OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER RORHI DIVISION MORO

NO. TC/G-55/ 497

OF 2014

Moro dated. 31 - 1-2014

To.

The Manger, Capacity Building Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Barrack No. 8 Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A Court Road Karachi.

SUBJECT:-

N.I.T NO.TC/G-55/4313DATED. 24.09.2013.

Reference: -

Kindly your office letter No. Dir(CB) SPPRA/3-18/(N.F)13-14/3692 dated. 16/01/2014.

Kindly your office letter no cited above under the reference, regarding the compliance of SPPRA rules 2010. The above subjected NIT was process and completed as per SPPRA rules 2010, the tender has also been approved by the competent authority /accepted by the contractor of bids along with comparative statement was sent to your kind office for hosting the on SPPRA web site vide this office letter No. TC/G-55/4771 dated. 25.10.2013. The observation has been raised by your kind office for necessary compliance, the details along with attachment are sent herewith.

- 1. The SPPRA rules for bid evolution report is original singed by procurement committee (original copy attached)
- 2. The SPPRA rules for notification of procurement committee (photo copy attached).
- The SPPRA rule of Procurement Plan has also been hosted on SPPRA web site (photo copy attached)

In the light of above observations and their compliance the tender procedure has been followed and completes the all formalities as per SPPRA rules 45 rules 2010.

This is for your favour of kind information and further necessary action.

DA/ as above

Copy forwarded with complements to the:-

Secretary Irrigation Department Government of Sindh Karachi

Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Karachi,

Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad for favour of information

DA/as above

EXECUITVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Pumpplian
-6/2/2/2019

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO

218 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

Department Government of SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (05)FIVE

6. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2274928/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2280170/-	2 nd lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2295895/-	3 rd lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2306379/-	4 th Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	MR. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	2327346/-	5 th lowest	11.0%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM &CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Enginee

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

225 TO 234 IP IP & 223 TO 234 NIP

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

- 5. Total Bid document sold (06)SIX
- 6. Total Bids received

(06) SIX

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11 Bid Evaluation Report

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2535520/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	2541362/-	2 nd lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2547205/-	3 rd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	25555384/-	4 th Lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2570574/-	5 th lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2574079/-	6 th lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM &CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engine

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

193 TO 204IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.

11. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

Department Government of SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE

13. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE

14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

15. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

17. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 st lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 rd lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4 th Lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 th lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SUN SHINE contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engine

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

241 TP 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9,2013, Daily Express Trubine dated.

26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (08)EIGHT 6. Total Bids received

(08) **EIGHT**

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani	2795499/-	1 st lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor	2801926/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Oshque & contractor	2813493/-	3 rd lowest	9.45%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr.Amir Ali chandio	2818634/-	4 th Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	2826346/-	5 th lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	
6	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor	2827631/-	6 th lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	2844340/-	7 th lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 7 th lowest	
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2853337/-	8 th lowest	11.00%	Rejected being a 8 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Kamran Ali Jalbani contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP,&312 TO

315 NIP.

Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated.

26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (06) SIX

6. Total Bids received

(06) SIX

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	2287459/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2298000/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Oshque & CO	2304325/-	3 rd lowest	9.30%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	2311704/-	4 th Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2316974/-	5 th lowest	9.90%	Rejected being a5th lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2319083/-	6 th lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executivé Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohr'

Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

double

ALONG ROHIL

CONSTRU

193 TO 204IP & 192

11. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Gov.

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold (05)FIVE

13. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE

- 14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 15. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 17. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 st lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 rd lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4 th Lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 4th lowest	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 th lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SUN SHINE contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engine

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293

3. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (04) FOUR

5. Total Bids received

(04) **FOUR**

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	1901639/-	1st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	330403/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	1921795/-	3 rd lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	1927929/-	4 th Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE

6. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
I	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio	16023960/-	1 st lowest	9.95%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	16053108/-	2 nd lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	16082256/-	3 rd lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16176986/-	4 th Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 th lowest.	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar contractor	16191560/-	5 th lowest	11.10%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 40 TO 50 NIP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (03) THREE.

5. Total Bids received

(03) THREE

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

9. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Zanwar &	9488774/-	1 st lowest	9.95%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr.Rafique Ahmed Sehto	9536240/-	2 nd lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9579390/-	4 th lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S Zanwar & AS co contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer /

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

<u>4313/24./09/2013</u>.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

Department Government of SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (06)SIX

6. Total Bids received

(06) SIX

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk	14368695/-	1 st lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	14388316/-	2 nd lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	14421016/-	4 th lowest	10.25%	Reject being a 4 th lowest.	
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	14447177/-	5 th lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 5 th lowest	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar	14473338/-	6 th lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	
6	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	14519119/-	7 th lowest	11.00%	Rejected being 7 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk Contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

12. Tender Reference No.

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56

TO 62 IP SIDE.

13. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Deg

Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak

Karchi dated, 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated, 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

14. Total Bid document sold (09) NINE

15. Total Bids received

(09) NINE

- 16. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 17. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) $\underline{N/A}$.
- 18. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 19. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 20. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S OSHQUE &CO Government contractor	16709848/-	1 st lowest	9.90%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	16747833/-	2 nd lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr Hussain Bux Sehto	16778242/-	3 rd lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. GhullamHyder Khushk contractor	16793446/-	4th Lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 4th lowest	
5	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16877072/-	5 TH Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	
6	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	16899878/-	6 TH lowest	11.15%	Reject being a 6 th lowest.	
7	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	16915083/-	7 th lowest	11.25%	Reject being a 7 th lowest.	
8	Ranipur Jeelani &Co	16930288/-	8th lowest	11.35%	Reject being a 8 th lowest.	
9	M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers	16953094/-	9 th lowest	11.50%	Rejected being a 9th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

M/S Oshque & company Government contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

- 1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.
- 2. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP .

3. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

i. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

- 4. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE.
- 5. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE.

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of	Cost offered by	Ranking in	Comparison	Reasons for	Remarks
	Contractor/Firms	the Bidder	terms of cost	with Estimated	acceptance/refecti	
				cost	on	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Muhammad	18053719/-	1 st lowest		Accepted being 1st	
	Yaseen Chandio			9.89%	lowest	
2	Mr. Khaild	18071791/-	2 nd lowest		Rejected being a	
!	Masood Channa			10.00 %	2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Rustaum	18112863/-	3 rd lowest		Rejected being a	
	Khan Contractor			10.25%	3 rd lowest	
4		18153936/-	4 th Lowest		Rejected being a	
	M/S Sam & CO			10.50%	4 th lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar &	18236080/-	5 TH Lowest		Reject being a 5th	
	AS			11.00%	lowest .	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

2. Tender Reference No.

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Departm

Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (06) SIX.

5. Total Bids received

(06) SIX.

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor	12601944/-	1 st lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio	12613416/-	2 nd lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Kamran Jalbani	12619152/-	3 rd lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik	12676511/-	4 th Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	12705191/-	5 TH Lowest	10.75%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	12733871/-	6 TH LOWEST	11.00%	Reject being a 6 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Muhammad Haneef Rajpoot contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Executive Engineer

Public Heath Engineer Division

Naushahro Feroze

Divisional Accounts Officer

Rohri Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Rohri Division Moro

Konn Division Moro

Executive Engineer

Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

Superintending Engineer



Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Government of Sindh

Tenders	Search by N	ame			
Committee					
Policy Instructions	1 Page Num	ber 1			
Black Listed Firms	S.No	Procuring Agency	View	Date	Year
Capacity Building				<u></u>	
Complaints	,INSTI	TUTE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTATION KARACHI	-1	22 January 2014	2013
Contract Evaluation Form	UNDE	R GROUND COAL GASIFICATION PROJECT THAR	i	08 January 2814	2013
Departments	OHAII	D-E-AWAM AGRICULTURE RESEARCH INSTITUTE			
Download Statistics	14	S-E-AVAIN AONICCE ON ENCEDERICO	-	01 January 2014	2013
Downloads		LATION WELFARE DEPARTMENT		17 December 2813	2613
Evaluation Reports	13			17 Ordenider 2013	
AD's		CHI WATER & SEWERAGE BOARD runk Main division	1-1	09 December 2613	2613
Feed Back		and the second s			
Galiery		HOSPITAL SHAHEED MDHTARMA BENAZIR BHUTTO MA CENTRE	1 4 1	03 December 2813	2013
News Archives					
Notifications	THAT	BILITATION OF RICE & COTTON RESEARCH STATION		03 December 2013	2013
Procurement Plans	10 IMAT	10		22 December 2013	2510
Site Statistics	AGRI	CULTURE RESEARCH SINDH TANDOJAM		28 November 2013	2013
Jseful Links	9			TO MOVERIOR 2013	
_ugin 9 Users Online		DNA MATERNAL, NEONATAL & CHILO HEALTH GRAMMER SINDH	: :	18 Nov 2013	2013
08 Nov 13 Update	, KMC	STORES & PROCURMENT DEPARTMENT			
Policy Letter	_	ed 311013		21 Dictober 2013	2013
Added 29 Oct JULia	KARA	CHI WATER & SEWERAGE BOARD	1 1	87 october 2013	2013

		IECT DIRECTOR ESTABLISHMENT OF AGRICULTURE NEERING TRAINING INSTITUTE NAREJA HYDERABAD		07 October 2813	2013
	AGRI	CULTURE ENGINEERING SINDH HYDERABAD		است د ستنسس ب	
		Tube well Etc	: .	87 October 2013 .	2013
	EXEC	UTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO	F.	DG Nov Update 02 Oct 2013	2013
	DIST	RICT HEALTH OFFICER SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD	1.	82 October 2013	2013
		RICT HEALTH OFFICER THARPARKAR			
	1	NOT TENENT OF TOER THANK TO THE		11 Sep 2813	2013
		PROCUREMEI	NIP	LANS	
	S.No.	PROCURING AGENCY	1		UREMENT PLAN
	1.	IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT ROHRI DIVISON MORO	201	1 3 -2014	×
	2.	JINNAH POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL CENTRE	201	13-2014	×
	3.	SINDH TEX IBOOK BOARD	201	13-2014	×
			704	12 0044	[×]
	4.	SINDHI LANGUAGE AUTHORITY	20	13-2014	

(ANNEXURE-A)

PROCUREMENT PLAN (DEVELOPMENT /CAPITAL)

PUBLIC SECTOR DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME

(REVAMPING/REHABLITATION OF IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE SYSTEMS IN SINDH PROVANCE)

		(REVAN	(REVAMPING/REHABLITATION OF IRRIGATION & DRAINAGE	ITATION OF	IRRIGATION	N & DRAIN	SYSTEMS	IN SINUH PROVANCE	<u> </u>		
Serval	Name of scheme &	Allocated	Cost of ongoing	Funds	Cost of New	Fund for	Nature of	Method of	Anticipated /Actual Date	Anticipated /Actual Date	Anticipated / A
No.	estimated cost	tund;	Expenditure (Expenditure	for ongoing	(Component	[c-c)	Process concerns		of	of Start	
•			already incurred)	WONG	ح				3		
٠ - ط		^					 I		1-	3	1
	Storie pitching along Robin	ž	ភ្ល	2	548 574 million	ž	Works	Single procedure			the second of
	REMARKS AND									=	
Marks and and	, SO NIP 158 TO 161 IP, 270							. 100			
	10 277 (F) 245 FD 250 (F, 339)										
	127 P 324 FO 317 NIP 460			M. 48.4·				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
	10 468 MP, 407 TO 415 NIP,										
	412 TO 413 IP,				· · · · di						
	cost of Re. \$48.524 million										
	Oated 30.08.2005						Arms .	**		:	in to lune
	Earth work along Rohn Main				69.08.7						1
	Canal form RD191 to 201 p.				million						
	191 to 204 np. 701 for 707										
	OI SOF AIN DOLOT SOF AIN				a						
	315 P. 320 TO 330 NIP 443										
	TO 460 NIP approved at the					***************************************	umangeleit er el				-
	2011 OT 2015 TO 1										
	Construction For stressed				19 387						Up to lune
	Bridge RD 6 over Michrahpur				กเมียก			****			
	Branch approved at the cost										
	of Rs 19.382 million								Sand (any har)	Decamber/	Up to June
	Earth work along Dad Branch		•	****	mitten				October	2013/Januar	1
	approved at the rost of	er, er =		merit.	-					V 2014	
	Rs 36.040 million.				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	Earth work along Sebra	•			086 67			•••	September/	Determoer	Of the state
	Branch Fiorn RD 40 to 63				nulinon nulinon				Sci Si	v 2014	
	boto sides approved at the			, March and						wa	
	Post of										
:	Earth work along Sut Distry				65 SB0			. ,			Up to June
	from 82) 38 to 60 both sides	* ****			million		-				
	65.580 milian			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Repair to bridges of Districts		911		3.55 milkan				•••	·	on to
	and Minors approved at the										
	cost of	**							199		
	HS. J. S.5 Mullion				15.031				•		Up to ture
	Construction Out less along	'			ucypu!			14 -11-11-14-14-1			
	the cost of										
	By 359 532 milkon	-					; -		- i		lie to hime
	ripostruction RD stores along				1.5d militar						 1
	distribus approved at the	***					-				
-	COST 60 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -										-
	. t										

	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	:		······································	_			
Mehrabpur approved it the cost of A. 71.121 inflige	Rv. 17.01 million controcting out lets along Branches Nablakhi	Constructing Grayance along Richin Main Canal approved at the cost of	es. 11 362 willian.	Remoding/Repair to structures slong Branches	Rs. 5.73mvlton	Constructing Groyance along the	the rost of	Entry Main Farms approved as
	; ; ; ;							
	1.17.1	milion 1701	177	11.362 Pullion		5. / JimsegOt		
								and of specimens
						2013	Septembic	
:						Desember 2013	November/	
	Sp to June ?		Up to John 7		up to lune 2		Jp to June 2	

EXECUTIVE ENGINERY
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

ig (\$n++ })



No: WB-11/RCC/P.C/2013/4-W/ 6196 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK REGION SUKKUR DATED 24 SEPTEMBER, 2013.

Phone # 071-9310192 Fax # 071-9310188

NOTIFICATION

A Procurement Committee consisting of the following officers is hereby constituted for opening of Bids for the works under ADP, PSDP and M&R for the year 2013-14 in Rohri Division Moro of Rohri Canal Circle, Hyderabad:-

1. Superintending Engineer, Rohri Canal Circle, Hyderabad.

CHAIRMAN

2. Executive Engineer, Rohri Division, Moro.

MEMBER

The Executive Engineer
 Dad Division Shaheed Benazirabad.

MEMBER

4. Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engineering Division
Nasushero Feroze

MEMBER

 Divisional Accounts Officer, Rohri Division Moro.

MEMBER/SECY

AHMED JUNAID MEMON CHIEF ENGINEER

Copy forwarded to :-

- 1. The Secretary to Government of Sindh Irrigation Department Karachi for favour of kind information.
- 2. The Superintending Engineer, Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. The Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro.
- The Executive Engineer Dad Division Shaheed Benazirabad.
- 5. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division Naushero Feroze.
- 6. The Divisional Accounts Officer, Rohri Division Moro.

HMED JUNATO MEM CHIEF ENGINEER

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4772 of 2013

Moro dated. 25-10-2613

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated, 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms	
1.	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid	,
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
3.	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid	- -
4.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
5.	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar Contractor 11.10% above the cost of schedule A-bid	_

The rate quoted by Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karach

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEIST TROHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACTO EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.
	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial
	Title of contract	
´	THIS OF COMMENT	
1	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD
	2	50 to 56 NIP sides
5	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million
3	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	79.403 million
)	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Six months
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of	05
	byres)	
12	Number of bids received	05
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	05
_	bids	
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)
16	Contract award price	16023960/-
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No
<u>-</u> —	Two stage hidding procedure	No
	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa	as adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting
	etc with brief reasons.	
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes ✓ No
	procurement plan?	
21	Advertisement :	15405 0 IT
V	SPPRA website	No. 17437 & IT
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GOS.
v	News papers	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26,09.2013.
		Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.
	N	Loc Int.
22	Nature of contract	Yes V No
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	165 7 100
	bidding/tender documents?	Yes V No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	103
	bidding/tenders documents?	Yes ✓ No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	100
	obtained for using a method other than open	
	competitive bidding?	Yes ✓ No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes V No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	103
	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes No ✓
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	100
	complaint?	

29 ,	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	√	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		√	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		-	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	 ✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	√
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	YesNo			

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer	

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- <u>Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation</u>

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE

6. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
7	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio	16023960/-	1 st lowest	9,95%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	16053108/-	2 nd lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	16082256/-	3 rd lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16176986/-	5 TH Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 th lowest.	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar contractor	16191560/-	5 TH LOWEST	11.10%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/

4313

of 2013

Moro dated

24

/09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP

SIDE

Date of Opening: 2 3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Kustam Khan Chandio	9.95% Above
2	M/3 Zawaz & AS	10.15%. Above
3	Mr. Amin Alichandia	10.35% Above
4	Mr. Khalid Masood channa	11. vol. Above
_ 5	No. Nasarullah Panhweiz	11.10% Above
6		(1.10).7.201
7		
8		
9		
10		
	<u> </u>	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI PIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4773 of 2011

Moro dated. 25 - 10 - 13

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S# Name of contractor/ Firms

Ι.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 9.85 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3,	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.25% above the cost of schedule A- bid
4.	Mr Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar 10.64 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers 11.0% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &				
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial			
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 56 to 62 NIP sides			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.			
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD			
		56 to 62 NIP sides			
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH			
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million			
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	79.403 million			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Six months			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	06			
12	Number of bids received	06			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)			
16	Contract award price	14368695/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.			
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local			
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO			
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. ? NO	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro			
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes V No			
21	Advertisement:				
	SPPRA website	✓ No. 17437 & IT			
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GOS.			
	News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.			
		Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.			
22	Nature of contract	Loc ✓ Int.			
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes V No			
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes V No			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open	Yes ✓ No			
26	competitive bidding?	No.			
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes V No			
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes ✓ No			

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	/	
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No				
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		-		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		+ ,		_
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	~	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	V	
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		V		

Signature & official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer	

F. E RD air Jans

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

<u>Address :</u> - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 9.85 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.25% above the cost of schedule A- bid
4	Mr Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar 10.64 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
6	M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers 11.0% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4779-

of 2013

Moro dated 2 5-/0-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the: -

- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- 2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H# A-17 Samanabad Near Bhirai Town Qasimabad Hyderabad.
- Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2ND A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M. BENZER BHUTTO Mr Nasrullah Panhwar .Gov: contractor District Naushero Feroze
- Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Village Mahi Khan Wagen PO Nor Pur District Naushero Feroze.
 Mr. GhullamHyder Khushak Contractor Shahi Bazar Bhansaeedabad
 - 6 Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
 - 7. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
 - 8. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated.

26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (06)SIX

6. Total Bids received

(06) SIX

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk	14368695/-	1 st lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	14388316/-	2 nd lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	14421016/-	4 th lowest	10.25%	Reject being a 4	
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	14447177/-	5 th lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar	14473338/-	6 th lowest	10.65% ~	Rejected being a	
6	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	14519119/-	7 th lowest	11.00%	Rejected being 7th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk Contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Mainsfors

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP

Date of Opening: 2 3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Poto Over
1	Mr. Ghylam Hyder Which !	Rate Quoted
2	Mr. Khalid Massod Channa	9.85%. Above
3	Mr. Arnik Al. Chandio	10.00%. Above
4	Mr. MUCh traver Al	10.25% Above
5	Mr. Mushtague Ahmed wagan	10.45% Above
6	Alm Nasarullah Panhwer	10.64%. Above
7	M/s Hassan & Hussain Engineers	11.0%. Above.
		77.71-00-2
8		
9		
10		
		\ <u>-</u> \
		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OF SICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD Comparative Statement

?

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 DATED. Name of work:- Earth work along Sehra Branch form RD 56 to 62 IP side 31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.

Date of Opened. 23.10.2013 24.9.2013, Date of Issue

S. NO.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Eamest Money deposited by Contractor	No. andd	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
- 4 2 4 2 2 2 2 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4	1 M/S OSHAQUE &CO 2 Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio 3 Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto 4 Mr. Ghuflam Hyder Khushaik 5 Mr. Mushatqave Wagan 6 RaniPur Jelani& CO 7 Mr. Hasan & Hussain Engineers 8 Mr. Khaild Masood Channa	9.90% / 1000 10.15% / 1000 10.35% 1000 11.15% 1000 11.35% 1000 11.35% 1000 11.00% 1000 11.25% 1000	2000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 000	553100 306000 305000 310000 304100 304100 34100	10191204 deted 21.10.2013 from ABL hyderabad and 1018 ABL Hyderabad 21.10.2013 from ABL hyderabad and 1018 10523583dated 23.10.2013 from ABL NAUSHE 2749781 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Bharnsae 10523571 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Nausher 0027776 dated 21.10.2013 from First Micro Fin 10191216 dated .22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderaba 2956412 dated .22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderaba 10191232 dated .22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderaba	10523583 dated 23.10.2013 from ABL hyderabad and 10191205 dated 21.10.2013 ABL Hyderabad. 10523583 dated 23.10.2013 from ABL NAUSHERO FEROZE. 2749781 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Kandlaro 2882062 dated 03.10.2013 from UBL Bhansaeedbad 10523571 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Naushero Feroze. 0027776 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad 10191216 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad 2956412 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad 10191232 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad
	Rate Analysis Estiamted Cost Amount of Schedule A to Bid Add 9.90% above quoted by towest contractor Total Cost of Bid Price	1520458 HEROSOB 1543284 16747864EXECUTIVE EINTIGON	Recemble Executive Executi	WE ELLY HORO	Submitted The rate of Rs.9.90% a guided by Mr. Oshque & If approved the Tender higher ups for favour of	Submitted The rate of Rs.9.90% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost agostop by Mr. Oshque & Co which is the lowest. EXECUTIVE Example of A to bid / estimated cost approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to ROHRI DIVISION MORO

167478**60** Total cost of Bid Price

x100 =9.90% above the Estimated cost 167478**50**(-)152045**6** 152045**6**

~~ ~~

NAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH SCHEDULE A TO EID FROM R.D 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

Γ		Ţ· 		, <u> </u>	
ļ 	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light (P - 95 / I - 4 a).	360000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	27227/-
- ;	Ploughing 3 times. (P - 103 / I - 41)	8.26 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	14617/-
,	Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1690850 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	3580375/-
•	Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3"or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1268138 Cft	502/52	% Cft	6372647/-
,	Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	422713 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	42601 -
5	Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1690850 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	598561/-
7	Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)	1690850 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	2444254/-
-		-	<u></u> ,	Total Rs.	13080287/-
	Percentage quoted ?—85/above —belo composite schedule of rates	w on the pri	ce of item	based on Rs.	1288'108-
				Total Rs	

112/1/6 CONTRACTOR

TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4770 of 2013

Moro dated. 25 - 10 - 2613

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated, 26.09,2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

#	Name of contractor/ Firms
	M/S Oshaque & co 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid
	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
	Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
_ -	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
_	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan 11.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
-	M/S Zanwar & AS 11.25% above the cost of schedule A-bid
 	Rani Pur Jeelani 11.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
<u> </u>	M/S Hussan & Hassnain Engineers 11.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by M/S Oshaque & co contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER


SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACTO EVOLATION FORM IN BY ALL PROCURING ACENCY FOR BURLIC CONTRACTOR

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &	OUUDS.		
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 56to 62 IP sides		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013		
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From R		
	•	40 to 50 IP sides		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million		
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	79.403 million		
)	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Six months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	09		
12	Number of bids received	09		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	09		
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	16709821/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.		
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The Towest evaluate oig.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
ì	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local		
)	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
;	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
<u> </u>	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa etc with brief reasons. NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Mor		
$\frac{19}{20}$	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes V No		
	procurement plan?	TES V NO		
21	Advertisement:			
V)	'I	✓ No. 17437 & IT		
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GOS		
vi	News papers	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013		
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc ✓ Int.		
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes ✓ No		
	bidding/tender documents?			
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes ✓ No		
	bidding/tenders documents?			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes ✓ No		
	obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?			
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes V No		
20	was pid security obtained from all the biddels?	105 1 100		

27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes		No	<u> </u>
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	V
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		V	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		✓	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		1	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	√
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	Y
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		√	

-	Signature & official Stam	n of
	Authorized Officer	p 01



For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

12. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDE.

13. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

Department Government of SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

14. Total Bid document sold (09) NINE

15. Total Bids received

(09) **NINE**

16. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

17. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

18. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

19. Financial Bid opening dated. 23,10.2013.

20. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	OSHQUE &CO Government contractor	16709821/-	1 st lowest	9.90%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	16747833/-	2 nd lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr Hussain Bux Sehto	16778242/-	3 rd lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. GhullamHyder Khushk contractor	16793446/-	4 th Lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a	
5	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16877072/-	5 TH Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	
6	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	16899878/-	6 TH LOWEST	11.15%	Reject being a 6 th lowest.	
7	M/S Zanwar &	16915083/-	7 th lowest	11.25% /	Reject being a 7 th lowest.	
8	Ranipur Jeelani &Co	16930288/-	8 th lowest	11.35%	Reject being a 8 th lowest.	
9	M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers	16953094/-		11.50%	Rejected being a	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Oshque & company Government contractor was declared as the lowest responsive

bidder.

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S Oshaque & co 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan 11.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	M/S Zanwar & AS 11.25% above the cost of schedule A-bid
8.	Rani Pur Jeelani 11.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER. ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 47 76

of 2013

Moro dated 2-5-10 -2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the: Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.

3. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.

M/S Hussan & Hassnain Engineers 11.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid

Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto Government contractor Mehrabpur Destruct Naushahro Feroze. 5.

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2ND A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR 6. ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO

Mr GhullamHyder Khushak contractor Shahib Bazzar BhanSaeedabad Distruct Jamshoro.

Ranipur Jeelani &Co Ranipur Taulka SObhor Dero Destruct Khairpur irs.

Mustaque Ali Wagan Contractor Village Mahi Khan Wagan PO Noorpur District Naushahro Feroze.

M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers & BUilderas House No. B/7 price town phase tow wadho was Wasimabad Hyderabad.

10. Oshaque & CO B.# A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD

11. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.

12.copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.

13 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement total above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

7.

EXECUTIVE ENGINE ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/

4313

of 2013

Moro dated

24

/09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP

SIDE

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	MIS OSheg/ue & Co.	9.90% Above
2	Mr. Muhammad Yascen chandio	10.15/- Above
3	Un Hussain Bur salit	(0.35). Above
4	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushik	10.45% Abac
5	Mr. Khalid Masood channa	11. out. Above
6	Mr Mushtaglue Ahmed wagan	11.15%. Above
7	M/S Zanwarz & AS	11.257. Above
8	M/s Ranipal Jeelani	(1.35). Above
9	M/S Hussain & Hussnain Engineers	11.50%. Above
10		
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
		1
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	·,	\
·		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

XEN DadDiv.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION-MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated シリ /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP

SIDE

Date of Opening: 2 3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen chandio	9.891 Above
2	MIS Zanwas & As Construction	11.00%. Above
3	MIS SAM & Co.	10, 50%. About
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%. Above
5	Mr Rustam khan chandio	10.25%. Above
6		
7		
8		<u> </u>
9		
10	<u> </u>	9
	<u> </u>	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

EN Dad Divi

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

FAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH SCHEDULE A TO BID FROM R.D 56 TO 62 IP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

-					
	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light $(P-95/I-4a)$.	360000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	27227/-
2	Ploughing 3 times. (P - 103 / I - 41)	8.26 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	14617/-
3	Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1966335 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	4163714/-
4	Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3"or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1474751 Cft	502/52	% Cft	7410919/-
5	Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	491584 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	49542/-
6	Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1966335 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	696082/-
7	Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)	1966335 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	2842495/- 1 5204 594
				Total Rs.	15204569/-
	Percentage quoted 9.90, above beli	ow on the pr	ice of item	based on Rs.	=1505252-
				Total Rs.	-1676984B

Comparative Statement

このできない これによういき こうけいけん 大きな 大きな 大きな 大きな 大きな 大きな 大きな 大きな しゅうしゅうしゅう

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 DATED. Name of work:- Earth work along Sehra Branch form RD 56 TO 62 NIP side 31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.

Date of Opened. 23.10.2013 Date of Issue 24.9.2013,

No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan		2882064dated 3.10,2013 from UBL Bhanaseedabad 2956415dated./ 22.10,2013 from UBL Hyderabad 10191203 dated 21,10,2013 from ABL Hyderaba d. 10523572 dated 2310,2013 from ABL Naushero Feroze 10523581 dated. 23.10,2013. from ABL Naushero Feroze 2019217 dated. 22.10,2013 from ABL hyderabad
Earnest Money deposited by Contractor		265000 260160 261600 261600 262000 261600
Earnest Money		1000 1000 1000 1000
Percentage above/below		10.00% 10.25% 10.45% 11.00%
Name of work		1 Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushak 2 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 3 Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 4 Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 5 Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar 6 M/S hassan & Hassnain
S.No.	-	- 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

Submitted		The rate of Rs.9.85% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost	quoted by Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk contractor which is the lowest.
	13081287	13081287	
Rate Analysis	Estiamted Cost	Amount of Schedule A to Bid	

14369695 1288408 Total Cost of Bid Price Add 9.85% above quoted by lowest contractor

If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to

higher ups for favour of sanction.

14369695 Total cost of Bid Price

x100 =9.85% above the Estimated cost 14369695(-)13081287 13081287

DIVISIONAL ACCOMINTS OFFICE ROHRI DIVISION MORO

of 2013

25-10-2013 Moro dated,

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each,

S# Name of contractor/ Firms

- 1. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio 9.89% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- 2. M/S Zanwar & AS construction 11.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- 3. M/S Sam & CO10.50% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- 4. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- Mr Rustum Khancontractor 10.25% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.

The rate quoted by Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACTO EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

1	WORK, SERVICES &				
•	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation D	epartmei	nt Rohri Di	vision
	Provincial/Inval Confedera	Moro . Provincial			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other		1 6	1 D 1	E DD
3	Title of contract	Earth work 50 to 56 IP		hra Branch	From KD
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-:	55/4313	DATED. 2	4.9.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work		hra Branch	From RD
		50 to 56 IP			
5	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.		<u>H</u>	
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 milli			
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	79.403 mill	ion		
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Six months			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	05			
12	Number of bids received	05			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attack	ned)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attac			
16	Contract award price	18053719/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lowe		ate bid.	
	(i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			Ţ ·	
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/		✓	Local
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO	- "		
	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was	s adopted i.e er	mergenc	y , direct co	ontracting
	etc with brief reasons. NO	•			•
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive F	Engineer	Rohri Divi	sion Morc
19 20	Approving authority for award of contract Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Executive F Yes	Engineer ✓	Rohri Divi No	sion More
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?		Engineer ✓		sion More
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement:	Yes	Engineer ✓	No	
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website		Engineer ✓	No. 174	37 & IT
20 21 i)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Yes	√	No. 174 Departm	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers	Yes Daily Pak H	✓ Karachi d	No. 174	37 & IT sent GOS.
20 21 i)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Yes Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013	Carachi da	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20	37 & IT ent GOS. 013 chi Dated
20 21 i)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers	Yes Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013	Carachi da	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20	37 & IT ent GOS. 013 chi Dated
20 21 i)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers	Yes Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013	Carachi da	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in	Daily Pak I Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans	Carachi doress Tru	No. 174 Departm ated. 26.9.20 abine Kara- i dated .28.9	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Daily Pak I Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	√ Carachi da press Tru g Karach √	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int.	37 & IT ent GOS. 013 chi Dated
20 21 i) ii)	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Daily Pak F Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	Karachi da oress Tru g Karach	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Daily Pak I Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	√ Carachi da press Tru g Karach √	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int.	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Daily Pak F Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	√ Carachi da oress Tru g Karach √	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Daily Pak F Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	√ Carachi da oress Tru g Karach √	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Daily Pak F Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes	√ Carachi da oress Tru g Karach √	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23 24 25	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes Yes Yes	Karachi da oress Tru g Karach	No. 174 Departmated. 26.9.20 bine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23 24	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders? Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes Yes Yes Yes	Karachi da oress Tru g Karach	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No No	37 & IT nent GOS.
20 21 i) ii) 22 23 24 25	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? Advertisement: SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) Nature of contract Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding? Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes Daily Pak H Daily Exp 26.09.2013 Daily Juans Loc Yes Yes Yes Yes	Karachi da oress Tru g Karach	No. 174. Departmated. 26.9.20 abine Kara i dated .28.9 Int. No No	37 & IT nent GOS.

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	V	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓	<u>-</u>
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No	·	√	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	V	No	₩
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	√
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		✓	

Signature & official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer	

A aurifors

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

2. Tender Reference No.:-

<u>4313/24./09/2013</u>.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP.

3. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE.

5. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE.

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
i	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	18053719/-	1 st lowest	9.89%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Khaild Masood Channa	18071791/-	2 nd lowest	10.00 %	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Rustaum Khan Contractor	18112863/-	3 rd lowest	10.25%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	M/S Sam & CO	18153936/-	4th Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	18236080/-	5 TH Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

1 amfors

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 43\3 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP

SIDE

Date of Opening: → 3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen chandio	9.891 Above
2	MIS Zanwas & As Construction	11.00%. Above
3	MIS SAM & Co.	10. 50). Above
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%. Above
5	Mn Rustam khan chandio	10.25%. Above
6		
7		
8		
9		:
10		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Y	
	N.	
	1	· -

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHEI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

- S# Name of Contractor/ Firms
- 1. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio .9.89% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- M/S Zanwar & AS construction. 11.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- M/S Sam & CO.10.50% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
- Mr. Khalid Masood Channa. 10.00% above the cost of Schedule. A-to Bid.
- 5. Mr Rustum Khancontractor. 10.25% above the cost of Schedule. A-to Bid.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4776

of 2013

Moro dated 25-/0-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the: -

- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- 2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.
- M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
- 5. M/S Sam & CO KHAN HOUSE SAYED MUHALLA MIRPUR MATELO DISTRICT GHOTKI
- 6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2ND A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
- 7. Mr Rustum Khancontractor B. NO. A16,17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD
- 8. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 9 copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 10 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

Comparative Statement

pate of Opened. 23,10.2013 Date of Issue 24.9.2013

Name of work:- Earth work along Sera Branch from RD 50 to 56 IP side.

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 DATED.

Add 9.89% above quoted by lowest contractor Total Cost of Bid Price

1624818 18053719

If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to

The ups for favour of sanction.

18053719 ROHRI DIVISION MORO EXECUTIVE ENGINEE

x100 = 9.89% above the Estimated cost

18053719(-)16428901 Total cost of Bid Price

SIONAL ADBOUNTS OFFREED ROHRI DIVISION MORO

'NA AE OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH (SCHEDULE A TO BID)
FROM R.D 50 TO 56 IP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

360000 Sft 8.26 Acres 2125105 Cft 1593829 Cft	75/63 1769/63 2117/50 502/52	%0 Sft P. Acre %0 Cft	27227/- 14617/- 4499910/-
2125105 Cft 1593829	2117/50		
1593829		%0 Cft	4499910/-
CA	502/52		4
Cit	302132	% Cft	8009309/-
531276 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	53542/- /
2125105 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	752287,- ,
2125105 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	30720097-
		Total Rs.	16428901/-
ow on the pri	ice of item	n based on Rs.	=1624.818
	531276 Cft 2125105	531276 Cft 100/78 2125105 354/- Cft 2125105 1445/58 Cft	531276 Cft 100/78 %0 Cft 2125105 354/- %0 Cft Cft 2125105 1445/58 %0 Cft Cft Total Rs.

CONTRACTOR

CHIEF DRAFTSMAN

nclioned for Ro. 1

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORC

Susand Seven

CHIEF ENGINEER SUKKUR BARRAGE LEPT BANK REGION SUKKUR

No.TC/G-55/ 4768 of 2013

Moro dated. 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

ł,

Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013

Ź;

Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated, 26.09.2013.

3;

Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S# Name of contractor/ Firms

1.	As as a second the sist Delieut contractor 0.95% above the post of schodule A bid
<u> </u>	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor 9.85% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Kamran Jalbani 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik 10.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajpoot contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachia

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

TROHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &					
	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.				
	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RI 40 to 50 IP sides				
	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.				
<u></u>	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RI				
	Direct description of confiden	40 to 50 IP sides				
	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH				
	Tender estimated value	79.403 million				
	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	79.403 million				
,)	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Six months				
0	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.				
.1	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	06				
2	Number of bids received	06				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	06				
	bids					
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)				
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)				
6	Contract award price	12601944/- The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.				
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1" lowest evaluate bid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
ī	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local				
)	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO				
<u>, </u>	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
<u></u>	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
<u>-</u>	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting				
	etc with brief reasons.					
9	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Mor				
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes ✓ No				
	procurement plan? NO					
21	Advertisement:					
	SPPRA website	No. 17437 & IT				
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GOS				
	News papers	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013				
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated				
		26.09.2013.				
		Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013. Loc ✓ Int.				
22	Nature of contract					
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes ✓ No				
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes V No				
∠ -T	bidding/tenders documents?					
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes V No				
2,	obtained for using a method other than open					
	competitive bidding?					
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No				
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes ✓ No				
<i>L i</i>	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)					
20	Whather the successful hidder was technically	Yes No				
28						
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes No				

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	√	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		1	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No	<u>.</u>	/	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	1	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	√
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		✓	

Signature & official	Stamp of
Authorized Officer	



For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation 1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Department.

2. Tender Reference No.

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH

FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

Department Government of SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26,9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

- 4. Total Bid document sold (06) SIX.
- 5. Total Bids received

(06) SIX.

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor	12601944	1 st lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad	12613416/-	2 nd lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Kamran Jalbani	12619152/-	3 rd lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a	
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik	12676511/-	4 th Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a	
5	M/S Zanwar &	12705191/-	5 TH Lowest	10.75%	Reject being a 5 th lowest.	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	12733871/-	6 TH LOWEST	11.00%	Reject being a 6 th lowest.	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Muhammad Haneef Rajpoot contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ of 2013 Moro dated /09/2013 24

NAME OF WORK:

EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50

IP SIDE

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
11	Mr. Allhammad Hancel Ratbul-	9.85% Above
2	Mr. Muhammad Hancel Rat pul- Mr. Muhammad yascen chandio	
3	M/s Kamyan Jalbani	9.95%. Above
4	Mr. Muhammad Armal I sil	10.00%. Above
5	M/s Zanwar & As Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.507. Above
6	Mr. Khalid Maland chause	10.75%. Above
7	The state of the s	11. M. Above
8		
9		
10	1	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	_	1
		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRLCANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor 9.85% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Kamran Jalbani 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik 10.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DAVAS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4775

of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the: -

- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.
- M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
- 5. Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajpoot POST OFFICE DARBELLO TALUKA KANDIARO
- 6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2ND A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
- Mr Kamaran Jalabni Jalbani House O.K SERVICE KHAIRPUR MIRUS .
- 9. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 11 copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 12 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

Comparative Statement

Date of Issue 24.9.2013
Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 DATED. Name of work:- Earth work along Sera Branch from RD 40 to 50 IP side .

31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.

11.8.101.9.101.9.101.9.101.9.101.9.101.101.1				
Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1 Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor 2 Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio 3 M/S kamran Jalbani 4 Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik 5 M/S Zarwar & AS 6 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	8.85% 9.95% 9.10.00% 9.10.75%	7 5 7 9 6 1000 1000 1000 1000	330000 230000 230000 229440 229440	2749777 dated 20.10.2013 from U.B.L Bank Kandiaro 10523590 dated 23.10.2013 from A.B.L. Naushero Feroze 11007564 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad 2749779 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Kandiaro 10191230 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Kandiaro 2956410dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad Submitted
Rate Analysis Estiamted Cost Amount of Schedule A to Bid	11474956 11471956	A A	amoten	The rate of Rs. 9.85% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr. Muhammad Harrid Rajpoot Confractor which is the lowest.
Add 9.85% above quoted by lowest contractor Total Cost of Bid Price	1129988 12601944		NE ENGINE	EXECUTIVE ENGINEER The fortain of the towest contractor may be recommended by EXECUTIVE ENGINEER TO Service of Sanction.
Total cost of Bid Price	1260194	SOHR!	ROHRI DIVISION MORO	

OVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICE ROHEL DIVISION MORO

x100 = 9.85% above the Estimated cost

Total cost of Bid Price 12601944(-)11474956 11474956 Circle

NAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH (SCHEDULE A TO BID) FROM R.D 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

					
	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amourat
	Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light $(P-95/I-4a)$.	600000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	45378
.2	Ploughing 3 times. (P - 103 / I - 41)	13.77 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	24368/-
3	Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1489645 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	3154323/-
4	Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3" or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1117234 Cft	502/52	% Cft	5614324/-
5	Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	372411 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	37532/-
6	Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1437100 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	508733/-
7	Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)		1445/58	%0 Cft	2077413/-
8	Dressing and leveling of earth work to design section etc complete. Ordinary soil (P - $3/I - 11b$)	52545 Cft	187/55	%0 Cft	9855/-
			Su	b Total Rs.	11471956/-
	Percentage quoted 9.85 above bel	ow on the p	rice of iter	n based on Rs.	1129988-
		-		Total Rs.;	
L	<u> </u>				

CONTRACTOR

CHIEF TOR AFTSMAN

SURRIU DAS BUR HORIE TURNE SOLIT SOM SIX HU

BERNER HORIE TOPIC FOUNT SAT-9

. 5384**-**566-6

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

NUCL ENCINEED

' CHIEF ENGINEEK SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK REGION SUKKUR

No.TC/G-55/ 4766 of 2013

Moro dated. 25 - 10 - 2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297

TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO 315 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

Name of contractor/ Firms	
Mr Mushtaque Ali Wagan 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
Mr. Oshague &Co 9.30 % above the cost of Schedule A-Bid	
Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 9,90% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid	
	Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid Mr. Oshaque &Co 9.30 % above the cost of Schedule A-Bid Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagon contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF

WORK. SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES & Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation De	partment	Rohri Di	vision
	Traine of the organizations department	Moro .	· 		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial			
3	Title of contract	Constructing			
,		Rohri Main C	Canal Fro	m RD 29	7 to 305
		IP , 298 TO 3			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-5:	5/4313 D	ATED. 2	4.9.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	Constructing	Double	Groyance	along
		Rohri Main (
		IP, 298 TO) 315 NIP.
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.C		<u> </u>	
7	Tender estimated value	6.660 million			
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	6.660 million			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Three month	<u> 18</u>		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	06		<u>.</u>	
12	Number of bids received	06			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06		·	
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attach	ed)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attach	ied)		<u> </u>
16	Contract award price	2287459/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lowe	st evalua	te bid.	
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/		✓	Local
- -	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO			
<u>c</u>	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
d	Two stage hidding procedure	No			
<u> </u>	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa etc with brief reasons. NO				
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive E	ngineer	Rohri Div	ision More
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	√	No	
20	procurement plan?				
21	Advertisement:				
<u>4-1</u>	SPPRA website	1			
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GO			
	News papers	Daily Pak Karachi dated, 26.9,2013			
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Express Trubine Karachi Date			achi Date
	(it yes, give amount of the	26.09.2013 Daily Juans	- Kamaah	datad 28	0.2013
			Z Karacii ✓	Int.	.9.2015.
22	Nature of contract	Loc			
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes		No _	
	hidding/tender documents?			NI-	
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes		No	
	hidding/tenders documents?	- 		No	
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes		TAO _	
	obtained for using a method other than open				
	competitive bidding?			No	

27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes		No	<u> </u>
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	√
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	√	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		1	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		✓	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	V	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		✓	

Signature & official Stamp of	Har
Authorized Officer	EXECUTION
Authorized Officer	DOMESTIC AND THE

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

<u>4313/24./09/2013</u>.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP,&312 TO 315 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

<u>SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT</u> Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26,9,2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (06) SIX

6. Total Bids received

(06) <u>SIX</u>

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	2287459/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2298000/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Oshque & CO	2304325/-	3 rd lowest	9.30%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	2311704/-	4th Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2316974/-	5 th lowest	9.90%	Rejected being a5th lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2319083/-	6 th lowest	10.00%_	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/

4313

of 2013

Moro dated

/09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 N.I.P& 312 TO 315 NIP.

Date of Opening: 2 3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted		
1	Mr. mushtaque Ali wagan	8.50% Above		
2	M/6 Zonwal Z AS	9.00%. Above		
3	M/s Oshaque & Co. Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.3+% Above		
4	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.65% Above		
5	un Amir Ali chaudio	9.90% Above		
6	Mr. Khalid Masord Channa	10-107. Above		
7	\			
8				
9	\			
10		\		
	\			

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL MESOUNTS OF PACER

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL GIRCLE HYDERABAD EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Comparative Statement

16.8.2013. RS. 6.660 million. Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 260 to 267 IP, 257 to 261 IP & 269 to 263 IP.

Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

	<u> </u>
\$ 2 2 5 2 5 2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	S. No.
2 M/S Zanwar & AS 2 M/S Zanwar & AS 3 Mr. Oshaque & co 4 Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 5 Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 6 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	Name of work
9.00% // 9.30% // 9.85% // 10.00% /	Percentage above/below
1000 1000 1000 1000	Earnest Money
42160 42160 42200 42160 42160 42200 42160	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor
10523576 dated 21.10.2013 from A.B.L. Bank Naushero Feroze. 10191229 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad. 10191206 dated 21.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad. 929016 dated 22.10.2013 from National Bank Pakistan Tharushah 10191209 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad. 2956409 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad.	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan

Rate Analysis

Amount of Schedule A to Bid estiamted Cost

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

Total Cost of Bid Price

Total cost of Bid Price 2287459(-)2108257

> 2108257 2108257

179202

2287459 EXECUTIVE ENGINE IN

Submitted

and approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction. quoted by Mr.Mushatque Ali Wagen Contractor which is the lowest. The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost

2287 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

x100 ≃ 8.50% above the Estimated cost

WISIONAL/ACCOOMING OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

untending Enginee

Huderabo

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 to 315 nip. in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
l.	Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagen 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. ZAnwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Oshaqu &CO 9.30% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Amir Ali Cghandio 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

6.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4759 Copy forwarded with complements to the: -

of 2013

More dated 2.5 - 10 = 2013

- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT village Mahi Khan Wagen NASUSERO 3. FEROZE.
- 4. M/S.Roshan Ali Chandio Government Contractor.
- 5. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO

Mr. Oshaque & co Government contractor B. NO. A 17 SAMMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD 6. HYDERABAD

Mr. Amir Ali Chandio Govenemnt contractor H. NO. A-17 AMAANABAD NEARE BITAI TOWN QASIMABAD HDYERABAD.

- 7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO. NAME OF WORK:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO 315 NIP SIDE

(SCHEDULE A TO BID)

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	53650 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1429048/-
2	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	133650 Cft	508.20	% Cft	679209/-
				Total Rs.	2108257/-
	Percentage quoted & So above belo	w on the pr	ice of iten	based on Rs.=	178909_
				Total Rs.	2287458

contractofu

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

two William two lumbers sighty Seven thousand Jones lumbers sighty Seven thousand

Poerintending Enginees

Tohri Canal Circle

Hudes abud

No.TC/G-55/ 4765 of 2013

Moro dated . 25 - 10 - 2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250

TOO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated, 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	MrMushtaque Ali Wagan 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagon contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

KOHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &			
1	Name of the organization/ department	Iπigation Department Rohri Division Moro.		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along		
		Rohri Main Canal From RD 250 to 257		
		IP, 257 to 261 IP& 289 to 293 IP		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along		
		Rohri Main Canal From RD 250 to 257		
		IP, 257 to 261 IP& 289 to 293 IP.		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.660 million		
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	6.660 million		
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	04		
12	Number of bids received	04		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of	04		
	bids			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	1901639/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1st lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)	-		
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local		
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO Local		
	Two stage bidding procedure			
c d	Two stage bidding procedure	No No		
u				
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. ?NO	s adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting		
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual			
	procurement plan?	Yes ✓ No		
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website	No. 17437 & IT		
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	Department GOS.		
	News papers	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013		
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated.		
		26.09.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
		Loc Int.		
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes ✓ No		
24	bidding/tender documents?			
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes V No		
25	bidding/tenders documents?			
25	Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes ✓ No		
	obtained for using a method other than open			
26	competitive bidding?			
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No		
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes ✓ No		
	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)			

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes		No	0€ ₹	4 1
	complaint?		<u> </u>	110	<u> </u>	4
	Competent					
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	/	No		\top
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?		<u> </u>	1.10		╫
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	1	No		7
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)				<u> </u>	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes		T		7
		No		1		1
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes				╁
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No		√	<u> </u>	₩.
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes				
	give reasons)	No		- ·		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes		 		╫╼
	detailed reasons)	No			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	╫
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36						
30	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	/]
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be					
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:					
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	1 1			T & f &	\Box
٥,	advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<u> </u>	No	N.A] [
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	3.7				\dashv
20	description)	Yes				
	desemption)	No		✓		

Signature & official	Stamp of
Authorized Officer	

ROHRI DIVISION WERD

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP.

3. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (04) FOUR

5. Total Bids received

(04) **FOUR**

- 6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 8. Bid(s) Rejected, NO.
- 9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.
- 10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti	Remarks
<u> </u>	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	1901639/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	/
2	Mr Roshan Ali Chaлdio	330403/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	1921795/-	3 rd lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Chaлna	1927929/-	4 th Lowest	10.00% /	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257TO 261 IP.&289 TO 293 I.P

Date of Opening: 2.3 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted	
1	Mr. mushfague Al. wagan	8.50%. Above	
2	M/s Zanwaz & As	9.00%. Above	
3	Mr Roshan Ali chandie	9.65% Above	
4	M/s zanwar & As Mn Roshan Ali chandio Mn Khalid Masord Channa	10-09, Above	
5	\	7.7.	
6	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
7	No.		
8			
9			
10			
		1	

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERIMENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL GIRCLE HYDERABAD

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

Comparative State

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Bar 16,8.2013. RS. 6.660 million.

Date of Opened. 23.10.2 Date of Issue 24.9.2013,

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below
2	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan M/S Zanwar & AS Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	8.50% 9.00% 9.65% 10.00%

Rate Analysis

Estiamted Cost 1752663 1752663 Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

148976 1901639 **Total Cost of Bid Price**

Total cost of Bid Price

190163

1901639(-)1752663 1752663

x100 = 8.50%

NAME OF WORK:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE **GROYNES** ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP SIDE.

(SCHEDULE A TO BID)

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	44550 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1186656/-
-2	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	111375 Cft	508.20	% Cft	566007/-
				Total Rs.	1752663/-
	Percentage quoted 8-5 c above below on the price of item based on Rs. Total Rs.				=148976.
				=1901639.	

contractoffee

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, BOHRI DIVISION MORO

Million nine lundred one thousand six hunder thirty nine at 8.5% obone.

aintending Enginee ohn Canal Circle Hydezában

No.TC/G-55/4764 of 2013

Moro dated. 25 - 10 - 2613

Tο.

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241

TO 245 IP& 236 TO 254 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of—A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms		
1.	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
3.	M/S Oshque & contractor 9.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid.		
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid.		
5.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
6.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
7.	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
8.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid		
8.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid		

The rate quoted by MR. KAMRAN ALI JALABNI contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ROWRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial			
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP& 236 TO 254 NIP			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/C	3-55/4313 I	DATED. 2	24.9.2013.
5	Brief description of contract		CE ALO		DOUBLE IRI MAIN 45 IP& 236
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P	G.O.SINDI	Н	
,	Tender estimated value	6.660 mill	lion		
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	6.660 mill	lion		
•	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Three mo	nths		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-201	3.		-
1	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	08			
12	Number of bids received	08			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	08			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy atta	ched)		-
5	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy atta	ached)		
6	Contract award price	2795499/-	-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1 st lov	west evalua	ate bid.	
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			·	
·	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domesti	c/	✓	Local
	Single stage- two envelope procedure	N	0		
; ;	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
<u>-</u> d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		<u> </u>	
	Please specify if any other method of procurement wa etc with brief reasons.	s adopted i.e	emergency	y, direct o	ontracting
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer	Rohri Div	ision Morc
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? NO	Yes	/	No	
21	Advertisement:				
	SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	1	•		137 & IT nent GOS.
	News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Expre	Karachi dated. 1 ess Trubine Ka g Karachi date	26.9.2013 rachi Dated. :	
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<u> </u>	Int.	
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes	★	No	
	bidding/tender documents?				1
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes		No	<u> </u>
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes		No	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	-	No	
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes		No	
<u> </u>	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	1.00	<u></u>	1	

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint? Complaint?	Yes	W.	No	₩ ₩
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	√	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	V	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		1	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time? (if yes, give reasons)	Yes No			
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	/	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	NA
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No		√	

Signature & official	Stamp	of
Authorized Officer		



For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi. Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

241 TP 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (08) EIGHT

6. Total Bids received

(08) EIGHT

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani	2795499/-	1 st lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor	2801926/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	M/S Oshque & contractor	2813493/-	3 rd lowest	9,45%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Mr.Amir Ali chandio	2818634/-	4th Lowest	9.65% /	Rejected being a 4 th lowest	
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	2826346/-	5 th lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	
6	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor	2827631/-	6 th lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	2844340/-	7 th lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 7th lowest	
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2853337/-	8 th lowest	11.00%	Rejected being a 8 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Kamran Ali Jalbani contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

ACHRI DIVISION NATA

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 240 TO 245 IP, 236 TO254 NIP in Rohri Division More through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Oshque & contractor 9.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	Mr. Mushtague Ahmed Wagen 10.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4757 of 2013
Copy forwarded with complements to the:

Moro dated 25- / 0-2013.

Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.

Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

3. Mr. Kamran Ali Jalabni Contractor Jaibani House OK Service Khairpur Mirs

- 4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi
- M/S Oshaque & co B NO A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.
 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-83//192-A GULIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAF DISTT SHAHEED M. BENZER BHUTTO

Mr. Hanif rajpoot PO Derbello Taluka Kandiaro Dist: Naushero Froze

- 7.Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio Government contractor Village MEvo Khan Chandio Taluka Bhria Dist: Naushero Feroze.
 8. Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H, NO. A-17 SAMANABAD NEAR BHTAI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.
 9. Mr. Mushtaqu Ahmed Wagen contractor Village Mahi Khan Wagen PO Noorpue Dist: Nausero Feroze
 - Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
 - 10. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
 - 11. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement, to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013

Moro dated

/09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN

CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mx. Kamyan Ali Jalbani	8.75% Above
2	M/4 Zan Was & AS Contractor	9.00% Above
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	9.45% Above
4	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	9.65% Above
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.95% Above
6	Mr. Muhammad Hancel Rajput	10.0%. Above
7	My. Mushtague Ahmed Wagan	10.65%. Above
8	Mr. Mushtague Ahmed Wagan Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.07. Above
9		
10		
	\	

PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER **ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

Comparati

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyan-Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Suk 16.8.2013. RS. 6.660 million.

Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened

S.No.	Name of work	Pe abo
1	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$
2	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor	ノ え
3	M/S Oshque & contractor	
4	Mr.Amir Alí chandio	V,
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	· /
6	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor	
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	
7	Rate Analysis	
. 8	Estiamted Cost	1
Ū	Amount of Schedule A to Bid	1
	Add 8.75% above quoted by lowest contractor	
	Total Cost of Bid Price	2

Total cost of Bid Price 2795499(-)2570574 2570574 x100

/

(AME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP SIDE.

(SCHEDULE A TO BID)

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
l	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	65340 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1740429/-
2 _	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	163350 Cft	508.20	% Cft	830145/-
				Total Rs.	2570574/-
	Percentage quoted 8-75 above bele	ow- on the pr	ice of item	based on Rs.	-224925
				Total Rs.	278548

Lamsar_ CONTRACTOR

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, BOHRI DIVISION MORO

two Million Seven lunded minty Fine thousand four lunded minty mine of 8.75, olsone.

Superintending Engines.

Rohn Canal Circle

Huderabad

No.TC/G-55/ 4763 of 2013

Moro dated. 25-/0-26/3

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225

TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 4. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 5. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.

6. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown

against each.

Name of contractor/ Firms
M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
M/S OSHAQUE &CO 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
M/S Ussan & HUssain contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
Mr. Khald Masood Channa Contractor 10,15% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

The rate quoted by M/S. SAM &CO contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACTO EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF

WORK, SERVICES & GOODS. Irrigation Department Rohri Division Name of the organization/ department 1 Moro. Provincial 2 Provincial/local Gos/ other DOUBLE CONSTRUCTING Title of contract GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP. NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013. Tender number CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE Brief description of contract 5 GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP. P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH Forum that approved the scheme 6.523 million Tender estimated value 6.523 million Engineer's estimate (for civil work) 8 Three months Estimated completion period (as per contract) 23-10-2013. Tender opened on date & time) 10 Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of 06 11 byres) Number of bids received 06 12 Number of bidders present at the time of opening of 06 13 (copy attached) 14 Bids evolution report (copy enclosed) Name and address of the successful bidder (copy attached) 15 2535520/-Contract award price 16 The 1st lowest evaluate bid. Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report 17 (i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid) Method of procurement used :(Tick one) 18 Domestic/ Local Single stage-one envelope procedure a Single stage- two envelope procedure NO b Two stage bidding procedure No c Two stage bidding procedure No Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency, direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro Approving authority for award of contract 19 No Whether the procurement was included in annual Yes 20 procurement plan? 21 Advertisement: No. 17437 & IT SPPRA website Department GOS. ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No) Daily Pak Karachi dated, 26.9.2013 News papers Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated) 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013. Loc Int. Nature of contract 22 Yes No Whether qualification criteria were included in 23 bidding/tender documents? Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in Yes No 24 bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was No Yes 25 obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?

26,	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	✓	No	
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes	V	No	+
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes	>	No	
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		7	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		√	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	No	-4-
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)!	Yes		No	NA
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No			

Signature & official	Stamp	of
Authorized Officer		

THIVE ENGINEER TO A RECOVER ON MOTOR

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1.Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

225 TO 234 IP IP & 223 TO 234 NIP

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated.

26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (06)SIX

6. Total Bids received

(06) SIX

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2535520/-	1st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	2541362/-	2 nd lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2547205/-	3 rd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	25555384/-	4th Lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 4th lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2570574/-	5 th lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2574079/-	6 th lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 6 th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM &CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 IP & 212 TO 218 NIP in Rohri Division Moro through teading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

Name of Contractor/ Firms
M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
M/S OSHAQUE &CO 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
M/S Ussan & HUssain contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
Mr. Khald Masood Channa Contractor 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4756

of 2013

Moro dated 2 5-/0-2013.

- Copy forwarded with complements to the:
 1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- 2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. M/S SAM & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Khan Hose Sayed Muhalla Mirpur Mathelo District Ghotki .
- 4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi
- M/S Oshaque & co B NO A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M . BENZER BHUTTO

- M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers & Builders H # b/7 Price Town Wadho Wah Qasimabad Hyderabad.

 Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H, NO. A-17 SAMANABAD NEAR BHTAI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.
 - Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
 - 8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
 - 9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223TO 234NIP.

Date of Opening: 2 3 /10/2013

<u>Sr. No.</u>	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SAM & Co.	8.50%. Above
2	M/S OShaque & Co.	8.75%. Above
3	MIS USSAN & Hussain Contractor	9.35%. Above
4	Mr. Amis Ali Chandia	9.00%. Alsove
5	MIS Zanwar & AS Contractor Mr. Khalid Masood channa	10.00% Above
6	Mr. Khalid Masood channa	10.15% Above
_ 7		7. 14300
8		
9		
<u>10</u>		
		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

XEN Dand Div.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER
ROHRI DIVISION-MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SURFRIM ENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

Comparative Statement

16.8.2013. RS. 6.523 million. Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED.

_
 Date
익
Date of Issue
24.9.2013,
Date
웃
of Opened. 23.10.2013
ed.
23.1
0.2
<u>س</u>

2956406 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad.	46740		✓ 10.15% ¢	6 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	M
10191226 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.	46740	1000	×,10.00%	5 M/S Zanwar &AS	C Tre
10191211 dated 21.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro.	46800	1000	, 9.00% °	4 Mr. Amir Ali contracot	4
2749782dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro.	47000	1000	9.35%	3 M/S Hussan & Hussain Bux contractor	(3
10191208dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad .	46800	7 1000	8.75%	2 M/S OSHAQUE &CO	N
10183964dated 22:10.2013 from ABL sukkur .	46800	4000	(8.50%)	1 M/S SAM &CO	
	· ·				
NO. GITA CORE OF CONTROL OF CONTROL	Contractor	Money	above/below	o. Name of work	S.NO.
No and data of hall Deposit receipt of challan	Earnest Money	Earnest	Percentage	;) <u>*</u>

Rate Analysis Estiamted Cost

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor Total Cost of Bid Price

2336885

Submitted

Tutoted by M/S SAM &CO which is the lowest. The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost

2535520 KOHRI DIVISION MOROhigher ups for favour of sanction. ITIVE ENGINEER If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to

Total cost of Bid Price 535520(-)2336885

2535520 '

x100 =8.50% above the Estimated cost

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Juderabad

NAME OF WORK:-CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP SIDE

SCHEDULE A TO BID

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	59400 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1582208/-
2	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	148500 Cft	508.20	% Cft	754677/-
				Total Rs.	2336885/-
	Percentage quoted 8.5 a /above - bele composite schedule of rates	w-on the pr	ice of iten	based on Rs.	-188635-
				Total Rs.	=253552

Soution for Ps, 2535 520/= (Rup Million five hundred thirty pive thousand hundred timety) of 8.50% above.

intendicy Enginee hri Carrol Circle Hudurabad

No.TC/G-55/ 4762 of 2013

Moro dated. 25 - 10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208

TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated, 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Khald Masood Channa Contractor 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

The rate quoted by M/S. SAM &CO contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karach

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINE**RS** ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACTO EVOLATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS. Irrigation Department Rohri Division Name of the organization/ department

l	Name of the organization/ department	Moro .			
	D : 11/1 1 C = 1 - 41	Provincial			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other		CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE		
3	Title of contract	GROYANC		G ROHI	
		CANAL FR			
		209 NIP & 2	212 TO 218	NIP.	
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-	55/4313 D	ATED. 2	4.9.2013.
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRU	CTING		DOUBLE
	•	GROYANC CANAL FR 209 NIP & 2	OM RD 20 212 TO 218	8 TO 219 NIP_	
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G			
7	Tender estimated value	6.523 millio			
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	6.523 milli			
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Three months			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	05			
12	Number of bids received	05			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05			
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attac	hed)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)			
16	Contract award price	2274928/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report	The 1 st lowest evaluate bid.			
17	(i-e 1st, 2nd, 3rd evaluation bid)				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic	/	√	Local
<u>ь</u>	Single stage- two envelope procedure	4			
	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
C		No			
d	Two stage bidding procedure Please specify if any other method of procurement was		emergency	direct c	ontracting
	etc with brief reasons.	· (lease	ל שהנההל ל שהנההל	مرمط کی ا	a Suck
-10	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive	Engineer F	Rohri Div	ision Moro
19	Whether the procurement was included in annual	Yes	√	No	
20		103		<u> </u>	
-0.1	procurement plan? Advertisement:				
21	SPPRA website	\ \ \ \		No. 174	37 & IT
	((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	11			nent GOS.
		Daily Pak	Karachi da		
	News papers	Daily Ex	press Trul	bine Kara	ıchi Dated.
	(if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	1 26.09.201	3.		
		Daily Jua	ng Karachi		9.201 <u>3.</u>
22	Nature of contract	Loc	✓	Int.	
	Whether qualification criteria were included in	Yes	✓	No	
23	bidding/tender documents?				
	Didding/telider decomments.	37	V	No	1
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes	_ v	110	

25	, Whether approval of competent authority was	Yes	✓	No	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
•	obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	✓	No		<u> </u>
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)	Yes		No	#	
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	No	*	
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	V	No		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes		No		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes No		✓		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents(if yes, give details)	Yes No		✓		
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes, give reasons)	Yes No		✓		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes No		V		
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement? if so, details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	¥	
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	N-A	
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief description)	Yes No				

Signature & official	Stamp of
Authorized Officer	

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO

218 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

- 5. Total Bid document sold (05)FIVE
- 6. Total Bids received

(05) **FIVE**

- 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11 Rid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	_/ 6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2274928/-	1 st lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2280170/-	2 nd lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2295895/-	3 rd lowest	9.50% -	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4	Ranipur Jeelani &	2306379/-	4 th Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a	
5	MR. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	2327346/-	5 th lowest	11.0%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM &CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

E) CONTRACT WORD

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address : - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 IP & 212 TO 218 NIP in Rohri Division More through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Khald Masood Channa Contractor 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4755 of 2013
Copy forwarded with complements to the:

Moro dated 2.5- 10-2013.

- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- 2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. M/S SAM & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Khan Hose Sayed Muhalla Mirpur Mathelo District Ghotki .
- 4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi
- 5. M/S RaniPur Jeelani & co Ranipur Taluka Sobho Dero Distract Naushahro Feroze.
 - Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHATI
- 6. DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
 - Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto office National Highway Raza Brothers Petroleum Pump Mehrabpur.
 - 7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
 - 8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
 - 9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement \(\)o all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 43/3 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218

N.I.P.

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SAM & Co.	8.50%. Above
2	MIS Zanwar & AS Contractor	8.75%. Above
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9.50%. Above
4	Ranipur Jeelani & Co.	10.00% Above
5	Ranipur Jeelani & Co. Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sahiti	11.00%. Above
6		λ
7		^\
8	\	, \
9		\
10	Ì	\
		\
		Ì
	\	\ \ .
	\	\

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

XEN Dod Div.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

Comparative Statement

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP 212 TO 218 NIP . Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED.

16.8.2013, RS. 6.523 million.

Date of Opened. 23.10.2013 24.9.2013, Date of Issue

		٦.			**	0	
No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan			10183963 dated 22.10.2013 from AbL Sukkul . 10191225 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad. 2956405 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad. 0027777 dated 21.10.2013 from Fist Micro Finance Bank Khairpur 2749776 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro	Submitted	The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost	If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to	ROHRI DIVISION MORO
Earnest Money deposited by	Contractor	.	42000 41940 41950 42000 42000		nded	The state of	VISION MORG
Earnest			1000 1000 1000 1000		Rece		OHR D
Percentage			8.50% 08.75% 09.50% 09.		2096708	178220	2274928¢XLCOUR ROHRI D
Name of work			1 M/S SAM &CO 2 M/S Zarwar *AS 3 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 4 Ranipur Jeelani &co 5 Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	Rate Analysis	Estiamted Cost Amount of Schedule A to Bid	one and a sound by lowest contractor	Add 8,50% above quoted by control of Bid Price
4	0.10		- - 2 & 4 tb				

DMISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

Total cost of Bid Price 2274928(-)2096708 2096708

x100 =8.50% above the Estimated cost

2274928 /

AMÉ OF WORK:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO

218 NIP SIDE

(SCHEDULE A TO BID)

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

T	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
Item of work 1 Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average	53295 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1419592/-
lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	1			
2 (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles)	133238 Cft	508.20	% Cft	677116/-
(P-80 I-7g)	<u> </u>		Total Rs.	2096708/-
Percentage quoted 5 5 7	ow on the p	rice of iter	Ks.~	T''
composite schedule of rates /			Total Rs.	2274828/

Hereleeûs CONTRACTOR

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,

Million two lundred seventy your thousand nine lundred termity (of 8.5% obaye.)

Superintending Enginee Rohn Canal Circle Huderahad

No.TC/G-55/ 476/ of 2013

Moro dated. 25-10-2613

To,

The Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

SUBJECT:-

STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193

TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.

Reference:-

This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1,	M/S SUN SHINE 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by M/S. SUN SHINE &CO contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the: 1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGLATORY AUTHORITY CONTRACT EVOLATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTOS OF WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.

	WORK, SERVICES &	GOODS.				
1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro.				
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial				
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.				
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.				
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.				
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH				
7	Tender estimated value	6.523 million				
8	Engineer's estimate (for civil work)	6.523 million				
9	Estimated completion period (as per contract)	Three months				
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.				
11	Number of tenders documents sold (attached list of byres)	05				
12	Number of bids received	05				
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05				
14	Bids evolution report (copy enclosed)	(copy attached)				
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	(copy attached)				
16	Contract award price	2414295/-				
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report (i-e 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd evaluation bid)	The 1st lowest evaluate bid.				
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)					
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/ ✓ Local				
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO				
3	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No				
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was etc with brief reasons. NO					
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro				
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes V No				
21	Advertisement :					
	SPPRA website ((if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.				
	News papers (if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.				
22	Nature of contract	Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013. Loc Int.				
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in					
24	bidding/tender documents?	Yes V No				
۷4	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in	Yes ✓ No				
25	bidding/tenders documents? Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for	Yes ✓ No				
26	using a method other than open competitive bidding?					
_	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes ✓ No				
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes V No				
	1	,				

	bid/best evaluated bid(in case of consultancies)					
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically	Yes	1	No		
	c omplaint ?		<u> </u>		- 1	
	Comfailint-					
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices	Yes	✓	No		
	where read out at the time of opening of bids?					
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the	Yes	√	No		
	award of contract? (attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
31	Any complaints revived (if yes, give thereof)	Yes				
		No		✓		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender	Yes		1		
	notice/documents(if yes, give details)	No	No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time?(if yes,	Yes				
	give reasons)	No		✓		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria (if yes, give	Yes				
	detailed reasons)	No	_	√		
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the	Yes	✓	No		
	selected firm is not black listed?		<u></u>			
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the	Yes		No	+	
	procuring agency to the suppliers premises in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u></u>	
	connection with the procurement? if so, details to be					
	ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:					
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization	Yes		No	NA	
	advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?					
38	Special conditions, if any (if yes, give brief	Yes				
	description)	No		√		

A THE ENCY**VERY** MORO MORO

Signature & official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer	

For office use only

SPPRA, Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road, Karachi.

Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax: 0219206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:-

Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :-

4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:-

CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE

ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD

193 TO 204IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.

11. Method of Procurement:-

Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:-

SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of

Sindh web site, Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold (05)FIVE

13. Total Bids received

(05) FIVE

- 14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
- 15. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
- 16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
- 17. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
ī	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 st lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1st lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 nd lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 nd lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 rd lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 rd lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4th Lowest	9.50% /	Rejected being a	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 th lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5th lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SUN SHINE contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

Hainfans

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address: - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD193 TO 204 IP, & 195 TO 203 NIP in Rohri Division More through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS. The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SUN SHINE 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4754

of 2013

Moro dated 25-/0-2013.

- Copy forwarded with complements to the: Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
- 2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
- 3. M/S SUN SHINE & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Jalbani Center Pir Jo Goth road Lquamn Khairpur Mirs .
- MR. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Government Contractor B# -18A Mir Allah Bachayo Colony Mirpurkahs.
- 5. M/S RaniPur Jeelani & co Ranipur Taluka Sobho Dero Distract Naushahro Feroze.
 - Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH
- 6. DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
- M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
- 7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DAVAS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK:

CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SUN SHINE	8.75% Above
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9.00% Above
3	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob larik	9.35%. Above
4	Ranipur Jeelani & Co.	9.35%. Above 9.50%. Above
5	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Ranipur Jeelani & Co. M/S Zanwar & AS Contractor	10.0%. Above.
6	ţ	
7		. 1
88		
9		
10		

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

XEN Dad Div:

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER
ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

EXECUTIVE ENGINEE

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Comparative Statement

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED. Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP. 16.8.2013. RS. 6.523 million.

Date of Opened. 23.10.2013 24.9.2013, Date of Issue

No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan		2493046 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Khairpur Mirs . 2956404 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad. 2749780 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Kandiaro. 0027778 dated 21.10.2013 from Fist Micro Finance Bank Khairpur 10191224 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.	The rate of Rs. 8.75% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost defended by M/S SUN SHINE &CO which is the lowest. If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.
Earnest Money deposited by Contractor		45000 2487 44400 2748 44400 2748 45000 0021	₹ 3
Earnest Money		000 000 000 000 000 000 000	Recomba
Percentage above/below	(about 9.35% about 10.00%	2220041 2220041 194254 2414295
Name of work		1 M/S SUN SHINE &CO 2 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 3 Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor about 9.35% 4 Ranipur Jeelani &co 2 M/S Zanwar *AS	Rate Analysis Estiamted Cost Amount of Schedule A to Bid Add 8.75% above quoted by lowest contractor Total Cost of Bid Price
S.No.		าราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาราชานาร 	

RIVISIONAL ACCEDINITIS/OFFICER **ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

x100 =8.75% above the Estimated cost

Total cost of Bid Price <u>2414195(-)2220041</u> 2220041

2414295 /

JE OF WORK:-CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP & 195 TO 203 NIP SIDE.

SCHEDULE A TO BID

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

1	Constructing Course	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	. 56430 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1503098/-
?	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	141075 Cft	508.20	% Cft	716943/-
-	Percentage quoted 8.73 above belo	₩> on the pri	ce of item	Total Rs.	2220041/-
	composite schedule of rates			Rs.	184254- 241428

A-Felv

CONTRACTOR

Soutsand, Jar R1, 2414295/- Chifus two william four hundred partien thought two hundred winty pine et 875/ shore.

perintending Enginee Cohri Canal Circle Huderabad