

BID EVALUATION REPORT

Construction of Reverse Osmosis (water Desalination) Plant room, Diesel Generator room, Guard room, Lavatory, compound wall Under Ground Tanks, etc, including External Development works in 29 villages of Districts Tharparkar & Umerkot.

1. Tender under sealed cover on B-I form for Construction of Reverse Osmosis (water Desalination) Plant room, Diesel Generator room, Guard room, Lavatory, compound wall Under Ground Tanks, etc, including External Development works in 24 villages of Districts Tharparkar & Umerkot (ADP SCHEME # 289) and in three village of District Thatta as well as External Development work at village Gulab Khan Leghari, district Badin (ADP SCHEME # 286) were invited from the contractors / firms registered with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC) in the relevant category and meeting the criteria under SPPRA Rules, 2010. The invitation to Bid issued to the Bidders suggest the procurement through open competitive bidding to be processed under single-stage-two envelope methodology.

Tender notice was published vide No.INF/KRY.NO.724/2011 in leading newspapers viz. Daily Kawish (Sindhi) Daily Express (Urdu) Daily Dawn dated 2nd March, 2011 and also hoisted on SPPRA Website. The date of opening initially was scheduled on 24th March, 2011, subsequently extended uptill 6th April, 2011. A corrigendum was accordingly published in leading dailies vide NO.INF/KRY NO.982/11 dated 26th March, 2011

As per Tender Notice the participating firms/companies were required to furnish following information along with Technical Proposal:


1. Company profile with financial status of last five years
2. Proven track record in the relevant field
3. List indicating number of equipments and other allied machinery required for these works available with firm
4. List of technical manpower/consultant on their payroll with relevant field experience for execution of works



5. details of projects undertaken, under execution/negotiations
6. Reference of similar works alongwith execution certificate
7. A certificate to the effect that firm has never been blacklisted by any government agency or in litigation anywhere in Pakistan


2. In response to advertisement following 41 firms purchased the tender documents against payment of prescribed fee (in shape of Pay order in favor of Director General, Sindh Coal Authority)

- 1 M/s. Mascon Construction & Project Management
- 2 M/s. Haji Sirajuddin Soomro
3. M/s Al Noor Construction Co.
4. M/s. Development Engineering Works
5. M/s. Trident Construct (Pvt) Ltd.
6. M/s. Hotchand Bhuram
7. M/s. Lemoon Mal
8. M/s. Kinlex Water System Contractor
9. M/s. Shafi Muhammad
10. M/s Muhammad Sameer
11. M/s. Manzoor Ahmed
12. M/s. AMCON Engineers
13. M/s. Friends Engineering Associates
14. M/s. BS Traders & Engineering Services
15. M/s. Siraj Ahmed A. Majeed
16. M/s. Leo International Contractors
17. M/s. Mashallah Engineering Co.
18. M/s. Ali & Ali
19. M/s. Rooma Construction



20. M/s. Al-Shafqat & Co.
21. M/s. Muhammad Riaz Kiyani & Co.
22. M/s. Chand Builders
23. M/s. Noorani Traders
24. M/s. Kohisar Enterprises
25. M/s. M&P Co.
26. M/s. A.K Engineers
27. M/s. Construction Zone
28. M/s. Al Naveed Enterprises
29. M/s. Abdul Hakeem & Co.
30. M/s. K.K Construction Works
31. M/s. Chander Kumar Karmani
32. M/s. Evershine Construction
33. M/s. Noor Muhammad Soomro
34. M/s. Dharti Electric & Civil Works
35. M/s. Relumal & Co.
36. M/s. Karoonjhar Builders
37. M/s. Manoj Kumar Pirbhu Lal Rathi
38. M/s. Mool Shankar & Chetan Das
39. M/s. Malani Construction Co.
40. M/s. Anolakh Chohan
41. M/s. Shafi Mohd. & Co.

3. As against 41 participating bidders, following 29 firms submitted their Technical and Financial Proposals as per the required format of separate envelope for Technical and financial proposals. The Technical proposals were opened publicly in presence of the bidders, on the same day and within the deadline by a Technical Team comprising of



Assistant Director (Project), Survey & Drawing Officer and Project Consultants
M/S RCC Consultants under the Chairmanship of Director General Sindh Coal
Authority for evaluation.

1. M/s. Haji Sirajuddin Soomro
2. M/s Al Noor Construction Co.
3. M/s. Development Engineering Works
4. M/s. Hotchand Bhuromal
5. M/s. Lemoon Mal
6. M/s. Kinlex Water System Contractor
7. M/s. Shafi Muhammad
8. M/s. Manzoor Ahmed
9. M/s. Siraj Ahmed A. Majeed
10. M/s. Leo International Contractors
11. M/s. Rooma Construction
12. M/s. Al-Shafqat & Co.
13. M/s. Muhammad Riaz Kiyani & Co.
14. M/s. Chand Builders
15. M/s. Noorani Traders
16. M/s. Kohisar Enterprises
17. M/s. M&P Co.
18. M/s. Al Naveed Enterprises
19. M/s. Abdul Hakeem & Co.
20. M/s. K.K Construction Works
21. M/s. Chander Kumar Karmari
22. M/s. Evershine Construction



23. M/s. Noor Muhammad Soomro
24. M/s. Relumal & Co.
25. M/s. Manoj Kumar Purbhu Lal Rathi
26. M/s. Mool Shankar & Chetan Das
27. M/s. Malani Construction Co.
28. M/s. Amolakh Chohan
29. M/s. Shafi Mohel. & Co.

4. The evaluation is made purely on the basis of information given in the documents submitted by the bidders as per bid evaluation criteria. Hence the right to verify veracity of bidders claims especially about the availability of technical manpower, machinery/equipment in order to discharge its duty is reserved by the SCA

BID EVALUATION CRITERIA

Requirement in English version of the Advertisement	As is pre-qualification vetting Proforma
1. Registration in P.E.C	It carried 20 marks
2. Financial status (advertisement item a.)	It carried 10 marks basis is yearly turnover as in Bank statement.
3. Proven track record in relevant field (item b, and f of advertisement)	It carried 30 marks for volume of work undertaken in last 3 years.
4. List of equipments(item 'c' of advertisement)	It carried 10 marks
5. List of technical manpower (item 'd' of advertisement)	It carried 10 marks
6. Reference of similar works in Thar (item 'g' of advertisement)	Work experience in Thar in last 3 years carried 20 marks

EVALUATION REPORT OF TECHNICAL PROPOSALS

S. No.	Items	Registration with PEC in appropriate Criteria	Total volume of work done in last 03 Yrs	Total volume of work done in last 03 Yrs in Thar Area	Employed Engineers	Financial turnover in last 01 Year as per Bank statement	Owned machinery/Vehicles; No. points for rented Equipments	Total points Earned
Criteria	No. Evaluation of those who do not produce current year PEC certificate. 20 Points	One point for every Rs.15.00 Million work done Max:30 points	One point for every Rs.15.00 Million work done Max:20 points	02 points for every 1 graduate Engineer & one point for every 1 diploma Engineer Employed Max:10 points	01 point for every Rs. 10.00 million received Max:10 points	One point for each 10 equipments /vehicles. Max:10 points	100 points	
01	M/s. Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	20	30	20	10	10	10	100
02	M/s. Al Noor Construction Co.	20	30	-	4	10	10	74
03	M/s. Development Engineering Works	20	1	1	3	0	1	26
04	M/s. Hotchand Bhuromal	20	13	12	7	2	3	57
05	M/s. Lemoon Mal	20	5	5	10	0	0	40
06	M/s. Kinlex Water System Contractor	20	1	0	10	2	7	40
07	M/s. Shafi Muhammad	20	12	0	9	10	5	56
08	M/s. Manzoor Ahmed	20	30	6	7	10	6	79
09	M/s. Siraj Ahmed A. Majeed	20	6	0	7	0	8	41

10	M/s. Leo International Contractors	20	2	0	10	2	7	41
11	M/s. Rooma Construction	No current /valid PEC certificate	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	M/s. Al-Shajqat & Co.	20	6	0	6	1	2	35
13	M/s. Muhammad Riaz Kiyani & Co.	20	2	0	0	0	1	23
14	M/s. Chand Builders	20	2	1	6	0	2	31
15	M/s. Noorani Traders	20	1	1	10	2	6	40
16	M/s. Kohsar Enterprises	20	1	1	10	10	10	52
17	M/s. M&P Co.	20	2	0	10	0	2	34
18	M/s. Al Naveed Enterprises	20	5	0	5	3	2	35
19	M/s. Abdul Hakeem & Co.	20	3	1	7	7	2	40
20	M/s. K.K Construction Works	20	10	2	6	3	2	43
21	M/s. Chander Kumar Karmali	20	2	0	0	1	1	24
22	M/s. Evershine Construction	20	0	0	6	0	0	26
23	M/s. Noor Muhammad Soomro	20	2	0	2	0	1	25
24	M/s. Refumal & Co.	No current certificate PEC	-	-	-	-	-	-

25	M/s. Manoj Kumar Pirbhui Lal Rathil	No current certificate	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	M/s. Mool Shankar & Chetan Das	No current PEC certificate	-	-	-	-	-	-
27	M/s. Malani Construction Co.	20	5	5	7	1	2	40
28	M/s. Anolakh Chohan	20	1	1	10	2	6	40
29	M/s. Shafi Mohd. & Co.	20	1	0	6	3	4	34


SUMMARY

Purely on the basis of information provided by each bidder, the participating firms have obtained following percentage of marks.

S.NO.	Name of the Bidder	% Marks.
01	M/s Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	100
02	M/s Al Noor Construction Co	74
03	M/s. Development Engineering Works	26
04	M/s. Hotchand Bhuromal	57
05	M/s. Lemoon Mal	40
06	M/s. Kinlex Water System Contractor	40
07	M/s. Shafi Muhammad	56
08	M/s. Manzoor Ahmed	79
09	M/s. Siraj Ahmed A. Majeed	41
10	M/s. Leo International Contractors	41

11	M/s. Rooma Construction	No current PEC certificate
12	M/s. Al-Shafgat & Co.	35
13	M/s. Muhammad Riaz Kiyani & Co.	23
14	M/s. Chand Builders	31
15	M/s. Noorani Traders	40
16	M/s. Kohisar Enterprises	52
17	M/s. M&P Co.	34
18	M/s. Al Naveed Enterprises	35
19	M/s. Abdul Hakeem & Co.	40
20	M/s. K.K. Construction Works	43
21	M/s. Chandler Kumar Karmani	24
22	M/s. Evershine Construction	26
23	M/s. Noor Muhammad Soomro	25
24	M/s. Relumal & Co.	No current PEC certificate
25	M/s. Manoj Kumar Pirbhu Lal Rathi	No current PEC certificate
26	M/s. Mool Shankar & Chetan Das	No current PEC certificate
27	M/s. Malani Construction Co.	40
28	M/s. Anmolakh Chohan	40
29	M/s. Shafi Mohd. & Co.	34

6. In order to ensure maximum participation and competition the Committee after due deliberation decided that the cut off line to be at 40% for pre-qualification. As



against the 29 participating firms, following 14 companies/firms are qualified for participation in the financial bid. However among 14 firms one firm M/S Lemoon Mal was qualified with judgment of error as his PEC certificate of 2010 was read as of 2011. However, at later stage his financial offer was excluded from competition. It is worthwhile that said company did not appear lowest in any of his two bids that were opened before the error was discovered.

As regards to M/S Kohisar Enterprises, it is clarified that previously on the recommendations of the Committee they were penalized by prohibiting them for participation in future tenders by the Sindh Coal Authority and that tender was rejected and re-floated.

Briefly stated that Sindh Coal Authority floated similar Tender of Civil works during July, 2010 which was appeared on 27th July, 2010 in the leading dailies, and also hoisted on SPPRA Website vide Sr. No.6238 and SPPRA Tender ID No.1853/2010.

M/S Kohisar Enterprises also participated in five packages and become lowest in four packages of the said Tender. The Team comprising of Superintending Engineer, Provincial Buildings Division, Works & Services Department, Govt. of Sindh and Assistant Director (Projects) SCA while evaluation observed that rates quoted were below the schedule rates and bids submitted were found seriously un-balanced in relation to cost estimates. It may further be added that the SCA adopted single stage two envelope procedure whereby technical and financial proposals in separate envelopes were called for. The sale of bid document was kept open for all with a view that the capability of the firm would be analyzed on the basis of technical proposals. Hence the technical proposals received were evaluated in true, perspective and transparent manner.

While evaluating the technical bid of M/s Kohisar it was observed that though they are technically qualified but considering earlier recommendations, the Committee unanimously decided not to allow them for participation in the financial bid as previously they had furnished seriously unbalanced bid resultantly the whole NIT had to be cancelled resulting in wastage of time and delay in the execution of scheme.



As per IB-28 sub-section 28.4 of standard form of bidding documents (Civil works large works) of SPPRA they were asked through letters to furnish detailed price analysis of all the items of BOQ. Despite their written undertaking, the requisite information was not submitted up till validity of tender. They were given final Notice but they failed, which resulted lapse of considerable period of time and the work was delayed under the fast track Development Scheme.

In the light of earlier recommendations of the Committee, the Financial bid of M/S Kohisar was not opened due to imposition of penalty by prohibiting them for participation in future tenders. However, their Technical proposal was opened and evaluated and they attained score of 52/100 marks.

Therefore, considering the cut-off percentage of 40 mark following firms are declared technically qualified.

1. M/s. Haji Sirajuddin Soomro
2. M/s Al Noor Construction Co.
3. M/s. Hotchand Bhuromal
4. M/s. Lemoon Mal
5. M/s. Kinlex Water System Contractor
6. M/s. Shafi Muhammad
7. M/s. Manzoor Ahmed
8. M/s. Siraj Ahmed A. Majeed
9. M/s. Leo International Contractors
10. M/s. Noorani Traders
11. M/s. Abdul Hakeem & Co.



12. M/s. K.K Construction Works

13. M/s. Malani Construction Co.

14. M/s. Anolakh Chohan

7. The Technically qualified firms were informed telephonically well in time and also notice was displayed on the Notice Board, about the date, time and venue of the opening of financial bids, which were opened on 12th April, 2011, in presence of them, by a committee headed by Additional Secretary C&EDD, Director General SCA, Assistant Director (Proj.) SDO and CEO/MD of RCC Consultants. The rates quoted by each participating bidder were publicly announced.

8. Package-wise comparative statements of the financial bids is as follows:-

Package - 01 (Jogi Marhi)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S K.K Construction Company	Part-A&B 43.25% Above	Part-C,D&E 17% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Malani Construction Company	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 49% Above	Part-C,D&E 69% Above	3 rd Lowest
4.	M/S Hoat Chand Bhuromal	Part-A&B 55% Above	Part-C,D&E 18% Above	
5.	M/S Shafi Muhammad	Part-A&B 81% Above	Part-C,D&E 20% Above	

Package - 02 (Hayat Holepoto)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 76% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.58% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 88% Above	Part-C,D&E 60% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 03 (Mubarak Rind)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Leo International Contractors	Part-A&B 85% Above 74.57%	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 86% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 04 (Godhyar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Malani Construction Company	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B 44.99% Above	Part-C,D&E 16.99% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 55.5% Above	Part-C,D&E 15.5% Above	3 rd Lowest
4.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 62% Above	Part-C,D&E 79% Above	

Package - 05 (Taryano)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B 67% Above	Part-C,D&E 22% Above	1 st Lowest
4.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 89% Above	Part-C,D&E 79% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 06 (Nanisar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Hotchand bhuromal	Part-A&B 30% Above	Part-C,D&E 10% Above	1 st lowest
2.	M/S Malani Construction	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	2 nd lowest

	Company	Above	Above	
3.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 48% Above	Part-C,D&E 65% Above	3rd lowest
4.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 51% Above	Part-C,D&E 20% Above	

Package - 07 (Chelhar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Hotchand bluumal	Part-A&B 40% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	1st lowest
2.	M/S Malani Construction Company	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	2nd lowest
3.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 59% Above	Part-C,D&E 68% Above	3rd lowest
4.	M/S Kinlex water system contractor	Part-A&B 75% Above	Part-C,D&E 12% Above	

Package - 08 (Mithrio Bhatti)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Hotchand bluumal	Part-A&B 58% Above	Part-C,D&E 18% Above	1st lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 80% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	3rd lowest

Package - 09 (Saringhyar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 85% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	1st lowest
2.	M/S Leo International Contractors	Part-A&B 95% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	2nd lowest
3.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B 90% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	3rd lowest

3rd
2nd



Package - 10 (Veejhanhar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
		Part-A&B	Part-C,D&E	
1.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	55% Above	75% Above	1 st Lowest

Package - 11 (Jiando Dars)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
		Part-A&B	Part-C,D&E	
1.	M/S Hotchand bhromal	40% Above	15% Above	1 st lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	62% Above	79% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 12 (Kharlo Ghullam Shah)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
		Part-A&B	Part-C,D&E	
1.	M/S Malani Construction Company	45% Above	15% Above	1 st lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	62% Above	80% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Manzoor Ahmed	72% Above	20% Above	3 rd Lowest

Package - 13 (Janjihar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
		Part-A&B	Part-C,D&E	
1.	M/S K.K Construction Works	74.5% Above	19.20% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	87% Above	31% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 14 (Bhunyo)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
		Part-A&B	Part-C,D&E	
1.	M/ K.K Construction Works	74% Above	19.75% Above	1 st lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	85% Above	44% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 15 (Mokhai theba)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Malani Construction Company	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 15% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Amlokh Chohan	Part-A&B 49% Above	Part-C,D&E 17% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Leo International Contractors	Part-A&B 50% Above	Part-C,D&E 18% Above	3 rd Lowest
4.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 61% Above	Part-C,D&E 18% Above	
5.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 66% Above	Part-C,D&E 85% Above	
6.	M/S Shafi Muhammad	Part-A&B 81% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.50% Above	

Package - 16 (Sobharo Sama)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Leo International Contractors	Part-A&B 45% Above	Part-C,D&E 17% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 52% Above	Part-C,D&E 18% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 85% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	3 rd Lowest

Package - 17 (Dhaklo)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B 95% Above	Part-C,D&E 35% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 110% Above	Part-C,D&E 40% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 18 (Rohitaro)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B 85% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B 90% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Noorani Traders	Part-A&B 90% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	2 nd Lowest
4.	M/S Leo International Contractors	Part-A&B 95% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	3 rd Lowest

		Above	Above	
2.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B:98% Above	Part-C,D&E 41% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B:105% Above	Part-C,D&E 42% Above	3 rd Lowest

Package - 23 (Bhada Sundo)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B:85% Above	Part-C,D&E 80% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Noorani Traders	Part-A&B:90% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S K.K Construction Works	Part-A&B:90% Above	Part-C,D&E 95% Above	2 nd Lowest

Package - 24 (Tugusar)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B:49% Above	Part-C,D&E 16% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Haji Sirajuddin Soomro	Part-A&B:66% Above	Part-C,D&E 85% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Noorani Traders	Part-A&B:74.77% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.77% Above	3 rd Lowest

Package - 25 (Ali Muhammad Jokhio)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B:19.99% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.99% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Manzoor Ahmed	Part-A&B:36.5% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.95% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Siraj Ahmed A.Majeed	Part-A&B:62% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.50% Above	3 rd Lowest
4.	M/S Al Noor Construction Co.	Part-A&B:65% Above	Part-C,D&E 29% Above	

Package - 26 (Donger Jat)

S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 38% Above	Part-C,D&E 19% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Manzoor Ahmed	Part-A&B 40% Above	Part-C,D&E 19.90% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Siraj Ahmed A.Majeed	Part-A&B 80% Above	Part-C,D&E 20% Above	3 rd Lowest
4.	M/S Al Noor Construction Co.	Part-A&B 85% Above	Part-C,D&E 55% Above	

Package - 27 (Zero Point Arab racho)


S.No	Name of Contractor	Price Quoted by contractor		Remarks
1.	M/S A.Hakeem & Co	Part-A&B 39.50% Above	Part-C,D&E 19% Above	1 st Lowest
2.	M/S Shafi Muhammad	Part-A&B 44% Above	Part-C,D&E 19% Above	2 nd Lowest
3.	M/S Al Noor Construction Co.	Part-A&B 75% Above	Part-C,D&E 45% Above	3 rd Lowest

9. The Consultant along with Assistant Director (Project) & Survey & Drawing Officer, being Technical Members of the Sindh Coal Authority, technically evaluated both Technical and Financial proposals in accordance with evaluation criteria and other terms and conditions set forth in the bidding document and in the light of rules, regulations and policies in vogue.
10. The perusal of above comparative statement of financial proposals, it will reveal that in most of the packages, there is competition of more than three firms while in package Nos.2, 3, 5, 8, 11, 12, 13 & 16 only two firms have participated. Moreover, in package No.10 only one firm have quoted the rates. After careful examination, the technical members are of firm opinion that the quoted rates are workable and their bids are quite balanced.
11. As per part "Acceptance of bids and award of procurement contracts" clause 48 of the SPPRA rules which provides that "Even when only one bid is submitted, the bidding process may be considered valid, if the bid was advertised in accordance with rules, and prices are comparable to the prices or rates of the last awarded contract or the market prices" as such the single bid / bids participated by two firms are also considered to be responsive bids.


12. In view of factual position and in the light of SPPRA rules, It is recommended that 1st lowest rates quoted in Packages 1 to 27 by the respective bidders may be approved as all bids are found to be balanced and workable. Else, all the firms have given an undertaking on the judicial stamp papers to the effect that requisite work will be completed within the stipulated period of time i.e. within 90 days from the date of possession of site.
13. The recommendations as contained in Para-12 above may please be approved so that original tenders along with estimates may be forwarded to the Chief Engineer Provincial Buildings, Works & Services Department, Government of Sindh, who is competent authority for approval of Tenders and issuance of Technical Sanctions, before the work orders are issued to the successful bidders.



(ALI AKBAR ABRO)
Assistant Director (Proj)



(ASHOK KUMAR)
S.D.O



(SHAFI MUHAMMAD LAKHO)
RCC Consultants