

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO**

NO.TC/G-55(b)/ 328

Mirpur Mathelo Dated: 13/4 /2018.

To,

M/S Unique Enterprises  
Government Contractor  
Sukkur

**SUBJECT: LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE.**

This is to notify that your bid dated: 10.04.2018 for the execution of Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling, Lining and De-Silting) from RD. 0 to RD. 90 (ADP No: 1293/2017-18) having contract price of Rs. 208,719,662 (Pakistani rupees Two Hundred Eight Million Seven Hundred Nineteen Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Two) only is hereby accepted by our agency/procuring agency.

The Performance Security for the whole contract in the form of Bank guarantee / performance bond at the rate up to 5% of the contract/bid price amounting to Rs. 10,435,983/- valid for one year as stated in sub clause 4.4 of contract data.

You are requested to furnish said documents to the Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo within seven (07) days after the receipt of letter of acceptance.

Please acknowledge / receipt of this letter of acceptance by signing the back page by duly authorized officer and signature of your company along with seal of your firm.

Two sets of this letter are being sent and in token of your acceptance one signed letter to be returned to the addressee noted below and one signed copy to be retained for your reference and record.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**Copy forwarded with compliments for favour of information to:-**

- i. The Managing Director (SIDA) Left Bank Barrage Colony New Building Hyderabad.
- ii. The Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi for hoisting the Award of Contractor on SPPRA's website for compliance of rule-50.
- iii. Director Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board Ghotki.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO**

NO.TC/G-55(b)/ 386

Mirpur Mathelo Dated: 24/04/2018.

To,

**M/S Unique Enterprises**  
Government Contractor Plot No: 479  
Near Queen Marry School by pass Sukkur  
Phone No: 071-5804763

**SUBJECT: REHABILITATION OF MUBARAKPUR MINOR (REMODELING, LINING AND DE-SILTING) FROM RD. 0 TO RD. 90 (ADP NO: 1293/2017-18).**

Reference: This office Letter of acceptance No: TC/G-55(b)/328, dated: 13.04.2018 and accepted by your firm/agency.

**AWARD OF CONTRACT**

The Technical Bid Evaluation Report for the work of Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling, Lining and De-Silting) from RD. 0 to RD. 90 (ADP No: 1293/2017-18) has been approved by the Managing Director (SIDA) Hyderabad vide No: MD/SIDA/DB-Tender/5-135/ GFC/2302, dated: 23.04.2018 with **37.50% above the schedule items** and total amount of contract is **Rs. 208,719,662/-** (PKRs. Two Hundred Eight Million Seven Hundred Nineteen Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Two) only. No premium will be allowed on carriage of earth work. The NIT for the above said work has already hoisted on SPPRA's website uploaded on 13.03.2018 and bid evaluation reports as well as other relevant documents also hoisted on SPPRA's website vide report ID No: 1370/2018 posted on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2018.


You are directed to please start the above said work under the supervision of Assistant Executive Engineer Khangarh Sub-Division within seven (07) days. In case of failure you will be held responsible under clause of the agreement according to contract agreement as per PWD specifications. You will follow deductions of taxes, under the rules formed by the Government in this regard from time to time.

The time allowed for completion of the above said work is only Two (02) Years.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**Copy forwarded with compliments for favour of information to:-**

- i. The Managing Director (SIDA) Left Bank Barrage Colony New Building Hyderabad.
- ii. The Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi for hoisting the Award of Contractor on SPPRA's website for compliance of rule-50.
- iii. Director Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board Ghotki.
- iv. Assistant Executive Engineer Khangarh Sub-Division (Irrigation) @ Ghotki.

  
( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Irrigation Department Daharki Division (Irrigation)  
@ Mirpur Mathelo
- 2). PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling,  
Lining and De-Silting) from RD. 0 to RD. 90  
(ADP No: 1293/2017-18)
- 4). TENDER NUMBER TC/G-55(b)/216, dated: 12.03.2018
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling,  
Lining and De-Silting) from RD. 0 to RD. 90  
(ADP No: 1293/2017-18)
- 6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Government of Sindh
- 7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE PKRs. 208.719 Million
- 8). ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) PKRs. 182.576 Million
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Two (02) Years
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10.04.2018 at 02:00 PM
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 29 Nos.
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 29 Nos.
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 29 Nos.
- 14). BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Attached
- 15). NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER **M/S Unique Enterprises**  
Government Contractor Sukkur
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE PKRs. 208.719 Million
- 17). RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1<sup>st</sup> (First)
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic / Local
- b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASON.

- 19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Managing Director Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority, Hyderabad
- 20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No
- 21). ADVERTISEMENT :
- i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
- |   |                        |
|---|------------------------|
| Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Uploaded On 15-03-2018 |
| No <input type="checkbox"/>             |                        |
- ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | The Express Tribune<br>(English) March 18, 2018<br>Roznama Duniya (Urdu)<br>March 18, 2018<br>Daily Sobh (Sindhi)<br>March 18, 2018 |
| No <input type="checkbox"/>             |   |
- 22). NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic/ Local  Int.
- 23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes  No
- 24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes  No
- 25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes  No
- 26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes  No
- 27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes  No
- 28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes  No
- 29). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes  No
- 30). WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes  No

31). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVE  
(If yes, result thereof)

|     |                                     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes |                                     |
| No  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

32). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

|     |                                     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes |                                     |
| No  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

33). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

|     |                                     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes |                                     |
| No  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

34). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

|     |                                     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes |                                     |
| No  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

35). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes  No

36). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes  No

37). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes  No

38). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

|     |                                     |
|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Yes |                                     |
| No  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)**  
**@ MIRPUR MATHELO**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

# SINDH IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE AUTHORITY

Left Bank Barrage Colony Hyderabad, Sindh



Phone : +92-22-9210080  
Fax : +92-22-9210081  
Email: [sida@hyd.breeze.net.pk](mailto:sida@hyd.breeze.net.pk)  
Website: [www.sida.org.pk](http://www.sida.org.pk)



No. MD/SIDA/DB-Tender/5-148/GFC/ 2302

Dated 23 /04/2018

**SAY NO TO CORRUPTION**

To,

Director,  
Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board,  
Ghotki.

**Subject: BID EVALUATION REPORT APPROVED OF THE.**

Reference: Your office letter No. Asstt./G-148/672 Ghotki, dated 17-04-2018.

I am directed to refer your office letter No. quoted above & forwarded the Bid Evaluation Report pertain to Executive Engineer Dahrki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo duly approved, subject to the assurance of availability of funds & all codal formalities should be completed in accordance to SPPRA Rule 2010(Amended 2013).

T-c/Asstt.  
Gep.

| S. No | Name of Work  | Bid Cost         |
|-------|---|------------------|
| 1.    | Bid Evaluation Report for the work of Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling, Lining & De-Silting) from RD 0 to 90. | Rs.208,719,662/- |

Encl: as above :

D. Hassan

(Wal Muhammad Naich)  
Managing Director  
SIDA Hyderabad

C. c to:

- ❖ Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo.
- ❖ Office file

No: Asstt/G-148/780 Ghotki dt. - 24/04/2018  
Forwarded along with its enclosures to the  
Executive Engineer Dahrki Division @ Mirpur  
Mathelo for necessary action.

DIRECTOR  
GHOTKI FEEDER CANAL  
AREA WATER BOARD GHOTKI

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Name of Procuring Agency:         | Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation)  |
| Tender Reference No:              | No. TC/G-55(b)/216 Dated: <u>12-03-2018.</u>  |
| Name of Work:                     | <b>Rehabilitation of Mubarakpur Minor (Remodeling, Lining and De-Silting) from RD. 0 to RD. 90.</b><br>(ADP No: 1293/2017-18) |
| Method of Procurement:            | Single Stage One Envelope Procedure.  |
| Tender Published:                 | Published in Three News Papers as well as hoisted in SPPRA website.   |
| Total Bid Document Sold:          | <u>29 Nos:</u>  |
| Total Bid Received:               | <u>29 Nos:</u>  |
| Technical Bid Opening Date:       | <u>10-04-2018</u>   |
| No. of Bid Technically Qualified: | <u>29 Nos:</u>  |
| Bid(s) Rejected:                  | <u>Nil</u>  |
| Financial Bid Opening Date:       | <u>10-04-2018.</u>  |

### Evaluation;

| S #. | Name of Firm or Bidder   | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks    |
|------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1    | 2  | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7          |
| 1    | M/S Unique Enterprises<br>Government Contractor Sukkur         | 208,719,662/-              | 1st                      | 14.32% above the estimated cost | Accepted                          | 1st Lowest |
| 2    | M/S Jan Muhammad & Brothers<br>Government Contractor Pano Akil | 210,419,779/-              | 2nd                      | 15.25% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 3    | M/S Bhattai Ghot & Co.<br>Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo | 211,512,711/-              | 3rd                      | 15.85% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 4    | M/S M. Fida Hussain & Co.<br>Government Contractor Ghotki      | 212,408,308/-              | 4th                      | 16.34% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 5    | M/S Z L Mahar & Sons<br>Government Contractor Sukkur           | 213,516,419/-              | 5th                      | 16.95% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 6    | M/S S.S.D Enterprises<br>Government Contractor Daharki         | 214,912,944/-              | 6th                      | 17.71% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 7    | M/S Niaz Ali & Co.<br>Government Contractor Sukkur             | 215,504,949/-              | 7th                      | 18.04% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |

| 1  | 2  | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7       |
|----|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
|    | Name of Firm or Bidder   | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks |
| 8  | M/S New Pak Engineering & Construction Company                     | 215,778,182/-              | 8th                      | 18.19% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 9  | M/S Shafqat Ali Talpur & Sons Government Contractor                | 216,567,521/-              | 9th                      | 18.62% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 10 | M/S Abdul Qayoom Mazari Government Contractor Sukkur               | 216,613,060/-              | 10th                     | 18.64% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 11 | M/S Mushtaque Ahmed Bullo Government Contractor Ghotki             | 217,038,089/-              | 11th                     | 18.88% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 12 | M/S Faqeer Muhammad Mithal Mangrio Government Contractor Pano Akil | 217,341,682/-              | 12th                     | 19.04% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 13 | M/S Bahadur Ali Shaikh Government Contractor Sukkur                | 217,675,633/-              | 13th                     | 19.22% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 14 | M/S Intel Construction Company Government Contractor Daharki       | 218,115,842/-              | 14th                     | 19.47% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 15 | M/S Ali Builders Government Contractor                             | 218,313,177/-              | 15th                     | 19.57% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 16 | M/S Haji Zabar Ali Khan Mahar Government Contractor                | 218,677,488/-              | 16th                     | 19.77% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 17 | M/S Nazim-ud-Din Dahar & Sons Government Contractor Sukkur         | 219,041,798/-              | 17th                     | 19.97% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 18 | M/S Al-Hassan Enterprises Government Contractor                    | 219,937,395/-              | 18th                     | 20.46% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 19 | M/S Ghulam Murtaza Ghumro Government Contractor Sukkur             | 220,711,555/-              | 19th                     | 20.89% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 20 | M/S Blue Stone Associates Government Contractor                    | 220,817,813/-              | 20th                     | 20.95% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 21 | M/S M Z Enterprises Government Contractor Sukkur                   | 221,166,944/-              | 21st                     | 21.14% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 22 | M/S Al-Shahbaz Builders Government Contractor                      | 221,531,255/-              | 22nd                     | 21.34% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 23 | M/S Sehar Enterprises Government Contractor                        | 222,168,798/-              | 23rd                     | 21.69% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |





|    | Name of Firm or Bidder                                      | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks |
|----|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
|    | 2   | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7       |
| 24 | M/S Tarique Hussain & Co.<br>Government Contractor          | 222,715,264/-              | 24th                     | 21.98% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 25 | M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises Government Contractor Sukkur | 223,550,143/-              | 25th                     | 22.44% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 26 | M/S Saqib Rasheed & Co. Government Contractor Sukkur        | 224,202,866/-              | 26th                     | 22.8% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 27 | M/S Reliant Trade Link Government Contractor                | 224,916,308/-              | 27th                     | 23.19% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 28 | M/S G.H.P Construction Company Government Contractor        | 225,569,031/-              | 28th                     | 23.55% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 29 | M/S Mudasir Adil Co. Government Contractor Hyderabad        | 227,633,459/-              | 29th                     | 24.68% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |


Member

Chairman


Member

  
(Ali Gul Qazi)  
Assistant Executive Engineer  
Education Works Sub-Division  
at Mirpur Mathelo


  
(Shabir Ahmed Bhutto)  
Executive Engineer  
Daharki Division (Irrigation)  
@ Mirpur Mathelo

  
(Nazir Ahmed Shahani)  
Executive Engineer  
Mirpur Division (Irrigation)  
@ Mirpur Mathelo

*Recommended.*



*As evaluation report is hereby approved. subject to condition that the premium for them can get each mark as allowed.*

  
Technical Officer  
Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority  
Hyderabad.

  
Managing Director  
Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority  
Hyderabad.

*Unigere Enterprises Govt. contractor.*

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**



**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO**

**STANDARD BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS  
FOR THE WORK OF**

**REHABILITATION OF MUBARAKPUR MINOR  
(REMODELING, LINING AND DE-SILTING)  
FROM RD. 0 TO RD. 90  
(ADP NO: 1293/2017-18)**

**YEAR 2017-2018**

**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO  
PHONE NO: 0723-652186**

OFFICE OF THE  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

Issued to:


*Uniqye Enterprises Govt. Cont.*

Vide D.R No: & Date:

*54 dt. 09.04.2018*

For Rs.

*5,000/-*

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

STANDARD FORM OF BIDDING DOCUMENT

FOR THE WORK OF

REHABILITATION OF MUBARAKPUR MINOR  
(REMODELING, LINING AND DE-SILTING)  
FROM RD. 0 TO RD. 90 (ADP NO: 1293/2017-18)



| #.  | Quantity  | Item  | Rate     | Unit    | Amount        |
|---|-----------|---|----------|---------|---------------|
| 6   | 144 Cft.  | Pacca Brick work in foundation and plinth in cement sand mortar ratio 1:4 (P-22 Item 4).  | 12501.41 | % Cft:  | 18,002/-      |
| 7   | 243 Cft.  | Pacca brick work other than building i/c striking of joints upto 20' ht: in cement sand mortar ratio 1:5. (P-23 Item 7).  | 12574.38 | % Cft:  | 30,556/-      |
| 8   | 30 Rft.   | Fixing pipe outlet i/c back filling of earth and puddling portion under water. (S.I.14 P.84)  | 71.32    | P/Rft   | 2,140/-       |
| 9   | 172 Cft.  | Cement pointing struck joints on walls ration 1:2.  | 1287.44  | % Sft:  | 2,214/-       |
| 10  | 200 Cft.  | Cement pointing flush upto 20' height ratio: 1:2. (P-54 Item 17).   | 1151.32  | % Sft:  | 2,303/-       |
| 11  | 2472 Cft. | Dressing and leveling of earth work to designed section etc complete in ordinary or hard soil. (P-3 Item 11 (b).  | 187.55   | %o Cft: | 464/-         |
| 12  | 10 Rft.   | Providing laying RCC pipe and collars of class "A" and fixing in trench i/c cutting, fitting and jointing with maxphalt composition and cement mortar (1:1) i/c testing with water to a head of 4.5 meter or 15 ft: 300mm (12" dia) (P.H.E.D S.I.1, P.15) | 246.00   | P/Rft   | 2,460/-       |
| TOTAL   |           |   |          |         | 100,763/-     |
| SUB-TOTAL (D) FOR 38 WATERCOURSES = 38 X 100763/- |           |   |          |         | 3,828,994/-   |
| GRANT TOTAL (A+B+C+D) RS.                         |           |   |          |         | 151,796,118/- |

Above / Below of CSR 37.50 % *opened on 10/04/2018*

Total Rs. 208719662/-


CONTRACTOR *[Signature]*  
 DIVL: HEAD DRAFTSMAN  
 DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
 AT MIRPUR MATHELO

*[Signature]*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
 AT MIRPUR MATHELO

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have caused this Contract Agreement to be executed on the day, month and year first before written in accordance with their respective laws.


SIGNATURE / STAMP OF THE CONTACTOR



  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO


Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness: No: 1

  
ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
EDUCATION WORKS SUB-DIVISION

ASSISTANT ENGINEER  
Irrigation Works Sub-Division  
MIRPUR MATHELO

Witness: No: 2 of Signatures

  
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

*Recommended*


  
DIRECTOR  
GHOTKI FEEDER CANAL  
AREA WATER BOARD GHOTKI













16 M



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO**

NO.TC/G-55(b)/

327

Mirpur Mathelo Dated: 13/4/2018.

To,

**M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company**  
Government Contractor  
Mirpur Mathelo

**SUBJECT: LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE.**

This is to notify that your bid dated: 10.04.2018 for the execution of Construction of Protective Bund Protection from Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab (Non ADP) having contract price of Rs. 49,979,824/- (Pakistani rupees Forty Nine Million Nine Hundred Seventy Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Four) only is hereby accepted by our agency/procuring agency.

The Performance Security for the whole contract in the form of Bank guarantee / performance bond at the rate up to 5% of the contract/bid price amounting to Rs. 2,498,991/- valid for one year as stated in sub clause 4.4 of contract data.

You are requested to furnish said documents to the Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo within seven (07) days after the receipt of letter of acceptance.

Please acknowledge / receipt of this letter of acceptance by signing the back page by duly authorized officer and signature of your company along with seal of your firm.

Two sets of this letter are being sent and in token of your acceptance one signed letter to be returned to the addressee noted below and one signed copy to be retained for your reference and record.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**Copy forwarded with compliments for favour of information to:-**

- i. The Managing Director (SIDA) Left Bank Barrage Colony New Building Hyderabad.
- ii. The Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi for hoisting the Award of Contractor on SPPRA's website for compliance of rule-50.
- iii. Director Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board Ghotki.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO**

NO.TC/G-55(b)/

385

Mirpur Mathelo Dated: 24/04/2018.

To,

**M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company**  
Government Contractor  
Mirpur Mathelo

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF PROTECTIVE BUND PROTECTION FROM POISONOUS INDUSTRIAL WATER OF PUNJAB.**

Reference: This office Letter of acceptance No: TC/G-55(b)/327, dated: 13.04.2018 and accepted by your firm/agency.

**AWARD OF CONTRACT**

The Technical Bid Evaluation Report for the work of Construction of Protective Bund Protection from Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab Non ADP has been approved by the Managing Director (SIDA) Hyderabad vide No: MD/SIDA/DB-Tender/5-135/GFC/2303, dated: 23.04.2018 with **48.39% above the schedule items** and total amount of contract is Rs. **Rs. 49,979,824/-** (PKRs. Forty Nine Million Nine Hundred Seventy Nine Thousand Eight Hundred Twenty Four) only. No premium will be allowed on carriage of earth work. The NIT for the above said work has already hoisted on SPPRA's website uploaded on 13.03.2018 and bid evaluation reports as well as other relevant documents also hoisted on SPPRA's website vide report ID No: 1370/2018 posted on 20<sup>th</sup> April 2018.

You are directed to please start the above said work under the supervision of Assistant Executive Engineer Daharki Sub-Division within seven (07) days. In case of failure you will be held responsible under clause of the agreement according to contract conditions and PWD specifications. You will follow deductions of taxes, under the rules formed by the Government in this regard from time to time.

The time allowed for completion of the above said work only Nine (09) Months.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

**Copy forwarded with compliments for favour of information to:-**

- i. The Managing Director (SIDA) Left Bank Barrage Colony New Building Hyderabad.
- ii. The Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority, Karachi for hoisting the Award of Contractor on SPPRA's website for compliance of rule-50.
- iii. Director Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board Ghotki.
- iv. Assistant Executive Engineer Daharki Sub-Division (Irrigation) @ Daharki.

( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

# INTEGRITY PACT

## DECLARATION OF FEES, COMMISSION AND BROKERAGE ETC PAYABLE BY THE SUPPLIERS/CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS

Contract Number: TC/G-55(b)/216  
Contract Value: PKRs. 49.979 Million

Dated: 12-03-2018

Contract Title: Construction of Protective Bund Protection from Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab (Non ADP).


M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo is hereby declares that it has not obtained or induced the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit from Government of Sindh (GoS) or any administrative subdivision or agency thereof or any other entity owned or controlled by it (GoS) through any corrupt business practice.


Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo represents and warrants that it has fully declared the brokerage, commission, fees etc. paid or payable to anyone and not given or agreed to give and shall not give or agree to give to anyone within or outside Pakistan either directly or indirectly through any natural or juridical person, including its affiliate, agent, associate, broker, consultant, director, promoter, shareholder, sponsor or subsidiary, any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback, whether described as consultation fee or otherwise, with the object of obtaining or inducing the procurement of a contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from Procuring Agency (PA) except that which has been expressly declared pursuant hereto.

M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo certifies that it has made and will make full disclosure of all agreements and arrangements with all persons in respect of or related to the transaction with PA and has not taken any action or will not take any action to circumvent the above declaration, representation or warranty.

M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo accepts full responsibility and strict liability for making any false declaration, not making full disclosure, misrepresenting facts or taking any action likely to defeat the purpose of this declaration, representation and warranty. It agrees that any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit obtained or procured as aforesaid shall, without prejudice to any other right and remedies available to PA under any law, contract or other instrument, be voidable at the option of PA.

Notwithstanding any rights and remedies exercised by PA in this regard, M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo agrees to indemnify PA for any loss or damage incurred by it on account of its corrupt business practices and further pay compensation to PA in an amount equivalent to ten times the sum of any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback given by M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo as aforesaid for the purpose of obtaining or inducing the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from PA.

  
( SHABIR AHMED BHUTTO )  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

  
M/S BHITTAI GHOT & COMPANY  
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR  
MIRPUR MATHELO

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- |      |   |   |
|------|---|---|
| 1).  | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.   | Irrigation Department Daharki Division (Irrigation)<br>@ Mirpur Mathelo                           |
| 2).  | PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER   | Provincial  |
| 3).  | TITLE OF CONTRACT   | Construction of Protective Bund Protection from<br>Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab (Non ADP) |
| 4).  | TENDER NUMBER   | TC/G-55(b)/216, dated: 12.03.2018   |
| 5).  | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT   | Construction of Protective Bund Protection from<br>Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab (Non ADP) |
| 6).  | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME  | Government of Sindh   |
| 7).  | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE  | PKRs. 44.221 Million  |
| 8).  | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)  | PKRs. 44.221 Million  |
| 9).  | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)   | Nine (09) months  |
| 10). | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)  | 10.04.2018 at 02:00 PM  |
| 11). | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD<br>(Attach list of buyers)  | 29 Nos.   |
| 12). | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED   | 29 Nos.   |
| 13). | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS  | 29 Nos.   |
| 14). | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)  | Attached  |
| 15). | NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER   | M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company<br>Government Contractor<br>Mirpur Mathelo                             |
| 16). | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE  | PKRs. 49.979 Million  |
| 17). | RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT<br>(i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). | 1 <sup>st</sup> (First)   |
| 18). | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)   |   |
|      | a). SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic / Local               |
|      | b). SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE   | <input type="checkbox"/>  |
|      | c). TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE   | <input type="checkbox"/>  |
|      | d). TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  | <input type="checkbox"/>  |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASON.

19). APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Managing Director Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority, Hyderabad

20). WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes  No

21). ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uploaded On 15-03-2018 |
| No  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                   |

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Express Tribune<br>(English) March 18, 2018<br>Roznama Duniya (Urdu)<br>March 18, 2018<br>Daily Sobh (Sindhi)<br>March 18, 2018 |
| No  | <input type="checkbox"/>  |

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/  
Local  Int.

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes  No

24). WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes  No

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes  No

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes  No

27). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes  No

28). WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes  No

29). WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes  No

30). WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes  No

31). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVE  
(If yes, result thereof)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes |   |
| No  | ✓ |

32). ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes |   |
| No  | ✓ |

33). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes |   |
| No  | ✓ |

34). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes |   |
| No  | ✓ |


35). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED? Yes  No

36). WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes  No

37). WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)? Yes  No

38). SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

|     |   |
|-----|---|
| Yes |   |
| No  | ✓ |

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291



# SINDH IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE AUTHORITY

Left Bank Barrage Colony Hyderabad, Sindh



Phone : +92-22-9210080  
Fax : +92-22-9210081  
Email: [sida@hyd.breeze.net.pk](mailto:sida@hyd.breeze.net.pk)  
Website: [www.sida.org.pk](http://www.sida.org.pk)



No. MD/SIDA/DB-Tender/5-135/GFC/ **2303** Dated **23** /04/2018

**SAY NO TO CORRUPTION**

To,

✓  
Director,  
Ghotki Feeder Canal Area Water Board,  
Ghotki.

Subject: **BID EVALUATION REPORT APPROVED OF THE.**

Reference: Your office letter No. Asstt:/G-148/671 Ghotki, dated 17-04-2018.

I am directed to refer your office letter No. quoted above & forwarded the Bid Evaluation Report pertain to Executive Engineer Dahrki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo duly approved, subject to the assurance of availability of funds & all codal formalities should be completed in accordance to SPPRA Rule 2010(Amended 2013).

T.C./Acc. No.  
[Signature]

| S. No | Name of Work  | Bid Cost        |
|-------|---|-----------------|
| 1.    | Bid Evaluation Report for the work of Construction of Protective Bund Protection from Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab. | Rs.49,979,824/- |

Encl: as above :

[Signature]  
(Waji Muhammad Naich)  
Managing Director  
SIDA Hyderabad

C. c to:

❖ Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation) @ Mirpur Mathelo.  
Office file.

no. Asstt. G-148/779 Ghotki dt 24/04/2018  
Forwarded along with this enclosures  
to the Executive Engineer Daharki Division @  
Mirpur Mathelo for necessary action.

[Signature]  
DIRECTOR  
GHOTKI FEEDER CANAL  
AREA WATER BOARD GHOTKI

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Name of Procuring Agency:         | Executive Engineer Daharki Division (Irrigation)   |
| Tender Reference No:              | No. TC/G-55(b)/216 Dated: <u>12-03-2018</u> .  |
| Name of Work:                     | <b>Construction of Protective Bund Protection from Poisonous Industrial Water of Punjab (Non ADP).</b> |
| Method of Procurement:            | Single Stage One Envelope Procedure.   |
| Tender Published:                 | Published in Three News Papers as well as hoisted in SPPRA website.                                    |
| Total Bid Document Sold:          | <u>29</u> Nos:   |
| Total Bid Received:               | <u>29</u> Nos:   |
| Technical Bid Opening Date:       | <u>10-04-2018</u>  |
| No. of Bid Technically Qualified: | <u>29</u> Nos:   |
| Bid(s) Rejected:                  | <u>Nil</u>   |
| Financial Bid Opening Date:       | <u>10-04-2018</u> .  |

### Evaluation;

| S #. | Name of Firm or Bidder   | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks    |
|------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1    | 2  | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7          |
| 1    | M/S Bhattai Ghot & Company<br>Government Contractor Mirpur Mathelo | 49,979,824/-               | 1st                      | 13.02% above the estimated cost | Accepted                          | 1st Lowest |
| 2    | M/S M. Fida Hussain & Co.<br>Government Contractor Ghotki          | 51,121,623/-               | 2nd                      | 15.6% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --         |
| 3    | M/S Bahadur Ali Shaikh<br>Government Contractor Sukkur             | 51,468,541/-               | 3rd                      | 16.38% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 4    | M/S Unique Enterprises<br>Government Contractor Sukkur             | 51,916,504/-               | 4th                      | 17.4% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --         |
| 5    | M/S Faqeer Muhmmad Mithal Mangrio Government Contractor Pano Akil  | 52,472,247/-               | 5th                      | 18.65% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |
| 6    | M/S Transwave Government Contractor Saqiqabad                      | 52,765,275/-               | 6th                      | 19.32% above the estimated cost | --                                | --         |


| #. | Name of Firm or Bidder   | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks |
|----|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 1  | 2  | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7       |
| 7  | M/S Jan Muhammad & Brothers<br>Government Contractor Pano Akil | 53,287,337/-               | 7th                      | 20.5% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 8  | M/S Nazim-ud-Din Dahar & Sons<br>Government Contractor Sukkur  | 53,624,151/-               | 8th                      | 21.26% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 9  | M/S Niaz Ali & Co. Government Contractor Sukkur                | 54,142,844/-               | 9th                      | 22.43% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 10 | M/S M Z Enterprises<br>Government Contractor Sukkur            | 54,479,658/-               | 10th                     | 23.19% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 11 | M/S Blue Stone Associates<br>Government Contractor             | 54,580,702/-               | 11th                     | 23.42% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 12 | M/S S.S.D Enterprises<br>Government Contractor Daharki         | 54,927,620/-               | 12th                     | 24.21% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 13 | M/S Shafqat Ali Katpar & Sons<br>Government Contractor         | 55,069,082/-               | 13th                     | 24.53% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 14 | M/S Sehar Enterprises<br>Government Contractor                 | 55,096,028/-               | 14th                     | 24.59% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 15 | M/S New Pak Engineering & Construction Company                 | 55,136,445/-               | 15th                     | 24.68% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 16 | M/S Abdul Qayoom Mazari<br>Government Contractor Sukkur        | 55,325,061/-               | 16th                     | 25.1% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 17 | M/S Haji Zabar Ali Khan Mahar<br>Government Contractor         | 55,493,468/-               | 17th                     | 25.49% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 18 | M/S S.K Builder Private Limited<br>Government Contractor       | 55,527,149/-               | 18th                     | 25.56% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 19 | M/S Mudasir Adil Co.<br>Government Contractor Hyderabad        | 55,631,562/-               | 19th                     | 25.8% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 20 | M/S Saqib Rasheed & Co.<br>Government Contractor Sukkur        | 55,766,287/-               | 20th                     | 26.1% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |


| #  | Name of Firm or Bidder                                      | Cost Offered By the Bidder | Ranking in Terms of Cost | Comparison with Estimates Cost  | Reason for Acceptance / Rejection | Remarks |
|----|---|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| 1  | 2   | 3                          | 4                        | 5                               | 6                                 | 7       |
| 21 | M/S Reliant Trade Link Government Contractor                | 56,119,942/-               | 21st                     | 26.9% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 22 | M/S Ali Builders Government Contractor                      | 57,039,444/-               | 22nd                     | 28.98% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 23 | M/S Ghulam Murtaza Ghumro Government Contractor Sukkur      | 57,150,593/-               | 23rd                     | 29.23% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 24 | M/S Abdul Sattar Arbani & Co. Government Contractor         | 57,234,796/-               | 24th                     | 29.42% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 25 | M/S Jilani Construction Company Government Contractor       | 57,386,362/-               | 25th                     | 29.77% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 26 | Evergreen Enterprises Government Contractor                 | 58,198,084/-               | 26th                     | 31.6% above the estimated cost  | --                                | --      |
| 27 | M/S Al-Ameer Enterprises Government Contractor              | 58,592,156/-               | 27th                     | 32.49% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 28 | M/S Mushtaque Ahmed Bullo Government Contractor Ghotki      | 58,676,360/-               | 28th                     | 32.68% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |
| 29 | M/S Ghulam Murtaza Enterprises Government Contractor Sukkur | 59,117,586/-               | 29th                     | 33.68% above the estimated cost | --                                | --      |


Member

Chairman

Member

  
(Ali Gul Qazi)  
Assistant Executive Engineer  
Education Works Sub-Division  
at Mirpur Mathelo


  
(Shabir Ahmed Bhutto)  
Executive Engineer  
Daharki Division (Irrigation)  
@ Mirpur Mathelo


  
(Nazir Ahmed Shahani)  
Executive Engineer  
Mirpur Division (Irrigation)  
@ Mirpur Mathelo

*Recommended.*

  
DIRECTOR  
GHOTKI FEEDER CANAL  
AREA WATER BOARD GHOTKI

*Per Evaluation Report is hereby approved.  
subject to the condition that the firm  
for them cause of earth work with allowed.*

  
Technical Officer  
Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority  
Hyderabad.

  
Managing Director  
Sindh Irrigation & Drainage Authority  
Hyderabad.

M/s Bhattai Pvt a company Govt: ✓  
Contractor.

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER



DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

STANDARD BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS  
FOR THE WORK OF


CONSTRUCTION OF PROTECTIVE BUND  
PROTECTION FROM POISONOUS INDUSTRIAL  
WATER OF PUNJAB  
(NON ADP)

YEAR 2017-2018

DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION) @ MIRPUR MATHELO  
PHONE NO: 0723-652186


OFFICE OF THE  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

Issued to: M/s Bhattai Lot & Company.  
Vide D.R No: & Date: 52 dt. - 09.04.2018.  
For Rs. 5,000/-

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

STANDARD FORM OF BIDDING DOCUMENT

FOR THE WORK OF

  
Bhattalghat & Co  
GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR  
MIRPUR MATHELO

CONSTRUCTION OF PROTECTIVE BUND PROTECTION  
FROM POISONOUS INDUSTRIAL WATER OF PUNJAB  
(NON ADP)

SCHEDULE - B

FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PROTECTIVE BUND PROTECTION  
FROM POISONOUS INDUSTRIAL WATER OF PUNJAB

| S. #.     | Quantity     | Item   | Rate     | Unit  | Amount     |
|-----------|--------------|--|----------|-------|------------|
| 1         | 2515207 Cft  | Barrow pit excavation undressed lead up to 100 feet<br>(a) ordinary soil.<br>(Pg - 01, Item - 03 (a)).   | 2,117.50 | % Cft | 5,325,951  |
| 2         | 2515207. Cft | Earthwork Compaction (Soft, ordinary or hard soil). (b) laying earth in 6" layers leveling dressing & watering for compaction etc. complete  | 354.00   | % Cft | 890,383    |
| 3         | 1886405 Cft  | Carriage of Earth from 2-miles.  | 502.52   | % Cft | 9,479,562  |
| 4         | 130680 Cft   | Excavation in foundation of buildings, bridges and other structures i/c daggelling dressing refilling around the structures with excavated earth and raming lead up to one chair and lift up to soft in ordinary soil. | 3,176.25 | % Cft | 415,072    |
| 5         | 142560 Cft   | Formation dressing and preparing sub-grade (b) slopes Ext: of stone pitching   | 453.75   | % Sft | 646,866    |
| 6         | 130680 Cft   | Stone filling dry hand packed as filling behind retaining walls pitching and aprons (Page-36, Item-16)   | 2,684.00 | % Cft | 3,507,451  |
| 7         | 249480 Cft   | Stone pitching i/c sub-base with hammer dressed stone on surface laid in courses i/c (Page-1, Item-16)   | 5,377.63 | % Cft | 13,416,111 |
| TOTAL Rs. |              |  |          |       | 33,681,396 |

Above / Below of CSR 48.38 % *operation 10/04/2018.*


Total Rs. 49879824

*J. M. S.*  
CONTRACTOR  
MIRPUR MATHELO


*[Signature]*  
DIVL: HEAD DRAFTSMAN  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
AT MIRPUR MATHELO

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
AT MIRPUR MATHELO

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have caused this Contract Agreement to be executed on the day, month and year first before written in accordance with their respective laws.




SIGNATURE / STAMP OF THE CONTACTOR



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO


Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the presence of:

Witness: No: 1



ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
EDUCATION WORKS SUB-DIVISION  
@ Mirpur Mathelo

Witness: No: 2




DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
DAHARKI DIVISION (IRRIGATION)  
@ MIRPUR MATHELO

*Recommended*



DIRECTOR  
GHOTKI FEEDER CANAL  
AREA WATER BOARD GHOTKI



BNATTI SINGH & CO  
GOVT