

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Name of Work Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure
 Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water
 Supply Scheme Khorwah in Taluka Shaheed
 Fazal Rahu District Badin

N.I.T No. TC/1139
 Dated 03-02-2012

Dated of issue 24-02-2012
 27-02-2012
 Date of opening 28-02-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S)Badin vide No:SE/W&S/DB/BDN/216 Dated 26-01-2012 amounting to Rs 2086339.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	673856.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	398789.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	352406.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	340070.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	144730.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R.C	Rs.	28240.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	0.00
	Total	Rs.	1938091.00
	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	134771.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	2072862.00
	2% Contingencies	Rs.	13477.00
	G.Total Rs.	Rs.	2086339.00
	Say Rs.	Rs.	2,0863

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool	71.00% Above S.I
2	Shakh Muhammad Ali	72.10% Above S.I
3	M/s Imtiaz Ali	73.00% Above S.I
	LOWEST BID COST	
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 673856.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 398789.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 71.00% above on S.I	Rs. 478438.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 513040.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 2064123.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 2086339.00
	Difference	Rs. 22216.00

The lowest B-I percentage rate tender in favour of M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Government Contractor 71.00% above on schedule items, being 01.06% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

22216.00 100 = 1.06% Below on Estimated cost

2086339.00


 Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engg. Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
- 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 7 (Seven)
- 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Khorwah Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME PDWP
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.064 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 2.0863 Million
(For Civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT 3Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD) 3 Nos
(Attached) list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 3 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 3 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT 3 Nos
(Enclosed a Copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Government Contractor Village Umer Memon P.O Matli.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 2.064 Million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
 - 1) M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool (Lowest) Government Contractor
 - 2) Shaikh Muhammad Ali Government Contractor
 - 3) M/s Imtiaz Ali Government Contractor
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one)
 - (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic / Local
 - (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE
 - (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
 - (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

ANNEX-A

Name of Work Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure
 Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Ashraf abad Taluka & District Badin
N.I.T No. TC/1139
Dated 03-02-2012
Date of issue 27-02-2012
Date of opening 28-02-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S) Badin vide No: SE/W&S/DB/BDN/216 Dated 26-01-2012 amounting to Rs 2980541.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	1227075.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	417187.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	607291.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	63665.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	313240.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R.C		61120.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	8736.00
	Total	Rs.	2698314.00
8	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	245415.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	2943729.00
	3% Contingencies	Rs.	36812.00
	G.Total	Rs.	2980541.00
	Say Rs.		2.9805

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool	68.80% Above S.I
2	Ghosia Contractor	69.10% Above S.I
3	Z.H Const:	70.00% Above S.I
LOWEST BID COST		
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 1227075.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 417187.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 59.50% above on S.I	Rs. 844228.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 446761.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 2935251.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 2980541.00
	Difference	Rs. 45290.00

The lowest B-1 percentage rate tender in favour of M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Government Contractor 68.80% above on schedule items, being 01.54% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

45290.00 100
 2943729.00 = 1.54% Below on Estimated cost


 Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engg: Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh |
| 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | Rural Water Supply Scheme Ashrafabad |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | 1 (One) |
| 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Ashrafabad Taluka & District Badin. |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | PDWP |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 2.935 Million |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil works only) | 2.9805 Million |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT | 3Months |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM) |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD)
(Attached) list of buyers) | 3 Nos |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 3 Nos |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 3 Nos |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a Copy) | 3 Nos |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Government Contractor Village Umer Memon P.O Matli. |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 2.935 Million |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 1) M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Memon (Lowest) Government Contractor
2) M/s Gohsia Contractor Government Contractor
3) M/s Z.H Constt: Government Contractor |
| 18) METHOOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one) | |
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic / Local |
| (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engg: Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS .

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindho, Express Turbo Umat.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

Name of Work

Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Puniab Chak-II in Taluka & District Badin

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Annex-D

N.I.T No. TC/1139 Dated of issue 24-02-2012
 Dated 03-02-2012 Date of opening 28-02-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S) Badin vide No: SE/W&S/DB/BDN/216 Dated 26-01-2012 amounting to Rs 1900833.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	849373.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	226626.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	281421.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	99799.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	177530.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R C		34640.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	37088.00
	Total	Rs.	1706477.00
8	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	169875.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	1876352.00
	3% Contingencies	Rs.	24481.00
	G.Total	Rs.	1900833.00
	Say	Rs.	1,901

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	Muhammad Mattaro & sons	53.00% Above S.I
2	Mr. Ibrahim Zardari	54.00% Above S.I
3	M/s Shahid Builders	54.20% Above S.I
	LOWEST BID COST	
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 849373.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 226626.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 53.00% above on S.I	Rs. 450168.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 349057.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 1875224.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 1900833.00
	Difference	Rs. 25609.00

The lowest B-1 percentage rate tender in favour of Muhammad Mattaro & Sons Government Contractor 53.00% above on schedule items, being 01.35% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

25609.00 100
 1900833.00 = 1.35% Below on Estimated cost

Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engg. Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh | |
| 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh | |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | Rural Water Supply Scheme Punjab Chak-II | |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | 2 (Two) | |
| 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Punjab Chak-II Taluka & District Badin. | |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | PDWP | |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.8752 Million | |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil works only) | 1.901 Million | |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT | 3Months | |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM) | |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD)
(Attached) list of buyers) | 3 Nos | |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 3 Nos | |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 3 Nos | |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a Copy) | 3 Nos | |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | Muhammad Mataro & Sons, Government Contractor, Village Soofan Shah Taluka Digri | |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 1.8752 Million | |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 1) Muhammad Mattaro & sons (Lowest) Government Contractor
2) Mr. Ibrahim Zardari Government Contractor
3) M/s Shahid Builders Government Contractor | |
| 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one) | | |
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td>Domestic / Local</td></tr></table> | Domestic / Local |
| Domestic / Local | | |
| (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engg: Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS.

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	
No			

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindh, Express Turbo Umat.		
No			

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	N/A
-----	--	----	-----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	N/A	No	N/A
-----	-----	----	-----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes,result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS
(If yes give detailes)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes ,give reasons

Yes	✓
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA
(If yes ,give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLER'S
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILES TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING
FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)


Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	✓
No	✓

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation
report on SPPRA website


Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engg: Division
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Name of Work	Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Murad Chandio Taluka & District Badin	N.I.T No. TC/1139 Dated 03-02-2012	Dated of issue 24-02-2012 27-02-2012 Date of opening 28-02-2012
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S) Badin vide No:SE/W&S/DB/BDN/204 Dated 25-01-2012 amounting to Rs. 1035102.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	478273.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	30000.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	194538.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	83106.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	121155.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R C		23640.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	8736.00
	Total	Rs.	939448.00
8	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	95654.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	1035102.00
	G.Total Rs.		1035102.00
	Say Rs.		1,035

The lowest B-1 percentage rate tender in favour of Mr. Aliyo Charin Government Contractor 59.50% above on schedule items, being 0.54% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	Mr. Aliyo Charin	59.50% Above S.I
2	M/s Shahid Builders	61.00% Above S.I
3	Muhammad Mattaro & sons	62.00% Above S.I
LOWEST BID COST		
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 478273.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 30000.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 59.50% above on S.I	Rs. 284573.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 236637.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 1029483.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 1035102.00
	Difference	Rs. 5619.00

5619.00	100	=	0.54%	Below on Estimated cost
1035102.00				


 Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engr: Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh | |
| 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh | |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Murrad Chandio | |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | 3 (Three) | |
| 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Ali Murrad Chandio Taluka & District Badin. | |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | PDWP | |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 1.0295 Million | |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil works only) | 1.0351 Million | |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT | 3 Months | |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM) | |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD)
(Attached) list of buyers) | 3 Nos | |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 3 Nos | |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 3 Nos | |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a Copy) | 3 Nos | |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | Aliyo Charin Governemnt Contractor Village Muhammad Rahim Nizamani Taluka Matli. | |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 1.0295 Million | |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 1) Aliyo Charin (Lowest)
Government Contractor
2) M/s Shahid Builders
Government Contractor
3) Muhammad Mattaro & Sons
Government Contractor | |
| 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED;- (Ticke one) | | |
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td>Domestic / Local</td></tr></table> | Domestic / Local |
| Domestic / Local | | |
| (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <table border="1" style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"><tr><td> </td></tr></table> | |
| | | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engineering Division Badin

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS .

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	
No			

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindh, Express Turbo Umat.	
No			

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	N/A
-----	--	----	-----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	N/A	No	N/A
-----	-----	----	-----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes,result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS
(If yes give detailes)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes ,give reasons

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA
(If yes ,give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILES TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING
FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)


Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	
No	✓

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation
report on SPPRA website


Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engg: Division
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

Name of Work

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure
 Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water
 Supply Scheme Payaro Lund in Taluka Tando
 Bago District Badin

N.I.T No. TC/1139
 Dated 03-02-2012

Dated of issue 27-02-2012
 Date of opening 28-02-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S)Badin vide No:SE/W&S/DB/BDN/204 Dated 25-01-2012 amounting to Rs 2739573.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	1545404.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	431917.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	200766.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	54117.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	103730.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R C	Rs.	19020.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	64260.00
	Total	Rs.	2419214.00
	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	309082.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	2728296.00
	3% Contingencies	Rs.	11277.00
	G.Total	Rs.	2739573.00
	Say	Rs.	2.740

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	M/s Shahid Builders	32.40% Above I.S
2	M/s Yousaf Jamal	33.00% Above I.S
3	Gohsia Constractor	34.50% Above I.S
	LOWEST BID COST	
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 1545404.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 431917.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 32.40% above on S.I	Rs. 500711.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 241127.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 2719159.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 2739573.00
	Difference	Rs. 20414.00

The lowest B-I percentage rate tender in favour of M/s Shahid Builders Government Contractor 32.40% above on schedule items, being 0.75% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

20414.00 100
 2739573.00 = 0.75% Below on Estimated cost


 Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engg. Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
- 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Water Supply Scheme Payaro Lund
- 4) TENDER NUMBER 5 (Five)
- 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Payaro Lund Taluka Tando Bago District Badin.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME PDWP
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 2.719 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For Civil works only) 2.740 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT 3 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM)
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD) (Attached) list of buyers) 3 Nos
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 3 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 3 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclosed a Copy) 3 Nos
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Shaid Builders Government Contractor
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 2.719 Million
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)
- 1) M/s Shaid Builders (Lowest) Government Contractor
 - 2) M/s Yousaf Jamal Government Contractor
 - 3) Gohsia Contarctor Government Contractor
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one)
- | | | |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="text" value="Domestic / Local"/> |
| (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="text"/> |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="text"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engg: Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS.

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	
No			

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindho, Express Turbo Umat.	
No			

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	N/A
-----	--	----	-----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	N/A	No	N/A
-----	-----	----	-----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes,result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS
(If yes give detailes)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes ,give reasons

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA
(If yes ,give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILES TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING
FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)


Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	
No	✓

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation
report on SPPRA website


Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engg: Division
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi.
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

6

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Annex-A.

Name of Work

Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Tarsi in Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin

N.I.T No. TC/1139
Dated 03-02-2012

Dated of issue 27-02-2012
Date of opening 28-02-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S) Deptt: Badin vide No: _____

amounting to Rs 2149870.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	946802.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	0.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	667411.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	0.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	257275.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R.C		50200.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel.	Rs.	0.00
	Total	Rs.	1921688.00
8	Add: 20% Primum on Schedule Item except	Rs.	189360.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	2111048.00
	3% Contingencies	Rs.	38822.00
	G.Total	Rs.	2149870.00
	Say	Rs.	2.150

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	Mr. Abdul Satar	89.00%	Above S.I
2	M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool	89.70%	Above S.I
3	Syed Fajaz Hussain Shalh	90.00%	Above S.I
LOWEST BID COST			
1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	946802.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	0.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 89.00% above on S.I	Rs.	842654.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs.	307475.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs.	2096931.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs.	2149870.00
	Difference	Rs.	52939.00

The lowest B-1 percentage rate tender in favour of Mr. Abdul Satar Government Contractor 89.00% above on schedule items, being 02.46% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

52939.00 100 = 2.46% Below on Estimated cost

2149870.00

Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engg: Division
Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT. | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh |
| 2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER | Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT | Rural Water Supply Scheme Tarai |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER | 6 (Six) |
| 5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT | Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Tarai Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu District Badin. |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME | PDWP |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE | 2.097 Million |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
(For Civil works only) | 2.150 Million |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT | 3Months |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) | 28-02-2012 (2:00 PM) |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD)
(Attached) list of buyers) | 3 Nos |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED | 3 Nos |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS | 3 Nos |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclosed a Copy) | 3 Nos |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER | Mr. Abdul Sattar Khaskhali, Government Contractor, Village Tarai Taluka Shaheed Fazal Rahu |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE | 2.097 Million |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID) | 1) Mr. Abdul Sattar Khaskhali (Lowest) Government Contractor
2) M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool Government Contractor
3) Syed Fayaz Hussain Shah Government Contractor |
| 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one) | |
| (a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic / Local |
| (b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engg: Division Badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS .

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindh, Express Turbo Umat.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			N/A

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes,result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS
(If yes give detailes)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes ,give reasons

Yes	✓
No	

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA
(If yes ,give detailed reasons

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED

Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLER'S
PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILES TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING
FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:

Yes		No	✓
-----	--	----	---

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE
CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)


Yes	✓	No	
-----	---	----	--

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief description)

Yes	✓
No	✓

39) Date of Awarred of Contract:

Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation
report on SPPRA website


Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engg: Division
Badin

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

LIST OF BUYERS

ANNEX-B

Name of Work: - Repair/Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Schemes in District Badin

Place:- Office of the Executive Engineer Public Health Engg: Division Badin on Dated 28-02-2012 at 2.00 P.M

The following bidders attended the public opening of bids.

S#	Name of Bidders
1	M/s Shahid Builders
2	M/s Shaikh Muhammad Ali
3	Muhammad Ibrahim Zardari
4	Muhammad Mattaro & Sons
5	M/s Z.H Constt:
6	Mr. Abdul Sattar Khaskheli
7	Mr. Aliyo Charin
8	M/s Lala Ghulam Rasool
9	Sayed Fayaz Hussain Shah
10	M/s Yousif Jamal
11	M/s Gohsia Contactor
12	M/s Imtiaz Ali



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION BADIN**
NO.TC/PHE/ 1286 Badin Dated. 22/3/2012.

To,


The Director (A&F) SPPRA/3-11/10-11
Government of Sindh
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority
KARACHI.

SUBJECT: BID EVALUATION REPORT SUBMISSION OF THE:

Kindly find enclosed herewith the Bid Evaluation report on contract evaluation form of Repair/Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Schemes Pahar Mari Taluka Tando Bago District Badin (1 No) as desired.

D.A/

1. Comparative Statement/Evaluation report (Annexure "A")
2. List of Buyers (Annexure "B")


(K.C Ketta)
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGG: DIVISION
BADIN**

M.A.
SPPRA INWARD DIARY
No. / 047
Dated: 26/3
Sindh Public Procurement
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

1139

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.

Name of Work

Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure
 Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural
 Water Supply Scheme Pahar Mari in Taluka
 Tando Bago District Badin

N.I.T.No.TC/1139
 Dated 03-02-2012

Date of issue 06-03-2012
 Date of opening 07-03-2012

Estimate sanctioned by Superintending Engineer (W&S) Badin vide No: SE/W&S/DB/BDN/205 Dated 25/01-12 amounting to Rs 1519906.00

DETAIL OF ESTIMATED COST.

1	Schedule Items.	Rs.	649682.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs.	553369.00
3	Cartage.	Rs.	87832.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks.	Rs.	8467.00
5	Add: Diff: Cost of Cement.	Rs.	57810.00
6	Add: Diff: Cost of S.R.C	Rs.	11280.00
7	Add: Diff: Cost of Steel	Rs.	8736.00
	Total Rs.		1377176.00
	Add: 20% Premium on Schedule Item except	Rs.	129937.00
	Total Sanction Estimate.	Rs.	1507113.00
	3% Contingencies	Rs.	12793.00
	G.Total Rs.		1519906.00
	Say Rs.		1,520

RATE QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS

1	Muhammad Imran	33.00% Above S.I
2	Mr. Aliyo Charin	34.10% Above S.I
3	M/s Yousaf Jamal	35.00% Above S.I
	LOWEST BID COST	
1	Schedule Items.	Rs. 649682.00
2	Non-Schedule Items.	Rs. 553369.00
3	Contractor quoted Rate @ 33.00% above on S.I	Rs. 214395.00
4	Add: Diff: Cost of Bricks, Cement, Steel etc.	Rs. 86293.00
	Tentative Tender Cost	Rs. 1503739.00
	Less Estimate Cost.	Rs. 1519906.00
	Difference	Rs. 16167.00

The lowest B-1 percentage rate tender in favour of Muhammad Imran Government Contractor 33.00% above on schedule items, being 1.06% below on estimated cost is found lowest.

$$16167.00 \times 100 = 1.06\% \text{ Below on Estimated cost}$$

Executive Engineer,
 Public Health Engg. Division
 Badin.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

ANNEX-A

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACT OF WORKS ,SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION/DEPTT.	Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
2) PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Local Government Department Govt: of Sindh
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT	Rural Water Supply Scheme Pahar Mari
4) TENDER NUMBER	4 (Four)
5) BRIEF DECSRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Repair / Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Pahar Mari Taluka Tando Bago District Badin.
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	PDWP
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	1.5037 Million
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For Civil works only)	1.520 Million
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT	3 Months
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	07-03-2012 (2:30 PM)
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD) (Attached) list of buyers)	3 Nos
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	3 Nos
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	3 Nos
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclosed a Copy)	3 Nos
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	Muhammad Imrran Government Contractor House No: 14/C Block A, Unit No: 10 Litifabad Hyderabad.
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	1.5037 Million
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT(i.e, 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID)	1) Muhammad Imrran (Lowest) Government Contractor 2) Mr. Aliyo Charin Government Contractor 3) M/s Yousaf Jamal Government Contractor
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED:- (Ticke one)	
(a) SINGLE STAGE :- ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic / Local
(b) SINGLE STAGE -TWO EVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
(c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
(d) TWO STAGE-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E EMERGENCY,DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARDED OF CONTRACT

Executive Engineer Public Health
Engg: Division badin.

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

(i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6660/2012	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of news papers and Dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1) Ibrat 29-02-2012 Sindh, Express Turbo Umat.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCULDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCULDED IN BIDDING /TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID /BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A	<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT


Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER THE NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES
WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDER THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT ? (attach copy of the bid evaluation)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

<p>31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes,result thereof)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 20%;">Yes</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td style="text-align: center;">✓</td></tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes					
No	✓				
<p>32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /DOCUMENTS (If yes give detailes)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 20%;">Yes</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td style="text-align: center;">✓</td></tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes					
No	✓				
<p>33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes ,give reasons</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 20%;">Yes</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td style="text-align: center;">✓</td></tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes					
No	✓				
<p>34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERA (If yes ,give detailed reasons</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 20%;">Yes</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td style="text-align: center;">✓</td></tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes					
No	✓				
<p>35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	✓	No	
Yes	✓	No			
<p>36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL,OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO,DETAILES TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VIST ,IF ABROAD:</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> </tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes		No	✓		
<p>37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GURARANTEE ETC)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Yes</td> <td style="width: 25%; text-align: center;">✓</td> <td style="width: 25%;">No</td> <td style="width: 25%;"></td> </tr> </table>	Yes	✓	No	
Yes	✓	No			
<p>38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief description)</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="width: 20%;">Yes</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>No</td><td style="text-align: center;">✓</td></tr> </table>	Yes		No	✓
Yes					
No	✓				
<p>39) Date of Awarded of Contract:</p>	<p>Contract shall be awarded 7 days after publication of bid evaluation report on SPPRA website</p>				
<p style="text-align: center;">  Executive Engineer, Public Health Engg. Division Badin </p>					
<p>FOR OFFICE USE ONLY</p>					

SPPRA,Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
 Tele:021-920536:021-9205369 & Fax 021-9206291

LIST OF BUYERS

Name of Work: - Repair/Rehabilitation of Infrastructure Damaged During Rain Flood 2011 Rural Water Supply Scheme Pahar Mari Taluka Tando Bago District Badin

Place:- Office of the Executive Engineer Public Health Engg: Division Badin on Dated 07-03-2012 at 2.00 P.M

The following bidders attended the public opening of bids.

S#	Name of Bidders
1	Muhammad Imrran
2	Mr. Aliyo Charin
3	M/s Yousif Jamal