Letter for Financial Bid Opening No. XEN/RDD/Solar Lights/201/2017 dated: 13-02-2017.

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division.

4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelopes.

5. Tender Published: SPPRA's Serial # 30625 upload on 28-11-2016, Daily Express dated: 27-11-2016. Daily Dawn dated: 28-11-2016.

6. Total Bid documents Sold; 08 Nos.

7. Total Bids Received: 08 Nos.

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) *16-12-2016* *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos.

10. Bid(s) Rejected: 02 Nos.

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 17-01-2017

12. Redressal Committee decided that all the participants may be given equal opportunity and scheduled for Opening of Financial Bid on 15-02-2017.

13. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S National Logistic Cell	168750000/-	2nd Lowest	At Par	Higher Side	Rejected being on higher side.
2.	M/S Outdoor Unlimited & R.K Construction Co. (JV)	181500000/-	3rd Lowest	7.55% Above	Higher Side	Rejected being on higher side.
3.	M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link (JV)	167250000/-	1st Lowest	-0.88% Below	Accepted	Accepted being lowest & reasonable.

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(ASLAM PERVAIZ MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi
(MEMBER)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(QAZI KHAIR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
(CONVENER)

J694927 CH NO 306

PAKISTAN PAKISTAN PAKISTAN

1000 Rs 1000 Rs 1000 Rs

SPECIAL ADHESIVE SPECIAL ADHESIVE SPECIAL ADHESIVE

21 MAR 2017

PAKISTAN

50 Rs

SPECIAL ADHESIVE

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

ONLY

CONTRACT AGREEMENT

THIS CONTRACT AGREEMENT (hereinafter called the "Agreement") made on the 21st day of March 2017 between EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, KARACHI (hereafter called the "Procuring Agency") of the one part and M/S WADOOD ENGINEERING SERVICES (PVT) LTD & Star Link Act vities (Pvt) Ltd (JV), Suite No.503, Marine Point DC-I. Block-9, Clifion Karachi (here ifter called the "Contractor") of the other part.

WHEREAS the Procuring Agency is desirous that certain work ***"Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division"*** for the total contractual amount of Rs.167,250,000/- (Pak Rupees One Hundred Sixty Seven Million Two Hundred Fifty Thousand only) should be executed by the Contractor and has accepted a bid by the Contractor for the execution and completion of such works and the remedying of any defects therein

NOW this Agreement witnesseth-- as follows:

1. In this Agreement words and expressions shall have the same meanings as arerespectively assigned to them in the Conditions of Contract hereinafter referred to.

2. The following documents after incorporating addenda, if any, except those parts relating to Instructions to bidders shall be deemed to form and be read and constructed as part of Agreement, viz:

JV

Karachi

21/5/17



ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Shop # 109, Allah Bachai Manzil
Shahdadi Karachi.
21 MAR 2017
Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi

18 MAR 2017

m-3-17

Karachi

Waldorf Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd
Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd
JV

(a) The Contract Agreement;

21/3/2017



BUULLATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Shop # 108, Allah Bachai Manzil
Shahrah-e-Faisal, Saddar, Shahdadi Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Shamit Arain
Advocate

Karachi

21 MAR 2017

21-3-17

(b) The Letter of Acceptance;

21/3/2017

Rupees 50 21 MAR 2017 ۵۰ روپیہ

PAKISTAN پچاس روپے 50Rs SPECIAL ADHESIVE

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Shop # 3 & 4, Aiwan Sachal Manzil
Opp. Bagdadi Karachi.
10540

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office
Karachi

21-3-17

Karachi

(c) The completed Form of Bid;

21/3/2017

Rupees 50 — ۵۰ روپیہ

21 MAR 2017

PAKISTAN — 50Rs — SPECIAL ADHESIVE

BADUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Lic # 139, Allah Bachai Manzil
Regd. Ranchodai Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

STAMP SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court

18 MAR 2017

PAKISTAN — SPECIAL ADHESIVE

M-3-17.

JV

Karachi

(d) The Special Conditions of Contract--Part II;

Rupees 50 21 MAR 2017 ۵۰ روپیہ

PAKISTAN 50 Rs SPECIAL ADHESIVE

21 MAR 2017

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Lic # 189, Abdul Bachai Manzil
Karachi

Syed Arain
Advocate

15 MAR 2017

Karachi

(e) The General Conditions - Part I;

Rupees 50 21 MAR 2017 روپیہ ۵۰

PAKISTAN

پچاس روپیہ

SPECIAL ADHESIVE

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi

15 MAR 2017

JV

Wadood ... Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd

Karachi

(f) The Completed Schedule to Bid (B, C, D) including Schedule or Price (A);



ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Shop # 109, Allah Bachai Manzil
Near Ghaghdadi Karachi.
DATE 10/3/17

21 MAR 2017

Nusrat Riaz Sharif Arain
Advocate

15 MAR 2017

(g) The Integrity Pact (Appendix-B to Bid);

...935

PAKISTAN

Rupees 50 ۵۰ روپیہ

50

SPECIAL ADHESIVE

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Off. 105, Allah Bachai Manzil
Baghdadi Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi.

15 MAR 2017

Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. * Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd. * JV

Executive Engineer
Karachi

(h) The Bill of Quantity

Rupees 50 — 21 MAR 2017 — ۵۰ روپیہ

PAKISTAN — 50Rs — SPECIAL ADHESIVE

ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Plot # 190, Allah Sachai Manzil
Mohammadi Karachi.
10916

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

STAMP VEND SIGNATURE

15 MAR 2017

JV

(i) The Drawings;



ABDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDO
Lic # 382, Allah Bachai Manzil
Baghdadi Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi

15 MAR 2017

(j) The Specifications;

Karachi



BUHL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Lic # 103, Allah Bakhsh Manzil
Road, Agndadi Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi

15 MAR 2017

(k) Contractor shall maintain the solar light for 2 year since sites completion.

3. In considerations of the payments to be made by the procuring agency to the Contractor as hereinafter mentioned. The Contractor hereby covenants with the procuring agency to execute and complete the works and remedy defects therein conformity and in all respects with the provisions of the contract.

4. Procuring agency hereby covenants to pay the contractor, in consideration of the execution and completion of works as per provisions of the contract, the contract Price or such other sum as may become payable under the provisions of the contract at the times and in the manner prescribed by the contract.

5. Procuring agency shall pay retention amount after 03 (Three) months from the date of completion.

JV

Executive
Rural D
Karachi



BUDUL LATIF ARAIN STAMP VENDOR
Lic # 103, Allah Bachai Manzil
Gagndadi Karachi.

21 MAR 2017

Muhammad Sharif Arain
Advocate

OFFICE SUPERINTENDENT
Stamp Office, City Court
Karachi

15 MAR 2017

6. Procuring agency shall retain the performance security till the maintenance period completed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be executed on the day, month and year first before written in accordance with their respective laws.

Signature & Seal of the Contractor

Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd. * Star Link Activities (Pvt) Ltd. JV

WADOOD ENGINEERING SERVICES (PVT) LTD & STAR LINK ACTIVITIES (PVT) LTD (JV), KARACHI

M/s Rafiqua Ahmad
Latifabad Hyderabad.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Rafiqua Ahamad.

Witness:

(Name, Title and Address)

Signature & Seal of Procuring Agency

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPT: KARACHII

M/s Liaqat Ali & Bros
Qasimabad Hyderabad

Faisal 2080

Witness:

(Name, Title and Address)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	**Rural Development Department**
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	**Provincial**
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	**Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division.**
4)	TENDER NUMBER	**XEN/RDD/KYC/Tender(Solar)/2016-17/166 Dated: 24-11- 2016.**
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	**Installation of Solar Lights in Rural Sindh Karachi Division.**
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	**PDWP**
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	**168.750 Million**
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	**N/A**
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	**6 Months (Subject to availability of funds)**
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	**15th February, 2017 @ 2:00 PM**
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	**08 Nos.**
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	**05 Nos.**
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	**03 Nos.**
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	**Copy Enclosed**
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	**M/S Wadood Engineering Services & Star Link Activities (JV). 503, Marine Point DC-1, Block-9, Clifton, Karachi**
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	**Rs. 167,250,000/-**
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	**1st Lowest**
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	√
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Director General, Rural Development Department, Sindh Hyderabad.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	√	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	SPPRA's Serial # 30625
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Express dated: 27-11-2016. Daily Dawn dated: 28-11-2016.
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic / Local	√	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	√	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	√	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? **Not Applicable**

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	√	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	√	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	√	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	√	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	√	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	Copy of decision taken by Redressal Committee is attached.
No	

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	√	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	√

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	√	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SCHEDULE - A TO BID

SUMMARY OF BID PRICES

INSTALLATION OF SOLAR LIGHTS IN RURAL SINDH – KARACHI DIVISION

DESCRIPTIONS	QTY	UNIT	Rate	Amount
Supply and Installation of approved The Solar LED Light with Removable 33Ah Lithium Battery cartridge. A built in Intelligent Energy Management Controller with auto on/off,Adaptive light level control and wireless remote management system with data sim (data sim for 2 years). Al high Efficient 120W solar module and 72W LED light head with maximum of 7500 lumens should be fully integrated into the Light with automatic/robotic solar panel cleaning system: Hot Dip Galvanized shaft / tubular Pole single head 8 Meter with required foundation and accessories i.e. fastners etc . as per approval of engineer incharge.	750	Set	223,000/- Revised by me 15.2.17	167,250,000/- 15.2.17 Sixteen Crore Seventy Two Lacs Fifty Thousand only 15.2.17

NOTE :-

Rate / quantities should be changed after technical sanction received from competent authority.

STAR LINK ACTIVITIES (PVT) LIMITED KARACHI

WADOOD ENGINEERING SERVICES (PVT) LTD

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

(QAZI KHALID MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

Segregation of Price for determination of Price Element.

DESCRIPTIONS	QTY	UNIT	Rate			Amount		
			Supply	Service	Total	Supply	Service	Total
Supply and Installation of approved The Solar LED Light with Removable 33Ah Lithium Battery cartridge. A built in Intelligent Energy Management Controller with auto on/off.Adaptive light level control and wireless remote management system with data sim (data sim for 2 years). AHigh Efficient 120W solar module and 72W LED light head with maximum of 7500 lumens should be fully integrated into the Light with automatic/robotic solar panel cleaning system: Hot Dip Galvanized shaft / tubular Pole single head 8 Meter with required foundation and accessories i.e. fastners etc . as per approval of engineer incharge.	750	Set	200,700/-	22,300/-	223,000/-	150,525,000/-	16,725,000/-	167,250,000/-

(SHOUKAT ALI MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Tando Muhammad Khan
(MEMBER)

(Q[illegible] AZHAR MUHAMMAD)
Director (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad.
(CONVENOR)

ASLAM PERVAIZ
Executive Engineer
Rural Development Department
Karachi

SCHEDULE - A TO BID

BILL OF QUANTITY (ITEMS)

S.NO	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT
1)	Supply & Installation of The Solar LED Light with Removable 33Ah Lithium Battery cartridge. A built in Intelligent Energy Management Controller with auto on/off.Adaptive light level control and wireless remote management system with data sim (data sim for 2 years). A High Efficient 120W solar module and 72W LED light head with maximum of 7500 lumens should be fully integrated into the Light etc complete with automatic/robotic solar panel cleaning system as per approval of Engineer In-Charge	750	EACH
2)	Supply and Installation of hot dip galvanized shaft / tubular Single Head 8 meter height. pole top dia 80 mm bottom dia 101.60 mm wall thickness 3.25 mm with required foundation and accessories(complete) as per approval of Engineer In-Charge	750	EACH

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON TUESDAY THE 24TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/COMPLAINT OF M/S WADOOD ENGINEERI NG SERVICES (PVT) LTD, KARACHI , HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with the recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Redressal Committee.

The Complaint Redressal Committee called the complainant M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., Karachi and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) and read the queries made in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid.

1	It is most respectfully submitted that the Financial Proposal Opening of the afore said tender was held on the January 17, 2017. In that regard it is brought to your knowledge that by fully complying with the SPPRA Rule of "Single Stage Two Envelope" and on 16/12/2016 we had submitted our bid in a duly sealed master envelope wherein, two separate proposals titled as 'Technical' & 'Financial' in two sealed envelopes were enclosed. These two sealed envelopes were duly submitted by us, duly collected and confirmed by the Procuring Agency. At that stage if there was any irregularity on our part then our bidding documents would have been out-rightly rejected / returned
2	At this juncture, it is pertinent to submit that according to the Procurement Laws and Rules for province of Sindh, we are fully eligible and further entitled as bidders in regard to the above said tender and have at all times complied with the relevant rules and procedures in their entirety. Moreover, we were the only firm which has submitted the sample as per the specifications and provided a demonstration in the presence of the procuring agency, procurement committee and the participants
3	It is imperative to mention that the final date for submission and evaluation of the Technical proposal was scheduled for the December 16, 2016 while in fact the time for submission of the tender documents for the bidding process for the above said tender was 11:00 AM and the subsequent opening of the technical proposal was 12 Noon. Accordingly, we had submitted our bid through our Master Envelope within the time prescribed by the Procurement Agency
4	For purposes of our grievances, it is imperative to set forth the relevant facts leading to the instant complaint, which are as follows: ▪ That bidding process of the XEN Karachi Division began on 16/12/2016 in presence of the procurement committee, the participant bidders and a few media/press representatives. ▪ That in presence of above mentioned all, our sealed Master Envelope was duly opened, and as per the agency settled practice only the Technical Proposal was initially reviewed. Whereas, the Financial Proposal was kept aside separately in a sealed envelope. Our Pay Order for the earnest money was also submitted with our bidding documents. ▪ That upon scrutiny, our technical bid was cleared and accepted by the respective committee.

	▪ That We alongwith two other bidders were declared technically qualified. ▪ Thereafter, on 17/1/2017 at the time of opening of the Financial Proposals, it was discovered that our financial proposal for the XEN Karachi Division was missing from the bid box. ▪ However, the concerned representatives of the XEN Karachi Division clarified that he had mistakenly kept our sealed financial proposal in his custody while scrutinizing/evaluating the Technical Proposal.
5	It should be noted that our financial proposal was found in a sealed envelope and hence was not opened before Procurement Committee until the XEN Karachi Division presented our financial proposal. However, due to an objection raised by a competitor bidder our financial proposal was not accommodated in the financial opening.
6	In light of the aforesaid narrations, it is pertinent to state that Rule 30 of the Sindh Public Procurement Rules, 2010 sets forth the criterion whereby, a bidder can be disqualified from the bidding process. The said rule is quoted herein below for your kind perusal: ***30.*** Disqualification of Suppliers, Contractors and Consultants (1) The procuring agency shall disqualify a supplier, consultant or contractor, whether already pre-qualified or not, if it finds at any time, that the information submitted by him concerning his qualification and professional, technical, financial, legal or managerial competence as supplier, consultant or contractor, was false and materially inaccurate or incomplete; or (2) At any stage has indulged in corrupt and fraudulent practices, as defined in these rules; (3) A supplier, contractor or consultant being aggrieved by the decision of the procuring agency regarding disqualification may seek relief through the mechanism of grievance redressal, as provided under Rule 31.
7	From a bare perusal of the above quoted statutory provision, it is unequivocally clear that a respective bidder can only be disqualified if it is seen to have been fraudulently indulged in corrupt practices of submitting inaccurate, false and/or incomplete details in either of its technical or financial proposals.
8	Nevertheless, in light of the abovementioned facts, it is categorically submitted that at the time of submission of our Master Envelope, the same did in fact contain both the technical and financial proposals. However, it was only because of the oversight on part of the XEN Karachi Division authorities that our financial proposal was not found with other financial bids. This assertion is substantiated by the fact that our Financial Proposal was found to be in a sealed envelope at the time when it was later presented to the procurement agency for opening and purposes of evaluation.
9	Moreover, it is settled law and practice that no party can be made to suffer for the negligence and/or carelessness of public functionaries. In fact, public functionaries are always under a corresponding obligation to exercise the same fairly and justly, and where the Authority did not find it appropriate to exercise its discretion, it still had to provide reasons for inaction on its part. Accordingly, a failure to exercise discretionary power under a statute without legal justification was not acceptable as it significantly impairs the due process of law to be treated in accordance with the law. Consequently, it is most respectfully prayed that the Procurement Committee being the custodian of the proposals submitted must diligently exercise caution when evaluating any bids submitted to it and thus, any mismanagement of the same cannot result in disqualifying us from the bidding process.
10	Therefore, considering the facts and reasons recorded hereinabove, it is categorically submitted that we cannot be held responsible to any measure for the negligence / careless conduct / oversight of the relevant authorities. Even otherwise, it is reiterated that for purposes of actively participating in the bidding process we had submitted our Master Envelope wherein, we had enclosed our Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal. This is evident from that fact that in the other Five (05) Division tenders with the same nomenclature, our Technical and Financial Proposals were duly found in the master envelopes submitted thereof. It is also relevant to mention that, our banking instrument amounting to 2% as earnest money for the total consideration was also found in the master envelope, which is strict proof our intention, willingness and ability to contest the bidding process for the above quoted tender(s).
11	Moreover, it is further pertinent to state that, in total there were Six Division Tenders with identical classifications as categorized by the Rural Development Department. It is would also not be prejudicial to our interests to state that we have participated in all Six tenders and in fact, have been ranked as possessing the Bid with the lowest evaluated cost in all other five divisions ie. excluding the Karachi Division. Nevertheless, our proposal/bid submitted for the Karachi Division is more or less the same as what submitted for the other Five (5) Division tenders, which will be evident when the same proposal is perused by the procurement committee.
12	Furthermore, it is self-explanatory that we have offered the lowest price and subsequently, have won (5) Five of the Six (6) division tenders. Out of the six (6) tender divisions, it was only in the Karachi Division Tender that the problem of the missing Financial Proposal from box has occurred. In fact, it is also reiterated that the concerned officer of the XEN Karachi Division has already clarified that our financial proposal was in his possession for reasons unknown to him. Once the bidding documents were in the custody and care of the procuring agency, then the bidders cannot be saddled to suffer for failure to act on part of the authorities.
13	The fact that the financial proposal subsequently found was sealed and was present in the same manner as it was submitted by us goes to show that we had always possessed a clear and unequivocal intention and/or ability to acquire the Karachi Division Tender as well as complete the transaction with the utmost efficiency and diligence.
14	It is most humbly prayed that the Learned Procurement Committee may kindly be pleased to consider our financial proposal for the Karachi Division by strictly adhering to the relevant and applicable rules, witnesses, proof in a

	judicious manner. Furthermore, it is also requested that this Procurement Committee may be pleased to initiate/conduct an inquiry against the officer responsible for such mismanagement and investigate upon the real reasons as to why our Financial Proposal not lying with the other bidding envelops, as the same amounts to unfair discrimination being exercised against us and our business interests and opportunities.
15	Furthermore, we being the lowest evaluated bidders in all the other five districts of Sindh, goes to show that we are qualified and capable of serving the interest of our Province esp. Rural Areas of Karachi at the most compatible rates and this will also safe national exchequer from unnecessary expenditure.
16	That we are available to assist the respectable committee and / or clarify any query raised by the said Committee.

Proceedings of Complaint Redressal Committee

Mr.Talat a representative of the complainant firm M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd, and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd (JV) appeared before the Complaint Redressal Committee, he submitted that their firm had submitted one Master envelope, duly sealed , containing two separate envelops, one marked as " Technical Proposal " and the other marked as " Financial Proposal ". The Master envelop was opened by the Procurement Committee on 16.01.2017, in presence of all the participant Bidding Contractors or their representatives. The envelope containing Technical Proposal was opened for evaluation while the other envelope marked " Financial Proposal " was kept a side.

Their Technical Proposal was opened by the Procurement Committee and after scrutiny, it was accepted. Their proposal was declared as technically qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the opening day of the Financial Proposals, it was told that their Financial Proposal was missing from the Tender Box. The Executive Engineer, RDD, Karachi who is procuring agency had clarified that the envelope carrying " Financial Proposal" was in his custody There was objection from other participating Contractors, so their Financial proposal was not included in the 'Financial Opening process' for no fault on their part.

He further added that they had presented 'sample' and give details regarding its operation, robot control system and give full details of understanding of the project and the Procurement Committee after their being satisfied declared them qualified.

On 17th January, 2017, the Financial Bid opening day, their sealed envelope "Financial Proposal" was produced by the Executive Engineer, Rural Development ,Karachi from his office.

Decision

The facts elaborated by M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) are considerable as the mistake occurred in the office as such their grievance is considered as genuine and the same may be accepted. The Procurement Committee for Karachi Contract is therefore hereby required to reconvene and include the Financial Bid of M/S Wadood Engineering Services (Pvt) Ltd., & M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. limited (JV) in evaluation process of the pending procurement process. Consequently they may decide to recommend the lowest evaluated bid of award of contract as per law.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Aimani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad- Convener

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON TUESDAY THE 24TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/ COMPLAINT OF M/S MASS DEVELOPERS, CONTRACTORS, BUILDERS, ,DEVELOPERS, KARACHI , HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with the recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Redressal Committee.

The Redressal Committee called the complainant on the below queries made in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid.

1	The bidder namely M/S Wadood Engineering has PEC registration with category of C06 but the required category of C02 according to your NIT which means this company does not fulfill the this criteria which is seriously offends the provisions of SPPRA Rules. We want to know on what grounds M/S Wadood is being technical qualified as it does not fulfill first mandatory requirement of financial limit from PEC.
2	In Karachi Division Tender, the Bid of M/S Wadood Engineering was not presented/available in Sealed tender Box. It is laid somewhere else in your office that is also a big question of the that day proceedings regarding commercial opening please clarify about that.
3	As Secretary RDD & PHE had formed & issued Notifications for Tender procurement Committees for six different Cities regarding this tender before submission of Tenders in the month of November, 2016, according to this Notifications there would be a three members, Director Technical would be the Convener, Executive Engineer of that city would be a member and Assistant Engineer of PHED would be a member also but there were two members of your Procurement Committee had absent, they did not attend Commercial Opening so we need to know that if you have changed the committee members so did you issue any Notification of them or uploaded on SPPRA website as it is mandatory. Please take up this matter it is also a violation of SPPRA Rules.
4	The sample submitted by M/S OSLO was also treated as sample of M/S NLC in violation of SPPRA Rules, Competition Law and other prevailing laws of the country.
5	Tender Committee did not share the evaluation report yet as well as evaluation criteria which is mandatory under the SPPRA Law and Rules. Furthermore, Evolution Report was not uploaded on SPPRA website before Commercial Opening.
6	That we learnt that M/S Outdoor does not have required PEC registration Certificate and even then it got qualified. On which ground this company has been qualified?? Moreover, M/S Outdoor does not have relevant and required Project Experience mentioned in NIT.

The aggrieved applicant M/S MASS Developers, Contractors Builders, Developers, Karachi who had participated in the bidding process of 'Solar LED LIGHTS Tender for Karachi have

sent their application dated 23.01.2017, received on 24.01.2017 stating withdrawal of their earlier letter dated 20.01.2017 without any obligation.

Decision

The Redressal Committee accepted the withdrawal application of M/S MASS Developers as requested by them.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Waheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General,
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad Convener

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON TUESDAY THE 24TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/COMPLAINT OF M/S ZTE ZHONGXONG TELECOM, PAK PVT. LTD HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department,Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Redressal Committee.

The Complaint Redressal Committee called the complainant M/S ZTE Zhongxong Telecom Pakistan (Pvt) LTD, Karachi and read the queries made by the contractors M/S ZTE ZHONGXONG TELECOM, PAK PVT. LTD in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid

- Tender Committee failed to share the evaluation report as well as evaluation criteria with all the bidders, which is mandatory under the SPPRA Law and Rules. Further, in sheer violation of SPPRA Rules, Evaluation Report was not uploaded on SPPRA website before commercial opening.
- That there is a company namely M/S Wadood Engineering has PEC registration with category of C06 against the required category of C02 which was sufficient for its disqualification. Ironically, even the PEC registration certificate was issued on 17-12-2016 after the due date of submission of Tender i.e. 16-12-2016. This clearly shows that the company was not having the required certificate before the target date of submission of Tender (16-12-2016), hence, the company should have disqualified on this sole reason. Surprisingly, the company got qualified despite lack of said mandatory document which seriously offends the provisions of SPPRA Rules alongwith other prevailing laws of the land.
- That it was witnessed by all the participants that regarding Karachi Division Tender, the Bid of M/S Wadood Engineering was not available in tender Box of Bidding which should have led its disqualification under SPPRA Rules immediately but on the contrary, in violation of the laws on the subject, it got qualified.
- That astonishingly, the sample submitted by M/S OSLO was also treated as sample of M/S NLC in violation of SPPRA Rules, Competition Law and other prevailing laws of the country.
- That we learned that M/S Outdoor does not have required PEC registration Certificate with relevant codes and category and even then it got qualified. Moreover, M/S Outdoor does not have relevant and required Project Experience mentioned in NIT of the Tender.
- That we got disqualified despite fulfilling all the requirements mentioned in the Tender Documents which we failed to understand.
- That we also need to know the Tender Committee names & designations details.

- That a notification was issued by the Secretary RDD & PHE to constitute three members Tender Procurement Committee for six cities of province. Director Technical was appointed as Convener whereas Executive Engineer of the city and Assistant Engineer of PHED were included as members. There were two members out of three members were absent on the day of opening of Tender without any information. According to SPPRA Rules if any member is replaced through notification, it will be uploaded on SPPRA website.
  Whereas in present case no notification is found on SPPRA website hence absence of two members from committee is tantamount to nullify the whole proceeding.

Proceedings of Complaint Redressal Committee

The Representative of the of the Complainant firm viz M/S ZTE Zhongxong Telecom Pakistan (Pvt) LTD, Karachi , Mr.Geng attended the meeting and remained consulting on Cell phone with his Company Personnel Mr.Rashid Rana.

Mr.Rashid Rana also actively participated in the discussion and insisted upon various points which were cleared to him on the point to point basis.

i) On the query he was told that evaluation report was told to all the participants. Further more he was informed that bid evaluation criteria was mentioned in the bidding documents.

ii) He was also informed that M/S Wadood Engineering & Star Link Activities (Pvt). Ltd. have Joint Venture and M/S Star Link Activities Pvt. Ltd. is registered with the Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C-01 having specialization in the relevant field having all the required codes vide License No.01067.

iii) As regard the question of the 'Sample' submitted by M/S OSLO treated as sample of M/S NLC. was also cleared and was offered to participant (Complainant) to get it verified. However he did not shown his desire and slipped./skipped away.

iv) As regard the question of Registration with PEC, M/S Outdoor un-limited, it was clarified that M/s Outdoor unlimited is also (JV) firm with the M/S R.K Consultant Co., which is registered with PEC in the required Category having special codes (under License No.01287) as required. Moreover M/S R.K Consultant Co. has also experience of such work carried out by them in other Departments.

v) It is also incorrect that the Procurement Committee was incomplete as Convener as well as two other the Members were present which can be verified from the attendance sheet.

Decision

The Committee is of the opinion that the claim M/S ZTE Zhongxong Telecom Pakistan (Pvt) LTD, Karachi, Contractor having no substantiate proof, hence the complaint is filed.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General,
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad Convener

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF COMPLAINT REDRESSAL COMMITTEE OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HELD ON THURSDAY THE 26TH JANUARY, 2017 TO ADDRESS THE GRIEVANCES/ COMPLAINT OF M/S NLC ENGINEER, CONTRACTORS, KARACHI, HELD IN THE OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SINDH HYDERABAD

The following attended the meeting

1	Engr. Anwar Ali Charan, Executive Engineer (L&TR) Hyd Exercising power of Director General, RDD Sindh, Hyderabad.	Convener
2.	Engr.Ghulam Sarwar Soomro, District Officer (Technical) Rural Development Department, Hyderabad	Member
3.	Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani, Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engg. Hyderabad	Member
4.	Mr.Abdul Rasheed Channa, Divisional Accounts Officer, Representative of A.G.Sindh	Member
5.	Mr. Muhammad Ismail Memon, Section Officer(Tech.) Public Health Engineering & Rural Development Department Government of Sindh, Karachi	Member/ Secretary

The meeting commenced with the recitation from Holy Quran by Engr. Anwar Ali Charan. He welcomed all the participant Members of the Complaint Redressal Committee.

The Redressal Committee called the complainant on the below queries made in the complaint regarding opening of Financial Bid.

1	NLC received qualification notice for technical phase and was invited to attend the financial bid opening on 17 January 2017 for all the subject bids. Surprisingly M/S Wadood Engineering having their E-6 category PEC license also participating for financial bid opening PEC category C-2 with codes CE01, CE09, CE10, EE06 and EE11 was a mandatory requirement as per clause x of NIT dated 24-11-2016 which was not considered as a disqualification during the technical evaluation process. The bidder also gave surprise to all attending the financial bid opening by producing his financial bid not from the sealed box but from a drawer of the table to which all the participants objected.
2	The bid produced in such a manner is against SPPRA Rules and IB 20(a), IB 21(a), (b) and IB 23.3. The procurement committee did not take our objection into consideration therefore we believe this is clearly infirmities and breach of rules and regulations by the procuring agency for which we need a hearing with the Redress Committee to be formed for hearing our grievances.

The complainant M/S NLC Engineer, Karachi neither attended the above said meeting nor informed about his absent as the notice for attending the meeting has been mailed through TCS on 24-01-2017 which was received on 25-01-2017 by Mr.Anees employee of NLC Engineer. The Complaint Redressal Committee waited about 2½ hours but no response from the firm has been received .

Decision

Due to absence of M/S NLC Engineer, Karachi, the Redressal Committee has filed this complaint.

(Engr. Ghulam Sarwar Soomro)
District Officer (Technical)
Rural Development Department
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Engr.Akhtar Ahmed Almani)
Assistant Engineer,PHE
Hyderabad
Member Redressal Committee

(Abdul Rasheed Channa)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Representative of A.G.sindh
Member Redressal Committee

(Muhammad Ismail Memon)
Section Officer (Technical)
Public Health Engg: &
Rural Development Deptt:
Govt. of Sindh,Karachi
Member/Secretary

(Engr. Anwar Ali Charan)
Director General,
Rural Development Department Sindh
Hyderabad Convener