

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin.
Improvement of Internal roads in Nindo Town ✓
mile 0/0- 1/ 3.
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012 ✓
Daily Sabh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang
dated 28.11.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Jehanzeb & Co.	10546190	1 st Lowest	12.80 % Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s White Traders	10584337	2 nd Lowest	12.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Saeed Const.Co.	10649609	3 rd Lowest	11.90% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/2340 Dated: 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT MPT. OF INTERNAL ROADS OF NINOO TOWN
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHUMF P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 10546190.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 10899000.00
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3:00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 05 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Jehanzeb & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 10050482.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s Jehanzeb & CO
2. M/s White Traders
3. M/s Saeed Const. Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS:

- 19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____
- 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
 Yes No
- 21) ADVERTISEMENT :
- | | | |
|--|-----|---|
| i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) | Yes | S. No: 10105/2012 |
| | No | |
| ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | Yes | Sobh / Express Dt: 27/11/2012 Jang dt: 28.11.2012 |
| | No | |
- 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic Int.
- 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
 Yes No
- 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
 Yes No
- 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
 Yes No
- 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
 Yes No
- 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
 Yes No
- 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
 Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
REVENUE DEPARTMENT
SADIN.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin.
Improvement of Internal roads of Pangrio Town. ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012, ✓
Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang
dated 28.11.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Jehanzeb & Co.	7119750	1 st Lowest	12.85% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Niaz Khan Bhatti	7139922	2 nd Lowest	12.60% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Pirzada & Bros.	7168163	3 rd Lowest	12.25% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/2340 Date: - 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT IMPT. OF INTERNAL ROADS OF PANGRIO TOWN
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 7119750.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 7238000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Jehanzeb & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 7119750.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s Jehanzeb & Co.
2. M/s Niaz Khan Bhatti
3. M/s Pirzada & Sons
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS)

- 19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____
- 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
 Yes No
- 21) ADVERTISEMENT :
- | | | |
|--|-----|---|
| i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) | Yes | S. No: 10105/2012 |
| | No | |
| ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) | Yes | Sobh / Express Dt: 27/11/2012 Jang di: 28.11.2012 |
| | No | |
- 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
 Local Int.
- 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
 (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
 (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
- 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
 Yes No
- 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
 Yes No
- 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)
 Yes No
- 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?
 Yes No
- 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
 Yes No
- 30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
 (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
 Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes:	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of 
Authorized Officer

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin,
Improvement of Internal roads in Badin Town ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure ✓
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012 ✓
Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang
dated 28.11.2012.
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bids Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.	8437959	1 st Lowest	16.0 % Below 12.75% Below 5.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Shahzaih & Co.	8474141	2 nd Lowest	15.75% Below 12.50% Below 3.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s J.K. & Co.	8516376	3 rd Lowest	15.25% Below 12.10% Below 3.00% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N T No: TCG-55/2340 Dated: 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT IMPT. OF INTERNAL ROADS OF BADIN TOWN
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME PSO Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 8437959.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 8607000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 00 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. -8437959.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.
2. M/s Shehzab & Co.
3. M/s J.K. & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10105/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Sobh / Express Di 27/11/2012 Jang di 28.11.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMIS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
BADIN.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

7

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340. DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin
Improvement of road from Sawan Khan Lund to ✓
Tando Bago Khairpur Gambo road mile 0/0-
0/6+330'
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure ✓
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012, ✓
Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang
dated 28.11.2012,
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s L.A. United Constructions.	9774636	1 st Lowest	12.95% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Muzamil & Co.	9824668	2 nd Lowest	12.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Shabbir Enterprises .	9869140	3 rd Lowest	12.10% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: N I T No. TC/G-55/ 2340 Dated: - 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT: IMPT. OF ROAD SAWAN KHAN LUND TO TANDO BAGO
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: Rs. 9774636.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For civil works only): Rs. 9991440.00
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD
(Attach list of buyers): 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS: _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy): Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/s L.A. United Constructions
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE: Rs. 9774636.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID):
1. M/s L.A. United Constructions
2. M/s Muzami & Co
3. M/s Shabir Enterprises .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10/05/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Sobh / Express Dt. 27/11/2012 Jang dt. 28.11.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD.
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SADTAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

5/

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin.
Improvement of road from Shadi Large to Signal ✓
Chak mile 0/0-0/7
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012, ✓
Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang
dated 28.11.2012 .
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s J.K. & Co. .	6093285	1 st Lowest	13.0 % Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2.	M/s Jehanzeb & Co. .	6107141	2 nd Lowest	12.80% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Saleem Iqbal Bros. .	6127925	3 rd Lowest	12.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/GS-55/2340 Dated: - 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT IMPT. OF ROAD SHADI LARGE TO SIGNAL CHAK
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6093285.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 6301129.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 04 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s L.A. United Constructions .
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 6093285.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s J.K & CO
2. M/s Johanzeb & Co.
3. M/s Saleem Iqbal & Bros .

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10105/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Sobh / Express Dt: 27/11/2012 Jang dt: 28.11.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED, ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SADIN.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

6

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin, Improvement of road from Shadi Large to Signal Chak @ Point Hazoor Bux to Dholio Khan Lund via Qabaily Chak mile 0/0-1/1. ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012, Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang dated 28.11.2012. ✓
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Haresh . & Co.	10050462	1 st Lowest	13.10 % Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Muzamil & Co.	10091078	2 nd Lowest	12.75% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Saleem Iqbal Bros.	10121479	3 rd Lowest	12.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T. No. TG/G-55/ 2340 Dated. - 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT IMPT. OF ROAD SHADI LARGE TO DHOLIO KHAN LUND
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 10050462.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 10287189.00
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 08 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Hareesh & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 10050462.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s Hareesh & Co.
2. M/s Mazari & Co.
3. M/s Saleem Iqbal & Bros.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED (i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC) WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No: 10105/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Sobh / Express Dt: 27/11/2012 Jang dt. 26.11.2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY:
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION
S.A.D.P.N.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

BID EVALUATION REPORT

22/

1. Name of Procuring Agency: HIGHWAYS DIVISION BADIN ✓
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 2340, DATED: - 20-11-2012 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation of roads in District Badin, Improvement of road from Pangrio Wango road to village Ch: Din Mohammad & Ch: Bashir Ahmed mile 0/0- 3/0 ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage – One envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 10105/2012 ✓
Daily Sobh / Express dated 27.11.2012 Daily Jang dated 28.11.2012
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): Not Applicable
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 24.12.2012 ✓

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Sikandar Ali Junejo .	9974795	1 st Lowest	13.0% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Waseem & Co.	10031491	2 nd Lowest	12.50% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s Niaz Khan Bhatti.	10099527	3 rd Lowest	11.90% Below	Qualified as per evolution criteria	Responsive

Signatures of the Members of the Committee


DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN


SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
WORKS & SERVICES BADIN


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N / I No: TC/GS-55/2340 Dated - 20/11/2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT IMPT. OF ROAD CH: DIN MOHD. & CH: BASHIR AHMED
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME P&D Department
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 9974795.00
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 10315000.00
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 04 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 24.12.2012 at 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Sikandar Ali Junejo
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 9974795.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
1. M/s Sikandar Ali Junejo
2. M/s Wasoem & Co.
3. M/s Naz Khan Bhatti

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	S. No. 10105/2012
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Sobit / Express Dt: 27/11/2012 Jang dt: 28/11/2012
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

General Work	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED.
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY:
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer


NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENT
SPPRA
SPPRA

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset