



**OFFICE OF THE  
EXECUTIVE ENGINEER EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE**

Tel # 0242-448988

No.XEN/E.W/TC/247  
N.Feroze Dated: 29/2/2012

To,

The Director (CB),  
Sindh Procurement Regulatory Authority,  
Government of Sindh, Karachi.

**SUBJECT: NIT NO. DO(E.W)/T.C/726 DATED 16-05-2011.**

**Reference: Letter No.Dir (CB-0767)/SPPRA/3-18(N.F)/10-11/5498, dated: 23-05-2012**

With reference to above cited letter number the requisite documents regarding subject N.I.T is sent herewith as desired.

This is for favour of information and further necessary action.

D.....A as above  
i. Bid evaluation report  
ii. Contractor Evaluation forms

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

Copy FWCs to the Superintending Engineer Works & Services  
Department Naushahro Feroze for favour of kind information.

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER  
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION  
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

MCB

SPPRA INWARD DIARY  
No. 9372  
Date: 29/2/12  
Sindh Public Procurement  
Regulatory Authority Govt. of Sindh

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhammad Bux Zardari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari	2005440	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2015000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	M/S Asad Enterprises	2010580	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2015000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	M/S Zahcer & Co.	2012600	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2015000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 01
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS, Muhammad Bux Zardari.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2015000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2005440/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Aijaz Ali Zardari  
2. M/S Asad Enterprises  
3. M/S Zahir & Co.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)  
N. KEROZE**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Canal Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9205371

\_\_\_\_\_



### Bid Evaluation Report

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
- 2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Wasayo Zardari
- 4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
- 5. Tender Published: News Papers
- 6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
- 7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
- 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
- 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
- 10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
- 11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

**12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Mumtaz Ali Zardari	2006200	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2008500	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Dur Muhammad Chowan	2008300	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2008500	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	M/S Pak Constt:	2009800	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2008500	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 07
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Wasayo Zardari.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2016000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mumtaz Ali Zardari
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2008500/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Mumtaz Ali Zardari  
2. Mr. Dur Muhammad Chowan  
3. M/S Pak Constt:
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)  
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local  
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_   
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_   
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_   
PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)  
N. FERROZE**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Const Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205358; 021-9205359 Fax: 021-9205361

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhammad Lalque Zardari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Muhammad Suleman Zardari	2015400	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2019000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Talib Hussain	20017200	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2019000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Nihal Khan Channa	20019000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2019000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 03
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhammad Laique Zardari.
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2019000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Muhammad Suleman Zardari
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2015400/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Muhammad Suleman Zardiari  
2. Mr. Talib Hussain  
3. Mr. Nihal Khan Channa
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O. Ede woslas

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Div. of	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**  
**B. FAROZE**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; Fax: 021-9205397

FORM NO. 1

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Dodo Khan Khoso
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahito	2019500	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2022000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	M/S Noor & Co.	2021400	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2022000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Abdul Sattar Koari	2023600	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2022000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

(2)

## SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

### CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 01
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Dodo Khan Khoso.
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2022000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mashooque Ali Khoso
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2019500/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
  1. Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahifa
  2. M/S Noor & Co.
  3. Mr. Abdul Sattar Koari

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.

EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  
Yes  No

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  
Yes  No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  
Local  Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)  
Yes  No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)  
Yes  No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  
Yes  No


26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  
Yes  No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  
Yes  No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  
Yes  No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  
Yes  No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  
Yes  No





31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FERDUS**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh, Govt. College, Sindh, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205359; Fax: 021-9205391

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**
2. Tender Reference No: **DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Mevo Tagar**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage**
5. Tender Published: **News Papers**
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**
7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) **-----** (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): **-----**
10. Bid(s) Rejected: **-----**
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **18-06-2011**

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar	2018900	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2023100	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muhammad Uris Bhurt	2020700	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2023100	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Amjad Ali Tagar	2022000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2023100	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 05
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Mevo Tagar.
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2023100/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2018700/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar  
2. Mr. Muhammad Uris Bhurt  
3. Mr. Amjad Ali Tagar
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Eda writes

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/ DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, **FEROZEE** Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9205391

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhammad Usman Mari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

**12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa	2012700	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2019300	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar	2018800	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2019300	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Saeed Ahmed Arain	2020300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2019300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 06
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhammad Usman Mari
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2019300/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2012700/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa  
2. Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar  
3. Mr. Saeed Ahmed Arain

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D-0 Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER**  
**(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4A, Cantt. Fort, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; Fax: 021-9205397

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Abdul Hakeem Raiper
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Mansoor Ali Memon	2016000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2025000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Bhurt	2019900	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2025000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Nehal Khan Channa	2022700	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2025000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 01
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Abdul Hakeem Raipar
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2025000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mansoor Ali Memon
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2016000/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Mansoor Ali Memon  
2. Mr. Ghulam Hyder Bhurt  
3. Mr. Nehal Khan Chana
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

Domestic/ Local

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Eda wales

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4- **NGEROKH** Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369. Fax: 021-304297

082

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS GBPS Dholan Chandio
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) ----- (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Mumtaz Ali Chandio	1995497	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2012000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Abdul Mubeen Panhwar	1995548	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2012000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Nek Muhammad Rind	2000590	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2012000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 08
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Dholan Chandio
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2012000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mumtaz Ali Chandio
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1995497/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Mumtaz Ali Chandio  
2. Mr. Abdul Mubeen Panhwar  
3. Mr. Nek Muhammad Rind
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Canton Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-92053356; 021-92053369 Fax: 021-92053377

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E,W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Ali Nawaz Khan Mari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Muhammad Mithal Channa	2046041	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2086000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muhammad Laique Leghari	2050149	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2012000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar	2055264	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2012000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 04
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS All Nawaz Khan Mari
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2086000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Muhammad Mithal Channa
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2046041/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Muhammad Mithal Channa  
2. Mr. Muhammad Laique Leghari  
3. Mr. Piyar Ali Tagar
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**B. FEROKH**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205357. Fax: 021-9200097

## Bid Evaluation Report

- 10
1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
  2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
  3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Hizbullah Dahri
  4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
  5. Tender Published: News Papers
  6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
  7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
  8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
  9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
  10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
  11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Ghulam Hyder Shah	1993127	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2018000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa	2002986	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2018000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Abid Ali Jatoi	2005040	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2018000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE  
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT  
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK

4) TENDER NUMBER: 10

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Hizbulah Danni

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2018000/-

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)

14) BID EVALUATION REPORT: ATTACHED

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Ghulam Hyder Shah

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2018000/-

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

1. Mr. Ghulam Hyder Shah

2. Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa

3. Mr. Abid Ali Jatoi

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

Domestic/ Local

b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.

EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4, FEROZKHAN, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205359 Fax: 021-9204271

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Dino Phull
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Zulfiqar Ali chohan	2056134	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2092000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muhammad Mithal Channa	2061751	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2092000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa	2060829	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2092000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 11
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Dino Phull
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2092000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Chohan
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2056134/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Chohan  
2. Mr. Muhammad Mithal Channa  
3. Mr. Manzoor Hussain Channa

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. JEBOZE**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Chain Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; Fax: 021-9205321

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E,W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Kouro Khan Solangi
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Dur Muhammad Chowan	1998411	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2018000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	M/S Noor & Co.	2000549	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2018000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Naveed Ali Tunio	2001517	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2018000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 12
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Kouro Khan Solangi
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2018000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Dur Muhammad Chohan
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1998411/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Dur Muhammad Chohan  
2. M/S Noor & Co.  
3. Mr. Naveed Ali Tunio
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT:

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4 - **N. CHEEROZE** Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-0305321



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Dino Morio
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Canny Engr. & Developers	2081469	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Qadir Bux Junejo	2086162	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Ali Hassan Bhagat	2088696	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, ~~CHITRAL~~ Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369. Fax: 021-9205321

\_\_\_\_\_

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Singh Secretariat No.4-A, Conna Road, Karnali  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205359 E Fax: 021-9204291

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**
2. Tender Reference No: **DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Allah Dino Phull**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage**
5. Tender Published: **News Papers**
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**
7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) **-----** (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): **-----**
10. Bid(s) Rejected: **-----**
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **18-06-2011**

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Khaliq Dio Abbasi	2056134	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2092000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Mujahid Ali Sahito	2061751	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2092000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahito	2060829	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2092000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

*(Handwritten mark)*

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. **DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE**
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: **DISTRICT GOVERNMENT**
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: **CIVIL WORK**
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 14
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Dhni Bux Kalhoro**
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: **P&D DEPARTMENT**
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: **RS. 200000/-**
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: **RS. 2092000/-**
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): **12 MONTHS**
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): **18-06-2011**
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: **03 NOS.**
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: **03 NOS.**
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS **(ALL)**
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : **ATTACHED**
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: **Mr. Khaliq Dino Abbasi**
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE **RS.2056134/-**
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    - 1. Mr. Khaliq Dio Abbasi**
    - 2. Mr. Mujahid Ali Sahito**
    - 3. Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahito**
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D-o Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4, FEROZESHAH, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9204281

12

(7)

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E,W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Nazar Muhammad Gopang
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) ----- (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar	2015650	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2023000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muneer Ahmed Ghanghro	2018930	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2023000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Shafqat Abbas	2022690	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2023000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF**

### WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 17
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Nazar Muhammad Gopang
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2023000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2015600/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar  
2. Mr. Muneer Ahmed Ghanghro  
3. Mr. Shafaq Abbas

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

Domestic/ Local

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O. Ed. works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE/DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4 - NG-EDUCATION WORKS, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369. Fax: 021-9205371

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**
2. Tender Reference No: **DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Nawab Khan Siyal**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage**
5. Tender Published: **News Papers**
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**
7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **18-06-2011**

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar	2005440	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2024000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Yasir Pathan	2007850	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2024000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Muneer Ahmed Ghanghro	2012609	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2024000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 18
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Nawab Khan Siyal
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2024000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 2005440/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar  
2. Mr. Yasir Pathan  
3. Mr. Muneer Ahmed Ghanghro
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Private	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
---------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER**  
**(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 47, **PEROZEE** Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9205382

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**
2. Tender Reference No: **DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Qaim Solangi**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage**
5. Tender Published: **News Papers**
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**
7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **18-06-2011**

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Muhammad Saleh Solangi	1993127	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2018000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muhammad Saleh Solangi	2002986	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2018000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Zahid Hussain Sahito	2005040	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2018000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	



# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 19
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Qaim Solangi
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2018000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Muhammad Saleh Solangi
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1993127/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Muhammad Saleh Solangi  
2. Mr. Rahib Khan Panhwar  
3. Mr. Zahid Hussain Sahito

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  
Yes  No

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  
Yes  No

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  
Domestic  Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)  
Yes  No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)  
Yes  No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  
Yes  No


26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  
Yes  No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  
Yes  No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  
Yes  No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  
Yes  No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  
Yes  No



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, **N. Q. EDDOZE**, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205336; 021-9205369 ; Fax: 021-9205391

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Haji Khan Mari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

**12. Bid Evaluation Report:**

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Rahib Khan Panhwar	2012700	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2019300	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Ayaz Hussain Mallah	2018800	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2019300	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Yasir Pathan	2020300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2019300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: ~~20~~ 20
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Haji Khan Mari
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2019300/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Rahib Khan Panwhar
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2012700/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Rahib Khan Panwhar  
2. Mr. Ayaz Hussain Mallah  
3. Mr. Yasir Pathan
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D-o Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER**  
**EDUCATION (WOMEN)**



SPPRA, Block No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Capt. Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; Fax: 021-300397

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Chuattal Ghandah
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Ali Hassan Bhagat	2037674	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2049000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Zahid Ali Sahito	2038309	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2049000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Niaz Hussain Abro	2039220	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2049000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER**  
**(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.8-A, KARACHI, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205357 Fax: 021-9304291

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 220
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Muhabat Dero Siyal
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2025000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Ali Hassan Bhagat
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2016000/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Ali Hassan Bhagat  
2. Mr. Punhal Fakir  
3. Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FEROKH**  
SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Victoria Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205336; 021-9205339; 021-9205321

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Fateh Muhammad Arain
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Meer Muhammas Khaskheli	2074565	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2095000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Muhammad Ameen Mughal	2076691	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2095000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Yasir Pathan	2082308	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2095000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. **DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE**
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: **DISTRICT GOVERNMENT**
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: **CIVIL WORK**
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: **23**
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Fateh Muhammad Arain**
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: **P&D DEPARTMENT**
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: **RS. 200000/-**
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: **RS. 2095000/-**
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): **12 MONTHS**
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): **18-06-2011**
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: **03 NOS.**
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: **03 NOS.**
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS **(ALL)**
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : **ATTACHED**
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: **Mr. Meer Muhammad Khaskheli**
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE **RS.2074564/-**
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).

**1. Mr. Meer Muhammas Khaskheli**

**2. Mr. Muhammad Ameen Mughal**

**3. Mr. Yasir Pathan**

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FERAZI**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Cantonment, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9205391

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Hadi Bux Chang
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Canny Engr. & Developers	2081469	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Zahid Hussain Sahito	2086162	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Ali Mardan Koari	2088696	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF

### WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. **DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE**
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: **DISTRICT GOVERNMENT**
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: **CIVIL WORK**
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: **24**
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : **Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Hadi Bux Chang**
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: **P&D DEPARTMENT**
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: **RS. 200000/-**
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: **RS. 2109000/-**
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): **12 MONTHS**
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): **18-06-2011**
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: **03 NOS.**
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: **03 NOS.**
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS **(ALL)**
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : **ATTACHED**
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: **M/S Pak Constt:**
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE **RS.2081469/-**
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    - 1. Mr. Canny Engr. & Developers**
    - 2. Mr. Zahid Hussain Sahito.**
    - 3. Mr. Ali Mardan Koari**
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____	<input type="checkbox"/>
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4, **N. BEROZEE** Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9204201

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Gul Mhammad Jiskani
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) ----- (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Zulifiqar Ali Chowan	2056134	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2092000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders	2061751	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2092000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahito	2060829	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2092000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT: DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 25
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Gul Mhammad Jiskani
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2092000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Chowan
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2056134/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Chowan 2. Mr. M/S Al-Shahbaz Traders  
3. Mr. Mashooque Ali Sahito

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FERROZE**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Shahrah-e-Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205357; Fax: 021-9204327



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Sanwal Sahito
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) ----- (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Mukhtiar Ali Sahn	2037674	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2049000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Afraz Ahmed Sahito	2038309	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2049000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito	2039220	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2049000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

27

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 24
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Sanwal Sahito
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2049000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS: (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mukhtiar Ali Sahh
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2037674/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    1. Mr. Mukhtiar Ali Sahh
    2. Mr. Afraz Ahmed Sahito
    3. Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FERUZE**

SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Coast Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205309 & Fax: 021-9205397

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Ayoob Khan Lashari
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): -----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: -----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Pak Constt:	2012700	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2019300	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Mir Shoukat Ali Sahito	2018800	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2019300	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	M/S Al-Shahbaz	2020300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2019300	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

## SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

### CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

#### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 28
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Ayoob Khan Lashari
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2019300/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/S Pak Constt:
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2012700/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/S Pak Constt;  
2. Mr. Mir Shoukat Ali Sahito  
3. M/S Al-Shahbaz
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**  
*N. FEROLE*

SPPRA, Block. No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Cantonment, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9206321



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Zameer Ahmed Khaskheli
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Saran Constt:	2015650	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2023000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Ali Mardan Keerio	2018930	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2023000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito	2022690	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2023000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY 22

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 22
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GBPS Zameer Ahmed Khaskheli
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2023000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/S Saran Constt:
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2015600/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/S Saran Constt:  
2. Mr. Ali Mardan Keerio  
3. Mr. Nisar Ahmed Sahito

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

Domestic/ Local

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu. works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block. No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 1, ~~FEROZE~~ KARACHI  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; Fax: 021-9204301

FORM NO. 1 (REVISED) 1/2007

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Ali Gohar Brohi
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr.Saeed Ahmed	2081469	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Mir Muhammad Rajper	2086162	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	M/S Pak Constt:	2088696	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 29
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of Two Roomed Primary School at GPS Ali Gohar Brohi
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 200000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 2109000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr.Saeed Ahmed
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.2081469/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr.Saeed Ahmed  
2. Mr. Mir Muhammad Rajper.  
3. M/S Pak Constt:

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER**  
**(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block, No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4, **CHERAZER**, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205357 Fax: 021-9206391

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of One Roomed Primary School at GPS Mehar Hajj
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Atta Muhammad Rajper	1415680	1st Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Nadeem Malak	1418980	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	M/S Wazir Ahmed & Co.	1419600	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 30
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Construction of One Roomed Primary School at GPS Mehar Haji
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 1200000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 1435000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr.Atfa Muhammad Rajper
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1415680/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr.Atfa Muhammad Rajper  
2. Mr. Nadeem Malak.  
3. M/S Wazir Ahmed & Co.
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)  
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local  
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_   
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_   
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. KEROZA**  
SPPRA, Block. No. 8, Sindhi Secretariat No. 4-A, C.I.T. Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205357; Fax: 021-9205357

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GGHS Darbello
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Al-Saif Builders	1615680	1st Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar	1618980	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Atta Muhammad Rajper.	1619600	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
- 4) TENDER NUMBER: 32
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Repair/Renovation of GGHS Darbello
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 1400000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 1629000/-
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/S Al-Saif Builders
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1615680/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. M/S Al-Saif Builders  
2. Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar.  
3. Mr. Afza Muhammad Rajper.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- |   |                          |
|---|--------------------------|
| a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____      | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____                | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**V. FERROZE**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4-A, Cantt. Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9295356; 021-9295357 E. Fax: 021-9295359

FORM NO. 100/1997/1



## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation (Re-construction) of GPS Darbello Old
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold: 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_
10. Bid(s) Rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar	1695960	1st Lowest	2109000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	M/S J.M & Co.	1709540	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	2109000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	
3.	Mr. Shamsher Ali Tunio	1710870	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	2109000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 33
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Repair/Renovation (Re-constructon) of GPS Darbello Old
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 1400000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 1710000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1695960/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).  
1. Mr. Roshan Ali Mahesar  
2. M/S J.M & Co..  
3. Mr. Shamsheer Ali Tunio
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

Domestic/ Local
-----------------
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_ 

--
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D-0 Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Local	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

SPPRA, Block No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4A, FEROZA, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9203356; 021-9205369 & 021-9204291

## Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: District Officer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: DO(E.W)/TC/726, dated 16-05-2011
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair/Renovation of GHS Kotri Muhammad Kabir
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage
5. Tender Published: News Papers
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): ----
10. Bid(s) Rejected: ----
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 18-06-2011

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>S No</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for acceptance/rejection</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	Mr. Mujeeb Rehman Sahito	4394632	1st Lowest	4401000	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	
2.	Mr. Mir Shoukat Ali Sahito	4397333	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	4401000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. DISTRICT OFFICER (E.W) N.FEROZE
  - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: DISTRICT GOVERNMENT
  - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK
  - 4) TENDER NUMBER: 34
  - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Repair/Renovation of GHS Kotri Muhammad Kabir
  - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT
  - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 2800000/-
  - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS. 4401000/-
  - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS
  - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 18-06-2011
  - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.
  - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.
  - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)
  - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED
  - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Mujeeb Rehman Sahito
  - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.1695960/-
  - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Mujeeb Rehman Sahito  
2. Mr. Mir Shoukat Ali Sahito.
  - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
    - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_  Domestic/ Local
    - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
    - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.  
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT D.O Edu works

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
Yes  No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------	------	-------------------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

39) Date of Award of Contract: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer \_\_\_\_\_

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**DISTRICT OFFICER  
(EDUCATION WORKS)**

**N. FERQZ**  
SPPRA, Block. No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No. 4, A. Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 Fax: 021-9205391