

BID EVALUATION REPORT.


①

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas. ✓
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21.01.2013. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Mirpurkhas. ✓
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website/Daily Newspapers(I.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02-Nos.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013. ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders.	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. Abdul Salam Arif, Governemnt Contractor.	13,212,475	1st lowest	13,331,094	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. K.K. Associates, Government Contractor.	13,891,517	2nd lowest	13,331,094	Qualified	rejected due to high rates.
3	M/s.Farooque Electric Works, Government Contractor.	14,138,503	3rd lowest	13,331,094	Qualified	rejected due to high rates.


(Abdu) Sattar
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas



(Engr.Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


BID EVALUATION REPORT.

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas. ✓
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21..01.2013. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Sindhri.
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website./Daily Newspapers (I.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02 No.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013. ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders.	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. Iqbal Brothers, Governemnt Contractor.	14,496,702	✓ 1st lowest	14,623,327	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. Farooque electric Works, Government Contractor.	14,720,472	✓ 2nd lowest	14,623,327	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates.
3	M/s Shahbaz Salam Enterprises, Government Contractor.	15,006,279	✓ 3rd lowest	14,623,327	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates.


(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


(Engr. Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas


(Engr. Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

BID EVALUATION REPORT.

3

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas. ✓
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21.01.2013. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Hussain Bux Mari.
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website/Daily Newspapers (i.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13).
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013. ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders.	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. Saleem Electric Services. Governemnt Contractor.	13,745,750	✓ 1st lowest	13,852,124	✓ Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. K.K. Construction Pakistan, Government Contractor.	14,129,134	✓ 2nd lowest	13,852,124	✓ Qualified	Rejected due to high rates
3	M/s.Iqbal Brothers, Government Contractor.	14,578,017	✓ 3rd lowest	13,852,124	✓ Qualified	Rejected due to high rates

(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas

(Engr.Zaid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

BID EVALUATION REPORT.

⑥

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas. ✓
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21.01.2013. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Digri .
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website/Daily Newspapers (i.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13 & others).
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013. ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders.	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. Kamran Builders , Governemnt Contractor.	17,780,973	1st lowest	17,938,157	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	M/s. Pakistan Electric Works, Government Contractor.	18,488,910	2nd lowest	17,938,157	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates
3	M/s.Abdul Salam Arif, Government Contractor.	19,077,645	3rd lowest	17,938,157	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates


(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas



(Engr.Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

BID EVALUATION REPORT.

7

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas.
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21.01.2013.
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Jhudo.
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website./Newspapers (i.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13.)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013.
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. A.M.W, Governemnt Contractor.	13,940,647	1st lowest	14,205,259	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. Muhammad Mustafa Wassaan, Government Contractor.	14,674,587	2nd lowest	14,205,259	Qualified.	Rejected due to high rates.
3	M/s.Abdul Salam Arif , Government Contractor.	15,367,339	3rd lowest	14,205,259	Qualified.	Rejected due to high rates.


(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas



(Engr.Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

BID EVALUATION REPORT.

4

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas.
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21.01.2013.
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Kot Ghulam Muhammad (KGM-I).
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website/Newspapers (i.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02-Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date. 13.2.2013.
12. Bid Evaluation Report.

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders	Ranking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. K.K. Construction Pakistan, Governemnt Contractor.	12,612,635	1st lowest	12,731,132	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. K.K. Associates, Government Contractor.	12,998,208	2nd lowest	12,731,132	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates.
3	M/s.Kamran Builders, Government Contractor.	13,535,768	3rd lowest	12,731,132	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates.


(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas


(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas


(Engr.Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

BID EVALUATION REPORT.

5

1. Name of Procuring Agency:- Education Works Division, Mirpurkhas. ✓
2. Tender Reference No. NO.DO(E.W.)TC/Gen-55/km-7/112 ,dated 21..01.2013. ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of Work/item. Construction of Additional Class Rooms & Providing Missing Facilities under SERP (ToP-IV) Taluka Kot Ghulam Muhammad (KGM-II) . ✓
4. Method of Procurement. Single Stage- One Envelop procedure.
5. Tender Published. SPPRA website/Daily Newspapers (I.e.Kawish dated 25.1.13).
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03-Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03-Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date (if applicable). Not Applicable.
9. NO. of Bid Technicallay qualified (if applicable). Not Applicable.
10. Bid (s) Rejected. 02 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Openidng date. 13.2.2013. ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report.

S.No.	Name of Firm of Bidder.	Cost offered by Bidders.	Renking in terms of cost.	Comparison with Estimated Cost.	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks.
1	M/s. Muhammad Mustafa Wassan, Governemnt Contractor.	18,863,178	1st lowest	18,992,986	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work.
2	Mr. Kamran Builders, Government Contractor.	19,401,448	2nd lowest	18,992,986	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates
3	M/s.K.K. Construction Pakistan , Government Contractor.	20,070,128	3rd lowest	18,992,986	Qualified	Rejected due to high rates

(Abdul Sattar)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas

(Engr.Memon Shoukat Ali)
Superintending Engineer
Works & Services Department
Mirpurkhas

(Engr.Zahid Hussain Memon)
Executrive Engineer
Education Works Division
Mirpurkhas