

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.1:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM LAKHO PHULPOTO TO SIM NALA MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2215 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25096 |
| | 1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 |
| | 2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 |
| | 3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 20 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bid\$ Received: | 17 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 16 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Abbas & Co:	6,491,004 709,928 724,879 7,925,811	14th Lowest	A- 23.80 % Below B- At par C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Arslan Enterprises	6,214,391 713,476 761,113 7,688,980	11th Lowest	A- 27 % Below B- 0.5 % Above C- 0.5 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s Umer Jan & Co.	5,349,976 447,256 456,668 6,253,900	2nd Lowest	A- 37 % Below B- 37 % Below C- 37 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Jalil Ahmed Mahar	8,634,754 717,028 724,870 10,076,652	7th Lowest	A- 1 % Above B- 1 % Above C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Mohammad Ramzan & Co:	7,251,689 713,476 728,494 8,693,659	15th Lowest	A- 15 % Below B- 0.5 % Above C- 0.5 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Akhtar Ali Soomro	5,553,113 709,928 724,870 6,987,911	8th Lowest	A- 34.65 % Below B- At par C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Bukhari Engineers	6,387,274 773,820 724,870 7,885,964	13th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 9 % Above C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro	5,944,693 531,736 724,870 7,201,299	9th Lowest	A- 30.12 % Below B- 25.10 % Below C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
9	M/s Pathan Ali Mahar	5,410,484 532,448 540,753 6,483,685	3rd Lowest	A- 36.30 % Below B- 25 % Below C- 25.40 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
10	M/s Bedal Jecus	7,511,014 766,724 724,870 9,002,608	16th Lowest	A- 12 % Below B- 8 % Above C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
11	M/s Mohammad Zaffar Mirani	5,955,068 638,936 659,632 7,253,636	10th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 10 % Below C- 9 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
12	M/s Manzoor & Brothers	5,695,742 596,340 587,145 6,879,227	7th Lowest	A- 33 % Below B- 16 % Below C- 19 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
13	M/s Bhambro Construction	5,263,534 440,156 724,870 6,428,560	4th Lowest	A- 38 % Below B- 38 % Below C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
14	M/s Faqir Mohammad Mithal	5,522,859 634,736 724,870 6,882,465	6th Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 12 % Below C- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
15	M/s R. M Associates	5,522,859 567,944 616,140 6,706,943	5th Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 20 % Below C- 15 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
16	M/s Reliant Trade Link	6,646,599 553,744 565,399 7,765,742	12th Lowest	A- 22 % Below B- 22 % Below C- 22 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
17	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	5,090,651 425,957 434,922 5,951,530	1st Lowest	A- 40 % Below B- 40 % Below C- 40 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-

Javed Ahmed Kalhoro

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION SUKKUR
(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

Abdul Hafeez Memon

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

Muhammad Mahfouz Memon

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR


(MUHAMMAD MAHFUZ MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.3:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM RASOOLPUR TO PATNI MILE
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 15 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 14 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 13 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	6,178,722 372,369 6,551,091	6th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Akhtar Ali Soomro	5,573,675 531,957 6,105,632	4th Lowest	A- 36.75 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s Raza Hussain Chana	5,640,902 595,791 6,236,693	5th Lowest	A- 36 % Below B- 12 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Bukhari Engineers	6,806,178 563,874 7,370,052	11th Lowest	A- 20 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Ashique Ali Abbasi	5,578,157 335,133 5,913,290	1st Lowest	A- 36.70 % Below B- 37 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
6	M/s Pathan Ali Mahar	5,551,266 396,309 5,947,575	2nd Lowest	A- 37 % Below B- 25.50 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Reliant Trade Link	6,806,178 409,608 7,215,786	10th Lowest	A- 23 % Below B- 23 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Saif Enterprises	6,904,778 569,193 7,473,971	12th Lowest	A- 21.90 % Below B- 7 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
9	M/s Niaz-Mohammad Path	5,730,539 372,369 6,102,908	3rd Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
10	M/s Manzoor Ahmed & Brothers	6,447,632 446,844 6,894,476	8th Lowest	A- 27 % Below B- 16 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
11	M/s AM & MJ Builders	6,537,268 574,515 7,111,783	9th Lowest	A- 26 % Below B- 8 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
12	M/s Aalishan Enterprises	6,357,995 404,286 6,762,281	7th Lowest	A- 28 % Below B- 24 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
13	M/s Imtiaz Ali Wassan	7,343,998 531,957 7,875,955	13th Lowest	A- 17 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
14	M/s Nazimuddin Dahar	10,033,096 627,708 10,660,804	14th Lowest	A- 13 % Above B- 18 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROHRI


 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.4:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SADHUJA TO SHAHEED PULL MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2221 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 09 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 08 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 07 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Sultan Ahmed & Co:	6,919,763 788,022 7,707,785	4th Lowest	A- 28.50% Below B- 26 % Below -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Jalil Ahmed Mahar	6,281,980 1,182,030 7,464,010	3rd Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 11 % Above -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	6,281,980 692,178 6,974,158	1st Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 35 % Below -	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
4	M/s Gul Jahan & Co:	7,263,184 798,666 8,061,850	5th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 25 % Below -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Mohammad Saleh	6,257,450 1,077,672 7,335,122	2nd Lowest	A- 35.25% Below B- 1.20 % Above -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Imtiaz Ali Wassan	8,244,387 1,030,818 9,275,205	8th Lowest	A- 15 % Below B- 3.20 % Below -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Wazir Ali Mahar	8,048,146 958,404 9,006,550	7th Lowest	A- 17 % Below B- 10 % Below -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s AM & MJ Builders	7,165,063 1,171,380 8,336,443	6th Lowest	A- 26 % Below B- 10 % Above -	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION RDHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHROOF MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.5:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM BACHA BUND TO PHULINI MILE 1/2-1/7 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2223 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 06 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 05 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 04 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Umar Jan & Co:	5,995,280	1st	A- 38 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		440,156	Lowest	B- 38 % Below		
		6,435,436				
2	M/s Imtiaz Ali Wassan	7,345,038	2nd	A- 24.25 %	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		686,856	Lowest	B- 3.25 % Below		
		8,031,894				
3	M/s Al-Hassan Electric & Civil Engineering	8,253,057	5th	A- 15 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		738,324	Lowest	B- 4 % Above		
		8,991,381				
4	M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro	8,253,057	4th	A- 15% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		624,736	Lowest	B- 12 % Below		
		8,877,793				
5	M/s Soomar Khan Mahar	8,056,728	3rd	A- 17 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		646,036	Lowest	B- 9 % Below		
		8,702,764				

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROHRI


 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.6:- CONSTRUCTION OF PUCCA ROAD FROM QAZI WAH WAH TO VILLAGE MOHAMMAD BUX CHACHAR MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2225 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 09 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 08 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 07 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015

12. Bid Evaluation Report:


S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Imtiaz Ali Wassan	5,787,744 345,202 6,132,946	7th Lowest	A- 14.50% Below B- 2.75 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	4,034,463 216,528 4,250,991	1st Lowest	A- 40 % Below B- 39 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
3	M/s Adeel Ahmed Construction Co:	4,240,732 354,964 4,595,696	2nd Lowest	A- 37 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Häji Sultan Ahmed Katto	5,392,396 337,216 5,729,612	5th Lowest	A- 20.25% Below B- 5 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Faiz & Co:	5,272,073 390,640 5,662,713	4th Lowest	A- 22 % Below B- 10 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Soomar Khan Mahar	5,478,341 319,468 5,797,809	6th Lowest	A- 19 % Below B- 10 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Faqir Mohammad Mithal	5,065,805 397,560 5,463,365	3rd Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 12 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Abbas & Co:	6,234,658 354,964 6,589,622	8th Lowest	A- 8 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-




ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR




(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.7:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM DADLOI CHEECHRO ROAD @ MILE 0/2 TO DADLOI MINOR MILE 0/0-5/0 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2229 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 16 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 14 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 13 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Hamad Global Enterprises	7,307,039 585,690 7,892,729	13th Lowest	A- 10 % Below B- 10 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	5,252,055 346,089 5,598,144	2nd Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 35 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s Sikandar Enterprises	7,635,836 532,446 8,168,282	14th Lowest	A- 6 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Wazir Ali Mahar	6,402,846 489,849 6,892,695	10th Lowest	A- 21 % Below B- 8 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Imtiaz Ali Wassan	6,752,193 509,817 7,262,010	12th Lowest	A- 16.75 % Below B- 4.25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Najaf Enterprises	5,663,051 559,068 6,222,119	6th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 4 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Niaz Ali Lashari	6,012,399 395,340 6,407,739	7th Lowest	A- 25.75 % Below B- 25.75 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Akhtar Ali Abbasi	5,502,763 532,446 6,035,209	4th Lowest	A- 31.95 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
9	M/s Barkat Ali Khaki	6,604,234 543,096 7,147,330	11th Lowest	A- 18.55 % Below B- 25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
10	M/s Faqir Mohammad Mithal	6,074,049 591,015 6,665,064	8th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 11 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
11	M/s Zubair Ali & Brothers	6,353,526 524,460 6,877,986	9th Lowest	A- 21.60 % Below B- 1.50 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
12	M/s Roomasa Engineering Works	5,663,051 530,316 6,193,367	5th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 0.4 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
13	M/s Hamadullah Associates	5,416,454 601,665 6,018,119	3rd Lowest	A- 33 % Below B- 13 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
14	M/s Aziz A. Construction Co:	5,087,656 335,442 5,423,098	1st Lowest	A- 37 % Below B- 37 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-




 ASSISTANT ENGINEER PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION SUKKUR (JAVED AHMED KALHORO)	 ASSISTANT ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING SUB-DIVISION ROHRI (ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)	 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SUKKUR (MUHAMMAD MAHBOOB MEMON)
--	--	---

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.8:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM FISH FARM TO DADLOI MINOR MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2230 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25696
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 16 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 15 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 14 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Yakoob Brothers	6,421,840 379,812 6,801,652	12th Lowest	A- 20 % Below B- 7 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Sehar Enterprises	7,154,524 362,064 7,516,588	14th Lowest	A- 11 % Below B- 2 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s R.H & Brothers	5,070,446 281,132 5,351,578	2nd Lowest	A- 36.60 % Below B- 20.80 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	4,793,652 212,978 5,006,630	1st Lowest	A- 40 % Below B- 40 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
5	M/s Gul Jahan & co:	6,014,794 283,972 6,298,766	6th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Mohammad Malook Katto	5,192,560 354,964 5,547,524	4rd Lowest	A- 35.10 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Faqir Mohammad Mithal	5,200,701 397,560 5,598,261	4th Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 12 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Wazir Ali Mahar	6,421,840 337,216 6,759,056	11th Lowest	A- 20 % Below B- 5 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
9	M/s Faiz & Co:	6,340,431 379,812 6,720,243	9th Lowest	A- 21 % Below B- 7 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
10	M/s Evergreen Enterprises	7,789,516 363,128 8,152,644	15th Lowest	A- 3.20 % Below B- 2.30 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
11	M/s Majid Gulzar	6,014,794 283,972 6,298,766	7th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
12	M/s Mohammad Zafar Mirani	5,444,929 312,368 5,757,297	5th Lowest	A- 32 % Below B- 12 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
13	M/s Abdul Sattar Arbani	6,605,011 341,652 6,946,663	13th Lowest	A- 17.75 % Below B- 3.75 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
14	M/s Illahi Enterprises	6,421,840 319,468 6,741,308	10th Lowest	A- 20 % Below B- 10 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
15	M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro	6,014,794 298,170 6,312,964	8th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 16 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-

 ASSISTANT ENGINEER PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION SUKKUR (JAVED AHMED KALHORO)	 ASSISTANT ENGINEER PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING SUB-DIVISION ROHRI (ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)	 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SUKKUR (MUHAMMAD MAHFUZ MEMON)
--	--	--

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.9:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM VOHRI TO MOULA ALI MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2231 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
 - 1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 10 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 09 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 08 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Abdul Hafeez Kolachi	5,578,794	6th	A- 21.25% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		166,833	Lowest	B- 6 % Below		
		5,745,627				
2	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	4,516,851	2nd	A- 36 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		113,588	Lowest	B- 36 % Below		
		4,630,439				
3	M/s Haji Sultan Ahmed Katto	5,650,790	7th	A- 20.25% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		168,608	Lowest	B- 5 % Below		
		5,819,398				
4	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	4,372,859	1st	A- 38 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		110,039	Lowest	B- 38 % Below		
		4,482,898				
5	M/s Lutufullah Bhambhro	4,948,828	5th	A- 30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		150,860	Lowest	B- 15 % Below		
		5,099,688				
6	M/s Abdul Sattar Arbani	5,704,787	9th	A- 19.50% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		169,939	Lowest	B- 4.25 % Below		
		5,874,726				
7	M/s Faqir Mohammad Mithal	5,668,789	8th	A- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		198,780	Lowest	B- 12 % Above		
		5,867,569				
8	M/s Raza Hussain Chana	4,876,832	4th	A- 31 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		204,104	Lowest	B- 15 % Above		
		5,080,936				
9	M/s R.H & Brothers Co:	4,570,848	3rd	A- 35.25% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		140,654	Lowest	B- 20.75% Below		
		4,711,502				

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: IC/G-55/757/2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.10:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM QAISER DASTI TO VILLAGE WAHID BUX MAZARI MANGWANI MILE 3/3-4/0 (1.00 KMS) ADP NO. 1992 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 04 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 02 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Niaz Ali Lashari	8,805,040	1st Lowest	A- 32.75 % Below B- 32.75 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		400,656				
		9,205,696				
2	M/s M.K Enterprises	8,903,852	2nd Lowest	A- 32 % Below B- 27 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		434,912				
		9,338,764				
3	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	11,806,387	3rd Lowest	A- 10 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		595,772				
		12,402,159				


Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)


 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHFUZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.11:- CONSTRUCTION OF LINK ROAD FROM SAHIB KHAN LUND TO VILLAGE KHOH MEENHON MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KMS) ADP NO. 2141 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
 - 1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 05 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 04 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 03 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	6,290,751 372,246 6,662,997	4th Lowest	A- 16 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Haji Sikandar Ali Katto	5,967,619 442,041 6,409,660	3rd Lowest	A- 20.25 % B- 5 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
3	M/s Mohammad Malook Katto	4,838,562 465,306 5,303,868	1st Lowest	A- 35.10 % B- At par	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
4	M/s Riaz & Babar Construction	5,226,319 507,183 5,733,502	2nd Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 9 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROHRI


 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.12:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM MATHELO JARWAR ROAD TO BEERO MALANO MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2142 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 07 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 06 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 05 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s M:K Enterprises	5,527,145 615,444 6,142,589	4th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 30 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Aziz A. Construction	4,702,440 302,988 5,005,428	1st Lowest	A- 36 % Below B- 36 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
3	M/s Roomasa Engineering Works	5,452,171 471,525 5,923,696	3rd Lowest	A- 26 % Below B- 0.4 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Abdul Khaliq Mahar	6,408,079 497,088 6,905,167	6th Lowest	A- 13.25% Below B- 5 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	6,276,876 355,065 6,631,941	5th Lowest	A- 15 % Below B- 25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Riaz & Babar Construction	5,302,225 511,290 5,813,515	2nd Lowest	A- 28 % Below B- 8 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur**
2. Tender Reference No: **N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **S.NO.13:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM AMIR BUX UNAR TO VILLAGE ISLAMO KHAN LASHARI MILE 0/0-1/0 (1.60 KMS) ADP NO. 2143 (2015-16)**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure**
5. Tender Published: **SPPRA Website S. No: 25096**
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: **07 Nos:**
7. Total Bids Received: **07 Nos:**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if **Not Applicable**
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if **Not Applicable**
10. Bid (s) Rejected: **06 Nos.**
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **30-09-2015**
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Best Construction Co:	8,340,302	6th Lowest	A- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		372,248		B- 20 % Below		
		145,573		C- 15 % Below		
		814,873		D- At par		
		9,672,996		-		
2	M/s Nizamuddin Dahar	11,828,135	7rd Lowest	A- 13 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		535,101		B- 15 % Above		
		202,095		C- 18 % Above		
		814,873		D- At par		
		13,380,204		-		
3	M/s Fair & Co:	7,600,458	4th Lowest	A- 27 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		511,836		B- 10 % Above		
		1,883,941		C- 10 % Above		
		814,873		D- At par		
		10,811,108		-		
4	M/s Niaz Ali Lashari	5,956,949	1st Lowest	A- 42.55% Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		663,294		B- 42.55 % Below		
		1,712,674		C- At par		
		814,873		D- At par		
		9,147,790		-		
5	M/s Ali Builders	6,860,615	5th Lowest	A- 34 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		521,142		B- 12 % Above		
		1,712,674		C- At par		
		814,873		D- At par		
		9,909,304		-		
6	M/s Riaz & Babar Construction	7,600,458	3rd Lowest	A- 27 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		511,836		B- 10 % Above		
		1,883,941		C- 10 % Above		
		814,873		D- At par		
		10,811,108		-		
7	M/s Ashique Ali Abbasi	7,283,382	2nd Lowest	A- 30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		442,041		B- 5 % Below		
		1,370,139		C- 20 % Below		
		814,873		D- At par		
		9,910,435		-		

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR


(MUHAMMAD MANSOOR MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.14:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM KHANPUR MAHAR SARDAR GARH TO VILLAGE GHANWAR MALIK MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KMS) ADP NO. 2144 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
 - 1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 07 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 06 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 05 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:


S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Unique Enterprises	5,457,804	1st	A- 26 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		535,101	Lowest	B- 15 % Above		
		5,992,905		-		
2	M/s Zabar Ali & Co:	5,556,062	3rd	A- 24.70% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		521,142	Lowest	B- 12 % Above		
		6,077,204		-		
3	M/s Zubair Ali & Brothers	5,900,595	4th	A- 20.10% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		462,978	Lowest	B- 0.50 % Below		
		6,363,573		-		
4	M/s Abdul Khaliq Mahar	6,433,445	5th	A- 13 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		488,571	Lowest	B- 5 % Below		
		6,922,016		-		
5	M/s Faiz & Co:	5,532,854	2nd	A- 25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		511,836	Lowest	B- 10 % Above		
		6,044,690		-		
6	M/s Ghansham Das & Co:	6,358,395	6th	A- 14 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		567,672	Lowest	B- 22 % Above		
		6,926,067		-		

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-




ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHBOOB MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 752 /2015 DATED 17-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.15:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM VILLAGE SALEH MAHAR TO VILLAGE ALLAH BUX BULLO VIA VILLAGE LAL BUX BULLO MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2145 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 06 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 06 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 05 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/s Asif Ali	5,259,826	2nd Lowest	A- 27.25% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		355,065		B- 25 % Below		
		5,614,891				
2	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	5,425,465	3rd Lowest	A- 25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		331,392		B- 30 % Below		
		5,756,857				
3	M/s Nazimuddin Dahar	5,057,378	1st Lowest	A- 30 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
		487,620		B- 3 % Above		
		5,544,998				
4	M/s M.K Enterprises	5,425,465	4th Lowest	A- 25% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		340,860		B- 28 % Below		
		5,766,325				
5	M/s Mushtaque Ahmed Kolachi	5,705,212	5th Lowest	A- 21.20% Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		506,556		B- 7 % Above		
		6,211,768				
6	M/s Zahid Hussain	7,096,581	6th Lowest	A- 2.30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
		591,774		B- 25 % Above		
		7,688,355				

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-




ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHEBOOB MEMON)


1. Name of Procuring Agency:
2. Tender Reference No:
3. Tender Description/Name of work/Item:
4. Method of Procurement:
5. Tender Published:
6. Total Bid Documents Sold:
7. Total Bids Received:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable)
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date:
12. Bid Evaluation Report:


BID EVALUATION REPORT


Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
 N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/752/2015 DATED 17-08-2015
 S.NO.16:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM LATIF DINO PALH TO TRIMUNHI MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP
 NO. 2217 (2015-16)
 Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
 SPPRA Website S. No: 25096
 1 Daily Jung Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 2 Daily Dawn Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 3 Daily Nijat Sukkur Dated 25-08-2015
 29 Nos:
 28 Nos:
 Not Applicable
 Not Applicable
 27 Nos.
 30-09-2015

S. No.	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
1	M/s Umer Jan & Co:	4,738,513 285,204 5,023,717	2nd Lowest	A- 39 % Below B- 39 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
2	M/s Bhambhro Construction Co:	4,580,010 275,853 4,855,863	1st Lowest	A- 41 % Below B- 41 % Below	Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted	Recommended for Award of work
3	M/s Saqib Rasheed & Co:	4,738,513 420,792 5,159,305	4th Lowest	A- 39 % Below B- 1 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
4	M/s Niaz Mohammad Palh	5,055,520 327,282 5,382,802	5th Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 30 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
5	M/s Sikandar Enterprises	5,916,986 467,547 6,384,533	18th Lowest	A- 24.13 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
6	M/s Jan Mohammad & Brothers	5,451,779 504,951 5,956,730	14th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 8 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
7	M/s Bukhari Engineers	6,085,792 490,923 6,576,715	8th Lowest	A- 22 % Below B- 5 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
8	M/s Faiz & Co:	6,323,548 504,951 6,828,499	24th Lowest	A- 19 % Below B- 8 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
9	M/s Manzoor Ahmed & Brothers	5,451,779 397,416 5,849,195	7th Lowest	A- 30 % Below B- 15 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
10	M/s Arslan Enterprises	5,768,786 490,923 6,259,709	13th Lowest	A- 26 % Below B- 5 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
11	M/s Moiz Enterprises	7,829,330 467,547 8,296,877	28th Lowest	A- At par B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
12	M/s National Enterprises	5,840,112 415,650 6,255,762	12th Lowest	A- 25.10 % Below B- 11.10 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
13	M/s Khaki Construction Co:	6,482,051 467,547 6,949,598	25th Lowest	A- 17 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
14	M/s Mohammad Hassan Wassan	6,228,445 467,547 6,695,992	21st Lowest	A- 20.20 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
15	M/s Zulfikar Bhambhro Builders	5,055,520 374,037 5,429,557	6th Lowest	A- 35 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
16	M/s Riaz & Bhambhro Construction	5,531,030 509,625 6,040,655	10th Lowest	A-29 % Below B- 9 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
17	M/s Bashir Ahmed Mahar	6,323,548 378,714 6,702,262	23rd Lowest	A- 19 % Below B- 19 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
18	M/s Abdul Sattar Arbani	5,947,102 467,547 6,414,649	16th Lowest	A- 23.75 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
19	M/s Wazir Ali Mahar	6,244,296 397,416 6,641,712	20th Lowest	A- 20 % Below B- 15 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
20	M/s Nabi Bux Associates	5,610,282 374,037 5,984,319	9th Lowest	A- 28 % Below B- 20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
21	M/s Best Construction Co:	6,085,792 397,416 6,483,208	18th Lowest	A- 22 % Below B- 15 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
22	M/s Anis Ahmad	6,644,517 444,171 7,088,688	22nd Lowest	A- 14.95 % Below B- 5 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
23	M/s Abdul Ghaffar Ghanghro	7,987,833 476,880 8,464,713	27th Lowest	A- 2 % Above B- 2 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
24	M/s Ali Builders	5,927,289 523,653 6,450,942	17th Lowest	A- 24 % Below B- 12 % Above	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
25	M/s Wazir Ali Panhyar	5,927,289 467,547 6,394,836	15th Lowest	A- 24 % Below B- At par	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
26	M/s Rellant Trade Link	5,848,037 350,661 6,198,698	11th Lowest	A- 25 % Below B- 25 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
27	M/s Evergreen Enterprises	7,647,051 482,508 8,129,559	26th Lowest	A- 2.30 % Below B- 3.20 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive
28	M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani	4,738,513 285,204 5,023,717	3rd Lowest	A- 39 % Below B- 39 % Below	Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected	Responsive

Signature of the Chairman/ Members of the Committee:-

 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROV. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR
 (JAVED AHMED KALWAR)

 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROJRI
 (ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR
 (MUHAMMAD MAHFUZ MEMON)