

AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Abid Alf Mangrio, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Suleman Panhwar, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012(1)



I/we M/s Zain-ul-Abdin Bughio, Government Contractor Resident

of Gachero Road Moro, Near Highway By-pass Moro. District Nausheroferoz hereby

under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Parial Khaskheli,

Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 60% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my

self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012(2)




I/we M/s Muhammad Alam Khan, Government Contractor

Resident of Hyderabad is hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Nawaz Khokhar, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59.50% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)

Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)



I/we M/s Zain-ul-Abdin Bughio, Government Contractor Resident

of Gachero Road Moro, Near Highway By-pass Moro District Nausheroferoz hereby


under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Haji Fato, Taluka

Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 60% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by

all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012/41



AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co, Government Contractor

Resident of Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at


village Muhammad Panhwar, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 60.60% above on

Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the

agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012(5)



M. EAHEER ABBASI
God. Stamp Vander (Lir. No. 155)

Hessich Court Hyderabato.

1019 JUN 2012

AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Shahnawaz Shoro, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village

Hoosri City Shedi Paro, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 61% above on Schedule

"B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form

signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/202 (6)



AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Mir Hassan Khoso, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Bago Wagri, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 61.50% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed

thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2014



I/we M/s Rahim Enterprises, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village


Mitho Wighanal U.C Hoosti, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59.60% above on

Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the

agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural) 224/20/2(8)




I/we M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad is hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Mohan Bagri, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 58% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural) 224/2012 (9)




I/we M/s D.B and Brothers, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad is hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Obhay Khaskheli Deh Kunar, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 58.60% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/20K (10)




I/we M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad is hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Jehan Khan Kathio Deh Pasaki, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 58% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

229/2012(11)

Section the Ed State Co.



AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Ammar Const: Co, Government Contractor Resident of


Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village

Arbab Nahyoon U.C Haji Sawan Khan Gopang, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of

59.40% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions

framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)
224 | 212 (12




AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Ammar Construction Co; Government Contractor Residence

Of Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the work Construction of C.C Block at village At Mohammad Bux Kalri Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59.50% above on schedule "B" and schedule item and shall abide my self by all the term and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

> Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012 (13)



I/we M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co, Government Contractor


Resident of Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Mushtaque Kaleri Deh Rajpari, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59.70% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

229/20/2(14)

CONTRACTOR

mohund Roffing




Agreement

I Rasool Bux Pawhate Governmel Contractor

Resident of Hydreuly do hereby Muder-later to Carryall the Construction of C: C: Block at village Gul Muhammad Buefat U. C. Hazir Sawam Kham Gawam Kham Busfat U. J. Haji Sawam Kham Gobane at the Side of Rs: 60.2% Above on the Schedule B and Shall abile my Soff by all telus and Conditions from In the affective Signed thereor.

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad Rural. 224/20/L (15)




I/we M/s Syed Shahid Aff, Government Contractor Resident of

Latifabad, Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Bacho Kaleri Deh Rajpari, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 61% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Tatuka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012 (16)



I/we M/s Syed Shahid Ali, Government Contractor Resident of

Latifabad, Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at

village Uris Khaskheli Deh Rajpari, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 60.50%


the second secon

above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in

the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/20/2 (17)



do hereby under take to carry the work for Constants of e.c. Block at village Jam What Colony Deh Rajbari W.c. Haji Sawam/Kkam Gopane at the Rite of B. 60.30%. above on Schedule & and shell abide any soly by all the turns and Condifficus framed in the agreement torm signed thereor.

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad Rural.



I/we M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar, Government Contractor Resident

of D-79 Citizen Colony, Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Block at village Ali Muhammad Khaskheli Deh Rajpari, Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 59% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

224/2012(197

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM 224/20/2

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRAC	CTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS	

	Coseciled Cortain Eucladion for
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T.M.A. Hyd(L)
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT./OTHER Local Govt.
(د	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communi Colion Sect of MIAPS-50 (2011-20
4)	TENDER NUMBER SAIO: 16
5)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME 1 - Co (Growth Del #: Del #:
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Lo Cal Gave, Def #: Delle
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE B. O. S(M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thece months
10)	TENDER OPENSO ON (ATE & TIME) 21-4-2012 at 2.00 P.M.
11)	NUMBER OF TENESP COCUMENTS SOLD 03 No. Computative Status elsely (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 23NOS
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Alterdy Koviler
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL DODR M/S Syed Shalid Al.
(6)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B495653/
7)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT ISI Lawed (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
8) 1	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)
a	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
. 5	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
c	two stage bidding procedure
d	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

	141	1 1 70 71 701
19)	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	CACT DISKICT / Sansation of lux
20)	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No
21)	ADVERTISEMENT :	
	i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes 11886 H. S. 4. 2012
		No
	ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
		No No
22)	NATURE OF CON BAC	Domestic/ Local Int.
23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CREWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER FOR MEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
24)	WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	WAS OBT AND FOR USING A Yes - No - NA
26)	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	IDDERS? Yes No -/
27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVBID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies	
28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN COMPLIANT?	VICALLY Yes No No
29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT Yes No
30)	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO CONTRACT?	BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes No
		The sat is quited were seed out

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN I (If yes, give details)	IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes	
	No	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?		
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
34) DEVIATION EDANG	No	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFF ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detained rease s.)	Yes	
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AVENCY BLACK LISTED? 36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF A SU (If yes, enclose a copy)	HE PRO	Yes No No CURING AGENCY TO THE
		Yes - No - NA
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MORE THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZATION	Yes - No - NA
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
		•
March 1	No	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Taluka Officer (1&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad Rural.		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
		y 14
		+
SPPRA Block No 9 St. J. S		

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset



OFFICE OF THE (DEFUNCT) TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: T.O(D)TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/- 497/2012, Dated: 25/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

The Manager (CB), Government of Sindh, Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Barrak No. 08 Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A Conrt Road Karachi.

SUBJECT: NIT NO: TMA / T.O (I&S) / HYD (RURAL) / 224 / 2012 DATED: 21.03.2012.

The contract Evaluation Forms, Bill Quantities, Letter award of work etc of NIT NO: TMA.T.O(I&S)/HYD (RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012 hoisted on SPPRA WEBSITE vide No. 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 are enclosed as required under Section 50 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Encl: 114 Papers

TRANSITION OFFICER

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy F.W.Cs to: -

1. The District Transition Officer, (Defunet) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

224/20/2 CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

*	TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR P	UBLIC CONTRACTS OF
	WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS	•

		•			2	
1)	NAME C	OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEP	TT. 1. M. A. H	Ydesabad /	cusar_	
2)	PROVIN	ICEAT / LOCAL GOVE / OTHER		A 00 1 - 1 - 1 - 1		
,		F CONTRACT (Communications	icalor MAAY	10 0 do 11- do 12	ļ
,		R NUMBER	SN0:1	01.0	and ill Cultana	.
5) *	BRIEF D	ESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Constudion			
•		THAT APPROVED THE SCHE	ME LOCAL GO	verment]	Defautt:	
7)	TENDE	R ESTIMATED VALUE	B.O.5 (1	1)	<u>.</u>	
8)		EER'S ESTIMATE	B: 0.5 (N	<u>) </u>	<u> </u>	ļ
,	(For civi	I works only)			+	
9)	ESTIMA	ATED COMPL. TION PERIOD (A	AS PER CONTRACT)	16-sec Mo	MIAS	
10)	TENDE	R OPENED ON (MATE & TIME)	21-04-201	2 0 2.06 1.2	"	
11)	NUMBE	ER OF TEN TRACEPLANTS	DLD 03 NO		five statement	
	(Attach	list of buyers)		2000	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
12)	NUMBE	ER OF BIDS RECEIVED	. 03 Nos		100	
13)	NUMBE	ER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT	THE TIME OF OPENI	NG OF BIDS 17	7	ł
		ALUATION REPORT		ALready	Covided.	1
Í		e a copy)		M/c A6: d	Ali Mangeses	
15)	NÁME	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCC	ESSFUL SODDIR		7 chantis laso To	and 184
		LU L	90832/=	A	_	HX 7.
16)	CONTR	RACT AWARD PRICE 15.4	1.00.00			
17)	RANKI	NG OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	IN EVALUATION RE	PORT Tof	Lowest	
•	(i.e. 1 st ,	2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).		13/1	<u> </u>	
		-				•
`			(m: 1			
18)	METH(OD OF PROCUREMENT USED		Local		
	a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVE	LOPE PROCEDURE		Domestic/ Local	
	b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVE	ELOPE PROCEDURE			
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROC	EDURE		_	
		TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELO				1
	d)	I MO 21 MOE - I MO EN VETT				
					WAS AMOUTED IS	-
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY O	THER METHOD OF	PROCUREMENT '	WAS ADOLIED I.e.	ļ
_		EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRA	ACTING ETC. WITH BRID	CL KENSONS.		İ

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Diskiet Transition of ica MA Hydradan Kisal 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No. No.	OF CONTR	ACT Distict Transition Officer
21) ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER ENCL MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVÂLUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER ENCL MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITI WAS OPTICALLY (If yes, enclose a copy) 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY (Yes) No	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	TMA HYdelabal Rusel
21) ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONRAG 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERY WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER AND CONTROL 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER AND CONTROL 25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER AND CONTROL 26) WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER AND COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBDERED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 27) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBDERED FOR USING A Yes No. 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach cany of the bid evaluation report)	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No No No No No No No No No N		Yes No No
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No No No No No No No No No N	21) ADVERTISEMENT:	
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No No No No No No No No No N	·	Yes No: 1188604: 5. 4.2012
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CON BAG 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER OCCMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBDERED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Altach cony of the bid evaluation report)		
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) No NO: 22) NATURE OF CON RAC 23) WHETHER QUALIFICAN ON CRUBES WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER ADOL MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVÂLUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBDERED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICAJLY Yes No COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach cony of the bid evaluation report)		No
22) NATURE OF CON BAC 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERS WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER SICK MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVÂLUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach cony of the bid evaluation report)	ii) News Papers	Yes
22) NATURE OF CONRAC 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOC MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack copy of the bid evaluation report)	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	`
22) NATURE OF CON RAG 23) WHETHER QUALIFICAN ON CRUBES WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER ADCL MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVÂLUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITE WAS OBTERNED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	• ()	No No:
22) NATURE OF CON MAI 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERS WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER LOCKMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVÂLUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITE WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING2 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING! TENDER ADC MENTS! (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING! TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID! BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	22) NATURE OF CON RAG	local
(If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL	aures
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITE WAS OPE AND FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER BOCK (EF	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No - NA 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No - NA 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		
(If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTERED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDENG? Yes - No - NA 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No - NA 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	Yes No
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITE WAS OPT AND FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDENG? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DUCUM	137
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING. Yes No 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	▼	
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR	TWAS OBT AND FOR USING A
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	METHOD OTHER THAN OF EN COMPETITION	165 - 170
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE E	BIDDERS? Yes No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST I	EVALUATED TEST THO TO THE
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALET COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultance	cs)
COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH	INICALLY Yes No
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No No No OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No		
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No No No OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No		DOLOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	1 16 /13 13 11
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Ves No		
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
The satisfuoted were sead out for information.	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes No
fos information.	7	The saturated were sead out
	•	fos information.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
•	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	N IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	NO
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	ME? Yes	
	No	No:
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed rease s.)	Yes	,
	No	No ·
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AS BLACK LISTED?	•	190 11 11 11 11
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING G (If yes, enclose a copy)	NT, IF	PROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED OF THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	N MOBILIZA	Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
ignature Comicial Stamp of transn	No	No:
Adulting States States on Adulting States on Hyderabad States		

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE SULEMAN PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (1).

N.I.T No: 224/2012

Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012

	1. Amount of Schedule items	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	TOO THE TOTAL THE COURT	
K5. 402117	<u></u>	Pe- 241056/- S		Date: 21.03.2012 Date:
68% Above	59% Above	Rates	ONTRACIORS	Dated of Opening: 41.94.2012

		Estimate Cost (a) Rs. 241056/-	D: \$00000/-			Add: S/F inauguration board Total:	,)VC	fule items	OF SANCLION ESTIMATE COST
As Per Estimated Cost As Per Lowest Tender		4. Add: S/T	3. Add: Diff	2. Amount of 59% above	1. Amount o	Rs: 500000/-	Rs: 4233/-	Rs: 103320/- 3 M/s R	2		Rs: 241056/- Sr.# N
As Per Estimated Cost As Per Lowest Tender Cost	A (****	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board Total:	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	f 59% above	1. Amount of Schedule items		LOWEST BID	M/s Rasool Bux Fannwar	M/s Ammar Const: Co.	M/s Abid Ali Mangrio	Name of Contractor
Rs: 490832/- Rs: 9168/-		Total: Rs: 490832/-	Rs. 4233/-	Ps: 103320/-	Ps: 147773/-	D : 241056/-		11/02/2007	71% Ahove	59% Above	Kates

151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056

Taluka Officer (I&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Myderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

		To a a live of Rulad
1)	ŅA	ME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
2)	PR	OVINICIAL /I OCAL GOVI /III HER
رر	TIT	TLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector MIA PS. 502011-2012
4)	TE	NDER NUMBER
5) *	BR	IEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constig C:C: Block at ville Parial Khas Khas
6)	FO	RUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart:
7)		NDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4.0.5(M)
8)		GINEER'S ESTIMATE B: 0.5 (M)
	(Fo	or civil works only)
9)	ES	TIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thece Months
10)	TE	NDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-04-2012 & 2000
11)	N	IMBER OF TEN DE OCIDENTS OLD OUNOS Compostative Statement
	(A	ttach list of buyers)
12)	N	JMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . 0 4 NO
13)	ŊĮ	JMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14NO
14)		DEVALUATION REPORT Inclose a copy) A Live ady Houided
15) N	AME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL TODAR M/17ai nul Abdi'm Buglied
16) C(ONTRACT AWARD PRICE B. 493243/=
I 7) R. (i.	ANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest e. 14, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
•		
18) M	ETHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a)	Domestic Local
	b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	ď	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
		EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

-	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF C	TMAH	Addabat Cuser
20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLI	UDED IN ANNUAL PI	Yes No No
21) ADVI	ERTISEMENT:		
•		Yes No.	118864:54.2012
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identificati	on No.)	
	(11)03, 51.0 0010 0010	No	
ii)	News Papers	Yes	
11,	(If yes, give names of newspapers and de	ates)	
	. ()	No NO	7:
		<u></u>	
22) NAT	URE OF CON RAC		Domestic Int.
ZZ) IVIII			,
23) WHE	THER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL ON	MENTS?	
WAS (If ve	es, enclose a copy)		Yes No
(,3			
24) WHE	ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA		Yes No
WAS	SINCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DO	OCUMENTS?	
(lf ye	es, enclose a copy)		
25) WHE	ETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AL	JTHORIT WAS OBT	D FOR USING A
MET	HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITION	VE BIDD, VG?	Yes - No - NA
26) WAS	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM AL	L THE BIDDERS?	Yes No
		•	
27) WH	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LO	WEST EVALUATED	Yes - No - NA
BID	/ BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Con	is uncarres)	
20) WILL	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WA	S TECHNICALLY	Yes No
	MPLIANT?		
		-	
29) WH	ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND	D THEIR QUOTED P	RICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE	ETIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		Yes No
201 25	ETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIV	EN TO BIDDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF
Coi	NTRACT?		
(Att	ach copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No
	•	The 8 at us	suoted were lead out
			//

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	IN THE TEI	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	? Yes	
	No	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?		
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	A SUT, IF A	Yes - No -
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZA	Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes) give Brief Description)	Yes	4
	No	No
Signature alcine delice of (185) Authorization (need definition and the state of t		
OFFICE USE ONLY		

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTIO

DE	TAILED OF SAI
1. Amount of Sched	ule items
2. Add: 20% above	
3. Add: Cartage	
4. Add: Different Co	ost of Cement
5. Add: S/F Inaugura	ation Board
	
Estimate Cost	Rs: 500000/-
Schedule Cost (-)	Rs: 241056/-
	Rs: 258944 (-)

151391x100= 241056

Taluka Officer (I&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Admini
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

★ TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT./OTHER Local Government
2)	12 11 2 14 10 2 (2) 11 2-(2)
J)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Section MAPS-56 (2011-2011) TENDER NUMBER SNO: 3
4) `5*	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONTLUCTION & C.C. Block & village ALI NAW = 3
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart: 16hokha (
	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Strict Company C
7)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE #://o(M)
8)	(For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLICION SERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thice Months
,	$\frac{1}{2}$
	NUMBER OF TEN DE LOCUMENTS DLD 03 No Compostative Statement
,	(Attach list of buyers) attached.
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . D3 No
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT ALTERACY HOVIDED
ŕ	(Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRÉSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL TODER MIS MULIAMMA of Alam Klam
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B, 9840 52/=
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
•	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
-	EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

•					
19) APPR	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTE	RACT DIST	Hict Transition Office	9
	-	WER THE PROCEED AND THE WAS RICH LINES.	7(17) TAITHURA LU	PROCUPEMENT BLAND	
20) WHEI	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL	Yes No No	
21) ADVE	RTISEMENT:			else Visitati
21) AD VL	KIIODMINA .	Vag		
	i)	SPPRA Website	Yes 118	86df.5.4.2012	
	•	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)			
			No	··	
	ii)	News Papers	Yes		Miles.
		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)			
			No No	0.	
			No No	0;	
				Domestic/	
22,) NATUI	RE OF CON BAC		Local Int.	
231	WHET	HER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA			
2.0		NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER SC YEN	VTS?		
	(If yes,	enclose a copy)		Yes No	
	•		_	<u> </u>	
24)		HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA			-
			TS?	Yes No	
	(II yes,	enclose a copy)			

25)		HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI' DD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD			^
	1110111	ob other tibut of Elt Coly, Ettitle Bibb		Yes - No - No	A
261	WASB	ID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDED63		
20)	WASD	ID OLCORT I OBTAINED PROMINED THE B.	IDDLKS!	Yes No	
			▼,		
27)		HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E		Yes - No - N	A
	פוטופ	EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultaneies	s)		
201	MITT	HER THE SUCCESSION DIRECT HAS TROUB			
28)	COMPI	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN	NICALLY	Yes No	
	COM	SITUITA :			
		<u>.</u>	-		
29)		HER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PR		
	1112 11	ME OF OTBINING OF BIDS:		Yes No	
30)	WHETE	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	DIDDEDE D	PEODE THE AWARD OF	
50)	CONTR		מ פאים חווים	DI ORD THE AWARD OF	
-	(Attach	copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No	
					<u>a</u>
		/h	e satury	unted were lead our	
			fos info	Emation .	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
•	No No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	/EN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No N6
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE T (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes
	No No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERI (If yes, give detailed reasses.)	A Yes
	No A/D
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AS BLACK LISTED?	ENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFI SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WI' BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	IH TE PRODUKEMENT IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED C THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	N MUSILIZATI N DVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No No
ignatul of the state of S.S.) Author for the finite of the state of t	
Hyderabad Rurab	

<u>SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C

DE'	TAILED OF SANCTIO
1. Amount of Sched	ule items
2. Add: 20% above	
3. Add: Cartage	
4. Add: Different Co	ost of Cement
5. Add: S/F Inaugura	ation Board
Estimate Cost	Rs: 999999/-
Schedule Cost (-)	Rs: 482111/-
	Rs: 517888 (-) (2061

 $\frac{302803 \times 100}{482111} = 62.80$

Taluka Officer (I&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administrati
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

284/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	- and while of I Ruled
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
2)	PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER
رر	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNo: 4
5) ^x	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constit c: C: Block at vill Ham Fato
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE
(8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLICION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
10)	TENDER OPEN DON (MATE & TME) 21-04-20/2 27 20/2 27 20/2 27 20/2
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER LOCIDENTS COLD 04 NO Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) M/s Zai and Abdis Buglio
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SODER 1915 241 MIC HEREN BURGES
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B: 493243/=
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1#, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
-	
187	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
•	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT District I familion Officer TMA Hydelaber Rusel
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
Yes No No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
No j
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
No NO:
22) NATURE OF CON RAG
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL ON CRIT
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBT AND FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD. IG2 Yes - No - NA
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No COMPLIANT?
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) The &adusquoted were lead out for information.
fos information.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
•	No No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	N IN THE TENDER N	OTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TO (If yes, give reasons)	AE? Yes	
	No No	2
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFT ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reass s.)	Yes	
	No No	·
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AS BLACK LISTED?	ENCY THAT THE SE	LECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	V NIT, IF ABROADS	Yes - No -
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED O THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION N	VANCE PAYMENT IN Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Mary Control (1885)	No No)
Signatification in the state of		
OFFICE USE ONLY		

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE HAJI FATO TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (4).

N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012

151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056		Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/- Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/- Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/-	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST 1. Amount of Schedule items 2. Add: 20% above 3. Add: Cartage 4. Add: Different Cost of Cement 5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board Total: Rs
	As Per Estimated Cost Rs: 500000/- As Per Lowest Tender Cost Rs: 493243/- Rs: 6757/-	1. Amount of Schedule items 2. Amount of 60% above 3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement 4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board 7 Total: Rs: 493243/-	Rs: 241056/- Sr.# Name of Contractor Rates

Taluka Officer (I&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/20/2

	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal	
1)		
2)	10 1 (The MAD B) Co (20/10 20/2)	
ر(
4)	C Was All Managed Cold of Se	_
5) *		
6)	7 . 34 24	
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (ks. o · S (M))	
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLICION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-(20 MONTAS	
[0]	TENDER OPENAD ON (MATE & TME) $\frac{21-04-2011ax}{2.887.m}$,
II)	NUMBER OF TENER OCCUPENTS OLD 03 NO Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)	-
	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 NO	
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO	
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already flovided	
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SDDR M/s Muliammadkafigur	<u>'</u>
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 499689/-	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION SEPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	
•		
	-	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local	
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
-	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
_	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:	

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRAC	T Distict Transition Officer
*	TMA HXdelabel Rusel
and united the line of the lin	MIAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN	Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT :	
SPPR A Website	es 11886 dt. S.4. 201L
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	lo .
ii) News Papers Y	es
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	
	lo NO:
22) NATURE OF CON BAC	Domestic/ Int.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRISSON WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER ADC. JENTS (If yes, enclose a copy)	? Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No Yes
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	WAS OB TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO TOO
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDI	DERS? Yes No No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVABID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	ALUATED Yes - No - NA
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC COMPLIANT?	CALLY Yes No
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR Q THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	UOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO B	IDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes No
The	Satisquoted were lead out
	es information.

31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	•	No	No
331	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN	THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
32)	(If yes, give details)	Yes	
		No	No
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
		No	No .
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed rease is.)	Yes	
-		No	N6
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING ACENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No No
36)	WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH A BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF WEIGHT (If yes, enclose a copy)	HE PROOF	PROCURING AGENCY TO THE JREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO TROAD: Yes - No -
37	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOTHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZA	Yes - No -
38	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Iffes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
ignat	The Work Stamp of the West Metal Stamp of the Stamp of the Stamp of the Stamp of the State of th	No	No
Att	Myderabac Rural	- -	

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUHAMMAD PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (5).

			N.I.T No: 224/2012 Date: 21.03.2012	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012
DET	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	TE CONTRACTORS
Amount of Schedule items	o items	Rs: 241056/-	Sr.# Name of Contractor	r Rates
1. Allouit of science	CIWILI	Rs: 48211/-	1 M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co	d Co 60.60% Above
2. Add. Company		Rs: 103180/-	2 M/s Syed Shahid Ali	67% Above
J. Add. Different Co.	of Coment	Rs: 103320/-	3 M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	ddigui 71.5% Above
4. Add: Different Cost of Centern	t Of Centelli	Rs: 4233/-	-	T BID
5. Add: 3/F Illauguration Doard	Total	Re: 500000/-		
	A C		1. Amount of Schedule items	Rs: 241056/-
			2. Amount of 60.60% above	Rs: 146080/-
Retimate Cost	Rs- 400000/-		3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	Rs: 103320/-
Cahadala Cast (2)	Rs: 241056/-		4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	Rs: 4233/-
Schedule Cost (*)	Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/-			Total: Rs: 494689/-
	NS. 200711 () (1000E011200) 2017			
			As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 500000/-
			As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 494689/-
•				Rs: 5311/-
	151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056			

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Taluka Office (1&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Transition Officer

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

	T M O 1/VIO abod Rusal
i) ·	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal PROVIDICIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVI/OTHER
.)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sed & MPAPS-50 (2112012)
1)	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 6 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1
5) *	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Comstige: Block (al vill Hoost) City Shede
5)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart: Paso.
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (20.5 (M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLITION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 14-ce Month's
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-04-2012 212.88 744
1)	NUMBER OF TENESP OCCUPENTS OLD 03 No Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 N/0
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL CODER M/SShahnawa3shoto
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 495653/=
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
•	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
-	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	ACT Distict Transition Officer
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	TMA HYdelabal Resul
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	
 i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) 	Yes 11886d.5.4.2012
(If yes, give date and of Front home mountains)	No
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
• ()	No NO:
22) NATURE OF CONTRAG	Domestic/ Local Int.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER SOLVEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUM (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORS METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDS	TO WAS OBT OF DE FOR USING A Yes - No - NA
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE F	BIDDERS? Yes No No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST I BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancia)	EVALUATED Yes - No - NA
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH COMPLIANT?	NICALLY Yes No
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes No
7	he saturquoted were sead out for information.
	fos information.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes		
•	No	N/0	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	EN IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE /	DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes		
	No	No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIN (If yes, give reasons)	ME? Yes		
	No	ΛI6	,
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed rease v.)	Yes		
	No	N16	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AND BLACK LISTED?		Yes	V 1100
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)		VICEIVALEIVA C	GENCY TO THE O, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED OF THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	N MC ILIZA	Yes	PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	-	
Married 110 Ct	No	No	
Signatu de Author Grand			
OFFICE USE ONLY	- 		

Date A	Save	Reset
Print	Save	Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE HOOSRI CITY SHEDI PARO TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (6).

151391x100= 62.80% Above , 241056	As Per Lowest Tender Cost Rs:	As Per Estimated Cost Rs:	Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/- Total: Rs:	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/- 4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board Rs:	Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/- Rs: 500000/- Rs:	2. Amount of 61% above Rs:	1. Amount of Schedule items Rs:	Total: Rs: 500000/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board Rs: 4233/- LOWEST BID	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement , Rs: 103320/- 3 M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	3. Add: Cartage Rs: 103180/- 2 M/s Ammar Const: Co.	2. Add: 20% above Rs: 48211/- 1 M/s Shahnawaz Shoro	1. Amount of Schedule items Rs: 241056/- Sr.# Name of Contractor	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated of Iss Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of O
Rs: 4347/-	Rs: 495653/-	Rs: 500000/-	Total: Rs: 495653/-	Rs: 4233/-	Rs: 103320/-	Rs: 147044/-	Rs: 241056/-		T BUD	78% Above	74% Above	61% Above	r Rates	HE CONTRACTORS	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012

Taluka Officer (1&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transhijon Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

1	0)	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	ACT Distic	+ Transition Officer
,	 !	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN	アMA HY N ANNUAL PRO	CUREMENT PLAN?
4	.0)	WIETHER THE TROOTEEN AND THE TENE		Yes No
2	21) .	ADVERTISEMENT:		
	1	 i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) 	Yes 1188	64.5.4.2012
		(12)00, 800 - 200	No	
		ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	
			No No:	
;	22)	NATURE OF CONTRAC		Demesic/ Local Int.
:	23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRI	r#00	
		WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER AND COMEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	118;	Yes No
	24)	WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUME	TTS?	Yes No
		(If yes, enclose a copy)	Y	
	25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDE		Yes - No - NA
:	26)	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDERS?	Yes No
	27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie	EVALUATED es)	Yes - No - NA
	28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH COMPLIANT?	NICALLY	Yes No
	29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRIC	Yes No No
	301	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS BE	FORE THE AWARD OF
	/	CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		Ves No
			he satural	noted were head out
			fos infor	mation.
	-			

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
•	No No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS	
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	? Yes	
	No 10	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasses.)	Yes	
\sim	No No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENO BLACK LISTED?	CY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NO Yes No No	T 1
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes - No -][~
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING	Yes - No -][~
(If yes, enclose a copy) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON I	Yes - No -]]~ [N
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy) 37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON ITHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	Yes - No - MOBILIZATION OVANCE PAYMENT Yes - No -]]~ [N
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy) 37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON IT THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? 38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes - No - MOBILIZATION DVANCE PAYMENT Yes - No -]]~ [N

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE BAGO WAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (7).

	z c	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Date: 21.03.2012	Dated of Dated of	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	Г
TSOO BY A MITSH MOITON AS THE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	3Y THE CON	TRACTORS	
OF SANCING! ESTABLISH	Re: 241056/- S	Sr.# Name of Contractor	actor	Rates	_
1. Amount of Schedule items	48211/-	M/s	080	61.50% Above	- 1
2 Add: 20% above	NS. 404117	+		81 60% Above	
	Rs: 103180/-	2 M/s Abid All Magrio		00 500 AL	Τ-
3. Add: Carage	Rs: 103320/-	3 M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	hwar	89.50% Above	_
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	Rs. 4233/-	TO	LOWEST BID		\neg
5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	700000				
Total:	Ks: 500000/-	1 1 1 1 7		Ds. 241056/-	Ţ
		. Amount of Schedule Items		NS. 241030	7
		2. Amount of 61.50% above		Rs: 148249/-	-1
		1 Add. Diff. Cost of Cement		Rs: 103320/-	1
Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-		A Add S/F Inguightration Board	rd	Rs: 4233/-	
Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-]	r. Aug. 3/1 magaines	Total.	Be. 496858/-	
			⊢	W3. 170020	1
	1			Ds. 500000/-	1
		As Per Estimated Cost		KS. 300000/-	T
		As Per Lowest Tender Cost		Rs: 496858/-	Ī
	1			Rs: 3142/-	_ 1
$\frac{151391 \times 100}{241056} = 62.80\% \text{ Above}$					
	0				
	1.			(
	1			J	
		į	District Transition Officer	non Officer	
Taluka Officer (I&S)	I tansiijon Omeer (Poetinot) Taluva Municipal Administration		Taluka Munic	(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration	
	Folker Hyderabad (Rural)		Faluka Hyderabad (Rural)	bad (Rural)	
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)	. II yacı ada (rear				

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

124/2014

	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
,	
2)	
رر	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communi Cation Scalar MPAPS-So (2011-2012)
,	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 8 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of C. C. Block at vill. Mitho Vighama
,	
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMEN Defautt:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-(cc Months
10)	TENDER OPEN DON (MATE & TME) 21-04-2012 at 2.009.14
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER OUCLESENTS COLD 03 N/O Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . O3 N/o:
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 19 N/6
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enciose a copy) Already frovided
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SODER M/S Rahim Enleshi, Sus
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 491278/=
I7)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1", 2"d, 3"d EVALUATION BID).
`	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
ŕ	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
-	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

10) APPROVING ALTHOPITY FOR AWARD OF	CONTRACT DISKICT Toansition Officer TMA Hydelabel Rusel
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY TOR AUTHOR	TMA HYdelabel Rusel
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCL	UDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Zij No (Britoshisa)	Yes 11886H.54,2012
 i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identificat 	
(If yes, give date and SFPKA Identificat	No No
No. No.	
 ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and d 	ates) Yes
	No No:
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	Domestic/ Local Int.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL ON CRITICAL WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	C MENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/ TENDER DO	OCUM ITS? Yes No
(If yes, enclose a copy)	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AU	ITHORIT WAS OBT AND FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETENT AC	
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM AL	L THE BIDDERS? Yes No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LO	WEST EVALUATED Yes - No - NA
BID/BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Con	sultancies)
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WA	S TECHNICALLY Yes No
COMPLIANT?	is rectified the state of the s
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND	THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIV	EN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	
(Althor copy of the old chamber report)	The satisfuoted were sead out for information.
	The satisquoted were read out
	fos information.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	N IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIM (If yes, give reasons)	IE?	
	No	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasers.)	Yes	
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGE BLACK LISTED?	NCY THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	AL PROPERTY AND A SUT, IF A	PROCURING AGENCY TO THE UREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ROAD: Yes - No -
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOSILIZA	Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yet, give Brief Description)	Yes	1
Mary Lair	No	No
Signature Rabitical Stamp of (18.5) Authorizadio Ricel Administration	_	
Hyderabad Rural.	·	
OFFICE USE ONLY	-	

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MITHO WIGHANAL TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (8).

DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	Rs: 241056/	N.I.T N Date: 2	* ICC:	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012 DBY THE CONTRACTORS Rates
DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST			BY TE	E CONTRACTORS
of Schedule items	Rs: 241056/- Sr.#	Sr.#	Name of Contractor	Rates
% above	Rs: 48211/- 1		M/s Rahim Enterprises	59.60% Above

			151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056	
Rs: 7722/-		,		
Rs: 492278/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	As I		•
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost	As I		
Rs: 492278/-	Total:		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/-	
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4. A	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-	Schedule
Rs: 103320/-	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	3. A	te Cost Rs: 500000/-	Estimate Cost
Rs: 143669/-	2. Amount of 59.60% above	2. A		
Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items	1. A		
		Rs: 500000/-	Total:	-
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	5. Add: S
88.80% Above	M/s Zulfigar Ali Sahito	Rs: 103320/- 3	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	4. Add: I
68.70% Above	M/s Abid Ali Mangrio	Rs: 103180/- 2	Cartage	3. Add: Cartage
59.60% Above	M/s Rahim Enterprises	R s: 48211/- 1	2. Add: 20% above	2. Add: 2
Rates	Name of Contractor	Rs: 241056/- Sr.#	1. Amount of Schedule items	1. Amou
ONTRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	

Taluka Officer (I&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Medicipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2014

	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
•	
2)	PROVINCIAL / BOCAL GOVIS OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONT
ر)	
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 9 (1) Con the standard Books
5) *	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT ComsH. 4c: C: Blockat vill. Mohan Bagge
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Departs.
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (4:0.5(M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-ce Months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (LATE & TAME) 21-04-2012 at 21-M
	Compostative Statement
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 031/6
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 19 NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Feelens a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRÉSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SODIES M/s Zulfiguar Alisahito
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 488421/=
17)	(i.e. 1 st , 2 rd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

	Distict Transition Officer
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONT	TMA HXdelabel Rusel
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	
	Yes 118860H.5.4.201L
 SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No. 	
	No
ii) News Papers	Yes
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	
	No NO:
	Domestic/ Int.
22) NATURE OF CON BAC	Local
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRA	CNITCS
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING! TENDER (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	Yes No
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT (If yes, enclose a copy)	157
▼	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BID	RIT WAS OBT AND FOR USING A DD NG2 Ves - No - NA
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE SIE	Yes - No - VA
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE	BIDDERS? Yes No
	<u> </u>
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST	EVALUATED Yes - No - NA
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultance	oies)
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TEC	HNICALLY Yes No
COMPLIANT?	
	<u>. </u>
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THE THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS:	Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	O BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Ves No
-	1/ 0 -1
•	The saturquoted were sead out for information
	- tos / myorman ion.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, resuit thereof)	Yes	
	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN I	N THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No	No ·
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFF ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reass to.)	Yes	
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	•	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF A (If yes, enclose a copy)	E PRON NT, IF A	ROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ice iliza:	Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Inves, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Mark July S.	No	No
ignature (Chairp of Authorization Authorizat		

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MOHAN BAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (9).

N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012

151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056		•		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391 /-	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-	Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-				5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	3. Add: Cartage	2. Add: 20% above	1. Amount of Schedule items	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	
				51391/-					Total: Rs: 500000/-	Rs: 4233/-	, Rs: 103320/-	Rs: 103180/-	Rs: 48211/-	Rs: 241056/-	E COST	
		As Per Lowest Tender Cost	As Per Estimated Cost	1 0121:	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	2. Amount of 58% above	1. Amount of Schedule items		LOWEST BID	3 M/s Shahnawaz	2	_	Sr.#	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	Date: 21.05.2012
	Rs: 11579/-	Rs: 488421/-	Rs: 500000/-	KS: 480421/-	RS: 4233/-	PS: 1033207	Rs: 139812/-	Rs: 241056/-			8/% ADOVE	85% ADOVE	58% Above	Rates	ONTRACTORS	

Taluka Officer (I&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transitión Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

	- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
2)	
ر)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communi Carion Seds MPA Ps-50 (2011-2012)
4)	TENDER NUMBER $SNo: 10$
5) *	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSH: 4c. C. Block & vill. Obhay Khas Khel
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMEN Depart:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE R.O.S(M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-10 Months
10)	TENDER OPEN ON WATE & TAKE) 21-04-10/1 AT 17M
1)	NUMBER OF TENESP OCCURENTS SOLD 03NO Combisfative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . 0311/6
[3)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT ALTeady Hovided
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL DODR M/SD. B. and Brothers
	D 1,0001
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE (3: 987868)=
I7)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
ĺ	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

		om Disti	of Transition Office	er
19)	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	TMAH	Edelaber Rusul	
20)	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL PR	OCUREMENT PLAN?	
20)	WHETHER THE PROCORDINENT WAS INCOORDED.		Ycs No	
21)	ADVERTISEMENT:			
·		Yes 11884	od.5.4.2012	
	 SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) 	10 1		
	(If yes, give date and of the recimination too)	No		
	ii) News Papers	Yes		
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)			
	• ()	No No:		~
	() ' 🔨		Domestic/ Y	
22)	NATURE OF CON RAC		Local Int.	
23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL			
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DO (E)	VTS?		
	(If yes, enclose a copy)		Yes No	
24)	WHETHER BID EVÄLUATION CRITERIA	\wedge	Yes No	
,	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUM	TS?	Yes No	
	(If yes, enclose a copy)			
25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR	WAS OBT	D FOR USING A	
	METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BID	IG?	Yes - No -	NΑ .
• •	WALL DEPOSITE OF THE PROPERTY	SIDDED CO		
26)	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE E	SIDDEKS!	Yes No	
27	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST I	CVALIIATED	Yes - No -	√ A
21)	BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancia	es)	163	•
			Yes No	
28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH COMPLIANT?	NICALLY	Yes No	
,	COM IMMAL.		·	
29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	- R QUOTED PRIC	CES WERE READ OUT AT	
ĺ	THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		Yes No	
. 301	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS BE	FORE THE AWARD OF	
20)	CONTRACT?			
-	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No	
	7/	he saturge	noted were lead of mation.	ut
		fos infor	mation.	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
•	No No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	EN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMEN'
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TO (If yes, give reasons)	ME? Yes
	No No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
X)	No No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AN BLACK LISTED?	ENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS N
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WIT BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING ((If yes, enclose a copy)	H LE PRODUCTION IN BO, DELINIO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED OF THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes - No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
100	No No
Signature Supplical Sching of (188), Authorized Officer Administration Hyderab at Figural.	· · ·
OFFICE USE ONLY	

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE OBHAY KHASKHELI DEH KUNAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (10).

	LOWEST BID		Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
				The state of the s
93.80% Above	3 M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar		Rs: 103320/-	4 Add. Different Cost of Cement
	Ith 3 Officer of the state of t	1	N3. 1001007	3. Add: Cartage
83.70% Above	2 M/c Chahnawaz Choro		De: 103180/_	
	TATO D'D and Diomoro	-	NS. 40211/-	2. Add: 20% above
58.60% Above	M/c DR and Brothers	<u>-</u>	70111/	
Kates	Name of Contractor	Sr.#	Rs: 241056/- Sr.#	1. Amount of Schedule items
Datas	Y	2	0.100	
ONTRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS			DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST
Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Date: Date: 21.03.2012 Date:	N.I.T Date:		

Total:

Rs: 500000/-

1. Amount of Schedule items

Rs: 241056/-

151391x100= 62.80% Above

Schedule Cost (-)

Rs: 241056/-

4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board Add: Diff: Cost of Cement 2. Amount of 58.60% above

Total: | Rs: 489868/-

Rs:

Rs: 103320/-Rs: 141259/-

As Per Estimated Cost

As Per Lowest Tender Cost

Rs: 10132/-Rs: 489868/-Rs: 500000/- Rs: 5000000/-

Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/-

Estimate Cost

Taluka Officer (1&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

	9
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesahad Rusal
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER Local Covernment
ر (ر	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector MPAPS-So (2011-2012
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO://
5) [*]	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of C. C. Block & vill. Jehankhan Kathio
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMENT Departs:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (G. O. S (M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thee Months
10)	TENDER OPEN DO ON (ATE & TME) 21-04-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENER WCIDENTS OLD 03 No Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRÉSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL FODER - M/s Zulfiguas Ali
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B. 488421/=
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT ISt Lowest (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.

, .				~ 1 ~	di d'Idanilla all'i	
	19)	APPRO	VING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	ACT DIS TMA	HICT 18 UMS FROM OFFICE	1
	20)	WHETH	HER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANNUAL	PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No	
	21)	ADVER	RTISEMENT:			
	,	i)	SPPRA Website	Yes //	886d.5.4.201L	
		1)	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No		
		ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes		
			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No ^	10:	
	22)	NATU	RE OF CONSPACE		Domestic/ Int.	
	23)	WAS II	HER QUALIFICATION CRITER OF TENDER O	NTS?	Yes No	·
	24)	WAS I	HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM- enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes No	٠
	25)	WHET METH	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDI	T WAS OF	Yes - No - N	ν Α .
	26)	WASE	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE E	BIDDERS?	Yes No	
	27)	WHET BID/F	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST I	EVALUATE es)	D Yes - No - A	γA
	28		THER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH PLIANT?	NICALLY	Yes No	
	29	WHET THE T	THER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	R QUOTED	PRICES WERE READ OUT AT	
	- 30		THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS	BEFORE THE AWARD OF	
			RACT? h copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No	
			7	The satu	formation.	ut
				-f 05 in	formation.	

	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)		
	•	No	No
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	(If yes, give details)	Yes	
		No	No
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes	
		No	No
34)	DEVIATION FROM Q. ALIFTRATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
-		No	No
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?	CY THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No No
	WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BÉ ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	E PROM	ROAD: Yes - No - y
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON 1 THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZA:	Yes - No -
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
	Callet (185)	No	No
ignatu Auli	rie & Official Stamp of the Sta		

Print	Save	Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE JEHAN KHAN KATHIO DEH PASAKI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (11).

ınt of Schedule items	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		
Rs: 241056/- Sr.#			
Sr#		Date: 2	N.I.T N
Name of Contractor	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	Date: 21.03.2012	N.I.T No: 224/2012
Rates	IE CONTRACTORS	Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

			Date: 2	Date: 21.03.2012 Dated	Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012
DET.	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST			RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	ONTRACTORS
I. Amount of Schedule items	e items	Rs: 241056/- Sr.#	Sr.#	Name of Contractor	Rates
2. Add: 20% above		Rs: 48211/-	1	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	58% Above
3. Add: Cartage		Rs: 103180/-	2	M/s D.B and Brothers	78% Above
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	ofCement	Rs: 103320/-	3	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	87% Above
5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	on Board	Rs: 4233/-		LOWEST BID	
	Total:	Rs: 500000/-			
			l. Am	l. Amount of Schedule items	Rs: 241056/-
			2. Amount o	ount of 58% above	Rs: 139812/-
Estimate Cost	Rs: 500000/-		3. Ado	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	Rs: 103320/-
Schedule Cost (-)	Rs: 241056/-		4. Add: S/F	1: S/F Inauguration Board	Rs: 4233/-
	Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/ -			Total:	Rs: 488421/-
				- ANALYSIS	
			As Pe	As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 500000/-
			As Pe	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 488421/-
•					Rs: 11579/-
	151391x100= 62.80% Above	•			

Taluka Officer (I&S)

241056

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

High Officer

(Defunct) TalukalMunicipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2014

	TMA LIVLER ahad Rusal
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Kusal DROVINGIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVIL OTHER
رر	The or contrate.
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 12 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Coms Higgs: C: Block al vill. Ashab Nahyoom
5) *	
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4:05(M)
-,	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLITION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) THELE MONTHS
10)	TENTED ODEN ON ANTER TIME: $a(-0.9-10.12)$
11)	NUMBER OF TENER OCCUPENTS VOLD 03 No Compostative statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . 03 N/6
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 NO
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) M/s Amma (Cash. Co.
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESS
-	
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B: 491314/-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT IS LOWEST
,	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE:
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

				,	17-	_
19)	APPRO	VING AUTHORITY	FOR AWARD OF CON	_{IRACT} DISH 7 <i>MAH</i>	ict Transition Office Ydelabal Rusul	CR
20)	Mairti	TEN THE DOOCHDE	MENT WAS INCLIDE	D IN ANNUAL PI	ROCUREMENT PLAN?	
20)	WHEII	HER THE PROCURE			Yes No	
21)	ADVER	RTISEMENT:		, 		2010e) 1225au
				Yes // 8/	36 df. 5.4.20 /L	
	i)	SPPRA Website	SPPRA Identification N	1 - 1		
		(,, 8		No .		
	ii)	News Papers		Yes]
	,		f newspapers and dates)	1 195		
				No NO	: -	
			4			_
22)	NATUE	RE OF CON PAC			Domestic Int.	
23)	WHETE	HER QUALIFICA	N CRI SE	-		
23)	WAS II	ACTORED IN BIDDI	NG/TENDER	ENTS?		
	(If yes,	enclose a copy)			Yes No	
	WHETI	HER BID EVÄLUAT	ION CRITERIA			
24)	WAS II	NCLUDED IN BIDD	ING/TENDER DOCUM	# TTS?	Yes No	
	(If yes,	enclose a copy)				
25)	MALET.	HED ADDDOVAL OF	COMPETENT AUTHO	RIT WAS OBT	D FOR USING A	
23)	METH	OD OTHER THAN O	PEN COMPETITIVE BI		Yes - No -	NΑ
26)	WAS B	ID SECURITY OBT.	AINED FROM ALL THE	E BIDDERS?	Yes No	
				•		_
27)	WHET	HER THE SUCCESS	FUL BID WAS LOWES	TEVALUATED	Yes - No -	NA
	BID/E	BEST EVALUATED I	BID (in case of Consultan	cies)		
201	Willer	TIED THE CHACEC	FUL BIDDER WAS TEC	CHNICALLY	Yes No	
. 28)		her the success Liant?	FOR BIDDEK WAS TEE	STEWOTES T		
29)	WHET	HER NAMES OF TH	E BIDDERS AND THE	EIR QUOTED PR	ICES WERE READ OUT AT	
·	THET	IME OF OPENING C	F BIDS?		Yes No	
30) WHET	HER EVALUATION	n report given I	O BIDDERS B	EFORE THE AWARD OF	
,	CONT	RACT?				
-	(Attach	or copy of the bid evalu	anon report)		Yes No	٨
				the Satury	suoted were lead a smation.	sut
				-fos info	Emation.	

 ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) 	Yes
	No No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATION	IS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPON (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes
	No No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CR (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	ITERIA Yes
	No No
BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINAN (If yes, enclose a copy)	VOFFICIAL THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE NOTE OF AGENCY TO THE NOTE OF AGENCY TO THE SO, DETAILS TO CING OF A SIT, IF AGENCAD: Yes - No -
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDENTHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE F	DED ON MORILIZATION OVANCE PAYMENT I ETC.)? Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
Washer (188)	No No
Signature & Official Stand of deninistration Authorized Cities for the Authorized Cities for th	

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE ARBAB NAHYOON TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (12).

N.I.T No: 224/2012 Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012 Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

		7	
		`	151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056
Rs: 8686/-			
Rs: 491314/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	As	
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost	As	
Rs: 491314/-	Total:		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391 /-
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4.	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-
Rs: 103320/-	T: Cost of Cement	3.	Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-
Rs: 142705/-	2. Amount of 59.40% above	2.	
Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items	1.	
	. !	Rs: 500000/-	Total:
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
83% Above	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	Rs: 103320/- 3	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement
69.30% Above	M/s D.B and Brothers	Rs: 103180/- 2	3. Add: Cartage
59.40% Above	M/s Ammar Const: Co	Rs: 48211/- 1	2. Add: 20% above
Rates	.# Name of Contractor	Rs: 241056/- Sr. #	1. Amount of Schedule items
INTRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST

Taluka Officer (1&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

	TMALLVLER ahad Rusal
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal DROWNICIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVIS OTHER (- 10 C - 10 C MARK 11-50 (2011- 2012)
ر۔	
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO:/3 Compared Rich of the Activity Mulesmand Rich
5) *	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constigue Block at vill. Muliammel Bux
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE
-,	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) THELE MONTHS
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (LATE & TIME) 2/-04-20/2
	NUMBER OF TENER OCCURENTS SOLD 03No Combistative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 N/6
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14/10
	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSEUL CODE M/s Amma + Coustico.
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL DODR - M/s Hmm 24 C805 H-C8.
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B. 492037/_
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT IS LOWEST
,	
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
. •	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

	Di di + Tomition Miles
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTI	TMA HYdelabed Rusel
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	Yes No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	
i) SPPRA Website	Yes 118860H15.4.2012
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.	No No
ii) News Papers	Yes
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	
	No No:
22) NATURE OF CON BAC	Domestic Int.
	 -
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CROWN WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER COLUMN (If yes, enclose a copy)	ENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)	
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM	Yes No
(If yes, enclose a copy)	\mathcal{O}
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR	RIT WAS OBT AND FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIL	Yes - No - NA
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE	BIDDERS? Yes No
	FVALUATED Yes - No - NA
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultant	EVALUATED TEST
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TEC	HNICALLY Yes No
COMPLIANT?	
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THE	IR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN T	O BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes No
-	The satisfuoted were head out for information.
	fos information.

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
(II yes, result increas)	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GI	VEN IN THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE (If yes, give reasons)	TIME? Yes	
	No	λ/υ
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITER (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	u.
X)	No	N:
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING A BLACK LISTED?		
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WBÉ ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	G OF A SUT, IF A	Yes - No -
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.	ON MOSILIZA .)?	Yes - No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Af yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
March March	No	No.
Signafine & Official Stamp of		
Hyderabac Murăl.		

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUHAMMAD BUX KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (13).

- AU10/	C. VI Dallallantion Doore		160.1-1000	
			スタ・/4	
NS. 1033207=	Service Comone			ahad
Dc. 102220/	3 Add: Diff: Cost of Compant	- 3 A	KS: 500000/-	Stituate Cost
			D 50000/	ctimate Cost
Rs: 143428/-	2. Amount of 59.50% above	2. Ar		
110. 6TIOUO!-	THE THE PARTY OF T			,
Re: 741056/	. Amount of Schedule items	1. Ar		
		Rs: 500000/-	Total:	
	מום ומשויים			
	dia tsamo i	Rs: 4233/-		. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
96% Above	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	RS: 103320/- 3	Second Controll	* 11 0/17
		D 107770/	ost of Cement	. Add: Different Cost of Cement
58% Above	M/s D.B and Brothers	Rs: 103180/- 2		. Aud. Callage
07.007070000		,		Add: Compace
59 50% Above	M/s Ammar Const: Co	Rs: 48211/-		. Add: 20% above
Kates	TVALLE OF COULTACTOL	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		v 44: 200/ -1
		Rs: 241056/- Sr#	dule items	 Amount of Schedule items
INTRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	, î
Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012		2000	THE CHARLES	
		Date:		
Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated	Z.T. T		

151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056

Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/-

As Per Estimated Cost
As Per Lowest Tender Cost

Total: Rs: 492037/-

Rs: 500000/-Rs: 492037/-Rs: 7963/-

Taluka Officer (I&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesahad Kusal	
1)	PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT/OTHER Local Goveliment	
,	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVI. OTHER	.)
(ر	TILDS OF OUT OF THE PROPERTY O	
٠,	TENDER NUMBER SNO:14 Compare Reports Aville Muslatonius	
•	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT ComH. 4 C. C. Block at vill. Mushtague	
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Depart:	
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE (S: 0.5 (M)	
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE B: 0.5 (M)	
	(For civil works only)	
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) THE MONTH'S	
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-04-20/L 21 2.00 1.74	
(1)	NUMBER OF TEN PROCEDENTS OLD 03 NO Combestative Statement	
	(Attach list of buyers) 03 No:	
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14No	
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT ALTE ady Hovided	
ĺ	(Enclose a conv)	
15)	NAME AND ADDRÉSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL SODE M/s Mulammed Rofigue	
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B. 492519/	
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 15. 1723.	
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT TST LOWEST	
	(i.e. I", 2"d, 3"d EVALUATION BID).	
*		
18)) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local	
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
	<u> </u>	
•	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.	
-	EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:	

		DACT DIST	lict I bansition Officer
19) APPI	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONT	TMA F	+ Xdelaber Rusel
20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL P	PROCUREMENT PLAN?
20,			Yes No
21) ADV	ERTISEMENT:		
		Yes // 8/	86d.5.4.201L
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.	1 1	
	(11 yes, give date and bi i lat reconstituents)	No	
::x	Nous Donors	77	
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	
		No No	2:
			Domestic/
22) NAT	URE OF CON BAC		Local Int.
	OFFICE AND COLORS		
WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAN OF THE STATE OF	NTS?	
	ss, enclose a copy)	` `	Yes No
`			
24) WHE	ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA		Yes No
	SINCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUM	VIS?	
(11 ye	es, enclose a copy)		
25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR	IT WAS OBT	D FOR USING A
MET	HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BID	D IG2	Yes - No - NA
26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE	BIDDERS?	Yes No
		•	
27) WH	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST	EVALUATED	Yes - No - NA
BID.	BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultance	ies)	
	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH	INICALLY	Yes No
. COM	IPLIANT?		
			OVODO WEDE DE AD OLIT AT
29) WHI	ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEI TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	R QUOTED PI	1
I FIE	, That of or brains or bross		Yes No
30) WHI	ETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS I	BEFORE THE AWARD OF
COM	VTRACT?		
(Atta	ach copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No
		The satur	justed were lead out smation
	,	fos int	smation.
		- D	

(If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
•	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN	N THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	Nο
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITERIA	Yes	
(If yes, give detained reasons.)	100	
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING GEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	HE PRONT	PROCURING AGENCY TO THE UREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ROAD: Yes - No - 7
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBILIZA:	Yes No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (Ifives, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Man 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	No	No
Signature al Children Samp of 1000, Auf Hokard Children Ininistration Hydera in a Rural.		

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUSHTAQUE KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (14).

N.I.T No: 224/2012

Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

		•	151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056
Rs: 7481/-			
Rs: 492519/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	As	
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost	As	
Rs: 492519/-	Total:		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391/ -
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4. <i>t</i>	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-
Rs: 103320/-	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	3. /	Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-
Rs: 143910/-	2. Amount of 59.70% above	2. <i>t</i>	
Rs: 241056/-	l. Amount of Schedule items	1. /	
		Rs: 500000/-	Total:
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
98% Above	M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	Rs: 103320/- 3	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement
87% Above	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	Rs: 103180/- 2	3. Add: Cartage
59.70% Above	M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co	Rs: 48211/- 1	2. Add: 20% above
Rates	Name of Contractor	Rs: 241056/- Sr.#	1. Amount of Schedule items
TRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST
Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of	Date	
	3		

Taluka Officer (I&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer
(Defunct) Talyka Municipal Administration

Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/201C

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Kusal
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT./OTHER Local Government
(ر	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Scalor MPA Ps-50 (2011-2012)
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 15
5)	* BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT COMSHIP C.C. Block at village Muhammed FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GROVENMAN and Delast. Buffet
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCal Government Depart: Busfet
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE \$.0 S (N)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Thece Months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-04-20/2 at 21mg
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER SOCKENTS OLD 03 No Compostative Statement
•	(Attach list of buyers) attached.
	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 0 3 \(\lambda/\right)\)
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14 1/0
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL DDD - M/s Rascol Buxlawlare
	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B: 49448/_
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
	EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

201	WHE	OVING AUTHORI	REMENT WAS	S INCLUDED II	N ANN	JAL PRO	CUREM	ENT PL	AN?	
/		: •					Yes	No		
21)	ADVE	ERTISEMENT :						-		
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date	and SPPRA Ide	ntification No.)	Yes	11886	d.5.4	2012		
٠.					No					
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give name	s of newspapers	s and dates)	Yes					
		•			No	No:				
(22'	NATU	IRE OF CON BAG					Domestic/ Local	Int		
23)	WHET	THER QUALIFICA	ON CRI							
,	WAS I	NCLUDED IN BID enclose a copy)	DING/TEND	ER JOHEN	FS?			11		7
	(11) 03,	cholose a copy)			.		Yes	No		_}
24)	WAS I	THER BID EVALU, INCLUDED IN BID , enclose a copy)			rs?		Yes	No		}
25)	Witer	THER APPROVAL	OF COMBETE		WAS	ODB	D EOD	LICTNIC:	Δ.	
23)		OD OTHER THAN					Yes	- No] /
26)	WAS F	BID SECURITY OF	STAINED FROM	M ALL THE BII	DDERS	2	Yes	No		
27)		HER THE SUCCES				TED	Yes	- No] [-]	[] v
28)		THER THE SUCCES	SSFUL BIDDEF	R WAS TECHN	ICALL'	Y	Yes	No		
29)		THER NAMES OF IME OF OPENING		AND THEIR	QUOTE	D PRICI	Yes	READ No	OUT A	T
30)		HER EVALUATI	ON REPORT	GIVEN TO I	BIDDEI	RS BEF	ORE TH	E AWA	.R.D 0	F
		RACT? copy of the bid eva	luation report)				Yes	No		Π

31	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	(11 yes, result increot)	No	No
24	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN		ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
32,	(If yes, give details)	Yes	
		No	No
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
		No	No
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
		No	N6
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING A SINCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No No
36)	WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH ARE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF A VI (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROF	UREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MORE THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZA	Yes _ No
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
	Maria Xi	No	No
Signati Aut	TOTAL CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY		
	CE USE ONLY		

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE GUL MUHAMMAD BURFAT TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (15).

		N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated Date: 21.03.2012 Dated	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012
DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	NIKACIOKS
	Rs: 241056/-	Sr.# Name of Contractor	Rates
Tale freing	Rs: 48211/-	i M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	60.50% Above
0,40	Rs: 103180/-	2 M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	81% Above
	Rs: 103320/-	\dashv	87% Above
	Re: 4233/-	LOWEST BID	
\dashv	De. 500000/		
TOTAL:	X3. 000000	1 Amount of Schedule items	Rs: 241056/-
		2 Amount of 60.50% above	Rs: 145839/-
		3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	Rs: 103320/-
		4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	Rs: 4233/-
Schedule Cost (-) Rs. 241000/-		Total:	Rs: 494448/-
RS: 238944 (-) (10352074253) - 151571/			
		As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 500000/-
		As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 494448/-
			Rs: 5552/-
151391x100= 62.80% Above 241056	•		

Taluka Officer (1&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

(Defunct) TalykalMJunicipal Administration Talyka Hyderabad (Rural) Trekition Officer

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

		T M A Miles
1		NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. 7. M. A. HYdesabad Kusal
2		ROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER Local Govelnment
ر.		ITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sedos MAA Ps-50 (2011-2012
4)	•	ENDER NUMBER SNo:/6
5) * B	RIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSHITC: C: Block dvill, Gul Mond. But fa
6)	F	ORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCal Government Depart:
7)	T	ENDER ESTIMATED VALUE R:0.5 (M)
8)		NGINEER'S ESTIMATE $\beta:0.5(M)$
	(F	For civil works only)
9)	E	STIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-ce Months
. 10) T	ENDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-04-2012 at 21mm
11)		UMBER OF TENDER SOCIEDENTS OLD 03 No Compostative Statement
	(A	attach list of buyers) attached.
12) N	UMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03No
13) Ņ	UMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 14N.6
14) B1	(D EVALUATION REPORT ALTeady founded inclose a copy)
	(E	inclose a copy)
15) N.	AME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL EDDR - M/S Syel ShahidAli
		ONTRACT AWARD PRICE 4:495653/
16) C	ONTRACT AWARD PRICE 13: 493653/=
17) RA	ANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT IS LOWEST
	(i,	e. 1 st , 2 nd , 3 nd EVALUATION BID).
		•
18)	M	ETHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE –
	٠,	THE STATES OF TH
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
-		EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19	9) APPR	OVING AUTHORITY F	OR AWARD OF CONT.	RACT Y	District Transition O MA HYDRABEL Rusel	Hicer
					NUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No	
21	I) ADVE	RTISEMENT:				
	i)	SPPRA Website	PPRA Identification No.)	Yes	1188604:5.4. 2012	
		(11) os, givo outo and o	Traction from	No		
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of n	ewspapers and dates)	Yes		
	-			No	No:	
22) NATU	RE OF CONTRAC			Domestic/ Int.]
23	WAS I	HER QUALIFICATION NCLUDED IN BIDDING enclose a copy)	CRIME 6./ TENDER MOCHAEN	NTS?	Yes No V	ł
24)	WAS II	HER BID EVALUATION VCLUDED IN BIDDING enclose a copy)		TS?	Yes No	
25)		HER APPROVAL OF CO DD OTHER THAN OPEN			S OBT AND FOR USING A Yes - No -] ~A
26)	WAS B	ID SECURITY OBTAIN	ED FROM ALL THE BI	DDERS	Yes No	
27)		HER THE SUCCESSFUL EST EVALUATED BID			ATED Yes - No -	NA
28)	WHETH COMPL	IER THE SUCCESSFUL	BIDDER WAS TECHN	IICALL'	Yes No No]
29)		IER NAMES OF THE B ME OF OPENING OF BI		QUOTE	ED PRICES WERE READ OUT A	Ţ]
- 30)			EPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDEF	RS BEFORE THE AWARD O	F ·
-	CONTR (Attach	ACT? copy of the bid evaluation			Yes No] .
			The	e Sat	Insquoted were lead uformation.	out

31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	•	No	No
32	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN I	N THE TE	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
V-,	(If yes, give details)	Yes	
		No	No
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
		No	No
34	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	<u></u>	
-	(If yes, give detailed reasses.)	Yes	
-		No	N6
35	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NO Yes No
36	WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL, SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF WITH (If yes, enclose a copy)	IF LKGO	DREMENT: IF 30, DETAILS I
37	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOTHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZAZ	Yes - No -
38	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	1
		No	No
Signat Au	reference Management		

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE BACHO KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (16).

Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

N.I.T No: 224/2012 Date: 21.03.2012

		`	131391X100= 62.30% Above
Rs: 4347/-			151701-100-12-000/11
Rs: 495653/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	-,	
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost	·	
Rs: 495653/-	Total:		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391 /-
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board		Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-
Rs: 103320/-	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement		
Rs: 147044/-			
Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items		
		Rs: 500000/-	Total:
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
97% Above	3 M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	Rs: 103320/-	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement
83% Above	2 M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co.	Rs: 103180/-	3. Add: Cartage
61% Above	1 M/s Syed Shahid Ali	Rs: 48211/-	2. Add: 20% above
Rates	Sr.# Name of Contractor	Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items
TRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST
Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of		

TaluKa (Officer (1&S)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

(Defunct) Taluk Myhicipal Administration Trans Then Officer

Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	Ton O Wiles of I Rusal
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesahad Rusal
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT/OTHER Local Government
رر	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector MPAPS-50 (2011-2012)
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNo:/7
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONTHUCTION of C. C. Block & ville Us; s Ichaskhli
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL Government Departs:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4:0.5 (M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLICION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) /Hece Months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON MATE & TAKE) 21-4-20/L at 1.00/m
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER 20 CUSENTS OLD 04 No Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 1400
	BIDEVALUATION REPORT ALready Kovided
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL STODIES M/s Sychelid All.
I6)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 494447/
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

				n' -10' -1 // (-10') \ 010'	· ·
19)	APPRO	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	RACT	MALLY ARMITTON OFF	CCR
		THE STATE OF THE S	/ / תולא זכם	NIIAI DDOCIDEMENT PI ANO	
20)	WHET	HER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IIA WYAL	Yes No No	
21)	ADVE	RTISEMENT:			
ĺ			Yes	1188604.54.6012	
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)		1108604-3.9-2012	
			No	·.	
	ii)	News Papers	Yes	3	
		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)]
			No	No:]
22)	- NATUI	RE OF CON RAC		Domestic/ Local Int.	
23)	WHET	HER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL			,
23)	WAS IN	NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER OF MEI	NTS?		
	(If yes,	enclose a copy)	•	Yes No	
24)	WHETI	HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	ᄼ	Yes No	-
		NCLUDED IN BIDDING/TENDER DOCUMENCLOSE a copy)	TS?	163 1110	
	(,	•			
25)	WHETH	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI DD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDI	T. WA		K r A
	MEIN	of thek than oren competitive bibl		Yes - No -	NΑ
26)	WAS B	ID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDER	RS? Yes No	
27)		HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie		JATED Yes - No -	∨ A
	פיטום	EST EVALUATED BID (III case of Consumancie	3)		
28)	WHETH	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH	NICALI	LY Yes No	
	COMPL	JANT?			
20)	Where	HER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	OUOT	TED PRICES WERE READ OF IT AT	
27)		ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUUI	Yes No No	
				<u> </u>	
30)	WHETE	HER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO LACT?	RIDDI	DERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF	
-	(Attach	copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No	
		1/4	e Sã	dusquoted were head o	ut
			fos,	it is quoted were lead of information.	

31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
		No	No
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN I	N THE TE	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
,	(If yes, give details)	Yes	
		No	No
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
		No	No
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
2		No	No
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCE BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NO Yes No No
36)	WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF MORE (If yes, enclose a copy)	THE F TE PROSU SIT, IF AR	PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROAD: Yes No
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OB ILIZA?	Yes - No -
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	1
Signatu	in a contract of the second	No	No
_	Hyderabon Kural.	_	·

Print Save Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE URIS KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (17).

			1313913100 = 62.80% Above 241056
Rs: 5553/-	VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VIII VII		151201-100 (2.900/ 41
Rs: 494448/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	A	
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost	A	
	- 1		
Rs: 494447/-	Total:		KS: 238944(-)(103320+4233) = 151391/-
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4	Schaufe Cost (-) RS: 241030/-
Rs: 103320/-	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	3	
Rs: 145838/-		2	
Rs: 241056/-	of Schedule items		
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 500000/-	Total:
98% Above	4 M/s Rahim Enterprises	Rs: 4233/-	J. Add. Of Haughlation Board
94% Above	3 M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	Rs: 103320/-	S Add: S/E Incurrentian Doord
82% Above	2 M/s Muhammad Rafiq and Co	Ks: 103180/-	A Add: Different Cost of Company
60.50% Above	1 M/s Syed Shahid Ali	Rs: 48211/-	Add: Catare
Rates	Sr.# Name of Contractor		2. Add: 20% above
TRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		Amount of Shill of SAINCHON ESTIMATE COST
Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012		D	DETAIL EN OBSANCHION TOTAL
Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated of	2	

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) (I&S)

Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka-Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration

Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/20/2

■ TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. T. M. A. HYdesabad Rusal
2)	PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER
رُد)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector MPA Ps-So (2011-2012)
4)	TENDER NUMBER SNO: 18
5) *	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constitution of Contract Constitution of Contract Constitution of Colomy
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME LOCAL GOVERNMENT Departs:
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 4:0.5 (M)
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 16-ce Months
	TENDER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME) 21-4-20/2 at 2.00 pm
1)	NUMBER OF TENER OCCUPANTS SOLD 03 No Compostative Statement (Attach list of buyers)
	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED . 03 N:
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OPENING OF BIDS 19010
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) M/S (TW BahatThahlery)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL FODER - 10/5 GAM BANAR / LAKERY
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE B. 493966/_
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT Ist Lowest (i.e. 14, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

101	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	ACT Distic	+ Transition Office	્
201	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	TMA HYA IN ANNUAL PRO	lelaber Resel CUREMENT PLAN?	
20)			Yes No	
21)	ADVERTISEMENT:		<u> </u>	
	 i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) 	-	(d.5.4.201L	
 .	(11) 500, 51.10 51.10 51.10 51.10	No		
	ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes		
		No NO:		
22)	NATURE OF CON BAC		Domestic/ Local Int.	2
23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL	JTC9		
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER OC (If yes, enclose a copy)	,	Yes No	
24)	WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUME (If yes, enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes No	
	V		•	
25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORS METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDS	TOWAS OF TAX	Yes - No -	VA .
26)	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE E	BIDDERS?	Yes No	
27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancia	EVALUATED	Yes - No - A	νA
28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH COMPLIANT?	NICALLY	Yes No	
29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	R QUOTED PRICE	ES WERE READ OUT AT	
301	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDERS BEF	ORE THE AWARD OF	
,	CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		Yes No	
	7	he saturiui	oted were head or	ut
· 		fos inform	oted were lead or mation.	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	EN IN THE TENDER NOTIC	E/DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No NO	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE T (If yes, give reasons)	IME? Yes	
	No No	`
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFY ATION CRITER (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	A Yes	,
	No No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING A BLACK LISTED?	ENCY THAT THE SELECT	ED FIRM IS NOT
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION W BÉ ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	CIAL THE PROCURING THE PROSUREMENT? I CONSTRUCTORY OF ABROAD: Yes	
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)	ON MOBILIZATION ADVAI	NCE PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
A Later Charles and the Control of t	No ^	/ o
Signature & Official Stamp of Stration Avaleta Mil Stricer Hyderaban regrat.		
OFFICE USE ONLY		

Print

Save

Reset

3/3

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE JAM GHAR COLONY DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (18).

151391x100=62.80% Above 241056				Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391 /-	Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-	Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-			Total:	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	3. Add: Cartage	2. Add: 20% above	1. Amount of Schedule items	DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST	
								:	Rs: 500000/-	Rs: 4233/-	Rs: 103320/-	Rs: 103180/-	Rs: 48211/-	Rs: 241056/-		
		As Per Lowest Tender Cost	As Per Estimated Cost	10121:	4. Add: S/r Inauguration Board	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement	2. Amount of 60.30% above	1. Amount of Schedule items		LOWEST BID	3 M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	2 M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	l M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	Sr.# Name of Contractor	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS	N.I.T No: 224/2012 Dated Date: 21.03.2012 Dated
	Rs: 6034/-	Rs: 493966/-	Rs: 500000/-	KS: 493900/-	RS: 4233/-	KS: 105520/-	Rs: 14535//-	Rs: 241056/-			76% Above	73% Above	60.30% Above	Rates	ONTRACTORS	Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012 Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012

Taluka Officer (1&S)
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka-Hyderabad (Rural)

District Transition Officer

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

224/2012

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

		OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	T. M. A. H	IXdesabad /	Rusal
I) ·	ŅAME (OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT	Local Go	vetument	
2)		NCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER	mani Cotion	SEELES MPA F	05-50 (2011-2012)
ر)			SN10. 19.		*
4)		2K HOMBER	2004961	atvill. Alil	Mulanned KhasKhdi
•		DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CO	1 00 - 1 G	verment 1	repart.
6)					<u> </u>
7)		EK EGITMENTED TIEDE	13:0.5 (N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	(For civi	VIEER'S ESTIMATE vil works only)	B:0.5(M		
9)	ESTIMA	ATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PE	R CONTRACT)	Mice Mor	4/65
10)	TENDE	ER OPENED ON (MATE & TIME)	21-04-10	1/Lac Jos	7
1)	NUMBI (Attach	ER OF TENDER OCUPLINTS QLD	03/1/6	Compusta	five statement
12)	NUMBI	ER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03No		
13)	NUMBI	ER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE	LIWE OF OBEMI	NG OF BIDS 14	No
	BID EV	VALUATION REPORT se a copy)		ALreadyt	Covided
15)	NAME	E AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF	OI SHODES	M/s Las	ool Bux fawhere
16)	CONTR	ract award price 3.4908	32/-	1	
17)	RANKI (i.e. I [#] ,	ING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV , 2 nd , 3 rd EVALUATION BID).	/ALUATION &	PORT Ist	Lowest
		-		•	
~	-				
18)	METH(IOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Ti	ck one)	1	
	a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE _	Local	Domestic/ Local
	ъ)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE	E PROCEDURE _		
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDU	RE		
	d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE B	idding proce	DURE	
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER			AS ADOPTED i.e.

Di-Vist Transition Offices
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT District I tamsition Offices TMA Hydrabel Rusel
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No No
21) ADVERTISEMENT:
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) No
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
No No:
22) NATURE OF CON BAC
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRICES WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER FOCT JENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBT AND FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes - No - NA
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes - No - NA
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No COMPLIANT?
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No
The satisquoted were sead out for information.
for information.

(If yes, result thereof)		
•	No	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	EN IN THE TER	NDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	∧ 10
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TI	ME?	
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFF ATION CRITERIA	Yes	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	168	·
	No	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AN BLACK LISTED?	ENCY THAT	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFI SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WIT BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	CIAL HE THE E PROV GENUT, IF A	ROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED C THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ON MOBILIZA	Yes No -
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
Mary and the	No	No
Signature Worlding Stamp of Aufalian Aufalian Aufalian Stamp of Hyderabad Rural.		
OFFICE USE ONLY		

Print Sa

Reset

3/3

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE ALI MUHAMMAD KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (19).

N.1.T No: 224/2012 Dated of Issue: 20.04.2012

			$151391 \times 100 = 62.80\%$ Above
Rs: 9168/-	R		
Rs: 490832/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost R		
Rs: 500000/-	As Per Estimated Cost R		
Total: Rs: 490832/-	Total: R		Rs: 258944 (-) (103320+4233) = 151391 /-
Rs: 4233/-	4. Add: S/F inauguration Board R		Schedule Cost (-) Rs: 241056/-
Rs: 103320/-	3. Add: Diff: Cost of Cement R		Estimate Cost Rs: 500000/-
Rs: 142223/-	2. Amount of 59% above R		
Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items R		
		Rs: 500000/-	Total:
	LOWEST BID	Rs: 4233/-	5. Add: S/F Inauguration Board
93% Above	3 M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	Rs: 103320/-	4. Add: Different Cost of Cement
85% Above	2 M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	Rs: 103180/-	3. Add: Cartage
59% Above	1 M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	Rs: 48211/-	2. Add: 20% above
Rates	Sr.# Name of Contractor	Rs: 241056/-	1. Amount of Schedule items
TRACTORS	RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST
Dated of Opening: 21.04.2012	Date: 21.03.2012 Dated of		
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		

241056

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Officer (1&S)

Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka-Hyderabad (Rural) 事表on Officer

District Transition Officer
(Defunct) Taluka Municipal Administration Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE SULEMAN PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/2(1)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. 1 (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles) 7425.00 Cft: P%0 Cft 49,310/-@Rs 6641/10 Rs 2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3) 7425.00 Cft: @Rs 87/10 P% Cft Rs 647/-3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16) 2227.50 Sft: @Rs 3584/10 P%0 Sft Rs 79,836/-4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18) 1687.50 Cft: @Rs 5941/10 P% Cft Rs 1,00,256/-5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21) 783.00 Sft @Rs 1405/75 P% Sft 11.007/-Total Rs 2,41,056/-

> Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE PARIAL KHASKHELI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2011 (2)

		MIASKIELI IALUKA HI	<u>DEKABAD (RUKA</u>	<u>L)</u>
1	Barrow pit excava (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) I	tion undressed lead upto 100 P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-
2.	Dressing and level soil (G.S.INO: 1	ing of Earth work to designed 1 P/3)	l section etc, complet	e (b) ordinary
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-
3.	Cement concrete b (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) I	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau 2/16)	ge (1:4:8)	
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-
4.	Cement concrete pl and washing of sto	ain i/c placing compacting fine aggregate without shuttering	nishing and curing cong (1:2:4) (G.S.IN	omplete screening NO: 5 (f) P/18)
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-
5.	Erection and Remo (G.S.I NO: 18 P/2	val from centering for R.C.C.	or plain Cement con	crete work
	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/-
			Total	Rs 2,41,056/
	1			

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE ALI NAWAZ KHOKHAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/12/3)

1	Barrow pit excavati	on undressed lead upto 100 : 1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
	14850.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 98,621/-
2.	Dressing and leveling soil (G.S.I NO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	l section etc, complete	e (b) ordinary
	14850.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,293/-
3.	Cement concrete br (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P	ick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau /16)	ge (1:4:8)	
	4455.00 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 1,59,671/-
4.	Cement concrete pland washing of stor	ain i/c placing compacting fine aggregate without shuttering	nishing and curing cong (1:2:4) (G.S.I)	omplete screening NO: 5 (f) P/18)
	3375.00 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 2,00,512/-
5.	Erection and Remove (G.S.I NO: 18 P/2	val from centering for R.C.C	or plain Cement con	crete work
	1566.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 22,014/-
			Total	Rs 4,82,111/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE HAJI FATO TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2012 (4)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 6641/10

P%0 Cft

Rs 49,310/-

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11, P/3)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

647/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE MOHAMMAD PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2012 (5)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. 1 (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles) 49,310/-P%0 Cft Rs @Rs 6641/10 7425.00 Cft: Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary 2. soil (G.S.I NO: 11° P/3) 647/-P% Cft Rs @Rs 87/10 7425.00 Cft: Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 1/2" gauge (1:4:8) 3. (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16) Rs 79,836/-P%0 Sft @Rs 3584/10 2227.50 Sft: Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening 4. and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18) P% Cft Rs 1,00,256/-@Rs 5941/10 1687.50 Cft: Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work 5. (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21) P% Sft

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

Total

Rs 2,41.056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE HOOSRI CITY SHEDI PARA TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 229 (2012 (6)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 6641/10

P%0 Cft

Rs 49,310/-

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs 647/-

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE BAGO WAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/L (7)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 6641/10

P%0 Cft

Rs 49,310/-

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs 647/-

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.INO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE MITHO WIGANAL TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/10/L(8)

	<u>1</u>	<u> 'ALUKA HYDERABAD (R</u>	URAL) 229/10/L	(8)
1	-	ion undressed lead upto 100 i /1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-
2.	Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.I NO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complet	e (b) ordinary
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-
3.	Cement concrete by (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) F	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau 1/16)	ge (1:4:8)	
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-
4.	· -	ain i/c placing compacting fine aggregate without shuttering		_
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-
5.	Erection and Remo (G.S.I NO: 18 P/2	val from centering for R.C.C 1)	or plain Cement con	crete work
	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/-
			Total	Rs 2,41,056
	1		4	

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE MOHAN BAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2012(9)

	<u>1</u>	ALUKA HIDERADAD (N	UKAL) 227 2012	(9)		
1	<u> </u>	ion undressed lead upto 100 to 1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.			
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-		
2.	Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.I NO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complet	e (b) ordinary		
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87 /10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-		
3.	Cement concrete by (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) F	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau 1/16)	ge (1:4:8)			
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-		
4.		ain i/c placing compacting fine aggregate without shutteri				
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-		
5.	Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)					
	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/		

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R) Zyplyae (CONTRACTOR)

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE OBYAH KHASKHELI DEH KUNAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2012 (10)

1		ion undressed lead upto 100 f /1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.		
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,	310/-
2.	Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.INO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complet	e (b) ordinar	у
-	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 6	647/-
3.	Cement concrete br (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) F	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gaug 716)	ge (1:4:8)		
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,	836/-
١.	Cement concrete pl and washing of stor	ain i/c placing compacting fir ne aggregate without shuttering	nishing and curing cong (1:2:4) (G.S.IN	mplete scree IO: 5 (f) P/	ning 18)
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00),256/-
5.	Erection and Remore (G.S.I NO: 18 P/2	val from centering for R.C.C l)	or plain Cement con	crete work	4
-	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	<u>Rs 1</u>	1,007/-
			Total	Rs 2,	41,056/

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE JEHAN KHAN KATHIO DEH PASAKI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/2012(11)

			•		
1	Barrow pit excavation u (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (indressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) Extra lead 3 Miles)	ordinary soil.		
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-	
2.	Dressing and leveling of soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3	f Earth work to designed section (ion etc, complete	(b) ordinary	
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-	
3.	Cement concrete brick of (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16	or stone ballast 1½" gauge (1	1:4:8)		,
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-	
4.	•	c placing compacting finishing gregate without shuttering (<u> </u>	
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-	
5.	Erection and Removal f (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)	rom centering for R.C.C or pl	ain Cement cond	crete work	

@Rs 1405/75

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

783.00 Sft

CONTRACTOR)

P% Sft

Total

Rs 11,007/-

Rs 2,41,056/-

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE ARBAB NAHYOON TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 214/10/2(14)

	<u>1</u>	ALUKA HYDERABAD (K	UKAL) 2 L1 (1012 (
1	Barrow pit excavate (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) Property	ion undressed lead upto 100 f	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-
2.	Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.I NO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complete	e (b) ordinary
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-
3.	Cement concrete by (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) I	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau P/16)	age (1:4:8)	
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-
4.	Cement concrete p and washing of sto	lain i/c placing compacting fine aggregate without shuttering	inishing and curing coing (1:2:4) (G.S.I)	omplete screening NO: 5 (f) P/18)
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-
5.	Erection and Remo	oval from centering for R.C.C	C or plain Cement cor	acrete work
	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/-
		>	Total	Rs 2,41,056

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUSHTAQUE KALARI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20(14)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 6641/10

P%0 Cft

Rs 49,310/-

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs 647/-

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE GUL MOHAMMAD BURFAT TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/1(15)

	<u> </u>	*	ADAD (RUKAL) •	(29/2012(13)
1		ion undressed lead upto 100 t /1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-
2.	Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.I NO: 11	ng of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complet	e (b) ordinary
	7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-
3.	Cement concrete by (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) F	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau 1/16)	ge (1:4:8)	
	2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-
4.	-	ain i/c placing compacting fince aggregate without shuttering	_	-
	1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-
5.	Erection and Remo (G.S.I NO: 18 P/2	val from centering for R.C.C	or plain Cement con	crete work
	783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/-
	·		Total	Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE BACHO KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/12/16)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 6641/10

P%0 Cft

Rs 49,310/-

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3)

7425.00 Cft;

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs 647/-

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE URIS KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 224/20/1 (17)

1 Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles) 7425.00 Cft: @Rs 6641/10 P%0 Cft Rs 49,310/-Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary 2. soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3) 7425.00 Cft: @Rs 87/10 P% Cft Rs 647/-Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 1/2" gauge (1:4:8) 3. (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16) 2227.50 Sft: @Rs 3584/10-P%0 Sft Rs 79,836/-Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening 4. and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18) 1687.50 Cft: @Rs 5941/10 P% Cft Rs 1,00,256/-5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21) 783.00 Sft @Rs 1405/75 P% Sft <u> 11,007/-</u> Total 2,41,056/-Rs

> Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE JAM GHAR COLON'DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 229/2011 (18)

Barrow pit excavar			
(G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P	ion undressed lead upto 100 /1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)	ft: (a) ordinary soil.	
7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 6641/10	P%0 Cft	Rs 49,310/-
Dressing and leveli soil (G.S.I NO: 1)	ing of Earth work to designed P/3)	section etc, complet	te (b) ordinary
7425.00 Cft:	@Rs 87/10	P% Cft	Rs 647/-
Cement concrete br (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P	rick or stone ballast 1 ½" gau 1/16)	ge(1:4:8)	
2227.50 Sft:	@Rs 3584/10	P%0 Sft	Rs 79,836/-
Cement concrete pland washing of store	ain i/c placing compacting fir a aggregate without shuttering	nishing and curing cong (1:2:4) (G.S.IN	omplete screening NO: 5 (f) P/18)
1687.50 Cft:	@Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 1,00,256/-
Erection and Remov (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21	val from centering for R.C.C	or plain Cement cond	crete work
783.00 Sft	@Rs 1405/75	P% Sft	Rs 11,007/-
		Total	Rs 2,41,056/-
، عمر		۸.	
Taluka Officia	(1 0. C)	163/6	
		(CONTRACTOR)
	7425.00 Cft: Dressing and levelisoil (G.S.I NO: 11) 7425.00 Cft: Cement concrete br (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P 2227.50 Sft: Cement concrete pland washing of story 1687.50 Cft: Erection and Remove (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21) 783.00 Sft	7425.00 Cft: @Rs 6641/10 Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3) 7425.00 Cft: @Rs 87/10 Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16) 2227.50 Sft: @Rs 3584/10 Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting fir and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering 1687.50 Cft: @Rs 5941/10 Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)	7425.00 Cft:

Name of work:-

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT VILLAGE ALI MOHAMMAD KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL)

Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil. (G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1) (Extra lead 3 Miles)

7425.00 Cft: @Rs 6641/10 P%0 Cft Rs

2. Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil (G.S.I NO: 11 P/3)

7425.00 Cft:

@Rs 87/10

P% Cft

Rs 647/-

49,310/-

3. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 ½" gauge (1:4:8) (G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16)

2227.50 Sft:

@Rs 3584/10

P%0 Sft

Rs 79,836/-

4. Cement concrete plain i/c placing compacting finishing and curing complete screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering (1:2:4) (G.S.I NO: 5 (f) P/18)

1687.50 Cft:

@Rs 5941/10

P% Cft

Rs 1,00,256/-

5. Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: 18 P/21)

783.00 Sft

@Rs 1405/75

P% Sft

Rs 11,007/-

Total

Rs 2,41,056/-

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Hyderabad (R)



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/423 2012, Dated: 21/.5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Abid Ali Mangrio, Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE SULEMAN PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/21(1)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,90,832/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

> Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(1&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/424 2012, Dated: 21/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

То,

M/s Zain-ul-Abdin Bughio, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE PARIAL KHASKHELI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/2012 (2)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,93,243/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-425 2012, Dated: 24 / 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Muhammad Alam Khan, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE ALI NAWAZ KHOKHAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224 / 20/2 (3)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 1.0 (Million) at Rs: 59.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 9,84,052/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 3,000/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-426 2012, Dated: 24 / 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Zain-ul-Abdin Bughio, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE HAJI FATO TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/2012 (41)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,93,243/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-427 2012, Dated: 31 / 5 /2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

То,

M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE MUHAMMAD PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/2014(5)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60.60% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,94,689/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Tahıka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

101



OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-628 2012, Dated: 21/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Shahnawaz Shoro, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE HOOSRI CITY SHEDI PARO TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224 | 20(126)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 61% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,95,653/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to eancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-429 2012, Dated: 21/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Mir Hassan Khoso, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE BAGO WAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/20(1(7)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 61.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,96,858/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/- 422 2012, Dated: 4 / 5 /2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Rahim Enterprises,

Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE MITHO

WIGHANAL TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224 2014 8)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59.60% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract

will be Rs: 4,92,278/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in ease of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(1&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/\$.3& 2012, Dated: 24/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

То,

M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE MOHAN BAGRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/20(2 (9)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 58% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,88,421/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-4:31 2012, Dated: 21/ 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s D.B and Brothers,

Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE OBHAY KHASKHELI DEH KUNAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL).224 20(1(10)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 58.60% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,89,868/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka (officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

C

OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-4322012, Dated: 4/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito, Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE JEHAN KHAN KATHIO DEH PASAKI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224/20/2 (11)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 58% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,88,421/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-433 2012, Dated: 4 / 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Ammar Const: Co,

Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE ARBAB

NAHYOON TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 224 / 20(L(12)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the

above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59.40% above on

schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract

will be Rs: 4,91,314/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on

non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and

start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your

failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to

other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the

availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderahad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/434 2012, Dated: 3/ / 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Ammar Const: Co,

Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE MUHAMMAD
BUX KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 2 24/20/2 (/3)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,92,037/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunet) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/435 2012, Dated: 2//5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Muhammad Rafik & Co, Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE MUSHTAQUE KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL).214/20/2 (14)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59.70% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,92,519/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rura!)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

110

C

OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-4342012, Dated:2(/ 5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE GUL
MUHAMMAD BURFAT TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL).224/2.12(15)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,94,448/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

111



OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-4372012, Dated: 4/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To.

M/s Syed Shahid Ali, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE BACHO KALERI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 214/20(1)(16)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 61% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,95,653/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk-and-cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-938 2012, Dated: 21/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Syed Shahid Ali,

Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE URIS
KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 2 21/20/2 (17)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,94,447/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka (officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-439 2012, Dated: 21/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem, Contractor.

SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE JAM GHAR
COLONY DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 2 24/2/12/18)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 60.30% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,93,966/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

114



OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION
HYDERABAD (RURAL)

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-4 40 2012, Dated:24/5/2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar, Contractor.

SUBJECT:

CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLCOK AT VILLAGE ALI MUHAMMAD KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL). 2 44 / 20/2 (19)

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.5 (Million) at Rs: 59% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest. The cost of contract will be Rs: 4,90,832/-

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1,500/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

- 1. The Transition Officer, (Defunct) T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
- 2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural).



No: T.O(D)TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/- 404 /2012, Dated: 2/5 /2012

Off: 022-9200975 Fax: 022-9200708

To,

PRA INVERPORE STATE STAT

The Director (CB), Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Government of Sindh, Sindh Secretariat No: 4 A, Block 8 Court Road, <u>Karachi.</u>

SUBJECT: BID EVALUATION REPORT.

The Bid evaluation report in respect of NIT No:

TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012 hoisted on SPPRA

WEBSITE under No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 opened on 21.04.2012, on prescribed proforma is sent herewith for favour of information and further necessary action as required under Rule 45 of SPPRA Rules 2010.

Encl: 19

TRANSITION OFFICER
(Defunct) Tatuka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

ACB

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluk	sa Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TM:A.	/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wor		CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE SULEMAN PANHWAR (I).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:		ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3	
7.	Total Bids Received:	3	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicable	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.	.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Abid Ali Mangrio	490832/-	1 ⁸¹ Lowest	1.83% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Ammar Const: Co.	512527/-	2 nd Lowest	2.5% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	519759/-	3 rd Lowest	3.95% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka	a Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/	T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE PARIAL KHASKHELI (2).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single	Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published;		d on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	i.	s on the Stra WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated; 05.04.2012
7.	Total Bids Received:	&	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if ap	plicable)	: Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if	applicabl	(e):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	77	
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.2	2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Reports		

					Estimated Cost I	Rs: 5,00,000/-
#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in	Comparison with Estimated Cost		Remarks
0	1	2			7	

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4		The state of the s
01	M/s Zain-ul-Abdil Bughio	493243/-	1 st Lowest	1.35% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	514938/-	2 nd Lowest	2.98% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Mir Hassan Khoso	522169/-	3 rd Lowest	4.43% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates
04	M/s Rahim Enterprises	526990/-	4 th Lowest	5.39% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).			
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.			
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE ALI NAWAZ KHOKHAR (3).		
4.	Method of Procurement:	Singl	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.		
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012		
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3			
7.	Total Bids Received:	3			
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if a	pplicable	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)		
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (i				
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:				
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04	1.2012		
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 9,99,999/-		

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Muhammad Alam Khan	984052/-	1 st Lowest	1.59% below the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,999/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	1044316/-	2 nd Lowest	4.43% Above the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,999/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co	1058779/-	3 rd Lowest	3.877% Above the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,999/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural) Procuring Committee Member Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Estimated Cost Rs: 9,99,999/-



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	item: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE HAJI FATO (4).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	4
7.	Total Bids Received:	4
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if ap	pplicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if	
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04,2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:	Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Zain-ul-Abdin Bughio	493243/-	1 st Lowest	2.80% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	531812/-	2 nd Lowest	6.36% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Mir Hassan Khoso	536633/-	3 rd Lowest	7.32% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates
04	M/s Rahim Enterprises	546275/-	4 th Lowest	9.25% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Council officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Chairman Procuring Committee

1

Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka l	Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).			
Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2				
Tender Description/Name of wor		CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUHAMMAD PAHNWAR (5).			
Method of Procurement:	Single S	Stage One Envelop Procedure.			
Tender Published:	Upload	on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated; 05.04.201			
Total Bid Documents Sold:	3				
Total Bids Received:	3				

8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):

10. Bid (s) Rejected:

11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 21.04.2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

1.

2.

3.

4.

5.

6. 7.

Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co	494689/-	1st Lowest	1.06% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	510117/-	2 nd Lowest	2.02% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	520964/-	3 rd Lowest	4.19% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Talul	(a Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA	/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated; 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wo	rk item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE HOOSRI CITY SHEDI PARO (6).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Sing	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3	
7.	Total Bids Received:	3	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicabl	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified	(if applica	ıble):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.0	4.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Shahnawaz Shoro	495653/-	1 st Lowest	0.8694% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Ammar Const: Co.	566481/-	2 nd Lowest	13.29% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	576124/-	3 rd Lowest	15.22% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (1&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Chairman Procuring Committee



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	<u>l aluk</u>	a Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Kdrai).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA	/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wor	k item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE BAGO WAGRI (7).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Singl	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3	
7.	Total Bids Received:	3	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicabl	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified	(if applica	able):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.0	4.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6.
01	M/s Mir Hassan Khoso	496858/-	1 st Lowest	0.62% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Abid Ali Magrio	545311/-	2 nd Lowest	9.0% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	564354/-	3 rd Lowest	12.87% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wor	k item: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MITHO WIGHANAL (8).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3
7.	Total Bids Received:	3
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified	if applicable):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	/
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:	Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	. 6
01	M/s Rahim Enterprises	492278/-	1 st Lowest	1.54% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Abid Ali Mangrio	514214/-	2 nd Lowest	2.8% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	563666/-	3 rd Lowest	12.73% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Music(pal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member



Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). Name of Procuring Agency: 1. TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012 2. Tender Reference No: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE Tender Description/Name of work item: MOHAN BAGRI (9). Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. Method of Procurement: 4. Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 Tender Published: 5. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3 Total Bids Received: Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form): No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _____ 9. 10. Bid (s) Rejected: 21.04.2012 Financial Bid Opening Date: 11.

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	488421/-	1 st Lowest	2.31% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Abid Ali Mangrio	548685/-	2 nd Lowest	9.73% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Shahnawaz Shoro	558328/-	3 rd Lowest	11.66% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

12.

Bid Evaluation Report:

Procuring Committee Member

Countel Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). Name of Procuring Agency: 1. TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012/ Tender Reference No: 2. CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE Tender Description/Name of work item: 3. OBHAY KHASKHELI DEH KUNAR (10). Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. 4. Method of Procurement: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 5. Tender Published: 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 7. Total Bids Received: Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form) 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):___ 9. 10. Bid (s) Rejected: 21.04.2012 Financial Bid Opening Date: 11.

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s D.B and Brothers	489868/-	1 st Lowest	2.0% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Shahnawaz Shoro	550373/-	2 nd Lowest	10.0% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	574720/-	3 rd Lowest	14.94% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

12.

Bid Evaluation Report:

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluk	a Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA	/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	k item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE _ JEHAN KHAN KATHIO DEH PASAKI (11).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Singl	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3	
7.	Total Bids Received:	3	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicabl	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if applica	ble):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	_	
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.0	4,2012.
12	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	488421/-	1 st Lowest	2.31% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s D.B and Brothers	536632/-	2 nd Lowest	7.32% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	651899/-	3 rd Lowest	10.37% Above the estimated cost Rs; 5,00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). Name of Procuring Agency: 1. TMA/T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012. 2. Tender Reference No: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE Tender Description/Name of work item: 3. ARBAB NAHYOON (12). Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. 4. Method of Procurement: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 5. Tender Published: 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: Total Bids Received: 7. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form) 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):___ 9. 10. Bid (s) Rejected: Financial Bid Opening Date: 21.04.2012 11.

10	13.5.1	177	4	D 4.
12.	RIG	B.VS	marion	Report:

Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Ammar Const: Co	491314/-	1 st Lowest	1.73% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
.02	M/s D.B and Brothers	515661/-	2 nd Lowest	3.13% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	548685/-	3 rd Lowest	9,737% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Chairman Procuring Committee


(b)

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wor	k item: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE. MUHAMMAD BUX KALERI DEH RAJPARI (13).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05:04.201
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3
7.	Total Bids Received:	3
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:	Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Ammar Const: Co	492037/-	1 st Lowest	1.59% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s D.B and Brothers	553507/-	2 nd Lowest	10.7% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	580023/-	3 rd Lowest	16.0% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member





1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Tryderaoad (Rurar).
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work i	tem: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE MUSHTAQUE KALERI DEH RAJPARI (14).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3
7.	Total Bids Received:	3
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if ap	plicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if	applicable):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.2012
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:	Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co	492519/-	1 st Lowest	1.49% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	558329/-	2 nd Lowest	11.66% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	584844/-	3 rd Lowest	16,96% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (1&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Chairman Procuring Committee



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluk	a Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2.	Tender Reference No:	<u>TMA</u>	/T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	(item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE GUL MUHAMMAD BURFAT (15).
4.	Method of Procurement:	Singl	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3	
7.	Total Bids Received:	3	
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	applicabl	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if applica	able):
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.0	4.2012
12	Did Evaluation Paparts		Estimated Cost Rs: 5.00.000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Rascol Bux Panhwar	494448/-	1 st Lowest	1.11% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	543864/-	2 nd Lowest	8.77% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
02	Mar ZulGuna Ali Sahita	550770/	and Louvest	11,66% Above	Higher	Not Recommended

the estimated cost

Rs: 5,00,000/-

558328/- 3rd Lowest

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

03 M/s Zulfigar Ali Sahito

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Higher

due to higher rates

Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). 1. TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21,03.2012. 2. Tender Reference No: 3. Tender Description/Name of work item: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE BACHO KALERI DEH RAJPARI (16). 4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. 5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 7. Total Bids Received: 8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form) 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):____ 10. Bid (s) Rejected: 11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 21.04.2012 12. Bid Evaluation Report: Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

fl	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	495653/-	1 st Lowest	0.86% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Muhammad Rafik and Co.	548685/-	2 nd Lowest	9.73% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	582433/-	3 rd Lowest	16.48% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural) Procuring Committee Member Council Officer

Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (1&S) Taluka Municipal Administration Hyderabad (Rural)

Chairman Procuring Committee



Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). Name of Procuring Agency: 1. TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.2012 Tender Reference No: 2. CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE Tender Description/Name of work item: 3. URIS KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI (17). Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. 4. Method of Procurement: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012 5. Tender Published: Total Bid Documents Sold: 6. 7. Total Bids Received: Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form) No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):__ 9. 10. Bid (s) Rejected: Financial Bid Opening Date: 21.04.2012 11. Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Syed Shahid Ali	494447/-	1 st Lowest	1.11% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Muhammad Rafiq and Co	546275/-	2 nd Lowest	9,25% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Muhammad Ahsan Siddiqui	575202/-	3 rd Lowest	15% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates
04	M/s Rahim Enterprises	584844/-	4 th Lowest	16.96% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5.00.000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance) Taluka Municipal Administration

12.

Bid Evaluation Report:

Hyderabad (Rural) Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer Taluka Municipal/Xdministration Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (I&S) Taluka Municipal Administration

Hyderabad (Rural) Chairman Procuring Committee



1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal A	Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).	
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(I&S)/HY	YD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated: 21.03.201	2.
3.	Tender Description/Name of wor	The second secon	ICTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAC R COLONY DEH RAJPARI (18).	iE-
4.	Method of Procurement:	Single Stage One E	Envelop Procedure.	
5.	Tender Published:	Upload on the SPP	PRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2	012
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3		
7.	Total Bids Received:	3		
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if	plicable): Not applic	cable (Provide details in separate form)	
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified	applicable):		
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:			
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04.2012		

12.	Bid	Eval	luation	Report:
-----	-----	------	---------	---------

Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	493966/-	1st Lowest	1.2% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	524580/-	2 nd Lowest	4.916% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	531812/-	3 rd Lowest	6.36% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Courcil Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)
Procuring Committee Member

Taluka Officer (I&S)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Chairman Procuring Committee

3

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).		
2.	Tender Reference No:	TMA/T.O(1&S)/HYD(RURAL)/224/2012 Dated; 21.03.2012.		
3.	Tender Description/Name of work	item:	CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT VILLAGE ALI MUHAMMAD KHASKHELI DEH RAJPARI (19).	
4.	Method of Procurement:	Singl	e Stage One Envelop Procedure.	
5.	Tender Published:	Uplo	ad on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 11886 Dated: 05.04.2012	
6.	Total Bid Documents Sold:	3		
7.	Total Bids Received:	3		
8.	Technical Bid Opening Date: (if ap	plicabl	e): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)	
9.	No. of Bid technically qualified (if	applica	ble):	
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:			
11.	Financial Bid Opening Date:	21.04	1.2012	
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:		Estimated Cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	490832/-	1 st Lowest	1.83% below the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Gul Bahar Thaheem	553507/-	2 nd Lowest	10.7% Above the estimated cost Rs: 5,00,000/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Zulfiqar Ali Sahito	572791/-	3 rd Lowest	14.55% Above the estimated cost	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

Taluka Officer (Finance)
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member

Council Officer
Taluka Municipal Administration
Hyderabad (Rural)

Procuring Committee Member