

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

NO. TC/G-55/ 497

OF 2014

Moro dated. 31-1-2014

To.

✓  
The Manger,  
Capacity Building  
Sindh Public Procurement  
Regulatory Authority  
Barrack No. 8 Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A  
Court Road Karachi.

**SUBJECT:- N.I.T NO.TC/G-55/4313DATED. 24.09.2013.**

Reference: - Kindly your office letter No. Dir(CB) SPPRA/3-18/(N.F)13-14/3692 dated. 16/01/2014.

Kindly your office letter no cited above under the reference, regarding the compliance of SPPRA rules 2010. The above subjected NIT was process and completed as per SPPRA rules 2010, the tender has also been approved by the competent authority /accepted by the contractor of bids along with comparative statement was sent to your kind office for hosting the on SPPRA web site vide this office letter No. TC/G-55/4771 dated. 25.10.2013. The observation has been raised by your kind office for necessary compliance, the details along with attachment are sent herewith.

1. The SPPRA rules for bid evolution report is original signed by procurement committee ( original copy attached)
2. The SPPRA rules for notification of procurement committee (photo copy attached).
3. The SPPRA rule of Procurement Plan has also been hosted on SPPRA web site ( photo copy attached)

In the light of above observations and their compliance the tender procedure has been followed and completes the all formalities as per SPPRA rules 45 rules 2010.

This is for your favour of kind information and further necessary action.

DA/ as above

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded with complements to the:-

1. Secretary Irrigation Department Government of Sindh Karachi
2. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority Karachi,
3. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur
4. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad for favour of information

DA/as above

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

SPPRA INWARD DIARY

NO. 71096

DATE: 6/2

*More urgent*

*Plump please*

*Dir(CB)*

*6/2/2014*

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROUYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE

6. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.


11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2274928/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2280170/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2295895/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2306379/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	MR. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	2327346/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.0%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **M/S SAM & CO** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP IP & 223 TO 234 NIP

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.


a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 ) SIX  
 6. Total Bids received (06) SIX  
 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)  
 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.  
 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.  
 10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.  
 11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2535520/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	2541362/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2547205/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	25555384/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2570574/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2574079/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **M/S SAM & CO** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Public Health Engineer Division  
 Naushahro Feroze

  
 Divisional Accounts Officer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
 Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.

11. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold ( 05 ) FIVE

13. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

15. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


17. Financial Bid opening dated 23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **M/S SUN SHINE** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Health Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TP 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 08 )EIGHT

6. Total Bids received (08) EIGHT

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani	2795499/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor	2801926/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Oshque & contractor	2813493/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.45%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Amir Ali chandio	2818634/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	2826346/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor	2827631/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	2844340/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2853337/-	8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.00%	Rejected being a 8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


**Mr. Kamran Ali Jalbani** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP,&312 TO 315 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 ) SIX

6. Total Bids received (06) SIX

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	2287459/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2298000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Oshque & CO	2304325/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.30%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	2311704/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2316974/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	9.90%	Rejected being a5th lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2319083/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **Mushtaqu Ali Wagen** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hvdarabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri  
Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTION *double copy*  
ALONG ROHRI  
193 TO 204IP & 19.

11. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Govt.  
Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune  
26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold ( 05 ) FIVE

13. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

15. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

17. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SUN SHINE contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

*[Signature]*  
Executive Engineer  
Public Health Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

*[Signature]*  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

*[Signature]*  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

*[Signature]*  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

*[Signature]*  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROUYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (04) FOUR

5. Total Bids received (04) FOUR

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	1901639/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	330403/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	1921795/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	1927929/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **Mushtaqu Ali Wagen** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.  
a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 05 ) FIVE

6. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio	16023960/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.95%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	16053108/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	16082256/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16176986/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar contractor	16191560/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.10%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


Mr. **Rustum Khan Chandio** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.


Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 NIP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.  
a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.  
4. Total Bid document sold ( 03 ) THREE.  
5. Total Bids received (03) THREE  
6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)  
7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.  
8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.  
9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.  
10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Zanwar & AS	9488774/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.95%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	9536240/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9579390/-	4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


M/S Zanwar & AS co contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.


Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.


4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.  
 a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.


5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 )SIX  
 6. Total Bids received (06) SIX  
 7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)  
 8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.  
 9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.  
 10. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.  
 11. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk	14368695/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	14388316/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	14421016/-	4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.25%	Reject being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	14447177/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar	14473338/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	14519119/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.00%	Rejected being 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly  
**Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk Contractor** was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Public Heath Engineer Division  
 Naushahro Feroze

  
 Divisional Accounts Officer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
 Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## **Bid Evaluation Report**

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

12. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDE.

13. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

14. Total Bid document sold (09) NINE

15. Total Bids received (09) NINE

16. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

17. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

18. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


19. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.


20. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S OSHQUE & CO Government contractor	16709848/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.90%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	16747833/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr Hussain Bux Sehto	16778242/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. GhulamHyder Khushk contractor	16793446/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16877072/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest.	
6	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	16899878/-	6 <sup>TH</sup> lowest	11.15%	Reject being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest.	
7	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	16915083/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.25%	Reject being a 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest.	
8	Ranipur Jeelani & Co	16930288/-	8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.35%	Reject being a 8 <sup>th</sup> lowest.	
9	M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers	16953094/-	9 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.50%	Rejected being a 9 <sup>th</sup> lowest	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


M/S Oshque & company Government contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Heath Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad


  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.
2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.  
Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP .
3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.
- i. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.
4. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE.
5. Total Bids received (05) FIVE.
6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)
7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.
8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.
9. Financial Bid opening dated.23.10.2013.
10. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refection	Remarks
1	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	18053719/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.89%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Khaild Masood Channa	18071791/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.00 %	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Rustaum Khan Contractor	18112863/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.25%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	M/S Sam & CO	18153936/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	18236080/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly  
**Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Public Health Engineer Division  
 Naushahro Feroze

  
 Divisional Accounts Officer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Rohri Division Moro

  
 Executive Engineer  
 Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
 Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold ( 06 ) SIX.

5. Total Bids received (06) SIX.

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.


10. Bid Evaluation Report.


SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor	12601944/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio	12613416/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Kamran Jalbani	12619152/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik	12676511/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	12705191/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	10.75%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	12733871/-	6 <sup>TH</sup> LOWEST	11.00%	Reject being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	


The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly


**Mr. Muhammad Haneef Rajpoot** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
Executive Engineer  
Public Health Engineer Division  
Naushahro Feroze

  
Divisional Accounts Officer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Rohri Division Moro

  
Executive Engineer  
Dad Division Shaheed Baazerabad

  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad



**Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority  
Government of Sindh**

Home Public Tenders SPPRA Notifications SPPRA Board SPP Act 2009 SPP Rules 2010 Amendments SPPRA Team Contact Us

Tenders Search by Name

Committee

Policy Instructions 1 Page Number 1

Black Listed Firms

Capacity Building

Complaints

Contract Evaluation Form

Departments

Download Statistics

Downloads

Evaluation Reports

FAD's

Feed Back

Gallery

News Archives

Notifications

Procurement Plans

Site Statistics

Useful Links

Login

9 Users Online  
09-Nov-13 Update

Policy Letter

Added 09-Oct-2013

S.No	Procuring Agency	View PP	Date	Year
16	INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION KARACHI		22 January 2014	2013
15	UNDER GROUND COAL GASIFICATION PROJECT THAR		06 January 2014	2013
14	QUAID-E-AWAM AGRICULTURE RESEARCH INSTITUTE		01 January 2014	2013
13	POPULATION WELFARE DEPARTMENT		17 December 2013	2013
12	KARACHI WATER & SEWERAGE BOARD Hub Trunk Main division		09 December 2013	2013
11	CIVIL HOSPITAL SHAHEED MDHTARMA BENAZIR BHUTTO TRAUMA CENTRE		03 December 2013	2013
10	REHABILITATION OF RICE & CDTTON RESEARCH STATION THATTA		03 December 2013	2013
9	AGRICULTURE RESEARCH SINDH TANDOJAM		26 November 2013	2013
8	NATIONA MATERNAL, NEONATAL & CHILO HEALTH PROGRAMMER SINDH		18 Nov 2013	2013
7	KMC STORES & PRDCURMENT DEPARTMENT Revised 31/10/13		21 October 2013	2013
6	KARACHI WATER & SEWERAGE BOARD		07 October 2013	2013
5	PRDJECT DIRECTOR ESTABLISHMENT OF AGRICULTURE ENGINEERING TRAINING INSTITUTE NAREJA HYDERABAD		07 October 2013	2013
4	AGRICULTURE ENGINEERING SINDH HYDERABAD FMS, Tube well Etc		07 October 2013	2013
3	EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRU DIVISION MORO		06 Nov Update 02 Oct 2013	2013
2	DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD		02 October 2013	2013
1	DISTRICT HEALTH OFFICER THARPARKAR		11 Sep 2013	2013

**PROCUREMENT PLANS**


S.No.	PROCURING AGENCY	YEAR	PROCUREMENT PLAN
1.	IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT ROHRU DIVISION MORO	2013-2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	JINNAH POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL CENTRE	2013-2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	SINDHI TEXTBOOK BOARD	2013-2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	SINDHI LANGUAGE AUTHORITY	2013-2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5	MUNICIPAL SERVICES DELIVERY PROGRAM	2013-2014	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**(ANNEXURE-A)**  
**PROCUREMENT PLAN ( DEVELOPMENT /CAPITAL)**  
**PUBLIC SECTOR DEVELOPMENT & DRAINAGE SYSTEMS IN SINDH PROVANCE )**

Serial No./ADP NO*	Name of scheme & estimated cost	Allocated funds,	Cost of ongoing works {Expenditure already incurred}	Funds earmarked for ongoing works	Cost of New works (Component s)	Fund for New work (c-e)	Nature of procurements	Method of procurements	Anticipated /Actual Date of Advertiseme nt	Anticipated /Actual Date of Start	Anticipated / Date of Camp
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L
	Stone pitching along Rohri Main Canal from RD 245 TO 450 NIP 158 TO 161 IP, 270 TO 277 IP, 245 TO 250 IP, 339 TO 346 NIP, 455 TO 457, 450/2 NIP, 299 TO 294 IP, 326 TO 427 IP, 324 TO 327 NIP, 460 TO 468 NIP, 407 TO 415 NIP, 412 TO 413 IP, cost of Rs. 548,524 million	Nil	Rs. 548,524 million	Nil	548,524 million	Nil	Works	Single stage one envelope procedure			Up to June 2
	Earth work along Rohri Main Canal from RD194 to 201 IP, 191 to 204 nip, 201 TO 207 IP, 276 TO 278 IP, 278 TO 290 NIP, 302 TO 320 NIP, 305 TO 315 IP, 320 TO 330 NIP, 441 TO 440 NIP approved at the cost of Rs. 69,082 million				69,082 million						Up to June 2
	Construction, Pre-stressed Bridge RD 6 over Mahatapur Branch approved at the cost of Rs. 19,382 million				19,382 million						Up to June 2
	Earth work along Dad Branch from RD 20 to 40 both sides approved at the cost of Rs. 36,040 million				36,040 million				September/ October	December/ January V 2014	Up to June 2
	Earth work along Senra Branch from RD 40 to 65 both sides, approved at the cost of Rs. 79,380 million				79,380 million				September/ October	December/ January V 2014	Up to June 2
	Earth work along Sar Dairy from RD 38 to 60 both sides 65,580 million				65,580 million						Up to June 2
	Repair to bridges of Districts and Minors approved at the cost of Rs. 1,55 million				3,55 million						Up to June 2
	Construction of Gull-lets along Rohri Main Canal approved at the cost of Rs. 159,533 million				159,533 million						Up to June 2
	Construction RD 30005 along Branches approved at the cost of Rs. 1,58 million				1,58 million						Up to June 2



Particulars	Amount	Month	Period
Construction of dykes along Rethi Main Canal approved at the cost of Rs. 0.373 million			Up to June 2
Constructing Greywater along Branches approved at the cost of Rs. 5.72 million	5.72 million	September 2013	Up to June 2
Remodelling/Repair to structures along Branches approved at the cost of Rs. 11.362 million	11.362 million	November/December 2013	Up to June 2
Constructing Greywater along Rethi Main Canal approved at the cost of Rs. 17.01 million	17.01 million		Up to June 2
Constructing and lets along Branches Naulakhi Mehrampur approved at the cost of Rs. 71.121 million	71.121 million		Up to June 2

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO



No: WB-11/RCC/P.C/2013/4-W/ 6196  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER  
SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK REGION  
SUKKUR DATED 24 SEPTEMBER, 2013.

Phone # 071-9310192  
Fax # 071-9310188

### NOTIFICATION


A Procurement Committee consisting of the following officers is hereby constituted for opening of Bids for the works under ADP, PSDP and M&R for the year 2013-14 in Rohri Division Moro of Rohri Canal Circle, Hyderabad :-

- |    |   |             |
|----|---|-------------|
| 1. | Superintending Engineer,<br>Rohri Canal Circle, Hyderabad.                    | CHAIRMAN    |
| 2. | Executive Engineer,<br>Rohri Division, Moro.                                  | MEMBER      |
| 3. | The Executive Engineer<br>Dad Division Shaheed Benazirabad.                   | MEMBER      |
| 4. | Executive Engineer,<br>Public Health Engineering Division<br>Nasushero Feroze | MEMBER      |
| 5. | Divisional Accounts Officer,<br>Rohri Division<br>Moro.                       | MEMBER/SECY |

  
AHMED JUNAID MEMON  
CHIEF ENGINEER

Copy forwarded to :-

1. The Secretary to Government of Sindh Irrigation Department Karachi for favour of kind information.
2. The Superintending Engineer, Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. The Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro.
4. The Executive Engineer Dad Division Shaheed Benazirabad.
5. The Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division Naushero Feroze.
6. The Divisional Accounts Officer, Rohri Division Moro.

  
F (AHMED JUNAID MEMON)  
CHIEF ENGINEER

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4772 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar Contractor 11.10% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*Sd/-*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managng Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.


*airfax*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACTO EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 50 to 56 NIP sides		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	79.403 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Six months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	05		
12	Number of bids received	05		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	16023960/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons.			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	vi) SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	vii) News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices were read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
		No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_



For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# **Bid Evaluation Report**

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 05 ) FIVE

6. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form))

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio	16023960/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.95%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	16053108/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	16082256/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16176986/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar contractor	16191560/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> LOWEST	11.10%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Rustum Khan Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.


**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ **4313** of 2013 Moro dated **24** /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: **EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 NIP SIDE**


Date of Opening: **23** /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Rustom Khan Chandio	9.95% Above
2	M/S Zawah & AS	10.15% Above
3	Mr. Amin Ali Chandio	10.35% Above
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.00% Above
5	Mr. Nasarullah Panhwar	11.10% Above
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
XEN Dist Div:  
S.B.A.

  
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ **4773** of 2013

Moro dated . **25-10-13**

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S# Name of contractor/ Firms

1.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 9.85 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.25% above the cost of schedule A- bid
4.	Mr Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar 10.64 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers 11.0% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO




**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 56 to 62 NIP sides		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 56 to 62 NIP sides		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	79.403 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Six months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	06		
12	Number of bids received	06		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	14368695/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. ? NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website (( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	✓
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes		No	✓
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes		No	✓
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes		No	✓
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes		No	✓
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes		No	✓

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

  
E. E. R. O.

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

**PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457**

**Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze**

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

**APPROVED BIDDERS.**

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 9.85 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 10.25% above the cost of schedule A- bid
4	Mr Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar 10.64 % above the cost of schedule A-bid
6	M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers 11.0% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4779- of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

**Copy forwarded with complements to the :-**

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H# A-17 Samanabad Near Bhirai Town Qasimabad Hyderabad.
4. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2<sup>ND</sup> A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M. BENZER BHUTTO  
Mr Nasrullah Panhwar .Gov: contractor District Naushero Feroze
5. Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Village Mahi Khan Wagen PO Nor Pur District Naushero Feroze.
7. Mr. GhullamHyder Khushak Contractor Shahi Bazar Bhansaeedabad
- 6 Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
7. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
8. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 )SIX

6. Total Bids received (06) SIX

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

10. Financial Bid opening dated 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushk	14368695/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	14388316/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	14421016/-	4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.25%	Reject being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest.	
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	14447177/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar	14473338/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	14519119/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.00%	Rejected being 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

**Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushk Contractor** was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushik	9.85% Above
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00% Above
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	10.25% Above
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagan	10.45% Above
5	Mr. Nasarullah Panhwar	10.64% Above
6	M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers	11.0% Above
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN Dnd Div:  
 S.B.A.

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparative Statement**

Name of work:- Earth work along Sehra Branch form RD 56 to 62 IP side  
 Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCCR-50/4978 DATED. 31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.  
 Date of issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of chailan
1	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	9.90%	1000	553100	10191204 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad and 10191205 dated 21.10.2013 ABL Hyderabad.
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	10.15%	1000	306000	10523583 dated 23.10.2013 from ABL NAUSHERO FEROCZE.
3	Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto	10.35%	1000	305000	2749781 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Karachi
4	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushak	10.45%	1000	310000	2882062 dated 03.10.2013 from UBL Bharsaheedbad
5	Mr. Muzhatpauze Wagan	11.15%	1000	304100	10523571 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Naushero Feroze.
6	RaniPur Jelani & CO	11.35%	1000	305000	0027776 dated 21.10.2013 from First Micro Finance Bank Khairipur.
7	Mr. Hasan & Hussain Engineers	14.50%	1000	304100	10191216 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.00%	1000	304100	2956412 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad d
9	M/S Zarwar & AS	11.25%	1000	34100	10191232 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 9.90% above quoted by lowest contractor

Total Cost of Bid Price

Total cost of Bid Price  
 16747860  
 15204568  
 15204568

x100 = 9.90% above the Estimated cost

Submitted

The rate of Rs.9.90% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr. Oshque & Co which is the lowest.

If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

15204568  
 16204568  
 1543264  
 16747860  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTANTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Supervising Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

NAME OF WORK:- **EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH SCHEDULE A TO EID FROM R.D 56 TO 62 NIP SIDE.**

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light (P-95 / I-4 a).	360000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	27227/-
Ploughing 3 times. (P-103 / I-41)	8.26 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	14617/-
Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1690850 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	3580375/-
Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3" or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1268138 Cft	502/52	% Cft	6372647/-
Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	422713 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	42601 -
Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1690850 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	598561/-
Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)	1690850 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	2444259/-
<b>Total Rs.</b>				<b>13080287/-</b>
Percentage quoted <b>9-85%</b> above composite schedule of rates				below on the price of item based on Rs. <b>1288708</b>
<b>Total Rs.</b>				<b>14368695/-</b>

*M. S. Khan*  
**CONTRACTOR**  
**CHIEF DRAFTSMAN**  
 Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region

*Sanctioned for Rs. 14368695/- (Rupees fourteen thousand three hundred and sixty eight thousand six hundred ninety five and 9.85% above.*

*A. J. Khan*  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO  
**CHIEF ENGINEER**  
 SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK  
 REGION SUKKUR

# OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4770 of 2013

Moro dated. 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S Oshaque & co 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan 11.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	M/S Zanwar & AS 11.25% above the cost of schedule A-bid
8.	Rani Pur Jeelani 11.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
9.	M/S Hussan & Hassnain Engineers 11.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **M/S Oshaque & co** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*sdf-*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO




**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 56to 62 IP sides		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 40 to 50 IP sides		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	79.403 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Six months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	09		
12	Number of bids received	09		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	09		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	16709821/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
v)	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
vi)	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes		No	✓
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	✓
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes		No	✓
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes		No	✓
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes		No	✓
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes		No	✓
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes		No	✓

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

  
OFFICIAL STAMP  
SPPRA DIVISION WORK

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

12. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDE.

13. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

14. Total Bid document sold ( 09 ) NINE

15. Total Bids received (09) NINE

16. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form))

17. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.


18. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

19. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

20. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	OSHQUE & CO Government contractor	16709821/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.90%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	16747833/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr Hussain Bux Sehto	16778242/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. GhullamHyder Khushk contractor	16793446/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.45%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	16877072/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
6	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	16899878/-	6 <sup>TH</sup> LOWEST	11.15%	Reject being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
7	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	16915083/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.25%	Reject being a 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
8	Ranipur Jeelani &Co	16930288/-	8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.35%	Reject being a 8 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
9	M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers	16953094/-		11.50%	Rejected being a 9 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly  
**Oshque & company Government contractor** was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S Oshaque & co 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto 10.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Ghullam Hyder Khushk 10.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan 11.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	M/S Zanwar & AS 11.25% above the cost of schedule A-bid
8.	Rani Pur Jeelani 11.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid
9.	M/S Hussan & Hassnain Engineers 11.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4776 of 2013


Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the:-

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.
4. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
5. Mr. Hussain Bux Sehto Government contractor Mehrabpur Distract Naushahro Feroze.
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2<sup>ND</sup> A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO  
Mr GhullamHyder Khushak contractor Shahib Bazzar BhanSaeedabad Distract Jamshoro.  
Ranipur Jeelani &Co Ranipur Taulka SOBhor Dero Distract Khairpur irs.
7. 8. Mustaque Ali Wagan Contractor Village Mahi Khan Wagan PO Noorpur District Naushahro Feroze.
9. M/S Hassan & Hussnain Engineers & BUilderas House No. B/7 price town phase tow wadho was Wasimabad Hyderabad.
10. Oshaque & CO B.# A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD
11. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
12. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 13 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 56 TO 62 IP SIDE


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S OSheque & Co.	9.90% Above
2	Mr. Muhammad Yascan chandio	10.15% Above
3	Mr Hussain Bux sahib	10.35% Above
4	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushik	10.45% Above
5	Mr. Khalid Masood channa	11.00% Above
6	Mr Mushtaque Ahmed wagan	11.15% Above
7	M/S Zanwar & AS	11.25% Above
8	M/S Ranipar Sealani	11.35% Above
9	M/S Hussain & Hussain Engineers	11.50% Above
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN DadDir.  
 S.B.A.

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**


NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDE


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Muhammed Yaseen Chandio	9.89% Above
2	M/S Zameer & AS Construction	11.00% Above
3	M/S SAM & Co.	10.50% Above
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00% Above
5	Mr. Rustam Khan Chandio	10.25% Above
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN Dist Div.  
 S.B.A

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**NAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH SCHEDULE A TO BID FROM R.D 56 TO 62 IP SIDE.**

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light (P - 95 / I - 4 a).	360000 Sft	75/63	% Sft	27227/-
2 Ploughing 3 times. (P - 103 / I - 41)	8.26 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	14617/-
3 Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1966335 Cft	2117/50	% Cft	4163714/-
4 Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3" or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1474751 Cft	502/52	% Cft	7410919/-
5 Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	491584 Cft	100/78	% Cft	49542/-
6 Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1966335 Cft	354/-	% Cft	696082/-
7 Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)	1966335 Cft	1445/58	% Cft	2842495/-
<b>Total Rs.</b>				<b>15204569/-</b>
Percentage quoted <b>9.90%</b> above <b>below</b> on the price of item based on composite schedule of rates				<b>Rs. = 1505252-</b>
<b>Total Rs.</b>				<b>= 16709848/-</b>

*Signature*  
CONTRACTOR

*Signature*  
CHIEF DRAWINGSMAN  
SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK REGION  
سککڑ باریجے لیفٹ بینک ریجن

*Sanctioned for Rs. 16709848/- (Rupees sixteen million seven hundred nine thousand Eight hundred eighty).*

*Signature*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
BOHRI DIVISION MORC

*Signature*  
CHIEF ENGINEER  
SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK  
REGION SUKKUR

**Comparative Statement**

Name of work:- Earth work along Sehra Branch form RD 56 TO 62 NIP side  
 Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 DATED.  
 31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.  
 Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushak	9.85%	1000	265000	2882064 dated 3.10.2013 from UBL Bhanaseedabad
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%	1000	260160	2956415 dated / 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	10.25%	1000	261600	10191203 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad d.
4	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	10.45%	1000	261600	10523572 dated 23.10.2013 from ABL Naushero Feroze
5	Mr. Nasrullah Panhwar	10.65%	1000	262000	10523581 dated. 23.10.2013. from ABL Naushero Feroze
6	M/S hassan & Hassnain	11.00%	1000	261600	2019217 dated. 22.10.2013 from ABL hyderabad

**Rate Analysis**

**Estiamted Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid  
 Add 9.85% above quoted by lowest contractor  
**Total Cost of Bid Price 14369695**

**Submitted**

The rate of Rs.9.85% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr. Ghulam Hyder Khushk contractor which is the lowest. If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

**Total cost of Bid Price 14369695**  
 14369695(-)13081287  
 13081287

x100 =9.85% above the Estimated cost

*[Signature]*  
 Assistant Engineer  
 Robert Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

*[Signature]*  
 BBA.D

*[Signature]*  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4769 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDE.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

**S# Name of contractor/ Firms**

1. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio 9.89% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
2. M/S Zanwar & AS construction 11.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
3. M/S Sam & CO 10.50% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
4. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.
5. Mr Rustum Khan contractor 10.25% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.

The rate quoted by Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*sdl*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-

1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.


*airjans*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACTO EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial			
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 50 to 56 IP sides			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.			
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 50 to 56 IP sides			
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH			
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million			
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	79.403 million			
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Six months			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	05			
12	Number of bids received	05			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05			
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)			
16	Contract award price	18053719/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.			
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local		
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO			
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO				
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro			
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
21	Advertisement :				
i)	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.		
ii)	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.			
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

  
 PROJECT ENGINEER  
 SPPRA

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
 Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP .

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE.

5. Total Bids received (05) FIVE.

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

9. Financial Bid opening dated 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	18053719/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.89% ✓	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Khaild Masood Channa	18071791/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	10.00 %	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Rustaum Khan Contractor	18112863/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.25%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	M/S Sam & CO	18153936/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	18236080/-	5 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	11.00%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.




**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**


NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDE


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Muhammed Yaseen Chandio	9.89% Above
2	M/S Zameer & AS Construction	11.00% Above
3	M/S SAM E. Co.	10.50% Above
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00% Above
5	Mn Rustam Khan Chandio	10.25% Above
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN Dnd Div  
 S.B.A

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

**PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457**

**Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze**

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 50 TO 56 IP SIDES" in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

**APPROVED BIDDERS.**

- | S# | Name of Contractor/ Firms   |
|----|---|
| 1. | Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio .9.89% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.   |
| 2. | M/S Zanwar & AS construction. 11.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid. |
| 3. | M/S Sam & CO.10.50% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.                  |
| 4. | Mr. Khalid Masood Channa. 10.00% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.     |
| 5. | Mr Rustum Khancontractor. 10.25% above the cost of Schedule A-to Bid.     |

**DA/AS ABOVE**

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4776

of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

**Copy forwarded with complements to the: -**

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.
4. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
5. M/S Sam & CO KHAN HOUSE SAYED MUHALLA MIRPUR MATELO DISTRICT GHOTKI
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2<sup>ND</sup> A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
7. Mr Rustum Khancontractor B. NO. A16,17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD
8. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 9 copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 10 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

**DA/AS ABOVE**

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**Comparative Statement**

Name of work:- Earth work along Sera Branch from RD 50 to 56 IP side  
 Estimate sanctioned by :- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCCR-50/4978 DATED. 31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.

Date of Issue 24.9.2013

Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
-------	--------------	------------------------	---------------	---------------------------------------	---

1	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	9.89%	1000	330000	10523579 dated 23.10.2013 from A.B.L Bank Nausheero Feroza.
2	M/S Zarwar & AS construction	11.00%	1000	328380	10191231 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad.
3	M/S Sam & CO	10.50%	1000	328500	10183965 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L. Sukkur.
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%	1000	328380	2956411 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad.
	Mr Rustum Khan contractor	10.25%	1000	328380	929017 dated 22.10.2013 from National Bank Tharushah.

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 9.89% above quoted by lowest contractor  
 Total Cost of Bid Price 18053719

Total cost of Bid Price 18053719  
 18053719(-)16428901  
 16428901

x100 = 9.89% above the Estimated cost

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

The rate of Rs. 9.89% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio Contractor which is the lowest. If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to *Mr. Yaseen Chandio* for ups for favour of sanction.

*S. M. Khan*  
 S. M. Khan  
 Senior Civil Engineer  
 Mohan Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

*[Signature]*  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

NAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH (SCHEDULE A TO BID)  
FROM R.D 50 TO 56 IP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light (P-95/I-4 a).	360000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	272271/-
2 Ploughing 3 times. (P-103/I-41)	8.26 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	146171/-
3 Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	2125105 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	4499910/-
4 Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3" or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1593829 Cft	502/52	% Cft	8009309/-
5 Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	531276 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	53542/-
6 Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	2125105 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	752287/-
7 Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P-2/I-7c) (Sch: of H. way)	2125105 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	3072009/-
Total Rs.				16428901/-
Percentage quoted <u>9.89</u> above — below on the price of item based on composite schedule of rates				Rs. = <u>164.818</u> -
Total Rs.				= <u>18053719</u> /-

*[Signature]*  
 CONTRACTOR

*[Signature]*  
 CHIEF DRAFTSMAN  
 Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region  
 سکر باریج ڈیم کی بائیں طرف کی علاقہ

*Sanctioned for Rs. 18053719/- (Rupees Eighteen Lillion fifty three thousand seven hundred Nineteen) at 9.89% above*

*[Signature]*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
 CHIEF ENGINEER  
 SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK  
 REGION SUKKUR



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4768 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

- 1, Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
- 2, Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
- 3, Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S# Name of contractor/ Firms

1.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor 9.85% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Kamran Jalbani 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik 10.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajpoot contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/R-50/4978 dated 31.7.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*Sdl*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi

DA/As above.


*Signature*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .			
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial			
3	Title of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 40 to 50 IP sides			
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.			
5	Brief description of contract	Earth work along Sehra Branch From RD 40 to 50 IP sides			
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH			
7	Tender estimated value	79.403 million			
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	79.403 million			
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Six months			
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.			
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	06			
12	Number of bids received	06			
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06			
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)			
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)			
16	Contract award price	12601944/-			
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.			
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)				
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local		
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO			
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No			
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons.				
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro			
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? NO	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
21	Advertisement :				
	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.		
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.			
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices were read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes		No	✓
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes		No	✓
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes		No	✓
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes		No	✓
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract 9 bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes		No	✓

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
CORRIDOR/MORO

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation

## Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (06) SIX.

5. Total Bids received (06) SIX.

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.


8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.

9. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor	12601944/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	9.85%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio	12613416/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Kamran Jalbani	12619152/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik	12676511/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	12705191/-	5 <sup>TH</sup> Lowest	10.75%	Reject being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	12733871/-	6 <sup>TH</sup> LOWEST	11.00%	Reject being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest .	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly  
Mr. Muhammad Haneef Rajpoot contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDE


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Muhammad Haneeb Rasput-	9.85% Above
2	Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio	9.95% Above
3	M/S Kamran Jalbani	10.00% Above
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Larik	10.50% Above
5	M/S Zannar & AS	10.75% Above
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.00% Above
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN-Deed Divi  
 S.B.A.

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

**PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457**

**Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze**

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH FROM RD 40 TO 50 IP SIDES in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

**APPROVED BIDDERS.**

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajput contractor 9.85% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Kamran Jalbani 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik 10.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS 10.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4775

of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

**Copy forwarded with complements to the: -**

3. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
4. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Muhammad Yaseen Chandio GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT NASUSERO FEROZE.
4. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
5. Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rajpoot POST OFFICE DARBELLO TALUKA KANDIARO
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2<sup>ND</sup> A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
7. Mr Kamaran Jalabni Jalbani House O.K SERVICE KHAIRPUR MIRUS .
9. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
- 11 copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
- 12 Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**Comparative Statement**

Date of Issue **24.9.2013**  
 Date of Opened. **23.10.2013**

**Name of work:- Earth work along Sara Branch from RD 40 to 50 IP side**  
**Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCCR-50/4976 DATED.**  
**31.7.2013. RS. 79.403 million.**

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	Mr. Muhammad Harif Rajput contractor	9.85%	4600	330000	2749777 dated 20.10.2013 from U.B.L Bank Kandiaro..
2	Mr. Muhammad yaseen Chandio	9.95%	1000	230000	10523590 dated 23.10.2013 from A.B.L. Naushero Feroze.
3	M/S karwan Jalbari	10.00%	1000	230000	11007584 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L.Hyderabad.
4	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik	10.50%	1000	230000	2749779 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Kandiaro .
5	M/S Zainwar & AS	10.75%	1000	229440	10191230 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad..
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.00%	1000	229440	2956410 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad..

Submitted

**Rate Analysis**

**Estiamted Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 9.85% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price**

**12601944**

**12601944**

**Total cost of Bid Price**

**12601944**

**11474956**

**11474956**

**x100 = 9.85% above the Estimated cost**

11474956

11471956

1129988

12601944

12601944

*Recorded*  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**  
**ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

The rate of Rs. 9.85% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr.Muhammad Harif Rajput Contractor which is the lowest. It is approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

*[Signature]*  
**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
**ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

*[Signature]*  
**Subordinate Engineer**  
**Rohtori Canal Circle**  
**Hyderabad**



**NAME OF WORK:- EARTH WORK ALONG SEHRA BRANCH (SCHEDULE A TO B/D) FROM R.D 40 TO 50 IP SIDE.**

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Jungle clearance and removing with 100 ft (a) light (P - 95 / I - 4 a).	600000 Sft	75/63	%0 Sft	45378.-
2 Ploughing 3 times. (P - 103 / I - 41)	13.77 Acres	1769/63	P. Acre	24368.-
3 Borrow pit excavation undressed lead upto 100 ft (a) Ordinary soil. (P-1/I-3a)	1489645 Cft	2117/50	%0 Cft	3154323/-
4 Carriage of 100 ft tons of all material like stone aggregate spawl coal lime su5rkhi etc. B.G rail fastening points and crossing bridges girders pipes sheets rails M S Bars etc. or 1000 Nos. of bricks 10"x5"x3" or 1000 Nos of tiles 12"x6"x3" or 150 cft of timber or 100 maunds of fuel wood by trucks or any other means owned by contractor (2 Mile) (P-1/I-1) (Schedule of carriage).	1117234 Cft	502/52	% Cft	5614324/-
5 Extra for every 50' additional lead or part there off (a) for E/Work (soft ordinary hard and very hard) (P-2/I-8a)	372411 Cft	100/78	%0 Cft	37532/-
6 Earth work compaction soft ordinary or hard soil. (b) Laying earth in 6" layers leveling and dressing watering for compaction etc complete. (P-3/I-13 b)	1437100 Cft	354/-	%0 Cft	508733/-
7 Earth work compaction by Sheep Foot Roller & Power Roller with optimum moisture content for 85% Modified AASHO Density (P - 2 / I - 7c) (Sch: of H. way)	1437100 Cft	1445/58	%0 Cft	2077413/-
8 Dressing and leveling of earth work to design section etc complete. Ordinary soil (P - 3 / I - 11b)	52545 Cft	187/55	%0 Cft	9855/-
<b>Sub Total Rs.</b>				<b>11471956/-</b>
Percentage quoted <u>9.85</u> above — below on the price of item based on composite schedule of rates				<b>Rs. = 1129988.-</b>
<b>Total Rs. =</b>				<b>12601944</b>

  
**CONTRACTOR**  
  
**CHIEF DRAFTSMAN**  
 SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK REGION  
 سکر باریجانات علاقہ

Sanctioned for Rs. 12601944/- (Rupees  
 twelve million six hundred and four thousand nine  
 hundred and forty four) at 9.85% above

  
**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO  
  
**CHIEF ENGINEER**  
 SUKKUR BARRAGE LEFT BANK  
 REGION SUKKUR



# OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4766 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROUYANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297  
TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO 315 NIP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr Mushtaque Ali Wagan 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Oshaque &Co 9.30 % above the cost of Schedule A-Bid
4.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
5.	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagon** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents <sup>in</sup> for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*Sell-*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

*ainjans*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal From RD 297 to 305 IP , 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO 315 NIP.		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal From RD 297 to 305 IP , 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO 315 NIP.		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.660 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.660 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	06		
12	Number of bids received	06		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	2287459/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes		No	✓
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint?	Yes		No	✓
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No	
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes			
		No		✓	
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes			
		No		✓	
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes			
		No		✓	
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes			
		No		✓	
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes		No	✓
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	✓
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes			
		No		✓	

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

*HA aijaz*  
EXECUTIVE  
ROU, KARACHI MOKO

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP,&312 TO 315 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 ) SIX

6. Total Bids received ( 06 ) SIX

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	2287459/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2298000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Oshque & CO	2304325/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.30%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	2311704/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2316974/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	9.90%	Rejected being a5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2319083/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.




COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 N.I.P& 312 TO 315 NIP.

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Mushtaqe Ali Wagan	8.50% Above
2	M/S Zamwar & AS	9.00% Above
3	M/S Oshaqee & Co.	9.30% Above
4	Mr. Roshan Ali chandio	9.65% Above
5	Mr. Amir Ali chandio	9.90% Above
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00% Above
7		
8		
9		
10		




EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.




DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO



XEN Dad Div:  
S.B.A.



SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparative Statement**

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groynage along Rohri Main Canal from RD ~~260~~ <sup>217</sup> to ~~267~~ <sup>305</sup> IP, ~~267~~ <sup>298</sup> to ~~261~~ <sup>305M</sup> IP & ~~269~~ <sup>312</sup> to ~~293~~ <sup>315M</sup> IP.  
 Estimate sanctioned by :- Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED. 16.8.2013. RS. 6.660 million.  
 Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan	8.50% above	1000	42160	10523576 dated 21.10.2013 from A.B.L Bank Naushero Feroze.
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	9.00%	1000	42160	10191229 dated 22.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad.
3	Mr. Oshaque & co	9.30%	1000	42200	10191206 dated 21.10.2013 from A.B.L. Hyderabad.
4	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.65%	1000	42160	929016 dated 22.10.2013 from National Bank Pakistan Tharushah
5	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	9.90%	1000	42200	10191209 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%	1000	42160	2956409 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Hyderabad.

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price**

**Total cost of Bid Price**

2108257  
 2108257

*2108257*  
 2108257  
 179202  
 2287459  
 2287459  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

x100 = 8.50% above the Estimated cost

Submitted

The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost quoted by Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagan Contractor which is the lowest. It approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

PROVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*Approved*  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address : - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 to 315 nip. in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

- | S# | Name of Contractor/ Firms  |
|----|--|
| 1. | Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagen 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid   |
| 2. | Mr. ZANwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid           |
| 3. | Mr. Oshaqu &CO 9.30% above the cost of schedule A-bid            |
| 4. | Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid    |
| 5. | Mr. Amir Ali Cghandio 9.90% above the cost of schedule A-bid     |
| 6. | Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid |

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4759 of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the:

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Mushtaqu Ali Wagen GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR DISTRICT village Mahi Khan Wagen NASUSERO FEROZE.
4. M/S.Roshan Ali Chandio Government Contractor.
5. M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2-A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO  
Mr. Oshaque & co Government contractor B. NO. A 17 SAMMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD  
Mr. Amir Ali Chandio Govenemnt contractor H. NO. A-17 AMAANABAD NEARE BITAI TOWN QASIMABAD HDYERABAD.
7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

NAME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES (SCHEDULE A TO BID)  
 ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
 297 TO 305 IP, 298 TO 305 NIP & 312 TO  
315 NIP SIDE

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	53650 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1429048/-
2 (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	133650 Cft	508.20	% Cft	679209/-
Total Rs.				2108257/-
Percentage quoted <i>8.50%</i> above <del>below</del> on the price of item based on composite schedule of rates				Rs. = <i>179209-</i>
Total Rs.				<i>2287459</i>

*Mustafa*  
 CONTRACTOR

*ainjans*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*Submitted for Rs, 2287459/- (Rupees  
 two million two hundred eighty seven thousand  
 four hundred fifty nine) at 8.50% above.*

*[Signature]*  
 Superintending Engineer,  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hujra abad



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No. TC/G-55/ 4765 of 2013

Moro dated . 25- 10- 2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNACE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250  
TOO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	MrMushtaque Ali Wagan 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Zanwar & AS 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
4.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagon** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 dated 16.8.2013.


The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents <sup>in</sup> for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managng Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal From RD 250 to 257 IP, 257 to 261 IP& 289 to 293 IP		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal From RD 250 to 257 IP, 257 to 261 IP& 289 to 293 IP.		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P.G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.660 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.660 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	04		
12	Number of bids received	04		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	04		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	1901639/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. ?NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically <del>complaint?</del> <i>Compliant</i>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices were read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<i>N.A</i>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

*ainjans*  
EXECUTIVE OFFICER  
ROHRI DIVISION W.C.P.O.

For office use only
---------------------

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

2. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293 IP.

3. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

4. Total Bid document sold (04) FOUR

5. Total Bids received (04) FOUR

6. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form))

7. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

8. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


9. Financial Bid opening dated 23.10.2013.

10. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen Contractor	1901639/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	Mr Roshan Ali Chandio	330403/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	1921795/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	1927929/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. **Mushtaqu Ali Wagen** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYNANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 250 TO 257 IP, 257TO 261 IP.&289 TO 293 I.P

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Mushtaque Ali Wagan	8.5% Above
2	M/S zamwar & AS	9.0% Above
3	Mr Roshan Ali chandio	9.65% Above
4	Mr Khalid Masood channa	10.0% Above
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

*[Signature]*

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

*[Signature]*  
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
XEN Dist Div:  
S. B. A.

*[Signature]*  
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

**Comparative State**

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along

Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Bar

16.8.2013. RS. 6.660 million.

Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.13

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below
1	Mr. Mushtaque Wagan	8.50%
2	M/S Zanwar & AS	9.00%
3	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.65%
4	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.00%

**Rate Analysis**

**Estiamted Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

1752663

1752663

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

148976

**Total Cost of Bid Price 1901639**

**Total cost of Bid Price 1901639**

1901639(-)1752663

1752663

x100 = 8.50%

APF

*[Signature]*  
Super  
Rohri

NAME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES (SCHEDULE A TO BID)  
 ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
 250 TO 257 IP, 257 TO 261 IP & 289 TO 293  
IP SIDE.

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	44550 Sft	2663.65	% Sft.	1186656/-
2 (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	111375 Cft	508.20	% Cft	566007/-
Total Rs.				1752663/-
Percentage quoted <u>8.5%</u> above composite schedule of rates				below on the price of item based on RS. =148976-
Total Rs.				=1901639-

*M. H. S. S.*  
 CONTRACTOR

*A. J. S.*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Contract for Rs, 1901639/- (Rupees one million nine hundred one thousand six hundred thirty nine) at 8.5% above.

*[Signature]*  
 Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4764 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS. ✓**  
**CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241**  
**TO 245 IP& 236 TO 254 NIP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Oshque & contractor 9.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
8.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **MR. KAMRAN ALI JALABNI** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO




**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP& 236 TO 254 NIP		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP& 236 TO 254 NIP		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.660 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.660 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	08		
12	Number of bids received	08		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	08		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	2795499/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons.			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan? NO	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Tribune Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes		No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint? <i>Competent?</i>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<i>NA</i>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

*Signature*  
  
 SPPRA, KARACHI

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
 Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# **Bid Evaluation Report**

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- **Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.**

3. Tender Reference No. :- **4313/24./09/2013.**

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- **CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TP 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.**

4. Method of Procurement:- **Single One Envelope procedure.**

a. Tender Published:- **SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.**

5. Total Bid document sold **( 08 )EIGHT**

6. Total Bids received **(08) EIGHT**

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) **N/A.**

9. Bid(s) Rejected. **NO.**

10. Financial Bid opening dated.**23.10.2013.**

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani	2795499/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor	2801926/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	M/S Oshque & contractor	2813493/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.45%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Mr. Amir Ali chandio	2818634/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.65%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	2826346/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	9.95%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor	2827631/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen	2844340/-	7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.65%	Rejected being a 7 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2853337/-	8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.00%	Rejected being a 8 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

**Mr. Kamran Ali Jalbani** contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address : - Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 240 TO 245 IP, 236 TO254 NIP in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Oshque & contractor 9.45% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio 9.95% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
7.	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen 10.65% above the cost of schedule A-bid
8.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4757 of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the:-

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. Mr. Kamran Ali Jalabni Contractor Jalbani House OK Service Khairpur Mirs
4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi
5. M/S Oshaque & co B NO A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.  
Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2 A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO
6. Mr. Hanif rajpoot PO Derbello Taluka Kandiaro Dist: Naushero Froze
7. Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio Government contractor Village MEvo Khan Chandio Taluka Bhria Dist: Naushero Feroze.
8. Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H, NO. A-17 SAMANABAD NEAR BHTAI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.
9. Mr. Mushtaqu Ahmed Wagen contractor Village Mahi Khan Wagen PO Noorpue Dist: Nausero Feroze
9. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
10. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
11. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**


NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYNAGE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 241 TO 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP.


Date of Opening: 23/10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	Mr. Kamran Ali Jalbani	8.75% Above
2	M/S Zanwar & AS Contractor	9.00% Above
3	M/S Oshaque & Contractor	9.45% Above
4	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	9.65% Above
5	Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio	9.95% Above
6	Mr. Muhammad Hameed Rajput	10.0% Above
7	Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagan	10.65% Above
8	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	11.0% Above
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 XEN Dist Div:  
 S.B.A.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparati**

**Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyan  
Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Suk  
16.8.2013. RS. 6.660 million.**

**Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened**

S.No.	Name of work	Pe abc

- 1 Mr. Kmaran Ali Jalbani
- 2 M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor
- 3 M/S Oshque & contractor
- 4 Mr. Amir Ali chandio
- 5 Mr. Roshan Ali Chandio
- 6 Mr. Muhammad Hanif Rjpoor
- 7 Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Wagen
- 8 Mr. Khalid Masood Channa

✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓  
✓

**7 Rate Analysis**

**8 Estiamted Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.75% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price 2**

**Total cost of Bid Price**

2795499(-)2570574

2570574

x100

<

NAME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES (SCHEDULE A TO BID)  
 ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
 241 TO 245 IP & 236 TO 254 NIP SIDE.

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1. Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	65340 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1740429/-
2. (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	163350 Cft	508.20	% Cft	830145/-
Total Rs.				2570574/-
Percentage quoted <u>8.75</u> above <del>below</del> on the price of item based on composite schedule of rates				Rs. = <u>224925</u>
Total Rs.				<u>2795499</u>

*H. M. Khan*  
 CONTRACTOR

*A. J. Ansari*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Summation for Rs, 2795499/- (Rupees  
 two million seven hundred ninety five thousand  
 four hundred ninety nine) at 8.75, above.

*[Signature]*  
 Superintending Engineer,  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

# OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

No.TC/G-55/ 4763 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225  
TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP .**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

4. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
5. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
6. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S OSHAQUE &CO 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Ussan & HUssain contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khald Masood Ghanna Contractor 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

The rate quoted by **M/S. SAM &CO** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*sdl*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

*airfan*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO




**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACTO EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP .		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP .		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.523 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.523 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	06		
12	Number of bids received	06		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	06		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	2535520/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website (( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint? <i>Competent</i>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

  
CIVIL ENGINEER  
ROAD & BRIDGE WORKS

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP IP & 223 TO 234 NIP

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Tribune dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold ( 06 )SIX

6. Total Bids received (06) SIX

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	2535520/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	2541362/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	8.75%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Amir Ali Chandio	2547205/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	M/S Hassan & Hussan Engineers	25555384/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2570574/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.00%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2574079/-	6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.15%	Rejected being a 6 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM & CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

**PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457**

**Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze**

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 IP & 212 TO 218 NIP in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

**APPROVED BIDDERS.**

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S OSHAQUE &CO 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	M/S Ussan & HUssain contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Mr.Amir Ali chandio 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
6.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa Contractor 10.15% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4756 of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the :-

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

3. M/S SAM & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Khan Hose Sayed Muhalla Mirpur Mathelo District Ghotki .

4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi

5. M/S Oshaque & co B NO A-17 SAMMANABAD NEAR MARVI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.

Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2'A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO

6. M/S Hassan & Hussain Engineers & Builders H # b/7 Price Town Wadho Wah Qasimabad Hyderabad.  
Mr. Amir Ali Chandio contractor H, NO. A-17 SAMANABAD NEAR BHTAI TOWN QASIMABAD HYDERABAD.
7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYNAGE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234NIP.

Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013

Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SAM & Co.	8.50% Above
2	M/S Oshaque & Co.	8.75% Above
3	M/S USSAN & Hussain Contractor	9.35% Above
4	Mr. Amis Ali Chandio	9.00% Above
5	M/S Zamwar & AS Contractor	10.00% Above
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.15% Above
7		
8		
9		
10		

*Fall*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

*[Signature]*  
DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
XEN Dmd Div  
S.B.A.

*[Signature]*  
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparative Statement**

**Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP**  
**Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED.**  
**16.8.2013. RS. 6.523 million.**  
**Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013**

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	M/S SAM & CO	8.50%	4000	46800	10183964 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL sukkur
2	M/S OSHAQUE & CO	8.75%	1000	46800	10191208 dated 21.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad
3	M/S Hussain & Hussain Bux contractor	9.35%	1000	47000	2749782 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro.
4	Mr. Amir Ali contractor	9.00%	1000	46800	10191211 dated 21.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro.
5	M/S Zanwar & AS	10.00%	1000	46740	10191226 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.
6	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	10.15%	1000	46740	2956406 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad.

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price**

2535520 (2336885)  
2336885

**2535520**

x100 = 8.50% above the Estimated cost

2336885

*Recommended*

The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost

quoted by M/S SAM & CO which is the lowest.

198635

**EXECUTIVE ENGINEER**

ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Submitted

**DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER**  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*Sub-Inspector's Engineer*  
Rohri Canal Circle  
Hyderabad

NAME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES SCHEDULE A TO BID  
 ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
 225 TO 234 IP & 223 TO 234 NIP SIDE

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	59400 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1582208/-
2 (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	148500 Cft	508.20	% Cft	754677/-
			Total Rs.	2336885/-
Percentage quoted 8.5% above composite schedule of rates	below on the price of item based on Rs.			= 18635-
			Total Rs.	= 2535520/-

*Sheelbis*  
 CONTRACTOR

*M. S. Jais*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Sum total for Rs, 2535520/- (Rupees two Million five hundred thirty five thousand five hundred twenty) at 8.5% above.

*[Signature]*  
 Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4762 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208  
TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP .**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Khald Masood Channa Contractor 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

The rate quoted by **M/S. SAM &CO** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

*Sdf*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1. Managing Director Sindh Public Procurement Regulation Authority Karachi

DA/As above.

*ainjans*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACTO EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP .		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP .		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.523 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.523 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	05		
12	Number of bids received	05		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	2274928/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
d	Two stage bidding procedure	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons.	Chief Engineer Haf-bank Sukkur		
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website (( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	✓	No		
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	✓	No		
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)	Yes	✓	No		✗
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint? <i>competent?</i>	Yes	✓	No		✗
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	✓	No		
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	✓	No		
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes				
		No		✓		
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes				
		No		✓		
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes				
		No		✓		
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes				
		No		✓		
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	✓	No		
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	✓	No		✗
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No		N.A
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes				
		No		✓		

Signature & official Stamp of Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
 SPPRA  
 SINDH SECRETARIAT  
 KARACHI

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
 Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

3. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 NIP.

4. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013. and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

5. Total Bid document sold (05) FIVE

6. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

7. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form))

8. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

9. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


10. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

11. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SAM & CO construction	✓ 2274928/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.50% ✓	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2280170/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	8.75% ✓	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2295895/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2306379/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	10.00% ✓	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5	MR. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	2327346/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	11.0% ✓	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being forearmed accordingly

Mr. M/S SAM & CO contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO

PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457

Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze

RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNAGE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 IP & 212 TO 218 NIP in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

APPROVED BIDDERS.

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SAM &CO 8.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	M/S ZANWAR & AS contractor 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa Contractor 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto 11.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid.

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4755 of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the: -

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. M/S SAM & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Khan Hose Sayed Muhalla Mirpur Mathelo District Ghotki .
4. MR. M/S Zanwar & AS Government Contractor A-586, Block -C North Nazimabad Karachi
5. M/S RaniPur Jeelani & co Ranipur Taluka Sobho Dero Distract Naushahro Feroze.
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2 A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSI IAI  
DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO  
Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto office National Highway Raza Brothers Petroleum Pump Mehrabpur.
7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.


**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013


NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYNANCE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO 218 N.I.P.


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SAM & Co.	8.50% Above
2	M/S Zamwar & AS Contractor	8.75% Above
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9.50% Above
4	Ranipuz Jeelani & Co.	10.00% Above
5	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sahiti	11.00% Above
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN Dnd Div:  
 S.B. A.

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparative Statement**

**Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 208 TO 219IP, 208 TO 209 NIP 212 TO 218 NIP .**

**Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED. 16.8.2013. RS. 6.523 million.**

**Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013**

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	M/S SAM & CO	8.50% above	1000	42000	10183963 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL sukkur .
2	M/S Zanwar *AS	8.75%	1000	41940	10191225 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.
3	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9.50%	1000	41950	2956405 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad.
4	Ranipur Jeelani &co	10.00%	1000	42000	0027777 dated 21.10.2013 from Fist Micro Finance Bank Khairpur
5	Mr. Rafique Ahmed Sehto	11.00%	1000	42000	2749776 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Kandiaro

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.50% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price**

2096708

2096708

178220

**2274928**

**ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

Submitted

*Recorded*  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

The rate of Rs. 8.50% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost covered by M/S SAM &CO which is the lowest. If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

**Total cost of Bid Price**

2274928(-)2096708

2096708

x100 =8.50% above the Estimated cost

*Append*

*Superintending Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad*

*PROVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO*

NAME OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES  
ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
208 TO 219 IP, 208 TO 209 NIP & 212 TO  
218 NIP SIDE

(SCHEDULE A TO BID)

SCHEDULE OF PRICE

	Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1	Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	53295 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1419592/-
2	(Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	133238 Cft	508.20	% Cft	677116/-
				Total Rs.	2096708/-
	Percentage quoted <i>8.5%</i> above composite schedule of rates		below on the price of item based on	Rs. =	<i>178220/-</i>
				Total Rs.	<i>2274928/2</i>

*Azeel Jais*  
CONTRACTOR

*Aijaz*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*Contractor's price Rs, 2274928/- (Rupees two million two hundred seventy four thousand nine hundred twenty eight) at 8.5% above.*

*[Signature]*  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle  
Hyderabad

**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

No.TC/G-55/ 4761 of 2013

Moro dated . 25-10-2013

To,

The Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.

**SUBJECT:- STANDARAD BIDDING DOCUMENTS- TENDERS.  
CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193  
TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.**

Reference:- This office NIT No. TC/G-55/4313 dated 24.09.2013.

The bidding tender documents on form schedule of-A to Bid of the above subjected work were invited under this office NIT No. quoted above. The date of issue, and opening fixed on 23.10.2013.

The NIT was published in the following Newspapers.

1. Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013
2. Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013.
3. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.

The following contractors / firms participated in the tendering and quoted their rates as shown against each.

S#	Name of contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SUN SHINE 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

The rate quoted by **M/S. SUN SHINE &CO** contractor as shown at serial No. 1 is the lowest hence recommended.

The estimate has been sanctioned by Chief Engineer Sukkur barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur under his office letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273dated 16.8.2013.

The tender on schedule A- to Bid form along with required documents <sup>in</sup> for favour of lowest contractor is submitted herewith for favour of further necessary action.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

Copy forwarded along with necessary documents to the:-  
1.Managing Director Sindh Public Procumbent Regulation Authority Karachi.

DA/As above.

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO



**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**CONTRACT EVOLUTION FORM**  
**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCY FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**  
**WORK, SERVICES & GOODS.**

1	Name of the organization/ department	Irrigation Department Rohri Division Moro .		
2	Provincial/local Gos/ other	Provincial		
3	Title of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.		
4	Tender number	NO. TC/G-55/4313 DATED. 24.9.2013.		
5	Brief description of contract	CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.		
6	Forum that approved the scheme	P.D.W.P.G.O.SINDH		
7	Tender estimated value	6.523 million		
8	Engineer's estimate ( for civil work)	6.523 million		
9	Estimated completion period ( as per contract)	Three months		
10	Tender opened on date & time)	23-10-2013.		
11	Number of tenders documents sold ( attached list of byres)	05		
12	Number of bids received	05		
13	Number of bidders present at the time of opening of bids	05		
14	Bids evolution report ( copy enclosed )	(copy attached)		
15	Name and address of the successful bidder	( copy attached)		
16	Contract award price	2414295/-		
17	Ranking of successful bidder in evaluation report ( i-e 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> evaluation bid)	The 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest evaluate bid.		
18	Method of procurement used :(Tick one)			
a	Single stage-one envelope procedure	Domestic/	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local	
b	Single stage- two envelope procedure	NO		
c	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
d	Two stage bidding procedure	No		
	Please specify if any other method of procurement was adopted i.e emergency , direct contracting etc with brief reasons. NO			
19	Approving authority for award of contract	Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro		
20	Whether the procurement was included in annual procurement plan?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
21	Advertisement :			
	SPPRA website ( ( if yes, give date and SPPRA identification No)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No. 17437 & IT Department GOS.	
	News papers ( if yes, give names of newspapers and dated)	Daily Pak Karachi dated. 26.9.2013 Daily Express Trubine Karachi Dated. 26.09.2013. Daily Juang Karachi dated .28.9.2013.		
22	Nature of contract	Loc	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.
23	Whether qualification criteria were included in bidding/tender documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
24	Whether bid evaluation criteria was included in bidding/tenders documents?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
25	Whether approval of competent authority was obtained for using a method other than open competitive bidding?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
26	Was bid security obtained from all the bidders?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
27	Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

bid/best evaluated bid( in case of consultancies)					
28	Whether the successful bidder was technically complaint? <i>Compliant</i>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
29	Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices where read out at the time of opening of bids?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
30	Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract? ( attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
31	Any complaints revived ( if yes, give thereof)	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
32	Any deviation from specifications given in the tender notice/documents( if yes, give details)	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
33	Was the extension made in response time?( if yes, give reasons)	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Deviation from qualification criteria ( if yes, give detailed reasons)	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
35	Was it assured by the procuring agency that the selected firm is not black listed?	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
36	Was a visit made by any officer/official of the procuring agency to the suppliers premises in connection with the procurement ? if so , details to be ascertained regarding financing of visit, if abroad:	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Were proper safeguards provided n mobilization advance payment in the contract bank guarantee etc)?	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
38	Special conditions , if any ( if yes, give brief description)	Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

*[Signature]*  
ASSISTANT ENGINEER  
P.W.D. DIVISION MORO

For office use only

SPPRA , Block No, 8 Sindh Secretariat no. 4-A Court Road , Karachi.  
Tel: 0219205356; 0219205369 & Fax : 0219206291

# Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procurement Agency:- Executive Engineer Rohri Division Moro, Irrigation Department.

10. Tender Reference No. :- 4313/24./09/2013.

Tender Description/ Name of work/item:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.

11. Method of Procurement:- Single One Envelope procedure.

a. Tender Published:- SPPRA.#.NO.17437, IT Department Government of Sindh web site , Daily Pak Karchi dated. 26.9.2013, Daily Express Trubine dated. 26.9.2013, and Daily Jung Karachi Dated 28.9.2013.

12. Total Bid document sold ( 05 )FIVE

13. Total Bids received (05) FIVE

14. Technical Bid opening date if applicable(N/A (Provide details in separate form)

15. No. of Bid technically qualified(if applicable) N/A.

16. Bid(s) Rejected. NO.


17. Financial Bid opening dated. 23.10.2013.

18. Bid Evaluation Report.

SNo	Name of Contractor/Firms	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/refecti on	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S SUN SHINE construction	2414295/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	8.75%	Accepted being 1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	2419845/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	9.00%	Rejected being a 2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik contractor	2427615/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	9.35%	Rejected being a 3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	
4.	Ranipur Jeelani & co	2430945/-	4 <sup>th</sup> Lowest	9.50%	Rejected being a 4 <sup>th</sup> lowest	
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS construction	2442045/-	5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	10.0%	Rejected being a 5 <sup>th</sup> lowest	

The all concerned bidders are being <sup>informed</sup> ~~forearmed~~ accordingly

Mr. M/S SUN SHINE contractor was declared as the lowest responsive bidder.



**OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER ROHRI DIVISION MORO**

**PHONE NO. 0242410457 FAX NO. 0242410457**

**Address :- Office of the Executive Engineer Rohri Division Near Main Bus Stand Taulak Moro Distract Naushahro Feroze**

**RATES OFFERD BY THE CONTRACTOR/FIRMS**

It is to inform you that the tender were invited this office for "CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNANCE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD193 TO 204 IP, & 195 TO 203 NIP in Rohri Division Moro through leading news papers as well as SPPRA web site and IT Department GOS . The tender were opened in persons you all bidders through tendering committee. The offered rates by all contractor/ Firms has been incorporate competitive statement, the evolution report and comparative statement is sent here with to you for information as per SPPRA rules 2010 and tendering process.

**APPROVED BIDDERS.**

S#	Name of Contractor/ Firms
1.	M/S SUN SHINE 8.75% above the cost of schedule A-bid
2.	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa 9.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid
3.	Mr. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Contractor 9.35% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
4.	Ranipur Jeelani &co 9.50% above the cost of schedule A-bid.
5.	M/S Zanwar & AS contractor 10.00% above the cost of schedule A-bid

DA/AS ABOVE

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

TC/G-55/ 4754 of 2013

Moro dated 25-10-2013.

Copy forwarded with complements to the:-

1. Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur.
2. Superintending Engineer Rohri Canal Circle Hyderabad.
3. M/S SUN SHINE & CO GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR Jalbani Center Pir Jo Goth road Lquamn Khairpur Mirs .
4. MR. Muhammad Ayoob Larik Government Contractor B# -18A Mir Allah Bachayo Colony Mirpurkahs.
5. M/S RaniPur Jeelani & co Ranipur Taluka Sobho Dero Distract Naushahro Feroze.
6. Mr. Khalid Masood Channa HEAD OFFICE H#2-A-837/192-A GOLIMAR NEAR KAZI AHMED MORR ANWABSHAH  
DISTT SHAHEED M .BENZER BHUTTO  
M/S Zanwar & AS construction A-586 BLOCK-C NORTH NAZIMABAD KARACHI.
7. Executive Engineer all of Rohri Canal Circle for wide publicity.
8. copy to Divisional Accounts \Officer /Head clerk/Head draft Man.
9. Copy for notice board.

Copy enclosed copy of Bid Evolution Report and competitive statement to all above officer and Contractors.

DA/AS ABOVE

  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO.

**COMPARATIVE STATEMENT SHOWING THE RATES QUOTED BY THE CONTRACTORS.**

NO.TC/G-55/ 4313 of 2013 Moro dated 24 /09/2013

NAME OF WORK: CONSTRUCTING GROYNAGE DOUBLE ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD 193 TO 204 IP & 195 TO 203 NIP.


Date of Opening: 23 /10/2013


Sr. No.	Name of Contractor	Rate Quoted
1	M/S SUN SHINE	8.75% Above
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	9.00% Above
3	Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Larik	9.35% Above
4	Ranipur Teclani & Co.	9.50% Above
5	M/S Zamwar & AS Contractor	10.0% Above
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING DIVISION  
 NAUSHAHRO FEROZE.

  
 DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

  
 XEN Dad Div:  
 S.B.A

  
 SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER  
 ROHRI CANAL CIRCLE HYDERABAD

**Comparative Statement**

Name of work:- Constructing Double Groyance along Rohri Main Canal from RD 193 TO 204 IP& 195 TO 203 NIP.  
 Estimate sanctioned by :-Chief Engineer Sukkur Barrage Left Bank Region Sukkur vide letter No. CDO/RCC/G-50/5273 DATED.  
 16.8.2013. RS. 6.523 million.  
 Date of Issue 24.9.2013, Date of Opened. 23.10.2013

S.No.	Name of work	Percentage above/below	Earnest Money	Earnest Money deposited by Contractor	No. and date of call Deposit receipt of challan
1	M/S SUN SHINE & CO	above 8.75%	1000	45000	2493046 dated 22.10.2013 from UBL Khairpur Mirs
2	Mr. Khalid Masood Channa	above 9.00%	1000	44400	2956404 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Hyderabad.
3	Mr. Muhammad Ayoub Larik contractor	above 9.35%	1000	44400	2749780 dated 22.10.2013 from U.B.L. Kandiaro.
4	Ranipur Jeelani & co	above 9.50%	1000	45000	0027778 dated 21.10.2013 from Fist Micro Finance Bank Khairpur
5	M/S Zanwar *AS	above 10.00%	1000	44400	10191224 dated 22.10.2013 from ABL Hyderabad.

**Rate Analysis**

**Estimated Cost**

Amount of Schedule A to Bid

Add 8.75% above quoted by lowest contractor

**Total Cost of Bid Price**

**2414295**

Total cost of Bid Price

2414195(-)2220041

2220041

x100 =8.75% above the Estimated cost

Submitted

2220041 **Recommended**

2220041

194254

2414295

The rate of Rs. 8.75% above the Schedule of A to bid / estimated cost offered by M/S SUN SHINE & CO which is the lowest. If approved the Tender of the lowest Contractor may be recommended to higher ups for favour of sanction.

DIVISIONAL ACCOUNTS OFFICER  
 ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*[Signature]*  
 Superintendent Engineer  
 Rohri Canal Circle  
 Hyderabad

ITEM OF WORK:- CONSTRUCTING DOUBLE GROYNES  
ALONG ROHRI MAIN CANAL FROM RD  
193 TO 204 IP & 195 TO 203 NIP SIDE.

SCHEDULE A TO BID

**SCHEDULE OF PRICE**

Item of work	Quantity	Rate	Unit	Amount
1 Constructing Groyance double upto 10' height Average lead 1 mile (P-82 I-25)	56430 Sft	2663.65	% Sft	1503098/-
2 (Carriage) pilchi Sarkanda, or farash or brush Wood by boat or road lead (4 miles) (P-80 I-7g)	141075 Cft	508.20	% Cft	716943/-
Total Rs.				2220041/-
Percentage quoted <u>8.75</u> above <del>below</del> on the price of item based on				Rs. = 194254-
composite schedule of rates				Total Rs. = 2414295

A. F. K. V.  
CONTRACTOR

*[Signature]*  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,  
ROHRI DIVISION MORO

*“Sent sand” for Rs, 2414295/- (Rupees two million  
four hundred forty two thousand two hundred ninety five  
at 8.75% above.*

*[Signature]*  
Superintending Engineer  
Rohri Canal Circle  
Hyderabad