

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR

PHONE NO: 071-9310134

e-mail: sephecirclesukkur@yahoo.com

FAX NO: 071-9310134

No: PD 1638 of/2011

Sukkur dated, 2 / 5 / 2011

To,

The Director (CB)
SPPRA, Government of Sindh
Karachi.

SUBJECT: - NIT MEMO NO: D-5/1004/2011 DATED 14-03-2011.

Ref: - Your office letter Dir (CB-0575) / SPPRA / 3-3 (SUK) / 10-11 / 3003 dated 24-03-2011.

In continuation of this office letter No: PD/1148 dated 05-04-2011, it is to inform that tenders for the Urban Drainage Scheme Sukkur Phase-V were called by this office covering response time of 15 days and Bid open on 26-04-2011 the copy is enclosed along with bid evaluation report for further necessary action.

DA AS ABOVE


MUHAMMAD PANJAL NAREJO
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUUKUR


C.C to the: -

- Chief Engineer, Public Health Engineering Department Government of Sindh, Hyderabad for favour of his kind information.

Bid Evaluation Report:

3

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Public Health Engineering Department				
2.	Tender reference No:	D-V/1004 dated 14-03-2011				
3.	Tender Description/Name of work / item	Providing Laying Jointing & Testing RCC Sewer 18" Ø construction of Man Holes from Girls Degree College upto Main road i/c catch pits & interconnection for Urban Drainage Scheme Phase-V.				
4.	Method of Procurement	Single Stage				
5.	Tender Published:	Daily Kaleem, Umat, Kawish 24-03-2011 & Daily Awami Awaz dt: 25-03-11. <i>Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No: & Newspapers names with date)</i>				
6.	Total Bid documents sold:	03 Nos:				
7.	Total Bids received:	03 Nos				
8.	Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable)	Not Applicable (Provide details in separate form)				
9.	No: of Bid technically qualified (if applicable)	.Nil				
10.	Bid(s) Rejected:	Nil				
11.	Fictional Bid Opening date:	26-04-2011				
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:					
S.#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in items of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Asad Enterprises	1082977	916500	166477	18.16% 1 st Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	1098075	916500	181575	19.81% 2 nd Lowest	
3	M/s Bagh Ali Buriro	1118126	916500	201626	21.99% 3 rd Lowest	



PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEMES
SUKKUR.

Bid Evaluation Report.

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	<u>Public Health Engineering Department</u>
2.	Tender reference No:	<u>D-V/1004 dated 14-03-2011</u>
3.	Tender Description/Name of work / item	<u>Providing Laying Jointing & Testing RCC Sewer 18" Ø construction of Man Holes i/c Drains at Wallace Road & inter connection of line under Urban Drainage Scheme Phase-V.</u>
4.	Method of Procurement	<u>Single Stage</u>
5.	Tender Published:	<u>Daily Kaleem, Umat, Kawish 24-03-2011 & Daily Awami Awaz dt: 25-03-11.</u> <i>Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No: & Newspapers names with date</i>
6.	Total Bid documents sold:	03 Nos:
7.	Total Bids received:	03 Nos
8.	Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable)	<u>Not Applicable</u> (Provide details in separate form)
9.	No: of Bid technically qualified (if applicable)	Nil
10.	Bid(s) Rejected:	Nil
11.	Fictional Bid Opening date:	<u>26-04-2011</u>

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in items of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Asad Enterprises	1257604	1068830	188774	17.66% 1 st Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co.	1283841	1068830	215011	20.12% 2 nd Lowest	--
3	M/s Ghazanfar Shaikh	1319970	1068830	251140	23.50% 3 rd Lowest	--


 PROJECT DIRECTOR
 WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEMES
 SEKKUR.

④

Bid Evaluation Report.

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	<u>Public Health Engineering Department</u>				
2.	Tender reference No:	<u>D-V/1004 dated 14-03-2011.</u>				
3.	Tender Description/Name of work / item	<u>Providing Laying Jointing & Testing RCC Sewer 15", 24" Ø i/c Man Holes & Type =II Drains for Various Streets of Sukkur City under Urban Drainage Scheme Phase-V.</u>				
4.	Method of Procurement	<u>Single Stage</u>				
5.	Tender Published:	<u>Daily Kaleem, Umat; Kawish 24-03-2011 & Daily Awami Awaz dt: 25-03-11.</u> <i>Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No: & Newspapers names with date)</i>				
6.	Total Bid documents sold:	03 Nos:				
7.	Total Bids received:	03 Nos				
8.	Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable)	Not Applicable (Provide details in separate form)				
9.	No: of Bid technically qualified (if applicable)	Nil				
10.	Bid(s) Rejected:	Nil				
11.	Fictional Bid Opening date:	26-04-2011				
12.	Bid Evaluation Report:					
S.#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in items of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	Mr. Bagh Ali Buriro	1780411	1514270	266141	17.57% 1 st Lowest	Accepted
2	M/s Snsari & Co.	1821138	1514270	306867	20.26% 2 nd Lowest	
3	M/s Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	1872480	1514270	358210	23.65% 3 rd Lowest	


 PROJECT DIRECTOR
 WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEMES
 SUKKUR.

Bid Evaluation Report.

①

1.	Name of Procuring Agency:	Public Health Engineering Department
2.	Tender reference No:	D-V/1004 dated 14-03-2011.
3.	Tender Description/Name of work / item	Providing Laying Jointing & Testing RCC Sewer 18" & 24 for Jai Garden to Disposal work and remaining work of disposals works for Urban Drainage Scheme Phase-V.
4.	Method of Procurement	Single Stage
5.	Tender Published:	Daily Kaleem, Umat, Kawish 24-03-2011 & Daily Awami Awaz dt: 25-03-11. <i>Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No: & Newspapers names with date)</i>
6.	Total Bid documents sold:	03 Nos:
7.	Total Bids received:	Not received
8.	Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable)	Not Applicable (Provide details in separate from)
9.	No: of Bid technically qualified (if applicable)	Nil
10.	Bid(s) Rejected:	Nil
11.	Fictional Bid Opening date:	26-04-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:						
S.#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in items of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1		Not received back				
2		Do				
3		Do				


 PROJECT DIRECTOR
 WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEMES
 SUKKUR.

②

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR

No. D-V/ 1581 of/2011

Sukkur Dated: 27/4/2011

To,

M/S Asad Enterprises
Government Contractor
Sukkur.

SUBJECT: PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" Ø & CONSTRUCTION OF MAIN HOLES I/C DRAINS AT WALLACE ROAD & INTER CONNECTION OF LINE UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

Ref'nce: Your offer B-II (Item rates) tender dated 26-04-2011.

Your offer to Execute the subjected work @ Rs. 1,257,604/- (Rupees Twelve Lac Fifty Seven Thousand Six Hundred & Four) only being item rate quoted by you being at 17.66% above estimate cost which is approved / accepted by this office lowest in the competition.

You are directed to please start the work within (07) Seven days from the date of issue of this work order under the supervision and instruction of the Executive Engineer Incharge / Project Engineer Water Supply and Drainage Schemes Sukkur Phase-V. The work must be carried out as per PWD/PHE specification and satisfaction of the Executive Engineer Incharge and complete the same within contractual period of 04 Months. The quantity of items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

You are further requested to please attend the office of undersigned to complete the tender / Agreement documents and affix the adhesive revenue stamps before start of work.

DA/Schedule-"B"


(MUHAMMAD PANJAL NAREJO)
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

C.C to the:-

- Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department Government of Sindh Hyderabad for favour of his kind information.
 - District Coordination Officer / Chairman Project Monitoring committee for Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information.
 - Project Engineer Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information and necessary action.
- The actual date of start of the above work may be reported. He should keep vigilant watch on the progress of work as per terms of the B-II Agreement. The quantity of the bid items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Name of Work:

PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" Ø & CONSTRUCTION OF MAIN HOLES I/C DRAINS AT WALLACE ROAD & INTER CONNECTION OF LINE UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PH-V.

Date of Issue upto: 25-24-2011
Date of opening: 26-04-2011

References:

N.I.T Called by PD W/S & D/Scheme Sukkur
vide No: D-V/3411 Dated 08-12-2010.

Authority

Estimate Sanctioned by Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department
Government of Sindh Hyderabad vide No: DB/DWE/796 dated 25-03-2011.
for Rs : 1068830.00

Description	Total Amount	M/S Asad Enterprises Government Contractor	M/S Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co. Government Contractor	M/S Ghazanfar Shaikh & Co. Government Contractor
Schedule "B"	Rs. 864677.00	1257604.00	1283841.00	1319970.00
Cartage	80845.00			
Total	945522.00	1257604.00	1283841.00	1319970.00
Difference of cost	123257.00			
G. Total:	Rs. 1068779.00	1068830.00	1068830.00	1068830.00
Say	Rs. 1068830.00	188774.00 17.66% Above the ECcost	215011.00 20.12% Above the ECcost	251140.00 23.50% Above the ECcost

The rate quoted by M/s Asad Enterprises Government Contractor @ quoted items rate have found the lowest then other contractors. The total amount of the lowest tender comes Rs. 1,257,604/- (Rupees, Twelve Lac Fifty Seven Thousand Six Hundred & Four) only where as the estimated cost of work is Rs. 1,06,8830/- this the lowest bid works out t 17.66% above the estimate cost is hereby approved / accept.

ce/pt
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUUKUR

③

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR

No. D-V/ 1563 of/2011

Sukkur Dated: 27/4 /2011

To,

M/S Asad Enterprises
Government Contractor
Sukkur.

SUBJECT: PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" Ø I/C MAN HOLES FROM GIRLS DEGREE COLLEGE UPTO MAIN ROAD I/C CATCH PITS & INTERCONNECTION FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

Ref nce: Your offer B-II (Item rates) tender dated 26-04-2011.

Your offer to Execute the subjected work @ Rs. 1,082,977/- (Rupees Ten Lac Eighty Two Nine Hundred & Seventy Seven) only being item rate quoted by you being at 18.16% above estimate cost which is approved / accepted by this office lowest in the competition.

You are directed to please start the work within (07) Seven days from the date of issue of this work order under the supervision and instruction of the Executive Engineer Incharge / Project Engineer Water Supply and Drainage Schemes Sukkur Phase-V. The work must be carried out as per PWD/PIIE specification and satisfaction of the Executive Engineer Incharge and complete the same within contractual period of 04 Months. The quantity of items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

You are further requested to please attend the office of undersigned to complete the tender / Agreement documents and affix the adhesive revenue stamps before start of work.

DA/Schedule-"B"


MUHAMMAD PANJAL NAREJO
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

C.C to the: -

- Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department Government of Sindh Hyderabad for favour of his kind information.
- District Coordination Officer / Chairman Project Monitoring committee for Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information.
- Project Engineer Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information and necessary action. The actual date of start of the above work may be reported. He should keep vigilant watch on the progress of work as per terms of the B-II Agreement. The quantity of the bid items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Date of issue upto: 25-24-2011

Date of opening: 26-04-2011

Name of Work: PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" Ø CONSTRUCTION OF MANHOLES FROM GIRLS DEGREE COLLEGE UPTO MAIN ROAD I/C CATCH PITS & INTERCONNECTION FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PH-V.

References: N.I.T Called by PD W/S & D/Scheme Sukkur
vide No: D-V/3411 Dated 06-12-2010.

Authority: Estimate Sanctioned by Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department
Government of Sindh Hyderabad vide No: DB/DWE/797 dated 25-03-2011.
for Rs : 916500.00

Description	Total Amount	M/S Asad Enterprises Government Contractor	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro Government Contractor	M/S Bagh Ali Burro Government Contractor
Schedule "B"	Rs. 804248.00	1082977.00	1098075.00	1118126.00
Cartage	29129.00			
Total	833377.00	1082977.00	1098075.00	1118126.00
Difference of cost	83091.00			
G. Total:	Rs. 916468.00	916500.00	916500.00	916500.00
Say	Rs. 916500.00	166477.00 18.16% Above the E/Cost	181575.00 19.81% Above the E/Cost	201626.00 21.99% Above the E/Cost

The rate quoted by M/s Asad Enterprises Government Contractor @ quoted items rate have found the lowest then other contractors. The total amount of the lowest tender comes Rs. 1,082,977/- (Rupees: Ten Lac Eighty Two Thousand Nine Hundred & Seventy Seven) only where as the estimated cost of work is Rs. 9,16,500/- this the lowest bid works out 18.16% above the estimate cost is hereby approved / accept.


PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUUKUR

④

OFFICE OF THE PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR

No. D-V/ 1566 of/2011

Sukkur Dated: 27/4 /2011

To,

Mr. Bagh Ali Buriro
Government Contractor
Sukkur.

SUBJECT: PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 15" & 24" I/C MAN HOLES & TYPE-II DRAINS FOR VARIOUS STREETS OF SUKKUR CITY UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

Refnce: Your offer B-II (Item rates) tender dated 26-04-2011.

Your offer to Execute the subjected work @ Rs. 1,780,411/- (Rupees Seventeen Lac. Eighty Thousand Four Hundred & Eleven) only being item rate quoted by you being at 17.57% above estimate cost which is approved / accepted by this office lowest in the competition.

You are directed to please start the work within (07) Seven days from the date of issue of this work order under the supervision and instruction of the Executive Engineer Incharge / Project Engineer Water Supply and Drainage Schemes Sukkur Phase-V. The work must be carried out as per PWD/PHE specification and satisfaction of the Executive Engineer Incharge and complete the same within contractual period of 04 Months. The quantity of items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

You are further requested to please attend the office of undersigned to complete the tender / Agreement documents and affix the adhesive revenue stamps before start of work.

DA/Schedule-"B"


(MUHAMMAD PANJAL NAREJO)
PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

C.C to the: -

- Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department Government of Sindh Hyderabad for favour of his kind information.
- District Coordination Officer / Chairman Project Monitoring committee for Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information.
- Project Engineer Water Supply & Drainage Scheme Sukkur for information and necessary action. The actual date of start of the above work may be reported. He should keep vigilant watch on the progress of work as per terms of the B-II Agreement. The quantity of the bid items should be followed strictly and should not be exceeded.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

Name of Work: PROVIDING, LAYING, JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 15" & 24" Ø I/C MAN HOLES & TYPE -II DRAINS FOR VARIOUS STREETS OF SUKKUR CITY UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PH-V.

Date of issue: 25-04-2011
Date of opening: 26-04-2011

References: N.I.T Called by PD W/S & D/Scheme Sukkur
vide No: D-V/1004 Dated 14-03-2011

Authority: Estimate Sanctioned by Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering Department
Government of Sindh Hyderabad vide No: DB/DWE/798 dated 25-03-2011.
for Rs.: 1514270.00

Description	Total Amount	M/S Bagh Ali Buriro Government Contractor	M/S Ansari & Co. Government Contractor	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro Government Contractor
Schedule "B"	Rs. 1224483.00	1780411.00	1821138.00	1872480.00
Cartage	110515.00			
Total	1334978.00	1780411.00	1821138.00	1872480.00
Difference of cost	179292.00			
G. Total:	Rs. 1514270.00	1514270.00	1514270.00	1514270.00
Say	Rs.	266141.00	306868.00	358210.00
		17.57% Above the E/Cost	20.26% Above the E/Cost	23.65% Above the E/Cost

The rate quoted by M/s Bagh Ali Buriro Government Contractor @ quoted items rate have found the lowest then other contractors. The total amount of the lowest tender comes Rs. 1,780,411/- (Rupees. Seventeen Lac Eighty Thousand Four Hundred & Eleven) only where as the estimated cost of work is Rs. 1,514,270/- this the lowest bid works out t 17.57% above the estimate cost is hereby approved / accept


 PROJECT DIRECTOR
 WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
 SUKKUR

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

- 3) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Public Health Engineering Department
- 4) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT: / OTHER Provincial
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 15" & 24" DIA I/C MAINHOELS & TYPE -II DRAINS FOR VARIOUS STREETS OF SUKKUR CITY UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.
- 5) TENDER NUMBER D-V/1004 dated 14.03.2011
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 15" & 24" DIA I/C MAINHOELS & TYPE -II DRAINS FOR VARIOUS STREETS OF SUKKUR CITY UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME CDWP
- 38) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.500 Million
- 39) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) --
- 40) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months.
- 41) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.04.2011
- 42) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD. (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos. (List attached as annexure-I)
- 43) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED (03 Nos.)
- 44) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (03 Nos.)
- 45) BIDS EVALUATION REPORT (Enclosed a copy) (Copy attached as annexure-II)
- NAME AND ADDRESS OF M/s Bagh Ali Buriro Government contractor Sukkur.
- 46) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 1.7804 Million
- 47) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e 1ST, 2ND, 3RD, EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s Bagh Ali Buriro Government
 2. M/s Ansani & co.
 3. M/s Haji Sirajuddin & Co.

48) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- e) SINGLE STAGE-ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- f) SINGLE STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE: _____
- g) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- h) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

49) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT

Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering
Department Govt. of Sindh Hyderabad

50) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

51) ADVERTISEMENT

- i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)
(If yes, give date & PPRA's tender number)

Yes	14-03-2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No		<input type="checkbox"/>

- ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers & dates)

Yes	1. Daily Awami Awaz Karachi dated 25.03.2011 2. Daily Kaleem Sukkur dated 24.03.2011 3. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated 24.03.2011
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

52) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int:	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

53) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(Copy attached as annexure-IV)	No <input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------------	-----------------------------

54) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (Lowest Bid)
(If yes, enclose a copy)

YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

55) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
(Not Applicable)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

56) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

57) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

58) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

59) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS & THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

60) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	(Attached as annexure-V)	No	
-----	--------------------------	----	--

61) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED

(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

62) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

63) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?

(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

64) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

65) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

66) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT IF ABROAD
(If yes, enclose a copy)

(Not Applicable)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--


67) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

68) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

LIST OF BUYERS TO WHOM THE TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD FOR THE WORK OF PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 15" & 24" DIA I/C MAINHOELS & TYPE -II DRAINS FOR VARIOUS STREETS OF SUKKUR CITY UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

S. No:	Name of Buyer
1.	M/s Bagh Ail Buriro
2.	M/s Ansari & Co.
3.	M/s Haji Sirajuddin Soomro & Co

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

- 5) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Public Health Engineering Department
- 6) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT: / OTHER Provincial
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" DIA I/C MAINHOELS FROM GIRS' DEGREE COLLEGE UPTO MAIN ROAD I/C CATCH PITS & INTER CONNECTION FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.
- 6) TENDER NUMBER D-V/1004 dated 14.03.2011
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" DIA I/C MAINHOELS FROM GIRS DEGREE COLLEGE UPTO MAIN ROAD I/C CATCH PITS & INTER CONNECTION FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME CDWP
- 69) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 1.500 Million
- 70) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) --
- 71) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months.
- 72) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.04.2011
- 73) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos. (List attached as annexure-1)
- 74) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED (03 Nos.)
- 75) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT
AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (03 Nos.)
- 76) BIDS EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a copy) (Copy attached as annexure-II)
- NAME AND ADDRESS OF M/s Asad Enterprises Government contractor Sukkur.
- 77) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 1.083 Million

**RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL
BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT**
(i.e 1ST, 2ND, 3RD, EVALUATION BID)

1. M/s Asad Enterprises Government
2. M/s Haji Sirajuddin & Co.
3. M/s Bagh Ali Buriro .

78) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- i) SINGLE STAGE-ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- j) SINGLE STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE:
- k) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- l) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

79) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR
AWARD OF CONTRACT

Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering
Department Govt: of Sindh Hyderabad

80) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

81) ADVERTISEMENT

i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)
(If yes, give date & PPRA's tender number)

Yes	14-03-2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No		<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers & dates)

Yes	1. Daily Awami Awaz Karachi dated 25.03.2011 2. Daily Kaleem Sukkur dated 24.03.2011 3. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated 24.03.2011
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

82) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int:	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

83) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(Copy attached as annexure-IV)	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	-----------------------------------	----	--------------------------

84) WHETHER BID-EVALUATION CRITERIA

WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) (Lowest Bid)

YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

85) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
(Not Applicable)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

86) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

87) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

88) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

89) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS & THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

90) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	(Attached as annexure-V)	No	
-----	--------------------------	----	--

91) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

92) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

93) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

94) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

95) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

96) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT IF ABROAD
(If yes, enclose a copy)

(Not Applicable)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--


97) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

98) SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

LIST OF BUYERS TO WHOM THE TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD FOR THE WORK OF PROVIDING LYAING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" DIA I/C MAINHOELS FROM GIRS DEGREE COLLEGE UPTO MAIN ROAD I/C CATCH PITS & INTER CONNECTION FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

S. No:	Name of Buyer
1.	M/s Asad Enterprises & Co.
2.	M/s Haji Sirajuddin Soomro & Co
3.	M/s Bagh Ail Buriro

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Public Health Engineering Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT: / OTHER Provincial
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT. PROVIDING, LYAING JOINTING & TESTING
RCC SEWER 18" DIA CONSTRUCTION OF
MANHOLES I/C DRAINS AT WALLACE ROAD
& INTERCONNECTION OF LINES UNDER
URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-
V.
- 4) TENDER NUMBER D-V/1004 dated 14.03.2011
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT PROVIDING, LYAING JOINTING & TESTING
RCC SEWER 18" DIA CONSTRUCTION OF
MANHOLES I/C DRAINS AT WALLACE ROAD
& INTERCONNECTION OF LINES UNDER
URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-
V.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME CDWP
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 1.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only) --
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD
(AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.04.2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos. (List attached as annexure-I)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED (03 Nos.)
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT
AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (03 Nos.)
- 14) BIDS EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a copy) (Copy attached as annexure-II)

- NAME AND ADDRESS OF M/s Asad Enterprises Government
contractor Sukkur.
- 15) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 1.258 Million

16) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL
 BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
 (i.e 1ST, 2ND, 3RD, EVALUATION BID)

1. M/s Asad Enterprises Government
2. M/s Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co
3. M/s Ghazanfar Shaikh & Co.

17) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE-ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- b) SINGLE STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE:
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

18) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR
 AWARD OF CONTRACT

Chief Engineer Public Health Engineering
 Department Govt: of Sindh Hyderabad

19) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

20) ADVERTISEMENT

- i) PPRA Website (Federal Agencies)
 (If yes, give date & PPRA's tender number)

Yes	14-03-2011	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No		

- ii) News Papers
 (If yes, give names of newspapers & dates)

Yes	1. Daily Awami Awaz Karachi dated 25.03.2011 2. Daily Kaleem Sukkur dated 24.03.2011 3. Daily Kawish Hyderabad dated 24.03.2011
No	

21) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int:	
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--

22) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
 WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
 (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	(Copy attached as annexure-IV)	No	
---	-----------------------------------	----	--

23) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
 WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER
 DOCUMENTS? (Lowest Bid)

YES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

(If yes, enclose a copy)

24) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

(Not Applicable)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

25) WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

26) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?

YES	✓	NO	
-----	---	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

28) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS & THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

29) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	(Attached as annexure-V)	No	
-----	--------------------------	----	--

30) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED

(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

31) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS

(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

32) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?

(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	✓
No	

33) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

(If yes, give details)

Yes	✓
No	

34) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

35) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER / OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT IF ABROAD
(If yes, enclose a copy)

(Not Applicable)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

36) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

37) SPECIAL CONDITIONS. IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


PROJECT DIRECTOR
WATER SUPPLY & DRAINAGE SCHEME
SUKKUR

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

LIST OF BUYERS TO WHOM THE TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD FOR THE WORK OF PROVIDING, LAYING JOINTING & TESTING RCC SEWER 18" DIA CONSTRUCTION OF MANHOLES I/C DRAINS AT WALLACE ROAD & INTERCONNECTION OF LINES UNDER URBAN DRAINAGE SCHEME SUKKUR PHASE-V.

S. No:	Name of Buyer
1.	M/s Asad Enterprises Government
2.	M/s Muhammad Iqbal Shaikh & Co
3.	M/s Ghazanfar Shaikh & Co.