SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.
1 ~	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.
. 2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL (VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD 211 TO 212 NIP & 230 TO 231 IP SIDE.
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED. 16.12.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL (VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD 211 TO 212 NIP & 230 TO 231 IP SIDE.
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP
7	Tender estimated value	15.569million
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	15.569 million
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03
12	Number of bids received	03
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
16	Contract award price	17871821/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
Ь	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO
С	Two stage bidding procedure	No
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO	
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes Vo
21	Advertisement:	
i)		✓ No. 18431
'	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	110, 10431
ii)	News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Not applicable
22	Nature of contract	I DO J J J
		Loc / Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes / No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes ✓ No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes ✓ No
	obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes V No
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes No
1	1 ·	110

1 3		1				1
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		1		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		/		
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		V		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		√		
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓	
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		✓		

Signature &	official	Stamp	of
Authorized (Officer		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No. :-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL (VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD 211 TO 212 NIP & 230 TO

231 IP SIDE . . .

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	77
1	Mr. Bashir Ahmed Chandio contactor	17871821/-	1 st lowest	61.00% above	Accepted being	
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	17921773/-	2 nd lowest	61.45% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Mumtaz & co	17977276/-	3 rd lowest	61.95% above	Rejected being a 3rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. BASHR AHMED CHANDIO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 64

of 2014

Moro dated .

3 -

1- 2016

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL (VULNERABLE

REACHES) FROM RD 231 TO 234 IP SIDE

Reference:-

SPPRA INWARD DIAR!

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- 2. Daily Ibrat dated.
- 3. Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- 4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S Abdul Hakeem Chacher contractor	60.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Mumtaz & Co	61.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Hafiz Rub Nawaz contractor	61.70% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.60.50% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.94% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S ABDUL HAKEEM CHAHCER contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-95/8106 dated 20.12.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

3/.2/209

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES & C					
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division				
<u>√</u>		Moro .				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONNE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL				
		(VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD				
		231 TO 234 IP SIDE				
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED.				
		16.12.2013.				
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONNE PITCHING				
	-	ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL				
		(VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD				
		231 TO 234 IP SIDE				
5	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP				
7	Tender estimated value	23.938million				
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	23.938 million				
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS				
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.				
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	03				
	byres)	02				
12	Number of bids received	03				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	03				
	bids	(converted had)				
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)				
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached) 27203784/				
16	Contract award price	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.				
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid)	The 1" lowest evaluate oid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local				
 D	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO				
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting				
	etc with brief reasons. NO					
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro				
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes ✓ No				
	procurement plan?					
21	Advertisement :					
i)	SPPRA website	✓ No. 18431				
,	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)					
ii	News papers	Not applicable				
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)					
22	Nature of contract	Loc ✓ Int.				
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes ✓ No				
	bidding/tender documents?					
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes V No				
- •	bidding/tenders documents?					
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes ✓ No				
	obtained for using a method other than open					
	competitive bidding?					
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No				
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes ✓ No				
	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)					
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes No				
	10					

						Ì
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	✓	No		П
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?					
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	✓	No		П
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation			-		1
	report)					
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes				\prod
		No		1		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes				1
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No		✓		H^{-}
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes				††
	give reasons)	No		├ ✓		╫
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes		·		+
	detailed reasons)	No		★		\dagger
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes	/	No		H
	selected firm is not black listed?			1 - 10	1	-
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	 ✓	П
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in		<u></u>	1		ا ا
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be					
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:					i
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	/	7
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-
	etc)?					
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes	•			П
	description)	No		1		11

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer____

Deck land JKShiri . . .

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No. :-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL (VULNERABLE REACHES) FROM RD 231 TO 234 IP SIDE

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received

(03) THREE

5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.

<u>01.01.2014.</u>

Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Abdul Hakeem Chacher contractor	27203784	1st lowest	60.50% above	Accepted being	
2	M/S Mumtaz & Co	27288531	2 nd lowest	61.00% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Hafiz Rub Nawaz contractor	27407177	3 rd lowest	61.70% above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S ABDUL HAKEEM CHAHCER contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

l

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

Hyderabad

EVECUTIVE ENGINEER

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohn Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ /

of 2014

Moro dated .

3 - 61 - 2014

To.

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 240

TO 242 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

2. Daily Ibrat dated.

3. Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

₹S#	Name of contractor		
1.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	76.15% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Agha Fateh Muhammad contractor	76.25% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor	76.55 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.76.15% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.82% above the estimated cost rates quoted by Mr.Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

3 .2 | 207

M(CB)

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGIN

OHRI DIVISION MOR

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &					
l 	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Moro	Departm	ent Rohri	Division	
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
3	Title of contract	CONSTRU ALONG R RD 240 TO	UCTING OHRI M	IAIN CAN		
4	Tender number	NO. AC/C	3-55/389:).	
		16.12.2013				
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRU ALONG R RD 240 TO	OHRI M	IAIN CAN		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP				
7	Tender estimated value	19.286mil				
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.286 mi	llion			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS				
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014	4			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03		•		_
12	Number of bids received	03				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03				
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attac	ched)			
<u>15</u>	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy atta				
16	Contract award price	22144681/				
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)		***			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic	:/		Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO LOCAL				
	Two stage bidding procedure	No	<u> </u>			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO		emergen	cy, direct	contractin	ıg
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer	Rohri D	ivision Mo	ro
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	✓	No		
21	Advertisement:	-			-	
í)	1 - 1	√		No. 18	3431	
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J
ii)	News papers	Not applic	able		-	
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)			<u> </u>		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	1	Int.		\neg
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	√	No		
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	✓	No		
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes	√	No		
ļ	obtained for using a method other than open			110	<u></u>	
	competitive bidding?					
6	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes		No		
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes				
	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	1 68	~	No		
	Whater	 				
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes		No	1	

For o	ffice use only			-	
	ature & official Stamp of orized Officer	✓ \	1000		Fars MCTO
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		√	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	
5	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	√	No	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	100			<u> </u>
0	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids? Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes		No	

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No.:-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 240 TO 242 NIP SIDE .

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	22144681/-	1st lowest	76.15% above	Accepted being	
2	M/S Agha Fateh Muhammad contractor	22157253/-	2 nd lowest	76.25% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor	22194967/-	3 rd lowest	76.55 % above	Rejected being a	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. NISAR AHMED SAHITO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEE

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHATRMAN)

762/1 762/1 TED: 3/L

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 66

Ťo,

of 2014

Moro dated .

3-01-5

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 242

TO 244 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

- Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- 2. Daily Ibrat dated.
- 3. Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- 4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.`	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	77.40% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Mumtaz & co	77.75% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Surhan construction company	78.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.84.00% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.85% above the estimated cost rates quoted by MR NISAR AHMED SAHITO constructor—as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

Rup

COHRI DIVISION MONO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK SERVICES & GOODS

·	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.				
· 1	ame of the organization/ department		Departm	ent Roh	ri Division	
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincia	1			
. 3	Title of contract			STONE	PITCHING	
-		ALONG	ROHRI M	IAIN CA	NAL FRO	M
- <u>-</u>		RD 242 T	O 244 NI	P SIDE	L PIQ	.**
4	Tender number	NO. AC/			D.	
	District Control of the Control of t	16.12.201				
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTR	UCTING	STONE	PITCHING	· · · · ·
		ALONG I	ROHRI M	IAIN CA	NAL FRO	M
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP	<u>O 244 NII</u>	SIDE		
7	Tender estimated value	19.039mi	llion		·	
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.039 m				
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAY				<u> </u>
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.201				
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	01.01.201	4.			
İ	byres)	03				
12	Number of bids received	03	<u> </u>		<u></u> .	
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	03				
	bids_	7.7				
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy atta	ched)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy atta			<u></u>	
16	Contract award price	21864836			· .	
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lov		uate bid		-
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)			uute blu.		1
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic	c/	\top	Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	No		<u> </u>	Local	
C	Two stage bidding procedure	No	<u> </u>			<u></u>
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No	<u> </u>			 -
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was	adopted i e	emergeno	v direc	t contractin	
	etc with brief reasons. NO	adopted i.e	omer gene	y, unec	t contractin	g
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer	Robri D	ivision Mo	-
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	<u> </u>	No	1 4 13 10 11 14 10	
	procurement plan?		<u> </u>			— [
21	Advertisement:			 -		
i)		V		No. 1	8431	
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)		_ 	1,0,1		
ii	News papers	Not applie	able			.
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)					
22	Nature of contract	Loc	1	Int.		$\neg \uparrow$
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes	V	No		
1	bidding/tender documents?		<u> </u>	1		
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes		No		\dashv
-	bidding/tenders documents?					
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes	√	No		
	obtained for using a method other than open				<u> </u>	
26	competitive bidding?					
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	√	No		
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes	/	No		- -
	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)					
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes		No	 	
	complaint?				_	<u> </u>
Ĺ <u> </u>						

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	_ <	No	1	
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?					\neg
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	✓	No		T
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\dashv
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes	· ·	<u> </u>		ᅱ
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	No				$\ \ $
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes		 		$\dagger \dagger$
<u>-</u>	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No	-	1		\forall
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes	<u></u>	 		\forall
	give reasons)	No		1		$\dagger \dagger$
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes		 		Ħ
	detailed reasons)	No		V		\forall
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		┨
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No		┰┤
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in			1110	<u> </u>	7
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be					
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:					
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	/	П
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?			- 1		'
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes	 -		-	Н
	description)	No			··	11

Signature & official	Stamp of
Authorized Officer	-

ASSA Brown

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No. ;-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 242 TO 244 NIP SIDE.

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE,

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refec tion	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	21864836/-	1 st lowest	77.40% above	Accepted being	
2	M/S Mumtaz & co	21907974/-	2 nd lowest	77,75% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Surhan construction company	21938787/-	3 rd lowest	78.00% above	Rejected being a	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. NISRA AHMED SAHTIO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER Dad Division Shaheed Benazirabad SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad (CHAIRMAN)

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Moro dated .

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 244

TO 246 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

2.

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms . The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

3. Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

4. Daily The News dated.

> The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each,

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	77.35% above	the cost of schedule A-to
2. —	M/S Mumtaz & co	77.65% above	the cost of schedule A-to
3. 	M/S Surhan construction company	77.90% above	the cost of schedule A-to

The rate of Rs.77.35% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.83% above the estimated cost rates quoted by MR NISAR AHMED SAHITO constractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Kaçachi.

DA/As above.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS,
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING
		ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
		RD 244 TO 246 NIP SIDE.
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED.
		16.12.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING
		ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
6	Forum that approved the scheme	RD 244 TO 246 NIP SIDE.
7	Tender estimated value	PDWP
8		19.046million
9	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.046 million
10	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS
11	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	03
12	byres) Number of bids received	
13		03
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
16	Contract award price	21871143/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The state of the s
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was	s adopted in amorganous diseast assets
	etc with brief reasons. NO	s adopted the emergency, direct contracting
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Evecutive Engineer Belgi Digital No.
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro Yes No No
	procurement plan?	Yes No
21	Advertisement :	
i)		No. 18421
-,	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	No. 18431
	News papers	Net
•••	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Not applicable
22	Nature of contract	
23		Loc ✓ Int.
2.5	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes V No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	
	bidding/tenders documents?	Yes ✓ No
25		
	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes ✓ No
	competitive bidding?	
6	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	V
. !7	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes V No
. 1	hid/hest evaluated hid/in a second hid/hest evaluated	Yes V No
10	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes No 🗸
	complaint?	

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	✓	No	
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?				
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	√	No	
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)			1110	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes	· .	T	 -
		No	<u> </u>		<u></u>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes		<u> </u>	
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No		√	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes			
	give reasons)	No		✓	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes			
	detailed reasons)	No	··· "	✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	V
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:		I		1
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	✓
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?		<u> </u>		
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes	·		
	description)	No		─ ✓	

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer___

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No:-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 242/TO 246 NIP SIDE.

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received (03) THREE

5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito contractor	21871143/-	1st lowest	77.35% above	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Mumtaz & co	21908139/-	2 nd lowest	77.65% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Surhan construction company	21938970/-	3 rd lowest	77.90% above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. NISAR AHMED SEHATIO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

<u>UTIVE ENGINEER F</u>

To 🛼

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 246

TO 248 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering

committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor	<u> </u>	
1.	M/S Mumtaz & co	78.45% above	the cost of schedule A-to
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	78.75% above	the cost of schedule A-to
3.	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	79.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to

The rate of Rs.78.45% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.87% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S Mumtaz & co as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK SERVICES & GOODS

<i>-</i>	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.
1 🙀	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING
		ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
		RD 246 TO 248 NIP SIDE.
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED.
5	Brief description of	16.12.2013.
,	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
		RD 246 TO 248 NIP SIDE.
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP
7	Tender estimated value	18.847million
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	18.847 million
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	03
<u> </u>	byres)	
12	Number of bids received	03
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	03
1.4	bids Pide and the second secon	
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)
16	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
17	Contract award price	21651297/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	T D
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
	Two stage bidding procedure	NO
d	Two stage bidding procedure Two stage bidding procedure	No
-	Please specify if any other method of manual and	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons, NO	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Evacutive Projects Debi District
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro Yes No
	procurement plan?	Yes V No
21	Advertisement :	
i)	SPPRA website	No. 18431
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	140. 16431
ii)	News papers	Not applicable
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	
22	Nature of contract	Loc ✓ Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes ✓ No
	bidding/tender documents?	
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes V No
	bidding/tenders documents?	
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes ✓ No
	obtained for using a method other than open	
26	competitive bidding?	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes V No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes ✓ No
20	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes No 🗸
	complaint?	
····		

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	/	No	i
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?	·			<u></u>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	1	No	
: 	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	<u> </u>	· ·		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes			
	<u> </u>	No		1	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes			······································
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No		/	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes			
	give reasons)	No		V	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes	-		
	detailed reasons)	No	<u> </u>	1	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes	✓	No	
	selected firm is not black listed?				
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	✓
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in			'	
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be				
37	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	T	·	·	
3 /	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	✓
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?				
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes]	- "."
	description)	No			 -

Signature	&	official	Stamp	of
Authorized	d (Officer	-	

Office of the state of the stat

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No.:-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 246 TO 248 NIP SIDE.

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

Total Bids received 4.

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form) 5.

No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

Financial Bid opening dated.

<u>01.01.2014.</u>

Bid Evaluation Report.

Contractor/Firms	the Bidder	terms of cost	with Estimated cost	acceptance/refec tion	Remarks
2	3	4	5	6	7
M/S Mumtaz & co	21651297/-	1 st lowest	78.45% above	Accepted being	•
Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	21687696/-	2 nd lowest	78.75% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	21718029/-	3 rd lowest	79.00% above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
ľ	M/S Mumtaz & co Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor M/S Zanwar & AS	M/S Mumtaz & co 21651297/- Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor 21687696/- M/S Zanwar & AS 21718029/-	M/S Mumtaz & co 21651297/- 1st lowest 2nd lowest 21687696/- 2nd lowest 21718029/- 3rd lowest	M/S Mumtaz & co 21651297/- 1st lowest 78.45% above Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor 21687696/- 2nd lowest 78.75% above M/S Zanwar & AS 21718029/- 3rd lowest 79.00% above	M/S Mumtaz & co 21651297/- 1st lowest 78.45% above Accepted being 1st lowest Rejected being a 2nd lowest M/S Zanwar & AS 21718029/- 3rd lowest 79.00% above Accepted being a 2nd lowest Rejected being a 2nd lowest Rejected being a 2nd lowest

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S MUMTAZ & CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL AGEOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Røhri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 69

of 2014

Moro dated .

3- 01-2016

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 248

TO 250 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- 2. Daily Ibrat dated.
- Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- 4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S Mumtaz & co	78.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	78.20% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	78.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to

The rate of Rs.78.00% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.84% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S ZANWAR & AS constructor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVÉ ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

imp

CEXECUTIVE ENGINEER

COUNTY OF THE PROPERTY OF

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

s <u>—</u> —	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.							
1 _	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Moro .	Departm	ent Rohr	i Division				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other		<u> </u>		Provincial				
3	Title of contract	CONSTRU ALONG R	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 248 TO 250 NIP SIDE.						
4	Tender number	NO. AC/C	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED.						
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 248 TO 250 NIP SIDE.							
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP							
7	Tender estimated value	18.923million							
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	18.923 mi	llion						
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS	<u> </u>						
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.201	4.						
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03	·						
12	Number of bids received	03							
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03							
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)		(copy attached)					
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)			·				
16	Contract award price			21732577/-					
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.			The 1st lowest evaluate bid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)								
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ Local		Local					
ь	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		Local					
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No No			· <u>-</u>				
d	Two stage bidding procedure								
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO		emergeno	y, direc	t contracting				
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer	Rohri D	ivision Moro				
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	<u>√</u>	No	1 1				
	procurement plan?	100		110					
21	Advertisement:								
i)	SPPRA website	✓ No. 18431							
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<u> </u>		10,1	0.31				
ii)	News papers	Not applic	able						
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)								
22	Nature of contract	Loc	√	Int.					
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	1	No					
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	✓	No					
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	✓	No					
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes		No					
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes	- ,		- 				
_ [bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	res	v	No					
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes		No					

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	✓	No	
20	where read out at the time of opening of bids?	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	✓	No	
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation				
-	report)				
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes			
		No		V	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes			
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No		1	
33	Was the extension made in response time? (if yes,				
	give reasons)	Yes No		 	
34		 		 	
JĦ	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes			
	<u> </u>	No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes	✓	No	
	selected firm is not black listed?				
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	/
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in				
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be				
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:				
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee		1	1.10	
	etc)?				
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes	···	_	
	description)	No.		 	——— <u> </u>
		INO		<u> </u>	

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer

Abdul Austria Processor Officer
Robri Oficistos Suora

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No.:-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 248 TO 250 NIP SIDE.

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated

.01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ref ection	Remarks
	2	3	4	5	6	<u></u>
1	M/S Mumtaz & co	21732577/-	1 st lowest	78.00% above	Accepted being 1 st lowest	
2	Mr. Khatid Masood channa contractor	21756996/-	2 nd lowest	78.20% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	21793624/-	3 rd lowest	78.50% above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor, was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL it**s o**fficer

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 70

of 2014

Moro dated . 3 - 1 - 2 = 1

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250

TO 253 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT—No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- 2. Daily Ibrat dated.
- 3. Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- 4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S Mumtaz & co	78.25% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	78.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3,	Mr. Agha Fateh Muhammad contractor	78.80 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.78.25% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.90% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S Mumtaz & co contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi

DA/As above.

Pyrop

ROHRI DIVISION MO

TUIL I COURCE AND COMMISSION OF THE THE

CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

1	WORK, SERVICES &	_ -	dun and Thirt	i Di-l-i-		
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.				
2~	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTION ALONG ROHR RD 250 TO 253	I MAIN CA			
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3 16.12,2013.		D.		
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTION ALONG ROHR	I MAIN CAI			
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP	AL SIDE			
7	Tender estimated value	28.41 Imillion		,		
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	28.411 million	 · -			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS				
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.				
1 1	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03				
12	Number of bids received	03		<u> </u>		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03				
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)				
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)				
16	Contract award price	32562125/-				
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local		Local		
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO				
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO	adopted i.e emerg	ency , direct	contracting		
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engin	eer Rohri D	ivision Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	No			
21	Advertisement:			- ,		
i)	SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	No. 18431				
ii)	News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Not applicable	······································			
22	Nature of contract	Loc	Int.			
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in		No			
24	bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes V	/ NI=	1		
	bidding/tenders documents?	7 00	No			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	/ No			
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	No			
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes ✓	No			
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes	No	√		

49	WHEther names or the ordered may make a pro-	-	
L	where read out at the time of opening of bids?		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes 🗸	No
Ī	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	report)	<u></u>	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes	
		No	1
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes	
L	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No	1
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes	
	give reasons)	No	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes	
	detailed reasons)	No	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes V	No
<u> </u>	selected firm is not black listed?	 	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes	No 🗸
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in	<u> </u>	
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be		
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:		
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes	No 🗸
	advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee	·	
	etc)?		
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes	
	description)	No	√
			······································
		473	٠ ــــ
Signa	ature & official Stamp of		_amston
	orized Officer		
	DAO	$M_{\rm c}^{\rm max}$	
East of	The same and the		

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No.

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle

Hyderabad NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 253

2. Method of Procurement:-Single One Envelope procedure

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold (03) THREE.

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	MVS Mumtaz & co	32562125/-	1 st lowest	78.25% above	Accepted being 1st lowest	· <u>-</u>
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	32607795/-	2 nd lowest	78.50% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Agha Fateh Buhammad Contractor	32662598/-	3 rd lowest	78.80 % above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/ MUMTAZ & CO Contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Exception uv Engineer

Bublis dal His Engin & Division

Naus Horioze

Division

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engine

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 7/

of 2014

Moro dated .

3 - 0)-

2014

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 340

TO 342 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown

against each.

S#	Name of contractor		·
1.	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	83.75% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Surhan construction company	84.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito	84.50 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.83.75% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.77% above the estimated cost rates quoted by Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor as shown at serial No. I is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

10my, -3[12]204

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.					
1 -	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation I	Departme	nt Rohri	Division	n e	
	7	Moro .	<u> </u>				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial					
3	Title of contract	ALONG R	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 340 TO 342 IP SIDE.				
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED. 16.12.2013.					
5	Brief description of contract	ALONG R	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 340 TO 342 IP SIDE.				
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP	PDWP				
7	Tender estimated value	19.243mill	ion				
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.243 mil	lion				
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS					
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014		· · · · · ·			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03					
12	Number of bids received	03					
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03					
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)					
15	Name and address of the successful bidder				(copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	22086066/-					
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.					
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)						
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	/		Local		
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	_ 					
c	Two stage bidding procedure	NO					
d	Two stage bidding procedure Two stage bidding procedure	No No					
<u>u</u>	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO		mergeno	y, direct	contract	ting	
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive I	Ingineer	Robri Di	vision M	loro	
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	Jigiii€€i √	No	+ 131OH 1V	1010	
21	procurement plan? Advertisement:	103		INU			
		 		137	401	1	
i)	•			No. 18	431		
::1	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	. No.					
11)	News papers	Not applica	ple				
22	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract	T	 -	T			
		Loc		Int,			
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes		No			
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	✓	No	<u> </u>		
							
	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes	√	No			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓	No No			

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		/		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)			7		
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		V		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		_		
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	1	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No		
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		· ·		

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer

mind in the Manuscon Conflict

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No.:-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 340 TO 342 IP SIDE .

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	22086066/-	1 st lowest	83.75% above	Accepted being	
1					1st lowest	
	M/S Surhan	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 nd lowest	2 nd lowest 84.00% above	Rejected being	
2	construction company	22116116/-			a 2 nd lowest	
	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito	22176214/-	3 rd lowest	84.50 % above	Rejected being	
3					a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. KHALID MASOOD CHANNA contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS CEFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGIN

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHATRMAN)

ENGINEER RO

Moro dated,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 342

TO 344 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated,16,12,2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms . The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering

committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown

against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	83.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	Mr. Surhan construction company	84.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Manzoor Ahmed Rajper contractor	85.00 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.83.50% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.77% above the estimated cost rates quoted by Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor as shown at scrial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.
1 ,_	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Moro . Provincial
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING
-	The of Conduct	ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
		RD 342 TO 344 IP SIDE.
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED.
		16.12.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING
		ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM
6	Forms that are all the state of	RD 342 TO 344 IP SIDE.
7	Forum that approved the scheme Tender estimated value	PDWP
8		19.288million
9	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.288 million
	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	03
12	byres)	
12	Number of bids received	03
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	03
14	bids Dids overhelian mass ()	
15	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed) Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
		(copy attached)
16 17	Contract award price	22138857/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
18		
	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
<u>b</u> _	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Evacutive Prainces Deb-i Division M.
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro
20	procurement plan?	Yes No
21	Advertisement:	
- i)		√ No. 18431
''	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	No. 18431
ii)	News papers	N-4 titi-
",	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Not applicable
22	Nature of contract	
23		Loc / Int.
43	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes ✓ No
24	bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	
~ *	bidding/tenders documents?	Yes / No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes V No
	obtained for using a method other than open	7 140
	competitive bidding?	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes V No
		
	Whether the successful hid was lowest avaluated	
	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid/in case of consultancies)	Yes Vo No
27	whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies) Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes No V

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31 ⁽	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		-	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		V	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No			
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No			
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	√
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		V	

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer____

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- <u>Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.</u>

a. Tender Reference No.:- Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 342 TO 344 IP SIDE.

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received

(03) THREE

5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

8. Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refec tion	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Khalid Masood channa contractor	22138857/-	1st lowest	83.50% above	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Surhan construction company	22199180/-	2 nd lowest	84.00% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Manzoor Ahmed Rajper contractor	22319828/-	3 rd lowest	85.00 % above	Rejected being a	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. KHALID MASOOD CHANNA contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)

ÓFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 7.3

of 2014

Moro dated .

3 - 01-

2014

To.

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 344

TO 346 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated 16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown

against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S Surhan construction company	84.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	84.90% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	85.15 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.84.50% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.68% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S SURHAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

A(ch)

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.				
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincia	·			
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM				
		RD 344 TO			AL FROM	
4	Tender number	NO. AC/0	G-55/3893			
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRI		STONE PI	ITCHING	
		L			AL FROM	
		RD 344 TO	O 346 IP S	SIDE.		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP				
7	Tender estimated value	19.091mil	llion			
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	19.091 mi	illion			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAY	S			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.201	4.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03				
12	Number of bids received	03				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy atta	ched)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy atta				
16	Contract award price	21895001		<u> </u>		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lov		ate bid		
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)					
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local			Local	
Ъ	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO Local			Local	
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No No	+			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No			-	
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was		emergano	v direct	contracting	
	etc with brief reasons. NO	s adopted f.e	emer genç	y, unect	contracting	
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Evecutive	Engineer	Pohri Di	vision Moro	
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	∠ingineer ✓	No	VISIOII IVIOIO	
	procurement plan?	165	Y	INO		
21	Advertisement :			- ·		
i)		† - 		No. 18	43.1	
''	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	 •		NO. 10	431	
ii)	News papers	Not applie	nahla	<u> </u>		
,	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	[Not appliy	Laule			
22	Nature of contract	Loc	─ ✓	T _{m+}		
		II LOC	_ Y	Int.		
			-			
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	✓	No		
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?		✓ ✓	No No		<u> </u>
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes		·		
23 24 25	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	V	No		
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes	V	No		
23 24 25	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓	No No No		
23 24 25 26 27	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓	No No		
23 24 25 26	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes Yes Yes	✓ ✓	No No No		

29 .	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	<u> </u>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31 🚚	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		V	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		1	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No	_		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No			_
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	*
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		✓	

Signature & official Stamp of	f
Authorized Officer	

Marine T

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No. :-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL

FROM RD 344 TO 346 IP SIDE.

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold

(03) THREE.

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

- Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- Bid(s) Rejected. NO. 7.
- 8. Financial Bid opening dated

.<u>01.</u>01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	· ·
1	M/S Surhan construction company	21895001/-	1 st lowest	84.50% above	Accepted being	/
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	21942469/-	2 nd lowest	84.90% above	Rejected being a 2nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	21972137/-	3 rd lowest	85.15 % above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S SURHAN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINE

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SURERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad (CHAIRMAN)

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 74

of 2014

Moro dated . 0 3 - 0/- 2016

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJEC: :-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 346

TO 348+500 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT—No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated.

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated .

The following contractors: firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

	Name of contractor		
].	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	84.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	84.45% above	the cost of schedule A-to
3.	M/S Surhan construction company	85.00 % above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.84.00% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.85% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S ZANWAR & AS CONSTRUCTORS as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found coasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his collice letter No. CDO/RCC/R-93/7217 dated 07.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Mana dog Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As ab vol.

Junip

KOHRI DIVISION MOKE

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

3/.2/207

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.				
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
3	Title of contract			STONE	PITCHING	
		CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM			и 1	
ļ		RD 346 TO	O 348+50	0 IP SID	E.	
4	Tender number	NO. AC/C	G-55/3 8 9			
ļ		16.12.201				
5	Brie. description of contract	CONSTRI	UCTING	STONE	PITCHING	
		ALONG R	OHRI M	IAIN CA	NAL FROM	1
6	Forum that approved the scheme	RD 346 TO) <u>348+50</u>	<u>0 IP SID</u>	<u>E. </u>	
7	Tender estimated value	PDWP				
8	I ngi cer's estimate (for civil work)	24.130mil			···	
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	24.130 mi				
10	Tencer opened on date & time)	180 DAYS				
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	01.01.201	<u>4</u>			
11	byre:)	03				
12	Number of bids received	102	<u> </u>			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	03	·			
13	1 Cds	03				ļ
14	This evolution report (copy enclosed)	(00000000000000000000000000000000000000	.1 1)			
15	i anind address of the successful bidder	(copy attac				
16	Contract award price	(copy atta			·	
17	Han ng of successful bidder in evaluation report	27711957/				
' '	(152 2 2 2 de evaluation bid)	The 1st lowest evaluate bid.				
18	(3 evaluation bid)					
a	procurement used :(Tick one)					
	1 1 2 2 stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/				
b	Sign stage- two envelope procedure	NO NO				
C	Fue age bidding procedure	No				
d	We have bidding procedure	No				
	the specify if any other method of procurement was	adopted i.e e	mergeno	y , direc	t contracting	g
!	ric with rief reasons. NO				`	
19	authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer	Rohri D	ivision Mor	o
20	are ten he procurement was included in annual	Yes	√	No		
	grade we ent plan?					-
21	Lagis i mient:		<u> </u>			
i)	SPP a website		•	No. 1	8431	
_	vive date and SPPRA identification No)			1		
ii)	Notice of the second of the se	Not applies	able			7
	ve names of newspapers and dated)					
22	s a contract	Loc		Int.	<u> </u>	7
23	alification criteria were included in	Yes	√	No		
	His department documents?	1	· · · · · ·	1 10	<u> </u>	
24	devaluation criteria was included in	Yes		No		 -
	1 adors documents?			T 140		_] [
25	proval of competent authority was	Yes		No		
	w using a method other than open	103	*	110		
	: e bidding?					
26	the security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes		No		1
27	he successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes	· ·		_	
	valuated bid(in case of consultancies)	res	V	No		
28	te successful bidder was technically	 		1		
	successful orduct was technically	Yes		No		

.9 	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes		_ No	<u> </u>
0	where read out at the time of opening of bids?	- -			,
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	<u> </u>	_ No	
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)				
31 .	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes			
Ţ		No		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes		 	
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No	<u></u> .	 	-
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes		 	
	give reasons)	No		√	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes			
	detailed reasons)	No	·- <u>-</u>	 	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes	✓	No	
	so roted ann is not black listed?		·		<u>. </u>
36	Vas a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	1
	presenting agency to the suppliers premises in			 -	·
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be				
	are tained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:				
37	Value par per safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	· •
	a justice hayment in the contract 9 bank guarantee				
38	C	T		 .	
20	Social conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes		 	
	discription)	No		/	

DAO

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Bid Evaluation Report

L≫ar and Descrement Agency;-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Cender Reference No.

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle

Hyderabad NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Terrier Discription/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING STONE PITCHING ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 346 TO 348+500 IP SIDE.

2. Note de l'évocurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a, Tende: Purit Bed!-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Tot Bid shoument sold (03) THREE.

4. Tet BE received

(03) THREE

5. Tube located opening date if applicable (N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No office chnically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

B. F. R. J. Led. <u>NO.</u>

8. Fin a field fild opening dated. 01.01.2014.

9. Philipped on Report.

SNo	Tame of author/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti	Remarks
<u> </u>	·····	3	4	5	6	7
1	© Zellwar & Signification	27711957/-	1 st lowest	84.00% above	Accepted being 1st lowest	,
2	t The id Labor Channa ा स्टब्स	27779730/-	2 nd lowest	84.45% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	ട്ട്dhan നടമപ tion സുമു	27862565/-	3 rd lowest	85.00 % above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

cerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

ZAR & ASCONSTRUCTION contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Gal Highways neer Division Nausserablaroze

Divisional Accounts Rohri Division Moro Executive Enginee

Rohri Division Moro

Dad divis lineed Baazerabad

tending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 75

of 2014

Moro dated.

03-01-2014.

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

REHABLITATION OF LET MINOR FROM RD 28 TO 58+900 IP AND RD 15+0 TO 58+900

NIP.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT. No, quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers

- 1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- Daily Ibrat dated.
- Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- 4. Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S MBC & sons	14.75% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	14.90% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Surhan construction company	15.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.14.75% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 11.75% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S MBC & Sons—as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office fetter No. CDO/RCC/R-89/8105 dated 20,12,2013.

The tender on schedule. As to Bid, form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ROHREDIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE EXCENSES

BOHRI DIVISION MOR

Furip = 3/12/20

1		
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Robri Division
		Moro .
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial
3	Title of contract	REHABLITATION OF LET MINOR FROM RD 28 TO 58+900 IP AND RD 15+0 TO 58+900 NIP.
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED. 16.12.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	REHABLITATION OF LET MINOR FROM RD 28 TO 58+900 IP AND RD
6	Forum that approved the scheme	15+0 TO 58+900 NIP PDWP
7	Tender estimated value	31.986million
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	31.986 million
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03
12	Number of bids received	03
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
16	Contract award price	36703773/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
A	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
В	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO
C	Two stage bidding procedure	No
$\frac{D}{D}$	Two stage bidding procedure	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO	
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Transaction Products Debut 181 181 184
20		- Executive Engineer Konfi Division Moro
	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro Yes No
21	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement:	
21 i)	procurement plan? Advertisement :	
	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website	Yes V No
i)	procurement plan? Advertisement :	Yes V No
i)	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Yes
i)	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers	Yes
i)	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes No No. 18431 Not applicable
i) ii) 22	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes No No. 18431 Not applicable Loc ✓ Int.
i) ii) 22 23	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes ✓ No No. 18431 No. 18431 Loc ✓ Int. Yes ✓ No
i) 22 23 24 25	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes ✓ No. 18431 Not applicable Int. Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No
i) 22 23 24	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes ✓ No. 18431 Not applicable Loc ✓ Int. Yes ✓ No
22 23 24 25 26	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No. 18431 Not applicable Int. Loc ✓ Int. Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No
22 23 24 25 26 27	procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies) Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes ✓ No. 18431 Not applicable Int. Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No Yes ✓ No

	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	No
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	No 🗸
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	No V
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No.	

Signature & official Sta	ımp of
Authorized Officer	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No.

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

77

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- REHABLITATION OF LET MINOR FROM RD 28 TO 58+900 IP
AND RD 15+0 TO 58+900 NIP.

2. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold (03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received

(03) THREE

- 5. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 6. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 8. Financial Bid opening dated.01.01.2014.
- 9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remark
1	2	3	4	5	6	•
1	M/S MBC & sons	36703773/-	I st lowest	14.75% above	Accepted being Ist lowest	: ! !
2	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	36751752/-	2 nd lowest	14.90% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	: : : ! ! ! !
3	M/S Surhan construction company	36973667/-	3 rd Lowest	15.50% above	Rejected being a 3rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S MBC & SONS contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive, Engineer

Privische Ale Enginett Division

Naus Kalfer 4 Peroze

Divisional Accounts Office

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Enginee

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 7/

of 2014

Moro dated 03 - 01 - 200

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

REHABLITATION OF PURN MINOR FROM RD 0+0 TO 13+800 BOTH SIDES.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013,

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms. The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.
- 2. Daily Ibrat dated.
- Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.
- Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor		
1.	MR. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	7.45% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2.	M/S Surhan construction company	8.25% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	9.35% above	the cost of schedule A-to

The rate of Rs.7.45% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 7.45% above the estimated cost rates quoted by Mr. Khalid Masood Channa contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-89/8105 dated 20.12,2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

Riby

KOHRI DIVISION MO

	WORK, SERVICES & (GOODS.			
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation I Moro .	Departme	ent Rohri	Division
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		ů.	
.3	Title of contract	REHABLITATION OF PURN MINOR FROM RD 0+0 TO 13+800 BOTH SIDES.			
4	Tender number	NO. AC/G-55/3893 DATED. 16.12.2013.			
5	Brief description of contract	REHABLITATION OF PURN MINOR FROM RD 0+0 TO 13+800 BOTH SIDES.			
6	Forum that approved the scheme	PDWP		<u> </u>	
7	Tender estimated value	5.850millio	on		
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	5.850 milli	ion		
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	180 DAYS			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	01.01.2014			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03		·	
12	Number of bids received	03			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)			
16	Contract award price	6286345/-	/	<u>. </u>	
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.			
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/			
Ь	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NC)	-	
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No	 -		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO		mergeno	y , direct	contracting
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive 1	Engineer	Rohri Di	vision Moro
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	√_	No	
21	Advertisement:		_		
i)	SPPRA website	\ \ \ \	-	No. 18	3431
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	\ \	•	1 124 20	
ii)	News papers	Not applica	able		
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)				
22	Nature of contract	Loc	1	Int.	
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	√	No	
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	√	No	
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes		No	
	obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	103	····	1110	
	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	√	No	<u> </u>
26	was old security obtained from all the bidders?			1.10	
		Vec	_/	No	
26 27 28	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies) Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes	✓	No	

	•				
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	/	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31/	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No			
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No			
33	Was the extension made in response time? (if yes, give reasons)	Yes No			
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No			
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	√	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	*
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	~
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		V	
Autho	ture & official Stamp of orized Officer	w	EXECUT ROHRIE	TIVE ENGIN	EER IORO
For of	fice use only				

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

Tender Reference No. :-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- REHABLITATION OF PURN MINOR FROM RD 0+0 TO 13+800

BOTH SIDES.

2. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

Total Bid document sold 3.

(03) THREE,

Total Bids received

(03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

6. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

9. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	MR. Khalid Masood Channa contractor	6286345/-	1st lowest	7.45% above	Accepted being	
2	M/S Surhan construction company	6333149/-	2 nd lowest	8.25% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	6397504/-	3 rd lowest	9.35% above	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

MR. KHALID MASOOD CHANNA

contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

Dad division Shaheed Baazerahad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHATRMAN)

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTION OF BRIDGE ON SERHAL MINOR II AT RD 7.

Reference:-

Your office NIT No. AC/G-55/3893 dated.16.12.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under your office NIT No. quoted above from pre-qualified contractors/firms . The date of issue of tender to (SPPRA web site No. 18431, 01.01.2014 @12:00 hours and received back on the same day up to 13:00 hours and opening was on same day@ 14:00 hours before tendering

committee.

The NIT was published for pre-qualification for contractors /firms in the following Newspapers.

Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated.

Daily Ibrat dated,

Daily Naw-e-waqat Dated.

Daily The News dated.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

~			
S#	Name of contractor		
1.	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	68.00% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
2,	M/S Haji Muhkum uddin Solangi	68.50% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid
3.	M/S Surhan construction company	69.35% above	the cost of schedule A-to bid

The rate of Rs.68.00% above the cost of schedule A- to bid which works out 14.66% above the estimated cost rates quoted by M/S Zanwar & AS contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest and found reasonable, hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/H-46/7542 dated 25.11.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

KOHRI DIVISION MOR

<u> </u>	<i></i>	GOODS.				
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation	Departn	nent Rol	ri Division	n
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Moro .	<u> </u>			
3	Title of contract	Provincial CONSTRU			DDVD C=	
		SERHAL	MINOR	II AT R		ON
4	Tender number	NO. AC/C	3-55/389	3 DATI	ED.	
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRU	JCTION	OF	BRIDGE	ON
6	Forum that approved the scheme	SERHAL	<u>MINOR</u>	II AT R	<u>D 7. </u>	
7	Tender estimated value	PDWP				
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	5.485milli				
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	5.485 mill				
10	Tender opened on date & time)	180 DAYS				
11	Number of tenders documents and (attack at 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	01.01.2014	1 ,			
_	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	03				
12	Number of bids received	03				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	03	. -			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attac	hed)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attac		· · ·		
16	Contract award price	6288803/-	oneu)			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1st low	est eval	uate bid		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	 				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local				
	Single stage- two envelope procedure	+		`	✓ Local	
:	Two stage bidding procedure	NO	<u> </u>			
<u>-</u> d	Two stage bidding procedure Two stage bidding procedure	No				
-	Please specify if any other method of	No				
10	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO	adopted i.e e	mergeno	cy , dired	ct contracti	ng
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive I	ngineer	Rohri I	Division M	oro
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes		No		
21	procurement plan?				I	
	Advertisement :					
i)	SPPRA website	V		No. 1	18431	
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)			_ 1		
ii)	News papers	Not applica	ible		· ·	-
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)					
2	Nature of contract	Loc	1	Int.		
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	√	No		
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes	√	No		
5	bidding/tenders documents?					
ا د.	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes		No		
	obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?					- ' -
	CONTROL BIOGRAPH					
6						
	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	√	No		
	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes Yes	√ √			
7	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	<u> </u>		No No		
6 7 8	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	<u> </u>				

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	/	No	<u> </u>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	√	No	
31,	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No			
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No			
3	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
4	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		<u>'</u>	
5	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	V	No	
6	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
7	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
8	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No			

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

√1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

a. Tender Reference No. :-

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

NO.AC/G-55/3893/16./12/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTION OF BRIDGE ON SERHAL MINOR II AT RD 7.

2. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.18431,

3. Total Bid document sold (03) THREE.

4. Total Bids received (03) THREE

Technical Bid opening date if applicable (N/A (Provide details in separate form)

No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

7. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

Financial Bid opening dated.

01.01.2014.

Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refe ction	Remarks
. 1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Zanwar & AS constructor	6288830/-	1st lowest	68.00% above	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Haji Muhkum uddin Solangi	6307519/-	2 nd lowest	68.50% above	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Surhan construction company	6339338/-	3 rd lowest	69.35% above	Rejected being a 3rd lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S ZANWAR & AS

contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Provincial Highways Division

Hyderabad

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Rohri Division Moro

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

Dad division Shaheed Baazerabad

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER

Robri Canal Circle Hyderabad

(CHAIRMAN)