

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 11
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of Culverts Link Road Village Ishaque Panthwar
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad.
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 0.90 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 0.90 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 01/06/2011 at 2:00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statoment Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER  
Mis Sarang Const: Co.  
H No: 552 Jummavillage  
Amer Jumar Holli Road Hxd. Rs: 883095/-
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCTDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE: \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE: \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC, WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
<input type="checkbox"/> No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give name of newspapers and dates)

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
<input type="checkbox"/> No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Loan			

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates quoted were readout for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CREDIT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer  
*Taluka Officer (I&S)*  
*Taluka Municipal Administration*  
*Hyderabad Rural.*

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

*SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi*  
*Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291*

Print Save Reset


## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERTS LIN ROAD VILLAGE ISHAQUE PANIWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL)(D)

N.I.T No: 824/2011      Dated of Issue: 31.05.2011  
Date: 13.05.2011      Dated of Opening: 01.06.2011

DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		
1. Amount of Schedule Items	Rs: 540119/-	Sr.#	Name of Contractor	Rates
2. Add: Cartage	Rs: 97234/-	1	M/s Sarang Const. Co.	63.50% Above
<b>Total:</b>	<b>Rs: 637353/-</b>	2	M/s Indryas Bashir.	68.40% Above
3. Add: 20% above	Rs: 127471/-	3	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar.	72.60% Above
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	Rs: 71820/-	<b>LOWEST BID</b>		
5. Add: Different Cost of Brick	Rs: 63204/-	1. Amount of Schedule Items		
<b>Total:</b>	<b>Rs: 899848/-</b>	2. Amount of 63.50% above		
Estimate Cost	Rs: 899848/-	<b>Total:</b>		
Schedule B	Rs: 540119/-	Rs: 342976/-		
	Rs: 359729/-	Rs: 883095/-		
$359729 \times 100 = 69.34\% \text{ Above}$ $518770$				
As Per Estimated Cost		Rs: 899848/-		
As Per Lowest Tender Cost		Rs: 883095/-		
		Rs: 16753/-		

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
**Taluka Municipal Officer**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
**ADMINISTRATOR**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	Local Government
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT	<i>Sewerage</i> <del>Communication</del> Sector ADP-2010-2011
4) TENDER NUMBER	S.No. 02
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Construction of Open Surface Drains Village Hayat Bhatti Phase-II
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs. 0.600 (M)
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	Rs. 0.600 (M)
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	Three Months
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos.
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	12 Nos.
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	Already Provided
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Sarang Const. Co. <i>H No. 552 Jhang</i> <i>W/11, American 9th Mile Heli Road</i> <i>Hydrabad</i>
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs: 592859/-
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID)	1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic/ Local |
| b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE      | <input type="checkbox"/>                  | <input type="checkbox"/>                 |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE                | <input type="checkbox"/>                  | <input type="checkbox"/>                 |
| d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/>                  | <input type="checkbox"/>                 |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Level	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates a ready quotes were  
read out for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION / ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
**Taluka Office**  
**Hyderabad Rural.**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

NAME OF WORK:


CONSTRUCTION OF OPEN SURFACE DRAINS VILLAGE HAYAT BHATTI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) PHASE-II (2)

N.I.T No: 824/2011  
Date: 13.05.2011

Dated of Issue: 31.05.2011  
Dated of Opening: 01.06.2011

DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS		
1. Amount of Schedule Items	Rs: 338004/-	Sr.#	Name of Contractor	Rates
2. Add: Cartage	Rs: 81160/-	1	M/s Sarang Const. Co.	75.40% Above
		2	M/s Indryas Bashir.	81.60% Above
3. Add: 20% above	<b>Rs: 419110/-</b>	3	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar.	83.40% Above
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	Rs: 83833/-	<b>LOWEST BID</b>		
5. Add: Different Cost of Bricks	Rs: 49560/-			
6. Add: Different Cost of Steel	Rs: 44408/-			
	<b>Total: Rs: 3841/-</b>	1.	Amount of Schedule Items	Rs: 338004/-
		2.	Amount of 75.40% above	Rs: 254855/-
	<b>Total: Rs: 600806/-</b>		<b>Total:</b>	<b>Rs: 592859/-</b>
Estimate Cost	Rs: 600806/-			
Schedule B	Rs: 338004/-			
	<b>Rs: 262802/-</b>			
$\frac{262802 \times 100}{338004} = 77.75\% \text{ Above}$				
		As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 600806/-	
		As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 592859/-	
			Rs: 7947/-	

  
Taluka Officer (G&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
Taluka Municipal Officer  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
ADMINISTRATOR  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 03
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of C.C. Block at Dargah Gul Shah U.C Hoosri
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 0.400 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 0.400 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 01.06.2011 at 2.00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Naeem Enterprises.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 394017/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8548 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local			

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CONTRACT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

  
Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
**Taluka Municipal Administration**  
**Hyderabad Rural.**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


NAME OF WORK: CONSTI

**DETAILED OF SANC**

1. Amount of Schedule items
2. Add: Cartage
3. Add: 20% above
4. Add: Different Cost of Cemer
5. S/F Inauguration Board

Estimate Cost	Rs: 399.
Schedule B	Rs: 207
	Rs: 192.

186043  
20711

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administr  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rura

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. \_\_\_\_\_
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER \_\_\_\_\_  
Local Government
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_  
Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_  
S.No. 04
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_  
Construction of Culverts in various places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Phase-II
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME \_\_\_\_\_  
Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE \_\_\_\_\_  
Rs. 1.0 (M)
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE \_\_\_\_\_  
(For civil works only) Rs. 1.0 (M)
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) \_\_\_\_\_  
Three Months
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) \_\_\_\_\_  
01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD \_\_\_\_\_  
(Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED \_\_\_\_\_  
03 Nos.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS \_\_\_\_\_  
12 Nos.
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT \_\_\_\_\_  
(Enclose a copy) Already Provided
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER \_\_\_\_\_  
Ms Muhammad Bux. Gassi, Khehla  
Goal Building Near Pess club  
Hydrabad
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE \_\_\_\_\_  
Rs: 984847/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT \_\_\_\_\_  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE  \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE  \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give name of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	-----	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates quoted were read out for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CONTRACT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Authorized Officer  
Hyderabad Rural.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

*SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi*  
*Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291*

Print

Save


Reset

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUC

**DETAILED OF SANCTIO**

1. Amount of Schedule items	
2. Add: Cartage	
3. Add: 20% above	
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	
5. Add: Different Cost of Steel	
6. S/F Inauguration Board	
Estimate Cost	Rs: 1000047
Schedule B	Rs: 598687
	Rs: 401360

$$\frac{398360 \times 100}{598687}$$

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Sewage ~~Sanitation~~ Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 05
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of Surface Drains in various places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 01.05.2011 at 2:00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Khoso Builders
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 992806/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE


PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

C  
NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION

**DETAILED OF SANCTION ES**

1. Amount of Schedule items	
2. Add: Cartage	
3. Add: 20% above	
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	
5. Add: Different Cost of Steel	
6. Add: 0.30% Contingencies	
Estimate Cost	Rs: 999888/-
Schedule B	Rs: 582341/-
	Rs: 417547 (-) 39%

$413556 \times 100 = 71.582341$

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	Local Government
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT	Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
4) TENDER NUMBER	S.No. 06
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Repair Patch Work of Various Road in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs. 1.0 (M)
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	Rs. 1.0 (M)
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	Three Months
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos. Comperative Statement Attached
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos.
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	12 Nos.
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	Already Provided
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s Muhammad Bux <i>Gasri Khatta Gool Building Near Pccw club Hyderabad</i>
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs: 964081/-
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).	1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give name of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates quoted were read out for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CONTRACT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION / ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

  
Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer  
Tavika Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad Rural.

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

NAME OF WORK:      REPAIR OF P.

**DETAILED OF SANCT**

1. Cost of Schedule items
2. Add: 20% above
3. Add: Diff: Cost of Bitumen


Estimate Cost      Rs: 999190

Schedule Cost      Rs: 552179

Rs: 447011

110436x100

552179

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT *Sewerage* Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 07
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of Open Nala at old Fish Market, Tando Jam
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Mushtaque Hussain Sipio  
*MTM Road Tando Jam Distt. Hyderabad*
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 985750/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). 1st Lowest
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluqa Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Sewerage ~~Communication~~ Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 07
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of Open Nala at old Fish Market, Tando Jam
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENING DATE & TIME 01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Mrs Mushtaque Hussain Sipio  
MTM Road Tando Jam  
Distt. Hyderabad
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 985/50/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates quoted were read out for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CREDIT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature of **Authorized Officer (I&S)**  
Authorized Officer  
Hyderabad Rural.

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

## COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF OPEN NALA AT OLD FISH MARKET TANDO JAM TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (7).

N.I.T No: 824/2011      Dated of Issue: 31.05.2011  
Date: 13.05.2011      Dated of Opening: 01.06.2011

DETAILED OF SANCTION ESTIMATE COST		RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS								
Amount of Schedule items	Rs:	Sr.#	Name of Contractor	Rates						
1. Amount of Schedule items	Rs: 589794/-	1	M/s Mushlaque Hussain Sipio.	65.10% Above						
2. Add: Cartage	Rs: 144866/-	2	M/s Danish Hussain Siddiqui & Brothers.	69.30% Above						
<b>Total:</b>	<b>Rs: 734660/-</b>	3	M/s Sohail and Shafat.	73.70% Above						
3. Add: 20% above	Rs: 146932/-	<b>LOWEST BID</b>								
4. Add: Different Cost of Cement	Rs: 98490/-									
5. Add: Different Cost of Steel	Rs: 8038/-									
6. Inauguration Board	Rs: 12000/-									
<b>Total:</b>	<b>Rs: 1000120/-</b>									
Estimate Cost	Rs: 1000120/-									
Schedule B	Rs: 589794/-									
	Rs: 410326 (-) 12000 = 398326/-									
		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">As Per Estimated Cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Rs: 1000120/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">As Per Lowest Tender Cost</td> <td style="text-align: right;">Rs: 985750/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">Rs: 14370/-</td> </tr> </table>			As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 1000120/-	As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 985750/-		Rs: 14370/-
As Per Estimated Cost	Rs: 1000120/-									
As Per Lowest Tender Cost	Rs: 985750/-									
	Rs: 14370/-									

$\frac{398326 \times 100}{1000120} = 67.53\%$  Above  
589794

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
**Taluka Municipal Officer**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

  
**ADMINISTRATOR**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Local Government
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Communication Sector ADP-2010-2011
- 4) TENDER NUMBER S.No. 08
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Construction of Pucca Road at Village Jaro Panhwar
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Recommended by Chairman Sindh Peoples District Development Committee, Hyderabad
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 1.0 (M)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) Three Months
- 10) TENDER OPENING DATE & TIME 01.06.2011 at 2:00pm
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos Comperative Statement Attached
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 12 Nos.
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Already Provided
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Sarang Const: Co. HNISS2 Jamma village Hali Road Hyder
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 983737/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID) 1st Lowest

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administrator, T.M.A. Hyderabad (Rural)

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	No. 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Tend	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

*The rates quoted were  
readout for information*

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING ARRANGEMENT, IF A ROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	NA
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------	----

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Signature & Official Stamp of  
Taluka Administration  
Authorized Officer  
Hyderabad Rural.

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset


CO

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF


**DETAILED OF SANCTION EST**

1. Amount of Schedule items	
2. Add: Cartage	
3. Add: 20% above	
4. Add: Different Cost of Bitumen	
5. S/F Inauguration Board	
Estimate Cost	Rs: 1000013/-
Schedule B	Rs: 626004/-
	Rs: 374009 (-) (2354

$$\frac{125201 \times 100}{626004} = 20\%$$

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)

Taluka Municipal Administration  
Taluka Hyderabad (Rural)



*[Signature]*  
 Municipal Officer  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)

*[Signature]*

2361  
 06 SEP 2011

AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Sarang Const. Co. Government Contractor Resident of Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of Pucca Road at village Jaro Panhwar Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) (S) at the rate of 17.40% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereon.

*[Signature]*  
 Taluka Officer (I&S)  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)

*[Signature]*  
 CONTRACTOR





①

**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)**  
**TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION**  
**HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-1678 of 2011, Dated 29/6/2011

Off: 022-9200975

Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Sarang Const: Co,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERTS LIN ROAD VILLAGE ISHAQUE**  
**PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (1).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.90 (Million) at Rs: 63.50% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 2700/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

*[Signature]*  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

DETAILED WORKING ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERT LINK ROAD  
VILLAGE ISHAQUE PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 824(1)

1. Excavation in foundation of building bridges and other structure i/c rebelling dressing refilling around structure with excavated earth watering and ramming lead upto 5 ft:( in ordinary soil ( G.S.I NO: 18 (b) P-4 )  
 $9 \times 2 \times 12 \times 3.0 \times 8.00 = 5184.00 \text{ Cft};$   
 $5184.00 \text{ Cft} \quad @Rs \ 1306/80 \quad P\% \text{ Cft} \quad Rs \ 6,774/-$
  
2. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast  $1 \frac{1}{2}'' \ 2''$  gauge ( 1:4:8 )  
 ( G.S.I NO: 5 P/17 )  
 $9 \times 12 \times 8.00 \times 0.50 = 432.00 \text{ Cft};$   
 $432.00 \text{ Cft} \quad @Rs \ 3584/10 \quad P\% \text{ Cft} \quad Rs \ 15,483/-$
  
3. Errection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work  
 ( G.S.I NO: P/ )  
 $9 \times 2 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 8.00 = 3456.00 \text{ Sft}$   
 $9 \times 2 \times 2 \times 6.50 \times 3.00 = 702.00 \text{ Sft};$   
 $4158.00 \text{ Sft} \quad @Rs \ 1405/75 \quad \text{Total} \quad P\% \text{ Sft} \quad Rs \ 58,451/-$
  
4. Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:3:6 ) ( G.S.I NO: ( ) P/17 )  
 $9 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 1.50 \times 8.00 = 2592.00 \text{ Sft}$   
 $9 \times 2 \times 6.50 \times 1.50 \times 3.00 = 526.50 \text{ Sft};$   
 $3118.50 \text{ Sft} \quad @Rs \ 5001/70 \quad \text{Total} \quad P\% \text{ Sft} \quad Rs \ 1,55,978/-$
  
5. Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:2:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: ( ) P/17 )  
 $9 \times 12.00 \times 8.00 \times 0.50 = 432.00 \text{ Sft}$   
 $432.00 \text{ Sft} \quad @Rs \ 5941/10 \quad P\% \text{ Sft} \quad Rs \ 25,666/-$
  
6. Cement plaster  $3/4''$  thick ( 1:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: 11 (b) P/57 )  
 $9 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 5.00 = 1080.00 \text{ Cft};$   
 $1080.00 \text{ Cft} \quad @Rs \ 779/96 \quad P\% \text{ Sft} \quad Rs \ 8,424/-$

7. R.C.C Work i/c all labour & Material except the cost of steel reinforcement & its labour of binding bending which will be paid separately. This rate also i/c all kind of forms mould lifting shuttering curing rendering and washing of shingle (a) RC work in roof slab beam columns rafts lintel and other structure members laid in situ or precast laid in position complete in all respect ( 1:2:4 ) 90 lbs cement 2 Cft sand 4 Cft shingle 1/8" to 1/2" gauge ( G.S.I NO: 6 P/17 )

$$\frac{9 \times 2 \times 12 \times 1.50 \times 1.00}{9 \times 12 \times 6.50 \times 1.00}$$

$$= 324.00 \text{ Cft}$$

$$= 702.00 \text{ Cft}$$

$$\text{Total } 1026.00 \text{ Cft}$$

1026.00 Cft: @Rs 114/-

P.Rft Rs 1,16,964/-

8. Fabrication of mild steel reinforcement for CC i/c cutting bending laying position making & jointing i/c cost of bending laying in position making fastening i/c cost of binding wire also i/c removal of rust from bars ( G.S.I NO: 7 (ii) P/19 )

$$\frac{1026 \times 6.0}{112}$$

$$= 54.96 \text{ Cwt}$$

54.96 Cwt @Rs 2772/55

P.Cwt Rs 1,52,379/-

Total Rs 5,40,119/-

Add: Cartage Rs 97,234/-

Total Rs 6,37,353/-

Add: 20 % above Rs 1,27,471/-

Add: Diff: cost of steel  
54.96 Cwt @Rs 1150/- P,Cwt

Rs 63,204/-

Add: Diff: cost of cement  
684 Bags @Rs 105/- P,Bags

Rs 71,820/-


Total Rs 8,99,848/-

Say Rs 9,00,000/-

Sub/Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

66.60



MUNICIPALITY BANWOOD KHAN  
 GOVT: STAMP VENDOR  
 HYDERABAD LIC: 431

08 AUG 2011

سید سجاد سجاد 1926

09 AUG 2011

**AGREEMENT**


I/we M/s Sarang Const: Co, Government Contractor Resident of

Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of culverts link Road village

Ishaque Panhwar Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 63.50% above on Schedule "B"

and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form

signed thereon.

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)

  
**CONTRACTOR**



2

**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)**  
**TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION**  
**HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-1656 of 2011, Dated: 29/6/2011

Off: 022-9200975  
Fax: 022-9200708

To,


M/s Sarang Const: Co,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF OPEN SURFACE DRAINS VILLAGE HAYAT BHATTI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) PHASE-II (2).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.60 (Million) at Rs: 75.40% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1800/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

DETAILED WORKING ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SURFACE DRAINS  
IN VILLAGE HAYAT BHATTI ( PHASE-II ) TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 824 (2)

1. Excavation in foundation of building bridges and other structure i/c rebelling dressing refilling around structure with excavated earth watering and ramming lead upto 5 ft: ( in ordinary soil ( G.S.I NO: 18 (b) P-4 )

1x 850 x 3.00 x 1.00	=	2555.00 Cft;	
1x 850 x 2.42 x 1.00	=	2057.00 Cft;	
	Total	4607.00 Cft	
4607.00 Cft	@Rs 1306/80	P% Cft	Rs 6,020/-

2. Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:4:8 ) ( G.S.I NO: (i) P/17 )

1 x 850 x 3.00 x 0.25	=	637.50 Cft;	
1 x 850 x 2.42 x 0.25	=	514.25 Cft	
	Total	1151.75 Cft	
1151.75 Cft:	@Rs 4319/70	P% Cft	Rs 49,752/-

3. Pucca brick work in foundation and plinth in cement sand mortar ( 1:6 ) ( G.S.I NO: 4 P/27 )

2 x 850 x 0.75 x 1.50	=	1912.50 Cft;	
2 x 850 x 0.75 x 1.08	=	1377.00 Cft	
	Total	3289.50 Cft	
3289.50 Cft:	@Rs 3865/15	P% Cft	Rs 1,27,144/-

4. Construction of standard open type I drains Counttee Block cement ( 1:2:4 ) cost in situ the design profile i/c cost of moulds as per drawing i/c supplying of 1/32" thick exposed face smooth curing complete ( P.H.S.I NO; D (i) P-44 )

Type I	1 x 850	=	850.00 Rft	
850.00 Rft:	@Rs 39/15	P.Rft		Rs 33,278/-
Type II	1 x 850	=	850.00 Rft	
850.00 Rft:	@Rs 72/20	P.Rft		Rs 61,370/-

5. Cement plaster 1/2" thick ( 1:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: 11 (b) P/57 )

2 x 850 x 2.25	=	3825.00 Sft;	
2 x 850 x 1.25	=	2125.00 Sft;	
	Total	5950.00 Sft	
5950.00 Sft:	@Rs 572/77	P% Sft	Rs 34,080/-

6. R.C.C Work i/c all labour & Material except the cost of steel reinforcement & its labour of binding bending which which will be paid separately. This rate also i/c all kind of forms mould lifting shuttering curing rendering and washing of shingle (a) RC work in roof slab beam columns rafts lintel and other structure members laid in situ or precast laid in position complete in all respect ( 1:2:4 ) 90 lbs cement 2 Cft sand 4 Cft shingle 1/8" to 1/2" gauge ( G.S.I NO: 6 P/17 )


20 x 5.00 x 3.00 x 0.50 = 150.00 Cft:  
 150.00 Cft: @Rs 114/- P.Cft Rs 17,100/-

7. Fabrication of mild steel reinforcement for CC i/c cutting bending laying position making & jointing i/c cost of bending laying in position making fastening i/c cost of binding wire also i/c removal of rust from bars ( G.S.I NO: 7 (ii) P/19 )

3.34 Cwt  $\frac{150 \times 2.50}{112}$  @Rs 2772/55 = 3.34 Cwt  
 P.Cwt Rs 9,260/-  
 Total Rs 3,38,004 /-  
 Add: Cartage Rs 81,160/-  
 Total Rs 4,19,164/-  
 Add: 20 % above Rs 83,833/-  
 Add: Diff: cost of steel  
 3.34 Cwt @Rs 1150/- P,Cwt Rs 3,841/-  
 Add: Diff: cost of Brick  
 44408 @Rs 1000/- P%0Nos Rs 44,408/-  
 Add: Diff: cost of cement  
 472 Bags @Rs 105/- P,Bag Rs 49,560/-  
 Total Rs 6,00,806/-  
 Say Rs 6,00,000/-

Sub/Engineer  
 Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

Taluka Officer (I&S)  
 Taluka Hyderabad ( R )



**HAMID RASHEED**  
Govt: Stamp Vendor  
Licence No.22  
Shade No.6, Hyderabad

*[Handwritten signature in Urdu]*

**AGREEMENT**

15 AUG 2011

I/we M/s Sarang Construction Co; Government Contractor Residence  
Of Hyderabad hereby under take to carry out the work of Construction of Open Surface drains at  
Village Hayat Bhiatto Phase II Taluka Hyderabad ( Rural ) at the rate of 75.40 % above on schedule  
items and shall abide my self by all the term and conditions framed in the agreement from signed  
thereon.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad ( Rural )

*[Handwritten signature]*  
( CONTRACTOR )





**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)**  
**TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION**  
**HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-1054 of 2011, Dated: 24/6/2011

**Off: 022-9200975**  
**Fax: 022-9200708**

To,


M/s Naeem Enterprises,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF C.C. BLOCK AT DARGAH GUL SHAH**  
**U.C HOOSRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (3).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 0.40 (Million) at Rs: 87.20% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 1200/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).


**DETAILED WORKING ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF C.C BLOCK AT DARGHA  
GUL SHAH U.C HOOSRI TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 824(3)**

1.	Barrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft: (a) ordinary soil . ( G.S.I NO: 3 (a) P/1 ) ( Extra lead 3 Miles )	$1 \times 900 \times 8.00 \times 0.50$	=	3600.00 Cft:	
		3600.00 Cft: @Rs 4084/		P%0 Cft	Rs 14,702/-
2.	Dressing and leveling of Earth work to designed section etc, complete (b) ordinary soil ( G.S.I NO: 11 P/3 )				
	Qty same as item No; 1 i.e 3600.00 Cft:				
	3600.00 Cft: @Rs 87/10			P% Cft	Rs 314/-
3.	Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 1/2" gauge ( 1:4:8 ) ( G.S.I NO: 4 (b) P/16 )	$1 \times 900 \times 8.00 \times 0.33$	=	2376.00 Sft:	
	2376.40 Sft: @Rs 3584/10			P% Sft	Rs 85,158/-
4.	Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:2:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: (i) P/17 )	$1 \times 900 \times 8.0 \times 0.25$	=	1800.00 Sft:	
	1800.00 Sft: @Rs 5941/10			P% Sft	Rs 1,06,940/-
				Total	Rs 2,07,114/-
	Add: Cartage				Rs 73,440/-
				Total	Rs 2,80,554/-
	Add: 20 % above				Rs 56,110/-
	Add: Diff: cost of cement 538 Bags @Rs 105/- P.bag				Rs 56,490/-
	S/F Inauguration board ( M.R ) 1 No				Rs 6,300/-
				Total	Rs 3,99,454/-
				Say	Rs 4,00,000/-

Sub/Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

186040 X 100  
207114  
89.82



**HAMID/RASHEED**  
Govt. Stamp Vendor  
Licence No.22  
Shade No.6. Hyderabad

*[Handwritten signature in Urdu]*

30 JUN 2011

**AGREEMENT**

I/we M/s Naeem Enterprises Government Contractor Resident of  
Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of C.C. Work at Dargah Gul  
Shah U.C Hoosri Taluka Hyderabad (3) at the rate of 87.20% above on Schedule "B" and  
shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed  
thereon.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**CONTRACTOR**



**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)**  
**TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION**  
**HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/-1050 of 2011, Dated 24/6/2011

Off: 022-9200975  
Fax: 022-9200708

To,

M/s Muhammad Bux,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERTS IN VARIOUS PLACES IN**  
**TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) PHASE-II (4).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 1.00 (Million) at Rs: 64% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 3000/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

DETAILED WORKING ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF CULVERT IN VARIOUS PLACES IN TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) PHASE-II 824 (4)

1. Excavation in foundation of building bridges and other structure i/c rebelling dressing refilling around structure with excavated earth watering and ramming lead upto 5 ft:( in ordinary soil ( G.S.I NO: 18 (b) P-4 )
 

$10 \times 2 \times 12 \times 3.0 \times 8.00$	=	5760.00 Cft;		
5760.00 Cft		@Rs 1306/80	P% Cft	Rs 7,527/-
  
2. Cement concrete brick or stone ballast 1 1/2" 2" gauge ( 1:4:8 ) (G.S.I NO: 5 P/17)
 

$10 \times 12 \times 8.00 \times 0.50$	=	480.00 Cft;		
480.00 Cft		@Rs 3584/10	P% Cft	Rs 17,204/-
  
3. Errection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work (G.S.I NO: P/ )
 

$10 \times 2 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 8.00$	=	3840.00 Sft		
$10 \times 2 \times 2 \times 6.50 \times 3.00$	=	780.00 Sft;		
4620.00 Cft		@Rs 1405/75	Total	4620.00 Sft
			P% Sft	Rs 64,946/-
  
4. Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:3:6 ) ( G.S.I NO: ( ) P/17 )
 

$10 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 1.50 \times 8.00$	=	2880.00 Sft		
$10 \times 2 \times 6.50 \times 1.50 \times 3.00$	=	585.00 Sft;		
3465.0 Sft		@Rs 5001/70	Total	3465.00 Sft
			P% Sft	Rs 1,73,309/-
  
5. Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:2:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: ( ) P/17 )
 

$10 \times 12.00 \times 8.00 \times 0.50$	=	480.00 Sft		
480.00 Sft		@Rs 5941/10	P% Sft	Rs 28,517/-
  
6. Cement plaster 3/4" thick ( 1:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: 11 (b) P/57 )
 

$10 \times 2 \times 12.00 \times 8.00$	=	1920.00 Sft;		
1920.00 Sft		@Rs 779/96	P% Sft	Rs 14,975/-

R.C.C Work i/c all labour & Material except the cost of steel reinforcement & its labour of binding bending which will be paid separately. This rate also i/c all kind of forms mould lifting shuttering curing rendering and washing of shingle (a) RC work in roof slab beam columns rafts lintel and other structure members laid in situ or precast laid in position complete in all respect ( 1:2:4 ) 90 lbs cement 2 Cft sand 4 Cft shingle 1/8" to 1/2" gauge ( G.S.I NO: 6 P/17 )

10 x 2 x 12 x 1.50 x 1.00  
10 x 12 x 6.50 x 1.00

= 360.00 Cft:  
= 780.00 Cft  
Total 1140.00 Cft

1140.00 Cft @Rs 114/-

P.Cft Rs 1,29,960/-

8. Fabrication of mild steel reinforcement for CC i/c cutting bending laying position making & jointing i/c cost of bending laying in position making fastening i/c cost of binding wire also i/c removal of rust from bars ( G.S.I NO: 7 (ii) P/19 )

$\frac{1140 \times 5.75}{112}$

= 58.52 Cwt

58.52 Cwt @Rs 2772/55

P.Cwt Rs 1,62,247/-

Total Rs 5,98,687/-

Add: Cartage

Rs 1,09,079/-

Total Rs 7,07,766/-

Add: 20 % above

Rs 1,41,553/-

Add: Diff: cost of steel

58.52 Cwt @Rs 1150/- P,Cwt

Rs 67,298/-

Add: Diff: cost of cement

766 Bags @Rs 105/- P,Bag

Rs 80,430/-

Inauguration board 1 No;

Rs 3,000/-


Total Rs 10,00,047/-

Say Rs 10,00,000/-

Sub/Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )


Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

1000 RS.



## AGREEMENT

I/we M/s Muhammad Bux, Government Contractor Resident of Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of Culverts in various places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Phase-II (4) at the rate of 64% above on Schedule "B" and shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed thereof

  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

  
M. Bux  
CONTRACTOR



**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)  
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION  
HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/7052 of 2011, Dated 26/6/2011

**Off: 022-9200975  
Fax: 022-9200708**

To,


M/s Khoso Builders,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF SURFACE DRAINS IN VARIOUS PLACES IN  
TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (5).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 1.00 (Million) at Rs: 69.80% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 3000/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



**DETAILED WORKING ESTIMATE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF SURFACE DRAINS  
IN VARIOUS PLACES IN TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) 824(S)**

1.	Excavation in foundation of building bridges and other structure i/c rebelling dressing refilling around structure with excavated earth watering and ramming lead upto 5 ft: (in ordinary soil ( G.S.I NO: 18 (b) P-4 )	$1 \times 700 \times 4.00 \times 3.00$	=	8400.00 Cft;
		8400.00 Cft @Rs 1306/80	P% Cft	Rs 10,977/-
2.	Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:4:8 ) ( G.S.I NO: (i) P/17 )	$1 \times 700 \times 4.00 \times 0.50$	=	1400.00 Cft:
		1400 Cft: @Rs 4319/70	P% Cft	Rs 60,475/-
3.	Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:2:4 ) ( G.S.I NO: (i) P/17 )	$1 \times 700 \times 2 \times 0.50$	=	700.00 Cft:
		700.00 Cft: @Rs 5941/10	P% Cft	Rs 41,587/-
4.	Erection and Removal from centering for R.C.C or plain Cement concrete work ( G.S.I NO: P/ )	$3 \times 700 \times 4.00$	=	8400.00 Sft
		8400.00 Cft @Rs 1405/55	P% Sft	Rs 1,18,083/-
5.	Cement concrete plain i/c screening and washing of stone aggregate without shuttering ( 1:3:6 ) ( G.S.I NO: (III) P/17 )	$2 \times 700 \times 1.0 \times 4.00$	=	5600.00 Cft:
		5600.0 Cft: @Rs 5001/70	P% Cft	Rs 2,80,095/-
6.	R.C.C Work i/c all labour & Material except the cost of steel reinforcement & its labour of binding bending which will be paid separately. This rate also i/c all kind of forms mould lifting shuttering curing rendering and washing of shingle (a) RC work in roof slab beam columns rafts lintel and other structure members laid in situ or precast laid in position complete in all respect ( 1:2:4 ) 90 lbs cement 2 Cft sand 4 Cft shingle 1/8" to 1/2" gauge ( G.S.I NO: 6 P/17 )	$1 \times 26.00 \times 4.00 \times 0.75$ $16 \times 8.00 \times 4.00 \times 0.50$	=	78.00 Cft: = 256.00 Cft: 334.00 Cft
		334.00 Cft: @Rs 114/-	Total P.Cft	Rs 38,076/-

7. Fabrication of mild steel reinforcement for CC i/c cutting bending laying position making & jointing i/c cost of bending laying in position making fastening i/c cost of binding wire also i/c removal of rust from bars ( G.S.I.NQ: 7 (ii) P/19 ) *using Top Steel*


$\frac{234 \times 4.00}{112}$		=	11.92 Cwt
11.92 Cwt	@Rs 2772/55	P.Cwt	Rs 33,048/-
		Total	Rs 5,82,341/-
	Add: Cartage		Rs 1,50,050/-
		Total	Rs 7,33,391/-
	Add: 20 % above		Rs 1,46,478/-
	Add: Diff: cost of steel		
11.92 Cwt	@Rs 1150/-	P,Cwt	Rs 13,708/-
	Add: Diff: cost of cement		
984 Bags	@Rs 105/-	P,Bag	Rs 1,03,320/-
	Add: 0.30 % Contg		Rs 3,991/-
		Total	Rs 9,99,888/-
		Say	Rs 10,00,000/-

*[Signature]*  
Sub Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

*[Signature]*  
Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad ( R )

71.18

11.7.18



*Khoso Builders and Govt Contractor No. 20.*

ORAL AMIR ADRAIN  
 Court: Stamp Vendor Oc. No. 123  
 Session Court, Hyd  
 Dated 02 JUL 2011

02 JUL 2011

**AGREEMENT**

I/we M/s Khoso Builders, Government Contractor Resident of  
 Hyderabad hereby under takes to carry out the Construction of Surface Drains in various  
 places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) at the rate of 69.80% above on Schedule "B" and  
 shall abide my self by all the terms and conditions framed in the agreement form signed  
 thereon.

*[Signature]*  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)

*[Signature]*  
**CONTRACTOR**



**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)  
TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION  
HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/7052 of 2011, Dated: 24/6/2011

Off: 022-9200975

Fax: 022-9200708

To,


M/s Muhammad Bux,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: REPAIR OF PATCH WORK OF VARIOUS ROAD IN TALUKA  
HYDERABAD (RURAL) (6).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 1.00 (Million) at Rs: 17.30% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 3000/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).

DETAILED WORKING ESTIMENT FOR REPAIR PATCH WORK OF VARIOUS ROAD,  
IN TALUKA HYDERABAD ( RURAL ) 824 ( 6 )

1. Making digital Grosses 1 1/2" to 2" center to center

*(Sub: H. way P. no 13 i. no 7)*

$$1 \times 1000.00 \times 12.00 \times 1/2 = 6000.00 \text{ Sft}$$

6000.00 Cft; @Rs 67/75 ~~0/0.5 ft~~ P% Sft Rs 4,065/-

2. Preparing base course i/c supplying and spreading stone metal 1 1/2 gauge of approved quality proper camber and grade including supplying and spreading 15 Cft Screening and non plastic quarry fines fitting depression with stone metal after initial rolling i/c watering and compacting the same so as to achives 100 % density as per modified ASSHO specification, This i/c providing and using template camber plates screening forms as per directed rate i/c all cost of material T & P and carriage upto 3 chain

$$1 \times 1000 \times 12.00 \times 0.50 = 6000.00 \text{ Cft}$$

6000.00 Cft; @Rs 3246/99 P% Cft Rs 1,94,819/-

3. Preparing base course i/c supplying and spreading stone metal 1 1/2 gauge of approved quality proper camber and grade including supplying and spreading 15 Cft Screening and non plastic quarry fines fitting depression with stone metal after initial rolling i/c watering and compacting the same so as to achives 100 % density as per modified ASSHO specification, This i/c providing and using template camber plates screening forms as per directed rate i/c all cost of material T & P and carriage upto 3 chain

$$1 \times 1000 \times 12.00 \times 0.25 = 3000.00 \text{ Cft}$$

3000.00 Cft; @Rs 3414/40 P% Cft Rs 1,02,432/-

4. Providing 1<sup>ST</sup> Coat Surface dressing on new or existing surface with 30 lbs of bitumen 80/100 penetration and 4 Cft: crushed bajri of 3/8 " to 3/4" gauge i/c cleaning the road surface roiling etc, complete rate i/c all cost of material T & P lead upto 3 chain

$$1 \times 1000 \times 12.00 = 12000.00 \text{ Cft}$$

12000.00 Cft; @Rs 400/37 P% Cft Rs 48,044/-

5. Providing 1" thick consolidated ( premixed ) carpet in proper camber and grade i/c supplying 10 Cft: Bajri and 4 Cft: Hill sand of approved quality and 67 lbs of bitumen of 80/100 penetration and mixing mechanical mixer in required proportion i/c heating the material cleaning the road surface i/c rolling rate i/c all cost of material T & P and carriage upto.

$$1 \times 1000 \times 12.00 = 12000.00 \text{ Sft}$$

12000.00 Sft; @Rs 940/14 P% Sft Rs 1,12,816/-

Laying brick on edge supplying 9" x 4 1/2" x 3" 1<sup>st</sup> class brick excavation for laying edging with small side prattle to the road i/c all cost of material,


	2 x 1000.00	=	2000.00 Rft
2000.00 Rft;	@Rs 868/52	P% Rft	Rs 17,370/-

7. Earth work road embankment bulldozers i/c plugging mixing clod breaking dressing compaction with optimum moisture contract lead upto 100 ft: and lift upto 5 ft: types of soil except rock for 95-100 % modified AASHO density ( Extra lead 2 Miles ) ( H.S.I.NO; 3 ( c ) P-7 )

	2 x 1000 x 4.00 x 1.50	=	12000.00 Cft
12000 Cft;	@Rs 6052/67	P.%0Cft	<u>Rs 72,632/-</u>
		Total	Rs 5,52,179/-
	Add: 20 % above		Rs 1,10,436/-
	Diff of bitumen	$\frac{12000 \times 97}{2240 \times 100}$	= 5.19 Tons
	5.19 Tons @Rs 64850/70	P.Ton	<u>Rs 3,36,575/-</u>
		Total	Rs 9,99,190/-
		Say	Rs 10,00,000/-

Sub-Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad (R)

Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad (R)



**HAMID RASHEED**  
 Govt: Stamp Vendor  
 Licence No. 22  
 Shade No. 8, Hyderabad

*محمد بک*

24 AUG 2011

**AGREEMENT**

I/we M/s Mohammad Bux Government Contractor-Residence of  
 Hyderabad hereby under take to carry out the work of Repair of Patch work of various road  
 Taluka Hyderabad ( Rural ) at the rate of 17.30 % above on schedule items and shall abide my  
 self by all the term and conditions framed in the agreement from signed thereon.

*[Signature]*  
 Taluka Officer (I&S)  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad ( Rural )

*M. Bux*  
 (CONTRACTOR)



**OFFICE OF THE T.O(I&S)**  
**TALUKA MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION**  
**HYDERABAD (RURAL)**

No: TO(I&S)/TMA/HYD/(RURAL)/#058 of 2011, Dated: 24/6/2011

**Off: 022-9200975**  
**Fax: 022-9200708**

To,


M/s Sarang Const: Co,  
Contractor.

**SUBJECT: CONSTRUCTION OF PUCCA ROAD AT VILLAGE JARO PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL) (8).**

The authority has been pleased to accept your tender and award you the above subjected work with estimate cost Rs: 1.00 (Million) at Rs: 17.40% above on schedule "B" on schedule items, the estimated rates being the lowest.

You are, accordingly requested to please execute necessary agreement on non-judicial stamp paper worth Rs: 3000/- with Taluka Municipal Administration and start the work within Seven days positively.

The work should be completed by or before 3 Months in case of your failure the action will be taken to cancel the work order and will be let out the same to other agency at your risk and cost which please note. The payment will be made on the availability of funds.

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)

Copy Forwarded to: -

1. The Administrator, T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. The Taluka Officer (Finance), T.M.A, Hyderabad (Rural).



DETAILED WORKING ESTIMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PUCCA ROAD AT  
VILLAGE JARO PANHWAR TALUKA HYDERABAD ( RURAL ) 824 (8)

1. Earth work road embankment bulldozers i/c plugging mixing clod breaking dressing compaction with optimum moisture contract lead upto 100 ft: and lift upto 5 ft: types of soil except rock for 85 % density ( Extra lead 2 Miles ) ( H.S.I NO; 3 ( c ) P-7 )

$$1 \times 700 \times \frac{18 + 24}{2} \times 3.37 = 49539.00 \text{ Cft}$$

Ded:  $1 \times 700 \times 14.00 \times 1.00 = 9800.00 \text{ Cft}$

Net Qty  $49539.00 (-) 9800 = 39739.00.00 \text{ Cft}$

39739.00 Cft; @Rs 4907/39 P.%0Cft Rs 1,95,015/-

2. Earth work road embankment bulldozers i/c plugging mixing clod breaking dressing compaction with optimum moisture contract lead upto 100 ft: and lift upto 5 ft: types of soil except rock for 95-100 % modified AASHO density ( Extra lead 2 Miles ) ( H.S.I NO; 3 ( c ) P-7 )

$$1 \times 700 \times 14 \times 1.00 = 9800.00 \text{ Cft}$$

9800 Cft; @Rs 6052/67 P.%0Cft Rs 59,316/-

3. Earth work embankment form barrow pits i/c laying in 6" layers cold breaking ramming dressing etc, complete lead 100 ft: lift 5 ft; in ordinary soil ( Extra lead 1 Mile ) ( H.w.s item 2 P-1 )

$$2 \times 700 \times 3.00 \times \frac{2.50 + 3.00}{2} = 11550.00 \text{ Cft}$$

11550.00 Cft; @Rs 3362/40 P%0 Cft Rs 38,835/-

4. Preparing Sub-base i/c supplying and spreading stone metal 1 1/2 @ guage of approved quarry in required thickness to proper camber and gauge including sand packing filling boids with 20 ft pit canal stand sand having plasticity index of not more than 6 % of suitable quality watering and compacting to achives 98/100 % density as per modified ASSHO specification rate i/c all cost of material and carriage.

$$1 \times 700 \times 12.00 \times 0.50 = 4200.00 \text{ Cft}$$

4200.00 Cft; @Rs 3246/99 P% Cft Rs 1,36,374/-

5. Preparing base course i/c supplying and spreading stone metal 1 1/2 gauge of approved quality proper camber and grade including supplying and spreading 15 Cft Screening and non plastic quarry fines fitting depression with stone metal after initial rolling i/c watering and compacting the same so as to achives 100 % density as per modified ASSHO specification, This i/c providing and using template camber plates screening forms as per directed rate i/c all cost of material T & P and carriage upto 3 chain

$$1 \times 700 \times 12.00 \times 0.25$$

$$= 2100.00 \text{ Cft}$$

2100.00 Cft; @Rs 3414/40

P% Cft Rs 71,702/-

6. Providing Surface dressing on new or existing surface with 30 lbs of bitumen 80/100 penetration and 4 Cft: crushed bajri of 3/8 " to 3/4" gauge i/c cleaning the road surface soiling etc, complete rate i/c all cost of material T & P lead upto 3 chain

$$1 \times 700 \times 12.00$$

$$= 8400.00 \text{ Sft}$$

8400.00 Sft; @Rs 400/37

P% Sft Rs 33,631/-

7. Providing 1" thick consolidated ( premixed ) carpet in proper camber and grade i/c supplying 10 Cft: Bajri and 4 Cft: Hill sand of approved quality and 67 lbs of bitumen of 80/100 penetration and mixing mechanical mixer in required proportion i/c heating the material cleaning the road surface i/c rolling rate i/c all cost of material T & P and carriage upto.

$$1 \times 700 \times 12.00$$

$$= 8400.00 \text{ Sft}$$

8400.00 Sft; @Rs 940/14

P% Sft Rs 78,972/-

8. Laying brick on edge supplying 9"x4 1/2" x 3" 1<sup>st</sup> class brick excavation for laying edging with small side prattle to the road i/c all cost of material,

$$2 \times 700$$

$$= 1400.00 \text{ Rft}$$

1400.00 Rft; @Rs 868/52

P% Rft Rs 12,159/-

Total Rs 6,26,004/-

Add: 20 % above

Rs 1,25,201/-

$$\frac{8400 \times (67+30)}{2240 \times 100} = 3.63 \text{ Ton.}$$

Diff of bitumen

3.63 Tons @Rs 64850/70

S/F Inauguration board

2 Nos @Rs 6700/-

P.Ton Rs 2,35,408/-

Each Rs 13,400/-

Total Rs 10,00,013/-

Say Rs 10,00,000/-


Sub-Engineer  
Taluka Hyderabad (R)


Taluka Officer (I&S)  
Taluka Hyderabad (R)


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural).
2. Tender Reference No: TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/824/2011 Dated: 13.05.2011.
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of Culverts Lin Road village Ishaque Panhwar Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) (1).
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure.
5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3
7. Total Bids Received: 3
8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid (s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 01.06.2011
12. Bid Evaluation Report: Estimated Cost Rs: 8,99,848/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Sarang Const: Co.	883095/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1.86% below the estimated cost Rs: 8,99,848/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Indryas Bashir.	909560/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	1.07% Above the estimated cost Rs: 8,99,848/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	932245/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	3.60% Above the estimated cost Rs: 8,99,848/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

  
**Taluka Officer (Finance)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member

  
**Council Officer**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member


  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Chairman  
 Procuring Committee


1D 4263/2011  
(2)


## BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). ✓
2. Tender Reference No: TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/824/2011 Dated: 13.05.2011. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of Open Surface Drains village Hayat Bhatti Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Phase-II (2). ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. ✓
5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3
7. Total Bids Received: 3
8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid (s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 01.06.2011 ✓
12. **Bid Evaluation Report:** Estimated Cost Rs: 6,00,806/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Sarang Const: Co.	592859/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1.32% below the estimated cost Rs: 6,00,806/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Indryas Bashir.	613815/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2.16% Above the estimated cost Rs: 6,00,806/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Rasool Bux Panhwar	619899/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	3.17% Above the estimated cost Rs: 6,00,806/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

  
**Taluka Officer (Finance)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)  
Procuring Committee Member


  
**Council Officer**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)  
Procuring Committee Member


  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
Taluka Municipal Administration  
Hyderabad (Rural)  
Chairman  
Procuring Committee


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). ✓
2. Tender Reference No: TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/824/2011 Dated: 13.05.2011. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of C.C. Block at Dargah Gul Shah U.C Hoosri Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) (3).
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. ✓
5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011  
10 4263/2011.
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3
7. Total Bids Received: 3
8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid (s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 01.06.2011 ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report: Estimated Cost Rs: 3,99,454/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Naeem Enterprises.	394017/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1.36% below the estimated cost Rs: 3,99,454/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	M/s Farhan Siddiqui & Brothers.	403545/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	1.02% Above the estimated cost Rs: 3,99,454/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Sodho Bhambhro.	407480/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2% Above the estimated cost Rs: 3,99,454/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

  
**Taluka Officer (Finance)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member

  
**Council Officer**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Chairman  
 Procuring Committee


104283/2011


40


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). ✓
2. Tender Reference No: TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/824/2011 Dated: 13.05.2011. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of Culverts In various places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) Phase-II (4). ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. ✓
5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011 ✓
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3
7. Total Bids Received: 3
8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid (s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 01.06.2011 ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report: **Estimated Cost Rs: 10,00,047/-**

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Muhammad Bux.	984847/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	1.51% below the estimated cost Rs: 10,00,047/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	Ms/ Rasool Bux Panhwar	1010590/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	1.05% Above the estimated cost Rs: 10,00,047/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s Indryas Bashir.	1038729/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	3.72% Above the estimated cost Rs: 10,00,047/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

  
**Taluka Officer (Finance)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member


  
**Council Officer**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member


  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Chairman  
 Procuring Committee


**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Taluka Municipal Administration, Hyderabad (Rural). ✓
2. Tender Reference No: TMA/T.O(I&S)/HYD(RURAL)/824/2011 Dated: 13.05.2011. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work item: Construction of Surface Drain in various places in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) (5). ✓
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage One Envelop Procedure. ✓
5. Tender Published: Upload on the SPPRA WEBSITE No: 8648 Dated: 14.05.2011 ✓
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 3
7. Total Bids Received: 3
8. Technical Bid Opening Date: (if applicable): Not applicable (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid (s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening Date: 01.06.2011 ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report: Estimated Cost Rs: 9,99,888/-

#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/s Khoso Builders.	992806/-	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	0.708% below the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,888/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
02	Ms/ Danish Hussain Siddiqui & Brothers.	1015517/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	1.56% Above the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,888/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
03	M/s MEO Builders.	1025417/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2.55% Above the estimated cost Rs: 9,99,888/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

  
**Taluka Officer (Finance)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member

  
**Council Officer**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Procuring Committee Member

  
**Taluka Officer (I&S)**  
 Taluka Municipal Administration  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Chairman  
 Procuring Committee

**Taluka Municipal Administration**  
 Hyderabad (Rural)  
 Chairman  
 Procuring Committee