

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

- |     |  |  |
|-----|--|--|
| 1.  | Name of Procuring Agency                         | FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK ✓   |
| 2.  | Tender Reference No.                             | CDGK/ADVT/17/20/10 ✓   |
| 3.  | Tender Description/Name of work Item             | Raising and maintenance of plants in polythene ✓<br>tubes of size 4" x 8"  |
| 4.  | Method of Procurement                            | Single stage one Envelop procedure ✓   |
| 5.  | Tender Published                                 | Tender published in Daily News , Daily Express ✓<br>dated 29-07-2010 Uploaded on the SPPRA<br>website S.No. 6310 ID.1925/2010. ✓ |
| 6.  | Total Bid Documents Sold                         | 03 Nos.  |
| 7.  | Total Bid Received                               | 03 Nos.  |
| 8.  | Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable)       | NA   |
| 9.  | No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable) | NA.  |
| 10. | Bid (s) Rejected:                                | 02 Nos   |
| 11. | Financial Bid Opening Dated                      | 18-08-2010 ✓   |
| 12. | Bid Evaluation Report                            | Rs.553,000/-   |

S#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost Offered by the Bidder	Ranking in the Term of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for Acceptance/ Rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/S Gem Developers and Promoters, Karachi	Rs.531,650/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	3.86% below the estimated cost	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work
02	M/S Estra Gold Associates, Karachi	Rs. 670,000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	21.15% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive
03	M/S Mehran Associates, Karachi	Rs. 700,000/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	26.58% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive

This issued with the approval of the member of the procurement committee.



District Officer Forest  
City District Government  
Karachi.

**District Officer Forests,  
City District Government.  
Karachi.**

**SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF  
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPT. FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER CDGK
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Raising&Maintenance of plants in polythene tubes of size 4"x8"
- 4) TENDER NUMBER NIT No. CDGK/ADVT/172/10
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT -
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Non Development Work Approved by EDO (Agri) CDGK
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 553,000/=
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only) -
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 10 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME:) 18-08-2010
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT Enclosed  
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S Gem Developers & Promoters, Karachi.
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs:531,650/=
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).  
1. M/S Gem Developers & Promoters, Karachi.  
2. M/S Extra Gold Associates, Karachi  
3. M/S Mehran Associates, Karachi

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE: Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE:
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE:
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE:

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	Yes ID.1925/2010 S.No. 6310
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Yes Daily News, Daily Express Dated 29-07-2010
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

N.A

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**District Officer Forests,**  
**City District Government,**  
**Karachi.**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER  
AGRICULTURE GROUP OF OFFICES  
CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI  
Main KMC Building(Annexy) Second Floor MA Jinnah Road, Karachi. Ph: 021-9215040  
NO.EDO/(AGRI)/CDGK/ 1083 Karachi, dated 01 - 09 - 2010.

SANCTION LETTER

To,

M/S Gem Developers & Promoters,  
205, Sea World, Clifton,  
Karachi.

SUBJECT: TENDER FOR RAISING & MAINTENANCE OF PLANTS IN  
POLYTHENE TUBE OF SIZE 4'X8"

On the recommendations of Tender Opening Committee of Agriculture Group of Offices, City District Government Karachi, undersigned is pleased to accept your tender dated: 18-08-2010 at Rs.531,650/= (Rupees Five Hundred Thirty One Thousand Six Hundred Fifty) only for Raising & Maintenance of plants in polythene tubes of size 4"x8".

You are therefore requested to execute the Contract agreement for which stamp paper of prescribed value should be produced by you within 7 days from receipt of this letter. In case of failure the sanction shall stand cancelled and action will be taken as per rules.

(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO (AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

Copy to the:-

- Executive District Officer(Finance & Planning) CDGK
- PS to District Coordination Officer, CDGK
- District Officer Forests, CDGK,


(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO(AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

## BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK ✓
2. Tender Reference No. CDGK/ADVT/1720/10 ✓
3. Tender Description/Name of work Item Raising and maintenance of plants in polythene tubes of size 6" x 12" ✓
4. Method of Procurement Single stage one Envelop procedure ✓
5. Tender Published Tender published in Daily News , Daily Express dated 29-07-2010. Uploaded on the SPPRA website S.No. 6310 ID.1925/2010. ✓
6. Total Bid Documents Sold 04 Nos.
7. Total Bid Received 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable) NA
9. No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable) NA.
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 02 Nos
11. Financial Bid Opening Dated 18-08-2010 ✓
12. Bid Evaluation Report Rs.999,000/-

S#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost Offered by the Bidder	Ranking in the Term of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for Acceptance/ Rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/S KB Associates, Karachi	Rs. 995,000/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	0.40% below the estimated cost	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work
02	M/S Kazmi Construction Company, Karachi	Rs. 1236,000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	23.72% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive
03	M/S Estra Gold Associates, Karachi	Rs. 1433,750/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	43.51% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive

This issued with the approval of the member of the procurement committee.

  
District Officer Forest  
City District Government  
Karachi  
District Officer Forests,  
City District Government,  
Karachi.

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER CDGK
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Raising & Maintenance of plants in polythene tubes of size 6"x12"
- 4) TENDER NUMBER NIT No. CDGK/ADVT/172/10
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Non Development Work . Approved by EDO (Agri) CDGK
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 999,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 10 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 18-08-2010
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 04 Nos  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT  
(Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S KB Associates, Karachi
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs. 995,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
- 1 M/S KB Associates, Karachi
- 2 M/S Kazmi Construction Company, Karachi
- 3 M/S Estra Gold Associates, Karachi
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE: Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT EDO (Agri) CDGK

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	Yes ID,1925/2010 S.No. 6310
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Yes Daily News, Daily Express Dated 29-07-2010
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------



31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

N.A

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
**District Officer Forests,  
City District Government,  
Karachi.**

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER  
AGRICULTURE GROUP OF OFFICES  
CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI

Main KMC Building(Annexy) Second Floor MA Jinnah Road, Karachi. Ph: 021-9215040

NO.EDO/ (AGRI)/CDGK/ 1084

Karachi, dated 01-09-2010.

SANCTION LETTER

To,

M/S KB Associates,  
127/1, Street 27, Khayaban-e-Schar,  
Phase VI, DHA,  
Clifton, Karachi.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
3/9/10  
HS

SUBJECT: TENDER FOR RAISING & MAINTENANCE OF PLANTS IN  
POLYTHENE TUBE OF SIZE 6"X12"

On the recommendations of Tender Opening Committee of Agriculture Group of Offices, City District Government Karachi, undersigned is pleased to accept your tender dated: 18-08-2010 at Rs.995,000/= (Rupees Nine Laes Ninety Five Thousand) only for Raising & Maintenance of plants in polythene tubes of size 6"x12".

You are therefore requested to execute the Contract agreement for which stamp paper of prescribed value should be produced by you within 7 days from receipt of this letter. In case of failure the sanction shall stand cancelled and action will be taken as per rules.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO (AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

Copy to the:-

- Executive District Officer(Finance & Planning) CDGK
- PS to District Coordination Officer, CDGK
- District Officer Forests, CDGK.

*[Handwritten signature]*  
(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO(AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

- |     |  |   |
|-----|--|---|
| 1.  | Name of Procuring Agency                         | <u>FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK</u> ✓   |
| 2.  | Tender Reference No.                             | <u>CDGK/ADVT/17/20/10</u> ✓   |
| 3.  | Tender Description/Name of work Item             | <u>Establishment of Road Side Plantation</u> ✓  |
| 4.  | Method of Procurement                            | <u>Single stage one Envelop procedure</u>   |
| 5.  | Tender Published                                 | <u>Tender published in Daily News , Daily Express dated 29-07-2010 Uploaded on the SPPRA website S.No. 6310 ID.1925/2010,</u> ✓ |
| 6.  | Total Bid Documents Sold                         | <u>03 Nos.</u>  |
| 7.  | Total Bid Received                               | <u>03 Nos.</u>  |
| 8.  | Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable)       | <u>NA</u>   |
| 9.  | No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable) | <u>NA.</u>  |
| 10. | Bid (s) Rejected:                                | <u>02 Nos</u>   |
| 11. | Financial Bid Opening Dated                      | <u>18-08-2010</u> ✓   |
| 12. | Bid Evaluation Report                            | <u>Rs.524,748/-</u>   |

S#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost Offered by the Bidder	Ranking in the Term of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for Acceptance/ Rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/S Estra Gold Associates, Karachi	Rs. 522,000/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	0.52% below the estimated cost	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work
02	M/S Kazmi Construction Company, Karachi	Rs. 600,000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	14.34% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive
03	M/S Hussain Construction Company, Karachi	Rs. 621,500/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	18.43% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive

This issued with the approval of the member of the procurement committee.

  
**District Officer Forest**  
**City District Government**  
**Karachi.**  
**District Officer Forests,**  
**City District Government,**  
**Karachi.**

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## **CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM**

### **TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER CDGK
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Establishment of Road Side Plantation
- 4) TENDER NUMBER NIT No. CDGK/ADVT/172/10
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT -
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Non Development Work . Approved by EDO (Agri) CDGK
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs.524,748/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only) -
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 03 Months
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 18-08-2010
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 Nos  
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03 Nos
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 03 Nos
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT  
(Enclose a copy) Enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S Estra Gold Associates , Karachi
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs.522,000/-
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).
1. M/S Estra Gold Associates , Karachi
2. M/S Kazmi construction Company , Karachi
3. M/S Hussain Construction Company, Karachi
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Local  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  
 Yes   No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
 (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	Yes ID.1925/2010 S.No. 6310
No	

ii) News Papers  
 (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Yes Daily News, Daily Express Dated 29-07-2010
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
 (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
 (If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  
 (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	No

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	No

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	No

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	No

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?


Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

N.A

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	No

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

  
District Officer Forests,  
City District Government,  
Karachi.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

3/3



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER  
AGRICULTURE GROUP OF OFFICES  
CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI

Main KMC Building (Annex) Second Floor MA Jinnah Road, Karachi. Ph: 021-9215040

NO.EDO/(AGRI)/CDGK/ 1081

Karachi, dated 01-09-2010.

SANCTION LETTER

To,

M/S Estra Gold Associates,  
102, 1<sup>st</sup> floor, Clifton Center,  
Clifton, Karachi.

*Handwritten signature and initials*  
8/9/10  
H.S.

SUBJECT: TENDER FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF ROADSIDE PLANTATIONS

On the recommendations of Tender Opening Committee of Agriculture Group of Offices, City District Government Karachi, undersigned is pleased to accept your tender dated: 18-08-2010 at Rs.522,000/= (Rupees Five Lac Twenty Two Thousand) only for Establishment of Roadside Plantation.

You are therefore requested to execute the Contract agreement for which stamp paper of prescribed value should be produced by you within 7 days from receipt of this letter. In case of failure the sanction shall stand cancelled and action will be taken as per rules.

(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO (AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

Copy to the:-

- Executive District Officer(Finance & Planning) CDGK
- PS to District Coordination Officer, CDGK
- ✓ District Officer Forests, CDGK.

(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO (AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

### BID EVALUATION REPORT

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency FOREST DEPARTMENT CDGK
- 2. Tender Reference No. CDGK/ADVT/1720/10
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work Item Establishment of Block Plantation
- 4. Method of Procurement Single stage one Envelop procedure
- 5. Tender Published Tender published in Daily News , Daily Express dated 29-07-2010 Uploaded on the SPPRA website S.No. 6310 ID.1925/2010.
- 6. Total Bid Documents Sold 03 Nos.
- 7. Total Bid Received 03 Nos.
- 8. Technical Bid Opening Date (if applicable) NA
- 9. No. of Bid Technically Qualified (if applicable) NA.
- 10. Bid (s) Rejected: 02 Nos
- 11. Financial Bid Opening Dated 18-08-2010
- 12. Bid Evaluation Report Rs.761,090/-

S#	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost Offered by the Bidder	Ranking in the Term of Cost	Comparison with Estimated Cost	Reasons for Acceptance/ Rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
01	M/S Kazmi Construction Company, Karachi	Rs. 751,080/-	1 <sup>st</sup> lowest	1.31% below the estimated cost	Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Recommended for award of work
02	M/S KB Associates, Karachi	Rs. 866,140/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> lowest	13.80% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive
03	M/S Red Rose Construction Company, Karachi	Rs. 933,130/-	3 <sup>rd</sup> lowest	22.60% above the estimated cost	Not Qualified as per evaluation criteria	Non Responsive

This issued with the approval of the member of the procurement committee.

  
**District Officer Forest**  
**City District Government**  
**Karachi.**  
 District Officer Forests,  
 City District Government,  
 Karachi.



OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER  
AGRICULTURE GROUP OF OFFICES  
CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI

Main KMC Building(Annex) Second Floor MA Jinnah Road, Karachi. Ph: 021-9215040

NO.EDO/(AGRI)/CDGK/1082

Karachi, dated 01-09-2010.

SANCTION LETTER

To,

M/S Kazmi Construction Company,  
Room # 7 (G-4), 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor,  
Snow White Complex Shahrah-e-Faisal,  
Karachi.

SUBJECT: TENDER FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF BLOCK PLANTATIONS

On the recommendations of Tender Opening Committee of Agriculture Group of Offices, City District Government Karachi, undersigned is pleased to accept your tender dated: 18-08-2010 at Rs.751,080/= (Rupees Seven Hundred Fifty One Thousand & Eighty) only for Establishment of Block Plantation of Oil Palm & Jatropha plants.

You are therefore requested to execute the Contract agreement for which stamp paper of prescribed value should be produced by you within 7 days from receipt of this letter. In case of failure the sanction shall stand cancelled and action will be taken as per rules.

(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO (AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK

Copy to the:-

- Executive District Officer(Finance & Planning) CDGK
- PS to District Coordination Officer, CDGK
- ✓ District Officer Forests, CDGK.

(Muhammad Ramzan Baprani)  
EDO(AGRICULTURE) GOO CDGK