



021-99204248

NO: SO (G)/L&F/SPPRA/2(25)/2013  
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH  
LIVESTOCK AND FISHERIES DEPARTMENT  
Karachi, dated 07.03.2014

To,

**The Director (A&F),**  
Government of Sindh,  
Sindh Public Regulatory Authority,  
**Karachi.**

**SUBJECT: I) NIT REF # INF-KRY #2561/13 DATED: 08.11.2013**  
**II) NIT REF # INF-KRY #2350/13 DATED: 12.10.2013**  
**III) NIT REF # INF-KRY #2514/13 DATED: 03.11.2013**  
**IV) NIT REF # INF-KRY #2736/13 DATED: 24.11.2013**

With reference to you letter NO: Dir: (A&F)L&F-10/SPPRA/31-1/4831, 4833, 4834 and 4821 dated 27-02-2014 and 26-02-2014 addressed to this department in which different anomalies and discrepancies have been pointed out, which have been rectified accordingly in respect of each procuring agencies.

**1. DIRECTOR ANIMAL BREEDING SINDH, HYDERABAD.**

S.NO:	OBSERVATION	RECTIFICATION
01.	Original copy of comparative statement is required.	The said comparative statements financial and technical are in original and signed by the Scrutinized Committee members. However the observation may be considered.
02.	Clarification regarding constitution procurement committee is not justified. Rule-7 and 8 of SPP Rules, 2010 are reproduced for your guidance in this regard.	Due to previous practice since last many years, and no any observation was raised by the SPPRA authority during previous year procurement committee has not been change by the authority, scrutiny process has been completed as per previous practice now the current financial is going to end and 21/2 months are remaining, at this stage it is very difficult for this Directorate to complete all process again though the new procurement committee is still not constituted by the authority and its fear that if the all process will begin again it will take time and funds may be lapsed. It is therefore once again requested that this time same process may be allowed, from next financial year all process as per SPPRA rule will be adapted, Notification have been issued on 6.3.14.

*ment  
1. bring up  
today.  
ME-R*

**2. DIRECTOR, VETERINARY RESEARCH & DIAGNOSIS, CENTRAL VETERINAL DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY SINDH TANDOJAM**

S.NO:	OBSERVATION	RECTIFICATION
01.	Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010 stipulated that the procuring agency shall, with approval of the Head of the Deptt. Constitute as many procuring committees, as it deems fit, each comprising odd number of persons and headed by a gazette officer not below the rank of BS-18, or if not	Required that exemption be given during this financial year in special case, because delay in procurement is hampering diagnostic facilities of livestock disease s in the province. We are already not capable to continue diagnostic activities in district Tharparkar, where thousands of small ruminants

	available, the officer of the highest grade, and shall ensure that at least one third of the members of a procurement committee are from the agencies or departments other than the procuring agency. In the instant case no any outside members is included in the procurement committee and also it consists upon even number of members, which is not in sync with above provision of SPP Rules, 2010.	have been perished, this issue is spreading in other neighboring districts too; this situation comes under major natural calamity and special permission can also be given for the procurement of diagnostic material and first aid medicines / vaccines. Furthermore the existing experimental animals will also die due to non availability of feed. Notifications have been issued on 6.3.2014.
02 (i)	Standard format of Bid Evaluation Report is not attached. <b>Furnish Bid Evaluation Report on Standard format for each item separately.</b>	The compliance has already been made vide this directorate letter NO: CVDL/AC/Tender/2013-14/1120-024, dated: 17.02.2014. The responsible officer of Livestock & Fisheries Department personally deposited the same in the good office of the Director SPPRA Karachi along with documents of Vaccine Production Unit Sindh, Tando jam on 18-02-2014, copy of the same was also deposited in your good office for kind information.
(ii)	Comparative Statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. <b>Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.</b>	
(iii)	Technical Evaluation report is not attached. <b>Technical evaluation mentioning therein marks obtained by the bidders on each criterion is required.</b>	
(vi)	All members of procurement committee are from livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in sync with Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010. <b>Clarification is required.</b>	
		Same requested as on para-1 above.

### 3. DIRECTOR ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SINDH, HYDERABAD

S.NO:	OBSERVATION	RECTIFICATION
1(i)	Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010 stipulated that the procuring agency shall, with approval of the Head of the Deptt. Constitute as many procuring committees, as it deems fit, each comprising odd number of persons and headed by a gazette officer not below the rank of BS-18, or if not available, the officer of the highest grade, and shall ensure that at least one third of the members of a procurement committee are from the agencies or departments other than the procuring agency. In the instant case no any outside members is included in the procurement committee and also it consists upon even number of members, which is not in sync with above provision of SPP Rules, 2010.	The procurement committee was notified by the Administrative Department the members are not below the BPS-18. Two committee were constituted one for Technical Evaluation and other is procurement Committee with odd No: of persons. However, the observation may kindly be considered. Notification have been issued on 6.3.2014
(ii)	Procuring Agency was required to award the contract within bid validity period in terms of Rule-49 of SPP Rules, 2010 (read with Rule-38 ii) of SPP Rules,2010).In the instant case 90-days bid validity period in terms of Rule-38(1) has expired on 20.02.2014,however,awarding the contract is not known as Procuring Agency has still not provided contract documents in terms of Rule-50 for hoisting on SPPRA's website.	Yes in the instant case 90 days bid validity period in terms of Rule-38(1) has expired on 20.02.2014, however the tenders opened on 20.11.2013, after completion of technical evaluation, the bid evaluation report was submitted by this Directorate vide letter No.DAH/AC/1/479-81 dated 28.01.2013 (Hard & Soft Copy) for hoisting on SPPRA website. However the copy of the form of contract and letter of award are submitted herewith, the observation may kindly be considered.

2(i)	Comparative statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.	The original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee has been provided vide this directorate letter dated 17.02.2014, through Administrative Department (copy of letter enclosed)
(ii)	All members of procurement committee are from Livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in sync with Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010. Clarification is required.	Yes all members of the committee are from the Livestock Deptt: this is previous practice and at this time all process has been completed. It is fear that, if the process stated it take time and procurement has not been completed during the current financial year. It is proposed that present procedure may kindly be accepted this time, in future the process be followed as per Rule-7 of SPP Rules,2010.However,the observation may kindly be considered, Notification have been issued on 6.3.2014


#### 4. DIRECTOR, SINDH POULTRY VACCINE CENTRE, KARACHI

S.NO:	OBSERVATION	RECTIFICATION
01.	90 days bid validity period in items of Rule-38(1) of SPP Rules, 2010 expired on 27.01.2014 and Procuring Agency was required to award the contract within validity period in terms of Rule-49 of SPP Rules, 2010. Clarification is required.	The 90 days bid validity period in terms of Rule-38(1) expired on 29.01.2014. As per Rule-38(2) the extension of bid validity period will be obtained from the competent authority and the same will be communicated to SPPRA within due course of time.
02.	Standard format of Bid Evaluation Report is signed by only three members whereas comparative statement is signed by four members, which creates ambiguity. Clarification is required.	The Standard format of Bid Evaluation report is signed three members bid evaluation, committee, whereas comparative statement was signed by these three members bid evaluation committee and counter signed by the competent authority i.e. the Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Department.
03.	Comparative statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.	The original copy of comparative statement signed by the committee and authenticated on each page by the concerned Director and section Officer is hereby enclosed.
04.	Technical evaluation report is not attached. Technical evaluation mentioning therein marks obtained by the bidders on each criterion is required.	The technical evaluation report along with remarks obtained by the each bidder are enclosed and is part of the comparative statement.
05.	All members of procurement committee are from Livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in sync with Rule-7 of SPP Rules,2010. Clarification is required.	The Procurement committee regarding the current scheme was previously notified which bears some infirmity. The new procurement committee will be get notified as per the SPPRA Rules and all procurements will be made strictly in accordance with the SPPRA Rules, 2010,Notification have been issued on 6.3.2014.
06.	Items where only one bidder quoted rates required to be compared with market price or last awarded price in items of Rule-48 of SPP Rules, 2010. Such statement is required.	Only in few cases only one bidder has qualified and supplied the rates. Therefore, it was difficult to evaluate with other rates. However, at the time of passing bills the comparative market rates it will be ensured that no public funds are over spent.

Notification of Procurement and Technical Standardization Committee was issued in 2012. The procurement procedure adopted this year was in pursuance to the old practice and done on the basis of the said Notification in good faith. However a new notification, meeting the requirement of SPPRA rule-7 and 8 has been issued. (Copy enclosed).

The department is currently under a great pressure to meet the challenges of outbreak of sheep pox, FMD, PPR, in the Livestock population of Tharparkar and adjoining districts which have claimed thousands of animal lives, resulting into loss of millions of rupees of subsistence farmers. The issue is being highlighted in the media and noticed by the Chief Minister. The district has been declared calamity hit area and the department is under directions from the Chief Minister Sindh & higher authorities to take all available preventive / curative measures to fight this outbreak. Special teams i.e. 15-20 numbers have been closed from all over the province to protect the animal population in the districts where outbreak of disease is causing maximum damage. The available resources of the districts have been provided to these teams.

There is an immense demand of vaccination & the medicines which have to be met from the purchase of Directorates, therefore in emergency, we may be allowed to complete all the procurements as per previous practice to save the endangered animal population of these calamity hit areas. It is further highlighted that if the procedure of procurement is adopted a fresh in the light of new technical and procurement committee it will be a great impediment in the drive of department which carried out in these areas, that may result in more damage and further loss of animals lives and finances. which may become un- controllable and damage the political reputation of the present government.

  
(MUHAMMAD ANWAR MALIK)  
SECTION OFFICER (GENERAL)

C.C

PS to Secretary, Livestock and Fisheries Department, Govt.of Sindh, Karachi.



GOVERNMENT OF SINDH  
LIVESTOCK AND FISHERIES DEPARTMENT  
Karachi dated 06.03.2014

**NOTIFICATION**

NO.SO(G)/L&F/TC/SPPRA/2014: The Notification issued vide this Department's of even number dated 11.01.2012, regarding constitution of two Purchase Committees & two Technical Committee is hereby withdrawn.

The following Procurement committee of Livestock and Fisheries Department hereby re-constituted for procurement of Medicines/ Vaccines/ Feed/ Machinery & Equipments/ Transport/ Furniture etc in Livestock & Fisheries Department in accordance with the SPPRA Rule 07 & 08 of 2010 and terms of reference (ToR):

**PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE**

- |   |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| i. Additional Secretary (Tech) Livestock & Fisheries                          | Chairman          |
| ii. Director General Concerned  | Member            |
| iii. Director/Deputy Director Concerned                                       | Member            |
| iv. Representation of A.G Sindh<br>(i.e District Accounts Officer, Concerned) | Member (External) |
| v. Representation of Wild Life & Forest Department<br>(i.e DFO/FO)            | Member (External) |

**FUNCTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE(S) - Procurement committee(s) shall be responsible for:**

- 1) Preparing bidding documents.
- 2) Carrying out technical as well as financial evaluation of the bids.
- 3) Preparing evaluation report as provided in Rule 45.
- 4) Making recommendations for the award of contract to the competent authority; and
- 5) Perform any other function ancillary and incidental to the above.

**ZAFAR IQBAL**

SECRETARY LIVESTOCK & FISHERIES


NO.SO (G)/L&F/SPPRA/2014/

Karachi, dated 06.03.2014

Copy forwarded for information to:

1. The Accountant General, Sindh, Karachi.
2. The Secretary, Wild Life and Forest Deptt: Govt.of Sindh, Karachi.
3. The Director General, Livestock, Sindh, Hyderabad.

4. The Director General, Livestock (Research/Ext) Sindh, Hyderabad.
5. The Director General, Fisheries, Sindh, Karachi.
6. The Director (A&F) Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory, Authority, Govt.of Sindh, Karachi.
7. The Directors (All in Sindh)
8. The Section Officer, Livestock & Fisheries Deptt: Govt.of Sindh, Karachi.
9. The Deputy Director, Livestock/ Animal Husbandry/ Livestock Production/Poultry Production/Fisheries (All in Sindh)
10. The District Accounts Officer, Concerned
11. PS to Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Deptt: Govt.of Sindh, Karachi.

  
(MUHAMMAD ANWAR MALIK)  
SECTION OFFICER (GENERAL)



## DIRECTORATE OF ANIMAL BREEDING SINDH HYDERABAD

Animal Sciences Complex Auto Bhan Road ☎ 022-9260504 ☎ 022-9260505

No. DAB/ AC/Tender/2013-14/ / Hyderabad, dated the / / 2014.

To

The Manager (Enforcement -II)  
(SPPRA) Government of Sindh,  
Karachi.

Subject NIT REF NO: INF-KRY NO: 2736/13 dated 24.11.2013  
Reference No. Dir (A&F) L&F-12/SPPRA/31-1/13-14/4821 Karachi dated February 26<sup>th</sup> 2014.

Kindly find enclosed herewith reply of observation of letter reference above it is requested that reply may please be accepted this time as the current financial year is going to be end shortly and procedure may take time and fear that funds may be lapsed all the short falls will be removed from next financial year.


S.No	Observation	Reply
i.	Original copy of comparative statement is required	The said comparative statements financial and technical are in original and signed by the Scrutinized committee members. However the observation may be considered.
ii.	Clarification regarding constitution of procurement committee is not justified. Rule-7&8 of SPP Rules. 2010 are reproduced for your guidance in this regard.	Due to previous practice since last many years, and no any observation was raised by the SPPRA authority during previous year procurement committee has not been change by the authority, scrutiny process has been completed as per previous practice now the current financial is going to end and 2½ months are remaining at this stage. It is very difficult for this Directorate to complete all process again even though the new procurement committee is still not constituted by the authority and its fear that if the all process will begin again it will take time and funds may be lapsed. It is therefore once again requested that this time same process may be allowed, from next financial year all process per SPPRA rule will be adapted

Director Animal Breeding  
Sindh, Hyderabad.

No. DAB / AC /Tender/ 565 - 68 / Hyderabad, dated the 28 / 2 / 2014.

Copy is submitted for favour of information to:-

1. The Secretary Livestock & Fisheries Department Government of Sindh Karachi.
2. The Director General Livestock Sindh Hyderabad.

  
Director Animal Breeding  
Sindh Hyderabad

**DIRECTORATE OF VETERINARY RESEARCH AND DIAGNOSIS  
CENTRAL VETERINARY DIAGNOSTIC LABORATORY SINDH  
TANDO JAM TELEPHONE # 0222765279 FAX # 0222766964**

No. **CVDL/AC/Tender/2013-14/1487-29**

Tando Jam, dated the **03-03-2014**.

To: The Secretary to Government of Sindh,  
Livestock & Fisheries Department,  
Karachi.

**SUBJECT: NIT REF NO. INF-KRY NO.256/13 DATED 08-11-2013.**

Refnce: No. Dir(A&F)L&F-10/SPPRA/31-1/13-14/ 4834 dated 27-02-2014.

It is submitted that the compliance of the following observations of SPPRA vide letter No. cited above is as under for further necessary action.

Observation #.	Observation	Replies of the Department.
i.	Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010 stipulated that the procuring agency shall, with approval of its Head of the Department, constitute as many procuring committees, as it deems fit, each comprising odd number of persons and headed by a gazetted officer not below the rank of BS-18, or if not available, the officer of the highest grade, and shall ensure that at least one third of the members of a procurement committee are from the agencies or departments other than the procuring agency. In the instant case no any outside members is included in the procurement committee and also it consists upon even number of members, which is not in sync with above provision of SPP Rules, 2010	Requested that exemption be given during this financial year in special case, because delay in procurement is hampering diagnostic facilities of livestock diseases in the province. We are already not capable to continue diagnostic activities in district Tharparkar, where thousands of small ruminants have been perished, this issue is spreading in other neighboring districts too; this situation comes under major natural calamity and special permission can also be given for the procurement of diagnostic material and first aid medicines/vaccines. Further more the existing experimental animals will also die due to non availability of feed.
ii.	Standard format of Bid Evaluation Report is not attached. <b>Furnish Bid Evaluation Report on standard format for each item separately.</b>	The compliance has already been made vide this directorate letter No.CVDL/AC/Tender/2013-14/1120-24 dated 17-02-2014. The responsible officer of Livestock & Fisheries Department personally deposited the same in the good office of the Director, SPPRA Karachi along with documents of Vaccine Production Unit Sindh, Tando Jam on 18-02-2014, copy of the same was also deposited in your good office for kind information.
iii.	Comparative Statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. <b>Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.</b>	
iii.	Technical evaluation report is not attached. <b>Technical evaluation mentioning therein marks obtained by the bidders on each criterion is required.</b>	
iv.	All members of procurement committee are from Livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in sync with Rule-7 of SPP Rule, 2010 <b>Clarification is required.</b>	Same requested as on para i above.

Dated: 04-03-14  
discuss immediately



...2...

Further submitted that no procurement has been made so far, hence no breach of Rule 32 (A) of SPPRA (2010) occurred. However, very little time has been left for the validity offer for the items under this tender and further delay is not in the interest of livestock farmers of this province.

It is therefore requested that either SPPRA may kindly be approached for hoisting this bid evaluation report on its website at an earliest possible or previous Purchase Committee be abolished and new committee be formed or keeping in view the major disease out breaks under natural calamity rules special permission be accorded.



(DR. ASLAM PERVEZ UMRANI)  
DIRECTOR

Veterinary Research & Diagnosis  
Central Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory  
Sindh, Tando Jam

No.CVDL/AC/Tender/2013-14/

/Tando Jam, dated the/

Copy for kind information is submitted to:

1. The Director General, Livestock (Extension/Research) Sindh, Hyderabad.
2. The Director General, Livestock Sindh, Hyderabad.



(DR. ASLAM PERVEZ UMRANI)  
DIRECTOR

Veterinary Research & Diagnosis  
Central Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory  
Sindh, Tando Jam.

**DIRECTORATE OF ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SINDH**

Block - A, Shahbaz Building, Thandi Sarak Hyderabad - 71000

Tel: 022-9200866  
Fax: 022-9200669No: DAH/AC/Tender/1/  
Hyderabad, dated

To,

Manager (Enforcement-II),  
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (SPPRA),  
Government of Sindh,  
Karachi.Subject: - NIT REF NO:INF-KRY NO:2514/13 DATED 01.11.2013.  
Reference: No:Dir(A&F)L&F-12/SPPRA/31-1/13-14/ dated 02.2014

In compliance to your office letter No: mentioned under reference, following para wise replies / comments on the observations are submitted herewith. It is requested that replies may please be accepted this time as the current financial year is going to be end shortly and procedure may take time, fear that funds may be lapsed all the short falls will be removed in future.

#	Observation	Replies / Comments
i.	Furnish Bid Evaluation Report on standard format for each item separately.	The information regarding item wise need is a big document, however reason for which such process required is served from placed below evaluation report which is signed by committee members on each page, the same is attached herewith. However the observation may kindly be considered.
ii.	Comparative statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.	The Comparative statement signed by the procurement committee on each page is enclosed herewith (in original) as desired. However the observation may kindly be considered.
iii.	Technical evaluation report is not attached. Technical evaluation mention therein marks obtained by the bidders on each criterion is required.	Technical Evaluation Report is attached. However the observation may kindly be considered.
iv.	All members of procurement committee are from Livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in sync with Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010. Clarification is required.	Yes all members of the committee are from the Livestock Department, this is previous practice and at this time all process has been completed. It is fear that, if the process stated it take time and procurement has not been completed during the current financial year. It is proposed that present procedure may kindly be accepted this time, in future the process be followed as per Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010. However the observation may kindly be considered.
v.	Items where only one bidder quoted rates required to be compared with market price or last awarded price in terms of Rule-48 of SPP Rules, 2010. Such statement is required.	The quoted rates are reasonable and less than market price. However the observation may kindly be considered.

(DR. SIRAJ AHMED ISSANI)  
DIRECTOR

No: DAH/AC/Tender/1/

Hyderabad, dated 14.02.2014

A copy is submitted for information to:-

1. The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Livestock and Fisheries Department, Karachi.
2. The Director General, Livestock Sindh, Hyderabad.

DIRECTOR



**OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR  
SINDH POULTRY VACCINE CENTRE  
LIVESTOCK DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT OF SINDH**

Animal Science Complex, Near Singer Chowrangi, Korangi, Karachi-74900  
Tel: 021-35035856, 021-35035946-47, Fax: 021-35035857 www.spvc.com.pk



NO. SPVC/ASC/ADP-896/2014/13

Karachi, dated: 12.02.2014

**Mr. Junaid Ahmed,**  
Manager (enforcement)  
Sindh Public procurement Regulatory authority,  
Karachi

**SUBJECT: NIT REFERENCE NO. INF-KRY NO. 2350/13 DATED; 12.10.2013**


Kindly refer to your letter No. Dir(A&F)L&F-07/SPPRA/31-1/13-14/4211 dated: 07.02.2014, the clarification of various observations are being submitted for your kind perusal as follow:

S. #	Observation	Reply / clarification
i	90-days bid validity period in terms of Rule-38(1) of SPP Rules, 2010 expired on 27.01.2014 and Procuring Agency was required to award the contract within validity period in terms of Rule-49 of SPP Rules, 2010. Clarification is required.	The 90 days bid validity period in terms of Rule-38 (1) expired on 29.01.2014. As per Rule-38 (2), the extension of bid validity period will be obtained from the competent authority and the same will be communicated to SPPRA within due course of time.
ii	Standard format of Bid Evaluation Report is signed by only three members whereas comparative statement is signed by four members, which creates ambiguity. Clarification is required.	The standard format of Bid evaluation report is signed by the 3 members bid evaluation committee, whereas comparative statement was signed by these 3 members bid evaluation committee and counter signed by the competent authority i.e. the Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Department.
iii	Comparative statement is not in original and its each page has not been signed by the procurement committee. Furnish original copy of comparative statement duly signed each page by the procurement committee.	The original copy of comparative statement signed by the committee and authenticated on each page by the concerned Director and Section Officer is hereby enclosed.
iv	Technical evaluation report is not attached. Technical evaluation mentioning therein marks obtained by the bidders on each criterion is required.	The technical evaluation report along with remarks obtained by the each bidder are enclosed and is part of the comparative statement.

V	All members of <del>procurement committee</del> are from Livestock & Fisheries Department which is not in <del>sync</del> with Rule-7 of SPP Rules, 2010. <del>Clarification is required.</del>	The procurement committee regarding the current scheme was previously notified which bears some infirmity. The new procurement committee will be get notified as per the SPPRA Rules and all procurements will be made strictly in accordance with the SPPRA Rules 2010.
vi	Items where only one bidder quoted rates required to be compared with market price or best awarded price in terms of Rule-48 of SPP Rules, 2010. Such statement is required.	Only in few cases only one bidder has qualified and supplied the rates. Therefore, it was difficult to evaluate with other rates. However, at the time of passing bills the comparative market rates will also be quoted to the AG Sindh office and it will be ensured that no public funds are ever spent.

It is ~~reinsure~~ by the undersigned that SPPRA Rules will be implemented in letter and spirit in future and the staff involved in the procurement will be brought to the office of the Director (CB) SPPRA immediately on agreed schedule for capacity building session.

In the light of above clarifications, it is requested that may kindly clarify the BER and CS requirements and may kindly hoist the Bid Evaluation Report on the website to initiate the process of procurement and achievements of the objectives of the scheme in time, smoothly.

  
**DIRECTOR,**  
 Sindh Poultry Vaccine Centre,  
 Animal Science Complex,  
 Korangi, Karachi

Copy to:

01. The Secretary, Livestock & Fisheries Department, Government of Sindh, Karachi
02. The Director General, Livestock (Extension / Research), Sindh Hyderabad
- => 03. The Director (CB), SPPRA, Karachi