

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

NO.TC/G-55/ 280 /2012

Dated: 05 - 03 -2012.

To

✓  
The Manager (CB),  
Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory  
Authority, Government of Sindh  
Karachi.


**SUBJECT: BID EVALUATION REPORT – Submission of the**

The Tenders were invited vide this office NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012, with a opening date on 25.02.2012. The Government of Sindh declared Local Holiday on 25.02.2012, therefore the corrigendum was issued for opening on next working day I,e 27.02.2012 at 3.00 PM (copy enclosed). Out of 23 works, 18 works responded upto 27.2.2012 and remaining 05 works were un-responded. The 3 works were responded on next date of opening I,e 03.03.2012, where 02 works remained un-responded on next date.

Therefore, the Bid Evaluation Report on prescribed format for 21 works is enclosed herewith for favour of kind information, as desired.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN

Copy F.W.Cs to the Superintending Engineer, Works and Services Badin for favour of kind information.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN

سید ایما انوار  
No. \_\_\_\_\_  
Dated \_\_\_\_\_  
Sindh Public Procurement  
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE  
ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN**

NO.TC/G-55/ 252 of 2012

Dated: 24 .02.2012

READ:- THIS OFFICE N.I.T NO.TC/G-55/ 140  
DATED 01.02.2012 .

ADDENDUM / CORRIGENDUM .

The Government of Sindh has declared Local Holiday in District Badin and Tando Mohammad Khan on 25.02.2012 , therefore, the date of issue and opening of tenders invited on 25.02.2012 , has been fixed on next working day i.e 27.02.2012 .

All other terms condition , dates etc. will remain same .

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN .

*.Copy Forwarded with Compliments for favour of information to:-*

1. The Deputy Commissioner , Badin for favour of information.
2. The Superintending Engineer, Works and Services Badin for favour of information.
3. The Executive Engineer , Buildings / Education Works Badin.
4. Copy to Drawing Branch/ Head Clerk
5. Copy for Notice Board.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 23 , R/R of Mukhtiar Pitaffi road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 3.899 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 3.891 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s.Haji Abdul Rehman Memon
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 3852265/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s .Haji Abdul Rehman Memon
2. M/s .New Murwat Builders.
3. M/s. J.K. & Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



19

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer   
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :** REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM PANGRIO JHUDO ROAD TO VILLAGE DHANI BUX PITAFEE & MUKHTIAR PITAFFI MILE 0/0-0/6 ( 1.20 KMS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	Haji Abdul Rehman Memon		M/S. New Murvat Builders.		M/S. J.K. & Co.		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	3209008	12.20 % Below	2817509	11.5 % Below	2839972	10.0 % Below	2888107
	i). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	923994		923994		923994		923994
	ii). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	110762		110762		110762		110762
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	385081						
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3858683</b>		<b>3852265</b>		<b>3874728</b>		<b>3922863</b>
	<b>Contingencies .</b>	<b>32090</b>						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>3890773</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>6418</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-16045</b>	<b>Excess.</b>	<b>-64180</b>

The lowest rates for Part "A" Road work @ 12.20% below, ( Twelve point two zero percent ) below, quoted by M/S. Haji Abdul Rehman, Government Contractors are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 11 , R/R of Kadhan By Pass road .
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 14.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 13.998 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. New Murwat Builders.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 13878169/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . New Murwat Builders.  
2. M/s . Faiz & Co.  
3. M/s Syed Shahid Ali .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue: 27.02.2012  
 Date of opening: 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK: REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRA STRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN / FLOOD 2011 DISTRICT BADIN .  
 KADHAN BY PASS ROAD MILE 0/3- 2/6 ( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. NEW MURWAT BUILDERS		M/S. FAIZ & CO.		SYED SHAHID ALI .	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK .</b>	10401057	10.12 % Below	9348470	9.50 % Below	9412957	8.75 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	3971267		3971267		3971267	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	372400		372400		372400	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	1040106					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	13704618		13692137		13756624	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR. .</b>	88902	78.25 % above.	158468	80.0 % above	160024	81.5 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	50000					
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	18860		18860		18860	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE. .	17780					
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704		8704		8704	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	0		0		0	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	189246		186032		187588	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	13893864		13878169		13944211	
	Contingencies .	104011					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	13997875	Saving	15695	Excess	-50347	Excess .
							-129689

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.12% below , (Ten point one two percent ) below , & Part "B" M/Str: 78.25 % above Seventy eight point two five percent above , quoted by M/S. New Murwat Builders , Government Contractor are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 3 , Olya Rajseen road mile 1/5-2/1.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 3.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 2.722 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s .Syed Constructions .
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 2676398/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s . Syed Constructions
2. M/s Mohammad Rafique .
3. M/s Kay Bee Constructuors:
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :**

**IMPROVEMENT OF ROAD FROM TANDO BAGO PANGRIO ROAD TO OLYA RAJSEEN MILE 1/5 - 2/1 . ( PHASE-I).**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Syed Construction		M/S. Mohammad Rafique .		Mr. Inder Lal		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	PART'A' ROAD WORK.	2119404	10.15 % Below	1904284	9.80 % Below	1911702	8.70 % Below	1935016
	i). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	689456		689456		689456		689456
	ii). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	82658		82658		82658		82658
	iii). 10 % below ceiling ( - )	211940						
	<b>TOTAL</b>	2679578		2676398		2683816		2707130
	Contingencies .	42388						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	2721966	Saving	3180	Excess	-4238	Excess .	-27552

The lowest rates @ 10.15% below , ( Ten point one five percent ) below for road work, quoted by M/S. Syed Construction Government Contractors is reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 5 , W/R of roads Taluka Badin
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 4.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 3.385 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s . Saeed Construction Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 3325739/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . Saeed Construction Co.  
2. M/s , Siraj Ahmed A.Majeed .  
3. M/s *A.A. Enterprises* *Kay Bee Constructions*.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN .**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012 .**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK : WIDENING & RECONDITIONING OF ROADS TALUKA BADIN . W/R OF KADHAN BYE PASS ROAD MILE 0/0-0/3**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Saeed Construction Co.		M/S. Siraj Ahmed A.Majeed		M/S. A.A. Enterprises.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK .</b>	10.15 % Below	2487433	9.80 % Below	2497123	9.25 % Below	2512349
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN		775986		775986		775986
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .		62320		62320		62320
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )		276843				

**TOTAL PART'A' 3329892 3325739 3335429 3350655**

**Contingencies . 55369**

<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>3385261</b>	<b>Saving 4153</b>	<b>Excess -5537</b>	<b>Excess . -20763</b>
-------------------------	----------------	--------------------	---------------------	------------------------

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.15% below , (Ten point one five percent ) below ,quoted by **M/S. Saeed Construction Co. Government Contractors** are reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

  
**SUPERVISING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.**

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT/ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 8 , W/R of roads Taluka S.F.Rahu
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 7,5000 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 5.850 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s . Siraj Ahmed , A.Majeed .
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 5762900/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . Siraj Ahmed , A.Majeed .  
2. M/s. Ali Brothers .  
3. M/s .A.A. Enterprises .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue : 27.02.2012

Date of opening : 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK : WIDENING & RECONDITIONING OF ROADS TALUKA S.F. RAHU. Improvement of road from Tarai to Dadharko mile 0/0-1/0 (phase-I).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. SIRAJ AHMED A.MAJEED		M/S. ALI BROS.		M/S. A.A.ENTERPRISES.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	3794387	4.10 % Below	3638817	2.90 % Below	3684350	2.20 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	1379512		1379512		1379512	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	165327		165327		165327	
	iii). 4% below ceiling (-)	151775					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	<b>5187451</b>		<b>5183656</b>		<b>5229189</b>	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	59827 x 4	239308	64.50 % above.	393662	70.0 % above	406824
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	28400 x 4	113600				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT	15580 x 4	62320		62320		62320
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	11965 x 4	47860				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 4	34816		34816		34816
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	22112 x 4	88448		88448		88448
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	<b>586352</b>	<b>579246</b>		<b>592408</b>		<b>593604</b>
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+ 'B')</b>	<b>5773803</b>	<b>5762902</b>		<b>5821596</b>		<b>5849354</b>
	Contingencies.	75888					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>5849691</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>10901</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-47793</b>	<b>Excess.</b>
							<b>-75551</b>

The lowest rates @ 4.10 % below , (Four point one zero percent ) below Part "A" Road work and 64.50 % above sixty four point five zero percent above Part "B" M/Str. , quoted by M/S. Siraj Ahmed A.Majeed , Government Contractor are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 13 , R/R of Sujawal road to Tarai .
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 12.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 11.987 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. L.A.United Construction.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 11777265/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . L.A.United Construction. s.  
2. M/s . Mohammad Rafique  
3. M/s . Mohammad Siddique Urf Jamil Ahmed .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6810 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue** 27.02.2012

**Date of opening :** 27.02.2012

**NAME OF WORK :** REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN /FLOOD 2011 - DISTRICT BADIN ROAD FROM BADIN SUJAWAL ROAD TO TARAI MILE 1/2 - 3/2 ( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	L.A.UNITED CONSTRUCTION		M/S.MOHAMMAD RAFIQUE		M/S. M.SIDDIQUE URF JAMIL AHMED		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	7847654	4.25 % Below	7514129	3.50 % Below	7572986	3.25 % Below	
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	2759623		2759623		2759623		
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	82665		82665		82665		
	iii). 10% below ceiling (-)	313906						
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	10376036		10356417		10415274	10434893	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	118564 x 6	711384	67.0 % above.	1188011	70.0 % above	1209353	71.5 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	61200 x 6	367200					
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT	26035 x 6	156210		156210		156210	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE...	23713 x 6	142278					
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	12771 x 6	76626		76626		76626	
	I). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.		0		0		0	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	1453698		1420847		1442189	1452860	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	11829734		11777264		11857463	11887753	
	Contingencies.	156953						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	11986687	Saving	52470	Excess	-27729	Excess.	-58019

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 4.25% below , ( Four point two five percent ) below , Part "B" M.STR: 67.0 % above sixty seven point zero percent above , quoted by M/S. L.A.United Construction, Government Contractor are reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

*A. S.*

*[Signature]*

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

*[Signature]*  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

*[Signature]*  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 15 , R/R of Abdul Karim Bhurgari road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 12.100 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 12.084 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Muzamil & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 11887496/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . Muzamil & Co.  
2. M/s . New Murwat Builders .  
3. Mr . Basarudin Muchharo .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**

**Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291**

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue : 27.02.2012  
 Date of opening : 27.02.2012


NAME OF WORK :

REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN / FLOOD 2011 DISTRICT BADIN . ROAD FROM BADIN KHOSKI ROAD TO VILLAGE ABDUL KARIM BHURGARI UPTO JAMIL SAMO MILE 0/0- 4/2 ( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/s. Muzamil & Co.		M/S. New Murwat Builders.		Mr. Bassarudin Muchharo .		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	<u>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</u>	8985354	10.10 % Below	8077833	9.80 % Below	8104789	9.25 % Below	
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	2759761		2759761		2759761	2759761	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	330653		330653		330653	330653	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	898535						
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	<b>11177233</b>		<b>11168247</b>		<b>11195203</b>	<b>11244623</b>	
2	<u>PART'B' M.STR .</u>	56532 x 5	282660	74.20 % above.	492394	75.5 % above	496068	77.0 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	32186 x 5	160930					
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	14555 x 5	72775		72775		72775	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE...	11306 x 5	56530					
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 5	43520		43520		43520	
	v). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	22112 x 5	110560		110560		110560	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	<b>726975</b>	<b>719249</b>		<b>722923</b>		<b>727163</b>	
	<b>TOTAL PART ('A'+B')</b>	<b>11904208</b>	<b>11887496</b>		<b>11918127</b>		<b>11971786</b>	
	Contingencies .	179707						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>12083915</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>16712</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-13919</b>	<b>Excess .</b>	<b>-67578</b>

The lowest rates Part 'A' Road work @ 10.10% below , (Ten point one zero percent ) below Part 'B' MStr: 74.20 % above  
 Seventy four point two zero percent above , quoted by M/S. Muzamil & Co., Government Contractor are reasonable ,  
 hence recommended for favour of approval .

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 22 , R/R of village Yousuf Memon road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 3.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 3.407 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s.Saleem Iqbal & Bros.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 3365269=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s .Saleem Iqbal & Bros.  
2. M/s .Waseem & Co.  
3. Mr. Basarudin Muchharo
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

***SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi***  
***Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291***

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :**

**REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM BADIN KADHAN ROAD TO VILLAGE YOUSUF MEMON MILE 0/0-1/2.**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Saleem Iqbal & Bros.		M/S. Waseem & co.		Mr. Bassarudin Muchharo					
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT				
1	<u>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</u>	8.15	% Below	929151	7.80	% Below	932692	7.50	% Below	935726	
	<u>PART'B' CARPET.</u>	913522	3.85	% above	948693	4.25	% above	952347	4.75	% above.	956914
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	1487426			1487426			1487426		1487426	
	ii). 10% below ceiling ( A ) ( - )	80928									
	iii). 4 % above ceiling ( B )	36541									
	<b>TOTAL</b>	3368157		3365270		3372464		3380067			
	<b>Contingencies .</b>	38502									
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	3406659	<b>Saving</b>	2887	<b>Excess</b>	-4307	<b>Excess .</b>	-11910			

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 8.15% below, ( Eight point one five percent ) below, for road work and 3.85 % above ( Three point eight five percent) above for part B Carpet work quoted by M/S. Saleem Iqbal & Bros: Government Contractors are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 2 , Jam Pitafi Otque mile 1/5-2/2.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 5.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 5.0529 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos.  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s R.F. Builders .
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 4997877/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . R.F Builders.  
2. M/s Rasheed Khan Aferidi .  
3. M/s Kay Bee Constructuors:
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED ; - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

***SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi***  
***Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291***

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.  
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue: 27.02.2012

Date of opening: 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM PANGRIO JHUDO ROAD JAM PITAFI STOP TO JAM PITAFI OTAQUE VIA BASAR  
REBARI MILE 1/5 - 2/2.

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. R.F. Builders.		Mr. Rasheed Ahmed Khan Aferidi		M/S. Kay Bee Constructors.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	4004529	10.25 % Below	3594065	9.75 % Below	3614087	9.0 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	862423		862423		862423	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	103330		103330		103330	
	iii). 10% below ceiling (-)	400453					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	4569829		4559818		4579840	
2	<b>PART'B' M.S.TR.</b>	56508 x 3	169524	78.0% above.	301753	83.0 % above	310229
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	34500 x 3	103500				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT.	14555 x 3	43665		43665		43665
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	11302 x 3	33906				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 3	26112		26112		26112
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	22110 x 3	66330		66330		66330
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	443037		437860		446336	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+'B')</b>	5012866		4997677		5026176	
	Contingencies.	40045					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	5052911	Saving	15189	Excess	-13310	Excess.
							-46735

The lowest rates Part 'A' Road work @ 10.25% below, (Ten point two five zero percent) below, & Part "B" M/Sr: 78.0 % above  
Seventy eight point zero percent above, quoted by M/S. R.F. Builders, Government Contractors are reasonable,  
hence recommended for favour of approval.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.

## SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

### CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

#### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.L.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 20 , R/R of Nabi Bux Bhurgari road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 3.546 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 3.465 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Manzoor Soomro
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 3410396/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s .Manzoor Soomro
2. M/s . Ali Builders.
3. M/s . Hosh Ayaz Construction.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN .**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012 .**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :**

**REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM BADIN KADHAN ROAD TO VILLAGE NABI BUX BHURGARI MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.0 KM).**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Manzoor soomro		M/S. Ali Builders.		M/S. Hosh Ayaz Constructions.		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK .</b>	2598341	8.10 % Below	2387875	7.50 % Below	2403465	7.00 % Below	2416457
	i). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	913080		913080		913080		913080
	ii).DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	109440		109440		109440		109440
	iii).8 % below ceiling ( - )	207867						
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3412994</b>		<b>3410395</b>		<b>3425985</b>		<b>3438977</b>
	<b>Contgencies .</b>	<b>51967</b>						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>3464961</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>2599</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-12991</b>	<b>Excess .</b>	<b>-25983</b>

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 8.10% below , ( Eight point one zero percent ) below , quoted by M/S. Manzoor Soomro Government Contractors is reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 19 , R/R of Nawaz Panhwar road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 12.555 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 11.5033 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Manzoor Soomro
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 11306682/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s .Manzoor Soomro
2. M/s . Ali Builders.
3. M/s . Hosh Ayaz Construction.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012 .**

Date of Issue : 27.02.2012  
 Date of opening : 27.02.2012

**NAME OF WORK :** REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM LUARI NINDO ROAD TO VILLAGE NAWAZ PANHWER MILE 0/0-2/0 (3.20 KMS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/s. Manzoor Soomro		M/S. Ali Builders.		M/S. Hosh Ayaz Construction .	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	8526485	10.20 % Below	7656784	8.50 % Below	7801734	8.0 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	2759296		2759296		2759296	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	331360		331360		331360	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	852649					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	10764492		10747440		10892390	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	88902 x 3	266706	78.50 % above.	476070	82.0 % above	485405
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	54700 x 3	164100				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	18860 x 3	56580		56580		56580
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE..	17780 x 3	53340				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8864 x 3	26592		26592		26592
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .		0		0		0
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	567318	559242		568577		573911
	<b>TOTAL PART( 'A'+ 'B' )</b>	11331810	11306682		11460967		11508933
	<b>Contingencies.</b>	170530					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	11502340	Saving	25128	Excess	-129157	Excess .
							-177123

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.20% below , (Ten point two zero percent ) below Part "B" M/Str: 78.50 % above  
 Seventy eight point five zero percent above , quoted by M/S. Manzoor soomro, Government Contractor, are reasonable ,  
 hence recommended for favour of approval .

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 14 , R/R of Sunni Ghuni Mithi Mori
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 15.400 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 15.333 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Faiz & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 15187256/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . Faiz & Co.  
2. M/s . New Murwat Builders .  
3. M/s . Muzamil & Co. .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
Authorized Officer **HIGHWAYS DIVISION**

*Asif*  
**SADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue : 27.02.2012  
 Date of opening : 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK : REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRA STRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN / FLOOD 2011 DISTRICT BADIN . ROAD FROM SUNNI GHUNI TO ARTILLARY CHAK VIA MITHI MORI MILE 0/0- 8/0 ( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/s. Faiz & Co.		M/S. New Murwat Builders.		M/S. Muzamil & Co.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK..</b>	10223528	10.10 % Below	9190952	9.70 % Below	9231846	9.30 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	3944888		3944888		3944888	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	269040		269040		269040	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	1022353					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	13415103		13404880		13445774	13486668
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR. ( 15 RFT bridge &amp; 8 RFT culvert. )..</b>	944742	57.00 % above.	1483245	58.50 % above	1497416	60.7 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	383000					
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	185115		185115		185115	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE...	188948					
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	114016		114016		114016	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B' (ii)</b>	1815821		1782376		1796547	1817331
	<b>TOTAL PART( 'A'+ 'B' )</b>	15230924		15187256		15242321	15303999
	Contingencies .	102235					
	<b>TOTAL T. SANCTION</b>	15333159	Saving	43668	Excess	-11397	Excess.
							-73075

The lowest rates @ 10.10% below, (Ten point one zero percent ) below for Part "A" Road work and 57.0 % above ( Fifty seven point zero percent ) above for Part "B" Masonary structures , quoted by M/S. Faiz & Co. , , Government Contractor, are reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval.

*[Signature]*  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

*[Signature]*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

*[Signature]*  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 12 , R/R of Chaker Panhwer road .
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 15.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 14.891 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. New Murwat Builders.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 14753666/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s . New Murwat Builders.
2. M/s . Faiz & Co.
3. M/s White Traders .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :** REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRA STRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN / FLOOD 2011 DISTRICT BADIN . ROAD FROM BADIN KHOSKI ROAD TO VILLAGE CHAKER PANHWER MILE 0/0- 4/4 ( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S.NEW MURWAT BUILDERS		M/S. FAIZ & CO.		M/S.WHITE TRADERS.			
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT		
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	10510417	10.10 % Below	9448865	8.90 % Below	9574990	8.20 % Below	9648563	
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	4118750		4118750		4118750		4118750	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	330661		330661		330661		330661	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	1051042							
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	13908786		13898276		14024401		14097974	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	56508 x 6	339048	72.0 % above.	583163	75.0 % above	593334	75.5 % above	595029
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	31800 x 6	190800						
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	14555 x 6	87330		87330		87330		87330
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE .	11302 x 6	67812						
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 6	52224		52224		52224		52224
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	22112 x 6	132672		132672		132672		132672
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	869886		855389		865560		867255	
	<b>TOTAL PART( 'A'+ 'B' )</b>	14778672		14753664		14889961		14965229	
	Contingencies .	210208							
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	14988880	Saving	25008	Excess	-11289	Excess .	-186557	

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.10% below , (Ten point one zero percent ) below, Part "B" M/Str: 72.0 % above ( Seventy two point zero percent above , quoted by M/S. New Murwat Builders , Government Contractor, are reasonable , hence , recommended for favour of approval .

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 18 , R/R of Kazia Wah Bridge road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 20.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 20.00 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 03.03.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Pirzada & Sons.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 19539798/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s .Pirzada & Sons
2. M/s . Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co. .
3. M/s . Fazal Brothers .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**SADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue: 03.03.2012

Date of opening: 03.03.2012

NAME OF WORK: REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM ALLAHWALA CHOWK TO KAZIA WAH BRIDGE MILE 0/0-0/7+330'

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Pirzada & Sons...		M/S. Kazi Nisar & co.		M/S. Fazal Bros.		
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	
1	<u>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</u>	3742646	8.10 % Below	3439492	7.50 % Below	3461948	7.00 % Below	3480661
	<u>PART'B' ASPHALT.</u>	6779503	12.80 % above	7647279	13.10 % above	7667618	13.50 % above.	7694736
	i). DIFF. COST OF BITUMEN	8431747		8431747		8431747		8431747
	ii). DIFF. COST OF BRICKS.	21280		21280		21280		21280
	iii). 8% below ceiling ( A ) ( - )	299412						
	iv). 13 % above ceiling ( B )	881335						
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19557099</b>		<b>19539798</b>		<b>19582592</b>		<b>19628424</b>
	<b>Contingencies .</b>	<b>420886</b>						
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>19977985</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>17301</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-25493</b>	<b>Excess .</b>	<b>-71325</b>

The lowest rates @ 8.10% below , ( Eight point one zero percent ) below Part "A" for road work and 12.80 % above ( Twelve point eight zero percent) above for part B Asphalt work , quoted by M/S. Pirzada & Sons Government Contractors are reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Works & Services Department
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT	Civil Works
4) TENDER NUMBER	N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Road at NIT Sr.No. 10 , W/R of Shell Pump road .
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	District Development Committee .
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs: 20.00 Million
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	Rs: 20.00 Million
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)	12 ( twelve ) Months.
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	03.03.2012 @ 3.00 PM
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	03 Nos:
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	03 Nos:
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS	03
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)	Copy attached
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER	M/s. Pirzada & Sons
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs: 19669817/=
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).	1. M/s , Pirzada & Sons 2. M/s . Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co. . 3. M/s .Fazal Brothers .
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)	
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic/ Local
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	<input type="checkbox"/>

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

***SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi***  
***Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291***

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN .**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012 .**

**Date of Issue : 03.03.2012**

**Date of opening : 03.03.2012**

**NAME OF WORK : W/R WITH ASPHALT ROAD FROM SHAHNAWAZ CHOWK TO SHELL PETROL PUMP GOLARCHI ROAD BADIN  
 GOLARCHI ROAD BADIN MILE 0/0-0/5+540'**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Pirzada & Sons. .		M/S. Kazi Nisar & co.		M/S. Fazal Bros.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK .</b>	2482931	8.10 % Below	2281814	7.50 % Below	2296711	7.80 % Below
	<b>PART'B' ASPHALT .</b>	7757921	12.85 % above	8754814	13.10 % above	8774209	13.50 % above.
	<b>I). DIFF. COST OF BITUMEN</b>	8633189		8633189		8633189	
	<b>ii). 8% below ceiling ( A ) ( - )</b>	198634					
	<b>iii). 13 % above ceiling ( B )</b>	1008530					
	<b>TOTAL</b>	19683937		19669816		19704109	19727692
	<b>Contingencies .</b>	307226					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	19991163	Saving	14121	Excess	-20172	Excess .
							-43755

The lowest rates @ 8.10% below, ( Eight point one zero percent ) below Part "A" Road work, for road work and 12.85 % above ( Twelve point eight five percent ) above for part B Asphalt work , quoted by M/S. Pirzada & Sons Government Contractors are reasonable , hence recommended for favour of approval .

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

## SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

### CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.  | Works & Services Department   |
| 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER  | Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin   |
| 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT  | Civil Works   |
| 4) TENDER NUMBER  | N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012  |
| 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT  | Road at NIT Sr.No. 9 , W/R of Larr Museum road .  |
| 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME   | District Development Committee .  |
| 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE   | Rs: 20.00 Million   |
| 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE<br>(For civil works only)  | Rs: 20.00 Million   |
| 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT)  | 12 ( twelve ) Months.   |
| 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)  | 03.03.2012 @ 3.00 PM  |
| 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD<br>(Attach list of buyers)  | 03 Nos:   |
| 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED   | 03 Nos:   |
| 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS  | <u>03</u>   |
| 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT<br>(Enclose a copy)   | Copy attached   |
| 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER   | M/s . Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.  |
| 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE  | Rs: 19810896/=  |
| 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT<br>(i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). | 1. M/s . Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co.<br>2. M/s. Pirzada & Sons .<br>3. M/s .Fazal Brothers . |
| 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)  |   |
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Domestic/ Local                                     |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____  | <input type="checkbox"/>  |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____  | <input type="checkbox"/>  |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____   | <input type="checkbox"/>  |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 03.03.2012**

**Date of opening : 03.03.2012**

**NAME OF WORK : WIDENING & RECONDITIONING WITH ASPHALT ROAD FROM SALLAHWALA CHOWK TO LARR MUSEUM BADIN MILE 0/0-0/5+330'**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & co.		M/S. Pirzada & Sons.		M/S. Fazal Bros.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	5571149	8.10 % Below	5119886	7.50 % Below	5153313	7.25 % Below
	<b>PART'B' ASPHALT.</b>	6133166	12.75 % above	6915145	13.50 % above	6961143	14.20 % above.
	i). DIFF. COST OF BITUMEN	7775865		7775865		7775865	
	ii). 8% below ceiling ( A ) ( - )	445692					
	iii). 13 % above ceiling ( B )	797312					
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>19831800</b>		<b>19810896</b>		<b>19890321</b>	<b>19947181</b>
	<b>Contgencies .</b>	<b>146304</b>					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>19978104</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>20904</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-58521</b>	<b>Excess . -115381</b>

The lowest rates @ 8.10% below. ( Eight point one zero percent ) below Part "A" for road work and 12.75 % above ( Twelve point seven five percent) above for part B. **Asphalt work** , quoted by M/S. Kazi Nisar Ahmed & Co. Government Contractors are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 21 , R/R of Dehi Sorhadi road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 6.402 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s.J.K. & co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 6345757/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). 1. M/s . J.K. & Co.  
2. M/s .New Murwat Builders.  
3. M/s . Anwarullah Khan & Bros. .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :**

**REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF ROAD FROM DIGRI SORHADI ROAD TO CONNECT DEHI TANDO JAN MOHAMMAD ROAD ( 2.20 Kms).**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. J.K. & CO.		M/S. NEW MURWAT BUILDERS.		M/S. ANWARULLAH KHAN & BROS.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>						
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	4627285	10.15 % Below	4157616	9.50 % Below	4187693	8.50 % Below
		1781195		1781195		1781195	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	123996		123996		123996	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	462729					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	6069747		6062807		6092884	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	56532 x 2	113084	70.0 % above.	192209	72.0 % above	194470
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	29983 x 2	59966				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT.	14555 x 2	29110		29110		29110
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	11306 x 2	22612				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 2	17408		17408		17408
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	22112 x 2	44224		44224		44224
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	286384		282951		285212	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	6356131		6345757		6378096	
	Contingencies.	46273					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	6402404	Saving	10374	Excess	-21965	Excess.
							-71630

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.15% below, (Ten point one five percent ) below, Part "B" M/Str: 70.0 % above Seventy point zero percent above, quoted by M/S. J.K. & Co., Government Contractor, are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
**WORKS & SERVICES**  
**BADIN.**



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 17 , R/R of Pangrio By Pass road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 5,933 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos:
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. J.K. & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 5728407/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s J.K. & Co.
2. M/s . New Murwat Builders .
3. M/s . Jehanzaib & Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT. IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**SAPIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT**  
**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue: 27.02.2012**  
**Date of opening: 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :** REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN /FLOOD 2011 - DISTRICT BADIN  
 PANGRIO BY PASS ROAD MILE 0/0-0/7+220'.

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. J.K. & Co.		M/S. New Murwat Builders.		M/S. Jehanzaib & Co.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	4178305	10.18 % Below	3752954	9.50 % Below	3781366	9.20 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	1542103		1542103		1542103	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	150480		150480		150480	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	417830					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	<b>5453058</b>		<b>5445637</b>		<b>5473949</b>	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>	56508 x 2	113016	70.0 % above.	192127	72.0 % above	194388
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	30700 x 2	61400				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT .	14555 x 2	29110		29110	29110	29110
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE..	11302 x 2	22604				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8704 x 2	17408		17408	17408	17408
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS .	22112 x 2	44224		44224	44224	44224
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	<b>287762</b>		<b>282869</b>		<b>285130</b>	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	<b>5740820</b>		<b>5728406</b>		<b>5759079</b>	
	<b>Contingencies.</b>	<b>83566</b>					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>5824386</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>12414</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-18259</b>	<b>Excess</b>
							<b>-34184</b>

The lowest rates Part 'A' Road work @ 10.18% below , (Ten point one eight percent ) below Part 'B' M/Str: 70.0 % above  
 Seventy point zero percent above , quoted by M/S. J.K. & Co. , Government Contractor, are reasonable , hence  
 recommended for favour of approval .

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 16 , R/R of Olya Rajseen road
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 10.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 9.996 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 06 ( six ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. L.A. United constructions.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 9793645/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . L.A. United constructions.  
2. M/s . Syed Shahid Ali .  
3. M/s . Tarique Ayoub Brothers .
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local			

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of issue : 27.02.2012

Date of opening : 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK :

REPAIR / REHABILITATION OF INFRASTRUCTURE DAMAGED DURING RAIN / FLOOD 2011 DISTRICT BADIN . ROAD FROM TANDO BAGO PANGRIO ROAD TO VILLAGE OLYA RAJSEEN MILE 4/1- 6/5( IN PORTIONS).

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"		M/s. L.A.United Construction		Syed Shaheed Ali.		M/S. Tarique Ayoub Bros.	
	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>							
	7145219	10.20 % Below	6416407	10.0 % Below	6430697	9.50 % Below	6466423	
	2925092		2925092		2925092		2925092	
	206659		206659		206659		206659	
	714522							
	9562448		9548158		9562448		9598174	
2	<b>PART'B' M.STR.</b>							
	56508 x 2	113016	73.5 % above.	196083	74.25 % above	196930	75.0 % above	197778
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	31800 x 2		63600				
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT.	14555 x 2		29110		29110		29110
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	11302 x 2		22604				
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8864 x 2		17728		17728		17728
	v). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS.	22110 x 2		44220		44220		44220
	<b>TOTAL PART'B'</b>	290278		287141		287988		288836
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	9852726		9835298		9850436		9887010
	Contingencies . 142904							
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	9995630	Saving	17428	Saving	2290	Excess .	-34284

The lowest rates Part "A" Road work @ 10.20% below , (Ten point two zero percent ) below, Part "B" MStr: 73.50 % above Seventy three point five zero percent above , quoted by M/S. L.A.United constructions , Government Contractor are , reasonable ,hence recommended for favour of approval.

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

  
**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER**  
 WORKS & SERVICES  
 BADIN.

  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
 HIGHWAY DIVISION  
 BADIN

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 4 , Const:of roads Dist: Badin
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 20.00 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs: 19.295 Million
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) 03 Nos.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) Copy attached
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s . Jehanzaib & Co.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 18949306/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/s . Jehanzaib & Co.  
2. M/s .Saeed Construction Co. .  
3. M/s WASEEM & Co .  
~~Key Bee Construction~~
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT  
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

NIT No. TC/G-55/140 dated 01.02.2012.

Date of Issue : 27.02.2012  
Date of opening : 27.02.2012

NAME OF WORK : CONSTRUCTION OF ROADS DISTRICT BADIN - Construction of road from Pangrio Jhudo road to village Darya Khan Leghari mile 0/0- 1/6.

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/s. Jehanzaib & Co.		M/S.Saeed Construction Co.		M/S. Waseem & Co.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A ROAD WORK.</b>	15192595	8.10 % Below	13961995	7.75 % Below	14015169	7.10 % Below
	I). DIFF; COST OF BITUMEN	2414649		2414649		2414649	
	II). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	289317		289317		289317	
	iii). 8% below ceiling ( - )	1215408					
	<b>TOTAL PART'A'</b>	16681153		16665961		16719135	
2(i)	<b>PART'B' M.STR. (Culverts).</b>	56508 x 6	76.25 % above.	597572	77.50 % above	601810	78.1 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	32700 x 6		196200			
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT	14555 x 6		87330		87330	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	11302 x 6		67812			
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	8864 x 6		53184		53184	
	V). DIFF; COST OF BRICKS	22110 x 6		132660		132660	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B' (i)</b>	876234		870746		874984	
2(ii)	<b>PART'B' M.STR. (15 RFT bridge).</b>	679509	76.25 % above.	1197635	77.50 % above	1206128	78.1 % above
	I). ADD COST OF CARRIAGE	403000					
	II). DIFF; COST OF CEMENT	127510		127510		127510	
	III). ADD 20 % ABOVE.	135902					
	IV). DIFF; COST OF STEEL	87454		87454		87454	
	<b>TOTAL PART'B' (ii)</b>	1433375		1412599		1421092	
	<b>TOTAL PART('A'+B')</b>	18990762		18949306		19015211	
	Contingencies.	303852					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	19294614		19294614		19294614	
				41456	Excess	-24449	Excess.
							-129313

The lowest rates @ 8.10% below, ( Eight point one zero percent ) below for Part "A" Road work and 76.25 % above ( Seventy six point two five percent ) above for Part "B" Masonary structures, quoted by M/S. Jehanzaib & Co., Government Contractor are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Executive Engineer Highway Division Badin
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Civil Works
- 4) TENDER NUMBER N.I.T No: TC/G-55/ 140 Dated: - 01-02-2012
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Road at NIT Sr.No. 6 , W/R of roads Taluka T.Bago
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME District Development Committee .
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs: 6.500 Million
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs: 6.174 Million  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 12 ( twelve ) Months.
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 27.02.2012 @ 3.00 PM
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos:  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos:
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s . B.M Construction .
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs: 6064955/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/s . B.M Construction
2. Mr. Inder lal
3. M/s Anwarullah Khan & Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	ID No: 6610 / 2012 .
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Daily Kawish / Daily express Dated: - 08.02.2012 .
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------


37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**HIGHWAYS DIVISION**  
**BADIN.**

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT.**

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN.**

**NIT No. TC/G-55/ 140 dated 01.02.2012.**

**Date of Issue : 27.02.2012**

**Date of opening : 27.02.2012**

**NAME OF WORK :**

**WIDENING & RECONDITIONING OF ROADS TALUKA TANDO BAGO . Improvement of road from Khoski to Artillary Chack mile 0/0- 1/0.**

S.#.	COST OF SCHEDULE "B"	M/S. B.M.Construction Co.		Mr. Inder Lal.		M/S. Anwarullah Khan & Bros.	
		RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT	RATE QUOTED	AMOUNT
1	<b>PART'A' ROAD WORK.</b>	5030659	10.16 % Below	4519544	9.8 % Below	4537654	9.2 % Below
	I). DIFF. COST OF BITUMEN	1380111		1380111		1380111	
	II). DIFF. COST OF BRICKS .	165300		165300		165300	
	iii). 10% below ceiling ( - )	503066					
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6073004</b>		<b>6064955</b>		<b>6083065</b>	<b>6113249</b>
	<b>Contgencies .</b>	<b>100613</b>					
	<b>TOTAL T.SANCTION</b>	<b>6173617</b>	<b>Saving</b>	<b>8049</b>	<b>Excess</b>	<b>-10061</b>	<b>Excess .</b>
							<b>-40245</b>

The lowest rates for Part "A" Road work @ 10.16% below , ( Ten point one six percent ) below , quoted by M/S.B.M Construction Co. Government Contractors are reasonable, hence recommended for favour of approval.

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION  
BADIN**

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
HIGHWAY DIVISION BADIN**

**SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
WORKS & SERVICES  
BADIN.**