

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. City District Government Karachi
- 2). PROVINCIAL GOVT./LOCAL GOVT./OTHER District ADP (2011-12)
TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing / Installation/Errection of G.I. Sheet
(Curtain Wall) at Abul Hassan Isphani Road &
Metro Flyover University Road Karachi.
- 3). TENDER NUMBER DO-II/CZ-V/W&S/CM/01/10-11
- 4). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT The Safety measure required for high Profile Offices &
Gas Installation located in the vicinity to ensure the
security of these offices & Gas Installation visual are
essential.
- 5). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME DDWP meeting held on 07-09-2011
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 8.742 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 8.742 Million
(For Civil Works only)
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 60 Days
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 20-07-2011
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three (03 No.)
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three (03 No.)
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT Attached
(Enclose a copy)
- NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Kamran & Kampany
A-13, Dashtyar Centre, 4th Floor
Near Usmania Restaurant, Karachi.
- 15). DATE OF CONTRACT SIGNING 06-10-2011
(Attach a copy of agreement)
- 17). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 87,36,449/-
- 18). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT 1st Lowest M/s Kamran & Kampany
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
- 19). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (TICK ONE)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ ✓ _____
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- e) IN CASE OF CONSULTANCY:
- (i) Least Cost _____
- (ii) QCBS _____
- (i) QBC _____
- (ii) CQS _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

20). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

21). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

22). ADVERTISEMENT:

i). SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA's tender number)

Yes	✓
No	ID # 4891/2011

ii). News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Express dated 08-07-2011 Daily Dawn dated 11-07-2011.
No	

23). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	✓	Int.	
-------	---	------	--

24). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

25). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

26). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

27). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

29). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLAINT?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

30). WHETHER INTEGRITY PACT WAS SIGNED?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

31). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

32). WHETHER COPY OF EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BIDDERS?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

33). WAS ANY NEGOTIATION DONE IN VIOLATION OF PPRA / OTHER APPLICABLE RULES
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

35). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

36). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	N. A.
No	

37). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

38). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

39). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

40). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes		No	NA
-----	--	----	----

41). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

N.P.A.

DISTRICT OFFICER
CONTACT MANAGEMENT
(WBS) C.D.G.K.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele: 021-9205356: 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

City District Government Karachi.

1. Name of Procuring Agency

D.O-II/CZ-V/W&S/CDGK/170 DT: 06-07-2011 ✓

2. Tender Reference No. Work / Item.

3. Tender Description Name of
Providing /Installation /erection of G.I.Sheet
(Curtain wall) Ispham Road & Metro Flyover ✓
University Road Karachi.

4. Method of Procurement.

Single Single -One Envelope Procedure.
Daily Express , Daily Down. ✓

5. Tender Published.

SPPRA Website ID# 4891/ 2011 ✓

6. Total Bids documents Sold 03 Nos.

7. Total Bids Received. 03 Nos.

8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable) Nil (Provide details in separate form).

9. Non of Bid Technically qualified (if applicable) Nil

10. Bid (s) Reject. Nil


11. Financial Bid Opening date 20-07-2011 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report.

S.#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Completion with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s.Kamran & Kampany	87,36,449.00	1 st Lowest	Below the Estimated cost of 87,42,195.00	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria.	Recommended for awarded of work
2	M/K.N. Builders.	89,44,619.00	2 nd Lowest	do		
3	M/SJuma Ahmed Sherani Const Co	93,26,020.00	3 rd Lowest	do		


Muhammad Shafiq
Divl A C Officer(CZ)-V
Member


Syed Rifat Hussain
Addl District Officer(CZ)-V,
C.D.G.K.


Shabiul Hasnain
District Officer-II(CZ)-V
C.D.G.K.