

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Roads in District Badin.
Construction of road from Wakeel Mori More
Shakh road to Chak Mohammad Ismail Memon
mile 0/0-0/3
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012 ✓
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012 ✓
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

S.No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Inder Lal	4405122	1 st Lowest	15.90 % Below 1.50% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s K.K. Const. Co.	4449812	2 nd Lowest	15.00 % Below 2.10% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Muzamil & Co.	4476261	3 rd Lowest	14.50 % Below 2.80% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TG/G-55/ 2387 Dated - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const of road Waxel Mori Mori Shakh to Chak M Ismail
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 4405122.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 4553915.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mr Inderal
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. =4405122.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. Mr Inderal
2. M/s K.K. Const. Co.
3. M/s Mujani & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 6/12/2012 Daily Ibrah / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic / Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
RAJIN.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387. DATED: - 03-12-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Roads in District Badin . ✓
Construction of road from Mithi Mori Khamiso
Notiar road to village Walam Meghwar mile
0/0- 1/ 2 .
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012. ✓
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012 ✓
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S.J.K. & Co.	13565956	1 st Lowest	16.0 % Below 1.0 % Above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Atta Mohammad Bughio	13651444	2 nd Lowest	15.50 % Below 2.50% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Diamond Const. Co.	13731627	3 rd Lowest	15.00% Below 3.10% Above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TCG-55/ 2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constal road from Mithi Mori Khumiso Notiar to Walam Meghw
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 13565956.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 14043367.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. J.K. & Co
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. =13565956.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
- 1 M/S. J.K. & Co
- 2 M/s. Alta Mohammad Bughio
- 3 M/s Diamond Const. Co

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S No: 102222012
No	

ii) News Papers-
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 6/12/2012 Daily Ibrai / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
S.P.P.R.A.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi

Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save


Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Road in District Badin
Construction of road from Phattan Parhiar Road to
village Hyder Shahani mile 0/0- 1/0
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.	10155175	1 st Lowest	16.15 % Below 3.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Shahid Khan Aferidi	10201948	2 nd Lowest	15.80 % Below 2.30% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Pirzada & Sons.	10286065	3 rd Lowest	15.10 % Below 1.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Phattan Parhiar to Hydel Shahani
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 10155175.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 10529000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: =10155175.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.
2. M/s. Shahid Khan Afridi
3. M/s. Przada & Sons.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS.

- 19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____
- 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
 Yes No
- 21) ADVERTISEMENT :
- | | |
|-----|-------------------|
| Yes | S. No: 10222/2012 |
| No | |
- i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
- | | |
|-----|---|
| Yes | Daily Sobh Dt: 6/12/2012 Daily Ibrah / Express dt: 07.12.2012 |
| No | |
- ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)
- 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Heavy Int.
- 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No
- 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No
- 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
- 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No
- 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No
- 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of ACQUISITIVE ENGINEER
Authorized Officer HIGHWAYS DIVISION
Sindh H.O.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT



1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Roads in District Badin .
Construction of road from Tando Bago Tando Bago Jhudo road at Adoori Tail to village Abdullah Mallah mile 0/0- 2/0
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. **Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/ rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S. L.A United Constructions .	21316096	1 st Lowest	16.25 % Below 4.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2	M/s Diamond Const: Co . .	21485221	2 nd Lowest	15.60 % Below 3.10% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3	M/s Khalil Engg: works .	21636235	3 rd Lowest	15.00% Below 2.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: 1/GS-65/2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Tanco Bago Jhudo road Adoori Tal to Abdu
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 21316096.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 22130000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. LA United Constructions
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. ~21316096.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S L. A. United Constructions
2. M/s. Diamond Const. Co
3. M/s Khali Engg. Works
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 5/12/2012 Daily Itirat / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Household	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
11/2

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Roads in District Badin, Construction of road from Tando Bago Khairpur Gambo road to village Khamiso Qambrani mile 0/0-2/0
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012, Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S.L.A.United Construction.	21423299	1 st Lowest	16.20 % Below 5.0 % Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Waseem & Co.,	21500633	2 nd Lowest	15.90 % Below 4.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Khalil Engg. Works.	21732635	3 rd Lowest	15.00% Below 3.00% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TCG-5B/ 2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Tando Bago Khairpur Gambo to Khamiso Q.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME PSD Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 21423299.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 22230000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3:00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 05 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. L.A. United Constructors
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: -21423299.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. L.A. United Constructors
2. M/s. Waseem & Co.
3. M/s. Khalil Engg. Works

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED - - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 8/12/2012 Daily Ibrah / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
10277000000000000000

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


BID EVALUATION REPORT

4


1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.L.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Road in District Badin
Construction of road from Tando Bago Nindo
Abdullah Buledi road to Olya Rajseen mile 0/0- 1
/2.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. **Bid Evaluation Report:**

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Sikandar Ali Junejo.	13240821	1 st Lowest	16.15 % Below 3.0% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s J.K. & Co.	13311225	2 nd Lowest	15.70 % Below 2.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Khalil Engg: Works.	13403869	3 rd Lowest	15.10 % Below 2.00% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G 55/ 2387 Dated: - 05/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Tando Bago Nindo Abdullah Buledi to Olya
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 13240821.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 13531003.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 28.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Sikandar Ali Junejo
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: =13240821.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. Sikandar Ali Junejo
2. M/s J.K. & Co.
3. M/s Khal Engg Works

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh dt. 6/12/2012 Daily Iprat / Express dt. 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Foreign	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY:
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


ACCOUNTS ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
S.P.P.R.A.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Road in District Badin
Construction of road from Photo Bhatti to village
Lutuf Ali Khoso mile 0/0- 1/7.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. New Murwat Builders	20059371	1 st Lowest	15.80 % Below 2.0% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Pirzada & Sons	20254095	2 nd Lowest	15.0 % Below 3.50% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Jehanzaib & Co.	20326614	3 rd Lowest	14.75 % Below 5.10% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER-
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TC/S-55/ 2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Photo Bhatti to Lutal Ali Khosa road mile
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 20059371.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 20718000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy Attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S New Murkat Builders
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: -20059371.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S New Murkat Builders
2. M/s Pirzada & Sons
3. M/s Jehanzeb & Co

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 6/12/2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Transfer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intl	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
SPPRA

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

09

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Road in District Badin
Construction of road from Yousuf Bhoot to
village Ali Nawaz Dars mile 0/0- 0/5.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: N I L
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	M/S. Saleem Iqbal & Bros.	6887805	1 st Lowest	16.10 % Below 2.0% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2	M/s K.K. Const. Co.	6914252	2 nd Lowest	15.80 % Below 1.20% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3	M/s Mashaulah Const. Co.	6978444	3 rd Lowest	15.00 % Below 0.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TCIG-65/ 2387 Dated - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const of road from Yousuf Bhoot to village Ali Nawaz Dars
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6667805.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 7136000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3:00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS (S.O.I.) 03 Nos:
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Saleem Iqbal & Bros
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: =6667805.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. Saleem Iqbal & Bros
2. M/s. K.K. Const. Co.
3. M/s. Mashaulah Const. Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sabh. Dt: 6/12/2012 Daily Inqab / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic / Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (In case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROCUREMENT DIVISION
SPPRA

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

9

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.L.T NO: TC/G-SS/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of 20 KMs Roads in District Badin ,
Construction of road from Tando Bago Olya Khan
Mohammad Leghari road to village Moosa Kerio
mile 0/0- 0/7
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/ rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Haresh & Co.	9311890	1 st Lowest	15.90 % Below 2.80% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s J.K & Co.	9359570	2 nd Lowest	15.50 % Below 1.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Atta Mohammad Bughio .	9441289	3 rd Lowest	14.75% Below 0.80% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N I T No. TO/G-55/ 2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Constal road from Tando Bago Olya Khan Mohd. Leghar to M
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 9311890.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 9529000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 25.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 05 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S Hareesh & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: =9311890.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1. M/S. Jhareesh & Co.
2. M/s J K & Co.
3. M/s Atta Mohammad Bughio

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt. 6/12/2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of: 
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Badin Golarchi road to D.H.Q Civil Hospital Badin mile 0/0-0/1.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express
dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. **Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/ rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. New Murwat Builders	2819205	1 st Lowest	16.00 % Below 3.75% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s White Traders	2826267	2 nd Lowest	15.80 % Below 3.25% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Jehanzaib & Co.	2836266	3 rd Lowest	15.50% Below 2.90% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: IC/3/55/2387 Dated. - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const of road from Badin Golearchi road to D.H.Q Civil Hospital
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME R&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 2819205.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 2916000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. New Murwal Builders
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: -2819205.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. New Murwal Builders
2. M/s White Traders
3. M/s Jehanzeb & Co

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

- 19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____
- 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
 Yes No
- 21) ADVERTISEMENT:
- | | | |
|---|-----|-------------------|
| ii) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) | Yes | S. No. 10222/2012 |
| | No | |
- | | | |
|---|-----|--|
| ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | Yes | Daily Soch dt. 06.12.2012 Daily Inrat / Express dt. 07.12.2012 |
| | No | |
- 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic / Int. Int.
- 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
 Yes No
- 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
 Yes No
- 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
 Yes No
- 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
 Yes No
- 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
 Yes No
- 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
 Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT



1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Bhugra Memon Mirwah Talhar Bridge to village Pir Alam Shah Bachal Jakhro & Mohammad Talib Bucho mile 1/2 - 1/7
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012, Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Syed Shafiq Ahmed Shah .	5566366	1 st Lowest	16.10 % Below 1.75% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s B.M. Const. Co.	5589280	2 nd Lowest	15.75 % Below 2.10% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s J.K. & Co.	5638605	3 rd Lowest	15.00 % Below 3.00% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


PROVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TC/G-55/ 2387 Dated - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const:of road from Bhugra Meman Mirwah Talhar to Talib Buc
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 5566366.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 5766600.00
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 28.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Syed Shafiq Ahmed Shah,
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: =5566366.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. Syed Shafiq Ahmed Shah
2. M/s B.M. Const. Co.
3. M/s J.K. & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers:
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt. 8/12/2012 Daily Inrat / Express dt. 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Contract	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SPPRA

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Chairman Chandio to Pader Mori via Hussain Sahito mile 0/5- 1/ 2.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012,
Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Muzamil & Co.	6796478	1 st Lowest	16.00 % Below 2.0% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Jehanzeb & Co.	6838848	2 nd Lowest	15.50 % Below 3.10% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s- J.K. & Co.	6859365	3 rd Lowest	15.25 % Below 3.50% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TC/G-65/2087 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const of road from Chairman Chando to Pader Man via Hussai
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 6796478.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 6951800.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3:00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Muzamil & Co
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. -16798878.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1. M/S. Muzamil & Co.
2. M/s. Jehanzab & Co.
3. M/s. J.K. & Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED ; - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING, ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 06/12/2012; Daily Ibrat / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Locality / Civil	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SPPRA

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Mehboob Ali Shah road to village Ghulam Rasool Rind mile 1/2 – 1/5
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012, Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of TEs technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Price Fixed: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S/ Ali Bros.	3439507	1 st Lowest	16.20 % Below 0.50% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/S/ Ghani Electric & Works	3448425	2 nd Lowest	16.00 % Below 1.00% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/S/ Rajev Enterprises	3470722	3 rd Lowest	15.50 % Below 2.25% above	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


TENDERING OFFICER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department

2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin

3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works

4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/2387 Dated - 03/12/2012

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Mehboob Ali Shah to Ghulam Rasool Rind

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 3430507.00

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 3486000.00
(Civil works only)

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 Months

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____

14) EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Attach a copy)

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Ali Bros.

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. =7019827.00

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1. M/S. Ali Bros.
2. M/s. Qhali Electric & Civil Works
3. M/s. Rajev Enterprises

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one)

SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local

SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

21a) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

21b) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh Dt: 07.12.2012, Daily Ibraal / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic / Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WHETHER BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

19. ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

20. ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

21. WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

22. DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

23. IS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24. WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


25. WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26. SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature of Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REGULATORY DIVISION

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

15

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Tando Bago Jhudo road to village Bakhu Chandio along I.Path of Sim Nali i/c Link to village Dr. Mohammad Hassan Mallah mile 1/5 – 2/2.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012, Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. K.K. Const. Co.	5709509	1 st Lowest	16.10 % Below 1.25% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Mashaulah Engrs Co.	5747959	2 nd Lowest	15.50 % Below 1.00% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Rajeev Enterprises	5775087	3 rd Lowest	15.10 % Below 0.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No. TC/G-56/2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const. of road from Tando Bagu Jhudo road to Bakhu Chandro
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6798509.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 6908000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S K.K. Const. Co
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: -7019827.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. K.K. Const. Co
2. M/s. Mashaulah Engrs. Co.
3. M/s. Rajev Enterprises
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- 1) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/Local
- 2) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- 3) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- 4) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

21) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10222/2012
No	

21) News Papers:
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh dt: 06/12/2012 Daily Insaaf / Express dt: 07/12/2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Regular	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED OR BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Enclosure of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature of Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REGISTRATION DIVISION
SPPRA

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY


SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2387, DATED: - 03-12-2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of road from Bakhsho Lund to Saman Sarkar via Usman Hajam mile 0/5 - 1/ 2
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10222/2012, Daily Sobh dated 6.12.2012 Daily Ibrat / Express dated 07.12.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 26.12.2012
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S.No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/ rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S. Meharja Engg: Works.	7019827	1 st Lowest	15.90 % Below 1.50% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. New Murwat Builders.	7092282	2 nd Lowest	15.00 % Below 2.10% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.	7134168	3 rd Lowest	14.50 % Below 2.80% above.	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER NIT No. TCN-55/ 2387 Dated: - 03/12/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Const of road from Bakhshe Lund to Saman Sarkar via Usman
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&O Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 7019827.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 7245000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 26.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 05 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Meharia Engg. Works
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. =7019827.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/S. Meharia Engg. Works
2. M/s. New Murwat Builders
3. M/s. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/Local
- SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC.) WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10222/2012
No	

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Sobh dt: 01/12/2012 Daily Inrat / Express dt: 07.12.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Design / Est	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inv.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi

Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291