

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.1:- IMPROVEMENT / CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SIRGO TO SAMO CHACHAR MILE 3/3-2/6 (1.00 KMS) ADP NO. 1875 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25097
1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015
2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 04 Nos;
7. Total Bids Received: 04 Nos;
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 03 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/ rejection | Remarks |
|--------|------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro | 4,816,002 | 3rd | A- 31.15% below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 69,279 | Lowest | B- 28.50% below | | |
| | | 4,885,281 | | - | | |
| 2 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 4,683,330 | 2nd | A- 33 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 64,919 | Lowest | B- 33 % below | | |
| | | 4,748,249 | | - | | |
| 3 | M/s Lal Khan | 4,898,475 | 4th | A- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 67,826 | Lowest | B- 30 % Below | | |
| | | 4,966,301 | | - | | |
| 4 | M/s Manzoor Ahmed & Brothers | 4,539,900 | 1st | A- 35 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| | | 87,205 | Lowest | B- 10 % Below | | |
| | | 4,627,105 | | - | | |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR


(MUHAMMAD MAHBOOB MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT


- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.2:- W/R OF ROAD FROM NATIONAL HIGHWAY TO NABI SHAH MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 1878 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25097 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 20 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 16 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 15 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/rejection | Remarks |
|--------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Imran Tariq Arain | 6,369,316 407,428 6,776,744 | 9th Lowest | A- 33 % Below B- 3 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani | 6,369,316 281,420 6,650,736 | 7th Lowest | A- 33 % Below B- 33 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 3 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 6,417,921 289,820 6,707,741 | 8th Lowest | A- 32.50 % Below B- 31 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 4 | M/s Mohammad Zaffar Mirani | 7,633,048 378,024 8,011,072 | 15th Lowest | A- 20 % Below B- 10 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 5 | M/s Niaz Ali Lashari | 7,633,048 336,024 7,969,072 | 14th Lowest | A- 20 % Below B- 20 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 6 | M/s R.H & Brother Co: | 6,126,291 333,924 6,460,215 | 6th Lowest | A- 35.50 % Below B- 20.50 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 7 | M/s J.S Construction | 8,313,519 382,224 8,695,743 | 16th Lowest | A- 13 % Below B- 9 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 8 | M/s Ashique Ali Abbasi | 6,466,526 336,024 6,802,550 | 10th Lowest | A- 32.20 % Below B- 20 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 9 | M/s Nazir Ahmed Kalwar | 7,438,628 399,028 7,837,656 | 13th Lowest | A- 22 % Below B- 5 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 10 | M/s Hazar Khan Noon | 5,202,795 361,224 5,564,019 | 3rd Lowest | A- 45 % Below B- 14 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 11 | M/s Lal Khan | 5,212,516 231,436 5,443,952 | 2nd Lowest | A- 44.90 % Below B- 44.90 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 12 | Akhtar Ali Soomro | 6,004,778 265,248 6,270,026 | 5th Lowest | A- 36.75 % Below B- 36.85 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 13 | M/s Aziz A. Construction Co: | 5,482,760 242,776 5,725,536 | 4th Lowest | A- 42.12 % Below B- 42.20 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 14 | M/s Dr. Daine Enterprises | 4,813,955 441,028 5,254,983 | 1st Lowest | A- 49 % Below B- 5 % Above | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| 15 | M/s Faiz & Co: | 7,146,997 361,224 7,508,221 | 12th Lowest | A- 25 % Below B- 14 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 16 | M/s Wazir Ali Mahar | 6,660,947 294,020 6,954,967 | 11th Lowest | A- 30 % Below B- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR
(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI
(ABDULHAFEEZ MEMON)


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR
(MUHAMMAD MAQSOOD MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.3:- IMPROVEMENT / RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM SALEHPAT SIKANDARABAD ROAD TO TITROI VIA VILLAGE NIARI MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 1880 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25097
 - 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015
 - 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 10 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 08 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 07 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/ rejection | Remarks |
|--------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 4,010,392 | 2nd Lowest | A- 33 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 80,302 | | B- 33 % Below | | |
| | | 4,090,694 | | | | |
| 2 | M/s Lutufullah Bhambhro | 4,503,760 | 5th Lowest | A- 25 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 113,861 | | B- 5 % Below | | |
| | | 4,617,621 | | | | |
| 3 | M/s Wazir Ali Panhyar | 4,093,648 | 3rd Lowest | A- 31.65 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 82,340 | | B- 31.30 % Below | | |
| | | 4,175,988 | | | | |
| 4 | M/s Sultan Ahmed & Co: | 4,442,089 | 4th Lowest | A- 26 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 98,280 | | B- 15 % Below | | |
| | | 4,540,369 | | | | |
| 5 | M/s Bedil Becus | 4,750,444 | 7th Lowest | A- 21 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 65,560 | | B- 45.30 % Below | | |
| | | 4,816,004 | | | | |
| 6 | M/s Aalishan Enterprises | 5,305,483 | 8th Lowest | A- 12 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 107,869 | | B- 10 % Below | | |
| | | 5,413,352 | | | | |
| 7 | M/s Soomar Khan Mahar | 4,688,773 | 6th Lowest | A- 22 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 93,486 | | B- 22 % Below | | |
| | | 4,782,259 | | | | |
| 8 | M/s Abdul Hafeez Kolachi | 3,702,037 | 1st Lowest | A- 38 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| | | 125,847 | | B- 5 % Above | | |
| | | 3,827,884 | | | | |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
 SUKKUR


 ASSISTANT ENGINEER
 PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
 SUB-DIVISION ROHRI


 EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
 PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
 SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015
S.NO.5:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM ARRORE BACHAL SHAH
ROAD TO VILLAGE KADAN KHAN CHAKRANI MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00
KM) ADP NO. 2211 (2015-16)
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
4. Method of Procurement: SPPRA Website S. No: 25097
5. Tender Published: 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015
2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 11 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 10 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 09 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:


| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/ rejection | Remarks |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s R.H & Brothers | 5,123,270 282,196 5,405,466 | 2nd Lowest | A- 42.50 % Below B- 20.5 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Wazir Ali Panhyar | 5,581,644 222,030 5,803,674 | 4th Lowest | A- 37.45 % Below B- 37.45 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 3 | M/s Allah Wassayo Ghumro | 7,937,052 330,116 8,267,168 | 10th Lowest | A- 11.50 % Below B- 7 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 4 | M/s Evergreen Enterprises | 5,713,257 315,918 6,029,175 | 5th Lowest | A- 36 % Below B- 11 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 5 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 6,257,859 248,474 6,506,333 | 7th Lowest | A- 30 % Below B- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 6 | M/s Niaz Ali Lashari | 5,259,421 319,468 5,578,889 | 3rd Lowest | A- 41 % Below B- 10 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 7 | M/s Best Construction Co: | 6,257,859 301,720 6,559,579 | 9th Lowest | A- 30 % Below B- 15 % Above | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 8 | M/s Lal Enterprises | 5,009,811 301,720 5,311,531 | 1st Lowest | A- 43.78 % Below B- 15 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| 9 | M/s Sultan Ahmed & Co: | 5,940,174 255,574 6,195,748 | 6th Lowest | A- 33.50 % Below B- 28 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 10 | M/s Faiz & Co: | 6,257,859 443,704 6,701,563 | 8th Lowest | A- 30 % Below B- 25 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-




ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)



ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)



EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur
2. Tender Reference No: N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: S.NO.6:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM VILLAGE FAIZ MUHAMMAD KANDHRO TO VILLAGE ALI MURAD JUNEJO MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2212 (2015-16)
4. Method of Procurement: Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure
5. Tender Published: SPPRA Website S. No: 25097
 - 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015
 - 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015
 - 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015
6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 13 Nos:
7. Total Bids Received: 12 Nos:
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) Not Applicable
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) Not Applicable
10. Bid (s) Rejected: 11 Nos.
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 30-09-2015
12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/ rejection | Remarks |
|--------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Kashif Construction | 4,417,136 283,616 4,700,752 | 2nd Lowest | A- 45.10 % Below B- 20.10 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Soomar Khan Mahar | 6,316,038 276,872 6,592,910 | 10th Lowest | A- 22 % Below B- 22 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 3 | M/s Manzoor Ahmed & Brothers | 6,480,446 390,460 6,870,906 | 11th Lowest | A- 20 % Below B- 10 % Above | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 4 | M/s Bhambhro Construction | 5,165,188 227,176 5,392,364 | 5th Lowest | A- 36 % Below B- 36 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 5 | M/s Lal Khan | 5,378,918 301,720 5,680,638 | 6th Lowest | A- 33.40 % Below B- 15 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 6 | M/s Reliant Trade Link | 5,576,206 244,926 5,821,132 | 7th Lowest | A- 31 % Below B- 31 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 7 | M/s J.S Construction | 7,236,718 333,666 7,570,384 | 12th Lowest | A- 10.80 % Below B- 6 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 8 | M/s Abhas Ali Panhyar | 5,070,654 223,096 5,293,750 | 4th Lowest | A- 37.15 % Below B- 37.15 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 9 | M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro | 5,646,079 259,124 5,905,203 | 9th Lowest | A- 30.17 % Below B- 27 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 10 | M/s Pathan Ali Mahar | 4,778,832 262,674 5,041,506 | 3rd Lowest | A- 40.70 % Below B- 26 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 11 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 5,576,206 244,926 5,821,132 | 8th Lowest | A- 31 % Below B- 31 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 12 | M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani | 4,318,492 191,680 4,510,172 | 1st Lowest | A- 46.30 % Below B- 46 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-

Javed Ahmed Kalhoro

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

Abdul Hafeez Memon

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

Muhammad Mahfooz Memon

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR


(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT


- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.8:- CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM BHARIRO TO VILLAGE ALI MURAD BHAMBHRO MILE 0/0-0/5 (1.00 KM) ADP NO. 2214 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25097 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 19 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 18 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 17 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/rejection | Remarks |
|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Gul Jahan & Co: | 6,409,644 | 11th Lowest | A- 33.50 % Below B- 24.15 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 269,240 | | | | |
| | | 6,678,884 | | | | |
| 2 | M/s Bashir Ahmed Mahar | 5,969,423 | 5th Lowest | A- 38 % Below B- 38 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 220,078 | | | | |
| | | 6,189,501 | | | | |
| 3 | M/s Abdul Ghaffar Ghanghro | 9,099,881 | 17th Lowest | A- 6 % Below B- 6 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 333,666 | | | | |
| | | 9,433,547 | | | | |
| 4 | M/s Zubair Ali & Brothers | 6,018,336 | 7th Lowest | A- 37.50 % Below B- 36 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 227,176 | | | | |
| | | 6,245,512 | | | | |
| 5 | M/s Abdul Hafeez Kolachi | 6,042,793 | 8th Lowest | A- 37.50 % Below B- 5 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 337,216 | | | | |
| | | 6,380,009 | | | | |
| 6 | M/s Nabi Bux Associates | 6,213,990 | 10th Lowest | A- 35.50 % Below B- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 248,474 | | | | |
| | | 6,462,464 | | | | |
| 7 | M/s Saif Enterprises | 6,497,688 | 12th Lowest | A- 32.60 % Below B- 4 % Above | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 369,162 | | | | |
| | | 6,866,850 | | | | |
| 8 | M/s Lal Enterprises | 5,333,549 | 1st Lowest | A- 44.50 % Below B- 15 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| | | 301,720 | | | | |
| | | 5,635,269 | | | | |
| 9 | M/s Allah Wassayo Ghumro | 8,121,613 | 16th Lowest | A- 16 % Below B- 8 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 326,566 | | | | |
| | | 8,448,179 | | | | |
| 10 | M/s Unique Enterprises | 5,940,075 | 4th Lowest | A- 38.30 % Below B- 15 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 301,720 | | | | |
| | | 6,241,795 | | | | |
| 11 | M/s Reliant Trade Link | 6,654,211 | 13th Lowest | A- 31 % Below B- 31 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 244,926 | | | | |
| | | 6,899,137 | | | | |
| 12 | M/s Nazimuddin Dahar | 6,752,037 | 14th Lowest | A- 30 % Below B- 9 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 323,018 | | | | |
| | | 7,075,055 | | | | |
| 13 | M/s R.H & Brother Co: | 5,529,202 | 2nd Lowest | A- 42.50 % Below B-20.50 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 282,196 | | | | |
| | | 5,811,398 | | | | |
| 14 | M/s Mohammad Zaffar Mirani | 5,979,206 | 6th Lowest | A- 37.90 % Below B- 2.50 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 346,090 | | | | |
| | | 6,325,296 | | | | |
| 15 | M/s Ghulam Ali Soomro | 12,548,276 | 18th Lowest | A- 29.25 % Above B- 25 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 266,222 | | | | |
| | | 12,814,498 | | | | |
| 16 | M/s Imran Tarique Arain | 5,578,116 | 3rd Lowest | A-42 % Below B- 3 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 344,316 | | | | |
| | | 5,922,432 | | | | |
| 17 | M/s Umair Jan & Co: | 6,849,864 | 15th Lowest | A- 29 % Below B- 20 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 283,972 | | | | |
| | | 7,133,836 | | | | |
| 18 | M/s Nabi Bux Jiskani | 6,165,076 | 9th Lowest | A- 36 % Below B- 36 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 227,176 | | | | |
| | | 6,392,252 | | | | |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR
(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI
(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR
(MUHAMMAD MAHREZ MEMON)


BID EVALUATION REPORT


- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur |
| 2. Tender Reference No: | N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015 |
| 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: | S.NO.11:- W/R OF ROAD FROM MATHELO TO JARWAR MILE 5/0-6/0 (1.60 KM) ADP NO. 1883 (2015-16) |
| 4. Method of Procurement: | Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure |
| 5. Tender Published: | SPPRA Website S. No: 25097 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: | 15 Nos: |
| 7. Total Bids Received: | 14 Nos: |
| 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) | Not Applicable |
| 10. Bid (s) Rejected: | 13 Nos. |
| 11. Financial Bid Opening date: | 30-09-2015 |
| 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | |

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/rejection | Remarks |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Wa:ir Ali Mahar | 14,454,701 663,875 15,118,576 | 11th Lowest | A- 22 % Below B- 22 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 2 | M/s Ali Nawaz Brohi | 11,023,326 721,750 11,745,076 | 1st Lowest | A- 40.15 % Below B- 15.20 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| 3 | M/s R.M Associates | 12,904,438 672,385 13,576,823 | 8th Lowest | A- 30.20 % Below B- 21 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 4 | M/s Zabar Ali & Co: | 12,507,419 851,120 13,358,539 | 6th Lowest | A- 32.30 % Below B- At par | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 5 | M/s Mahtam Enterprises | 11,996,967 808,565 12,805,532 | 4th Lowest | A- 35 % Below B- 05 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 6 | M/s Al-Masroor Construction | 13,320,362 612,805 13,933,167 | 9th Lowest | A- 28 % Below B- 28 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 7 | M/s Lal Khan | 11,807,911 544,715 12,352,626 | 3rd Lowest | A- 36 % Below B- 36 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 8 | M/s Nazimuddin Dahar | 12,753,193 961,765 13,714,958 | 7th Lowest | A- 31 % Below B- 13 % Above | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 9 | M/s Pathan Ali Mahar | 11,240,741 638,340 11,879,081 | 2nd Lowest | A- 39 % Below B- 25 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 10 | M/s Abc:ul Khalique Mahar | 14,927,342 789,840 15,717,182 | 14th Lowest | A- 19.50 % Below B- 7.2 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 11 | M/s M.K Enterprises | 14,454,700 714,940 15,169,640 | 12th Lowest | A- 22 % Below B- 16 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 12 | M/s Shaikh Abdul Qayoon | 13,509,418 663,875 14,173,293 | 10th Lowest | A- 27 % Below B- 22 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 13 | M/s Best Construction Co: | 14,832,813 723,450 15,556,263 | 13th Lowest | A- 20 % Below B- 15 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| 14 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 12,564,136 578,760 13,142,896 | 5th Lowest | A- 32 % Below B- 32 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR


ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1. Name of Procuring Agency: 2. Tender Reference No: 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: 4. Method of Procurement: 5. Tender Published: | Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division Sukkur N.I.T NO: TC/G-55/ 755 /2015 DATED 18-08-2015 S.NO.13:- BLOCK PROVISION FOR IMPROVEMENT OF PROVINCIAL ROADS IN SINDH SUKKUR / GHOTKI- RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM RAWANTI TO UBAURO MILE 0/0-4/5 (7.40 KM) ADP NO. 1896 (2015-16) Single Stage - One Envelope Bidding procedure SPPRA Website S. No: 25097 1 Daily Express Karachi Dated 23-08-2015 2 Daily Naw-e-Sindh Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 3 Daily Wisdom Karachi Dated 24-08-2015 4 Daily Awami Awaz Hyderabad Dated 25-08-2015 |
| 6. Total Bid Documents Sold: 7. Total Bids Received: 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) 10. Bid (s) Rejected: 11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12. Bid Evaluation Report: | 15 Nos: 13 Nos: Not Applicable Not Applicable 12 Nos. 30-09-2015 |

| S. No. | Name of Firm or Bidder | Cost offered by the Bidder | Ranking in terms of cost | Comparison with Estimated cost | Reasons for acceptance/rejection | Remarks |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 1 | M/s Wazir Ali Mahar | 44,867,592 | 11th Lowest | A- 22 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 829,272 | | B- 22 % Below | | |
| | | 45,696,864 | | | | |
| 2 | M/s Kashif Construction | 43,048,645 | 10th Lowest | A- 25.10 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 4,850,536 | | B- 20 % Below | | |
| | | 47,899,181 | | | | |
| 3 | M/s Al-Masroor Construction | 41,347,049 | 9th Lowest | A- 35 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 765,480 | | B- 10 % Below | | |
| | | 42,112,529 | | | | |
| 4 | M/s Lal Khan | 31,964,801 | 1st Lowest | A- 43.99 % Below | Being the lowest rates are bidder accepted | Recommended for Award of work |
| | | 595,482 | | B- 43.99 % Below | | |
| | | 32,560,283 | | | | |
| 5 | M/s Ali Builders | 34,012,584 | 2nd Lowest | A- 40.50 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 850,536 | | B- 20 % Below | | |
| | | 34,863,120 | | | | |
| 6 | M/s Reliant Trade Link | 39,586,777 | 5th Lowest | A- 31 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 733,590 | | B- 31 % Below | | |
| | | 40,320,367 | | | | |
| 7 | M/s Nazimuddin Dahar | 40,173,534 | 6th Lowest | A- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 744,222 | | B- 30 % Below | | |
| | | 40,917,756 | | | | |
| 8 | M/s Mehran Enterprises | 40,173,534 | 8th Lowest | A- 30 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 967,482 | | B- 9 % Below | | |
| | | 41,141,016 | | | | |
| 9 | M/s Shaikh Abdul Qayoom | 38,219,633 | 3rd Lowest | A- 33.33 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 651,726 | | B- 38.70 % Below | | |
| | | 38,871,359 | | | | |
| 10 | M/s Roomasa Engineering Works | 57,776,251 | 13th Lowest | A- At par | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 1,063,170 | | B- At par | | |
| | | 58,839,421 | | | | |
| 11 | M/s Haji Abdul Nabi Ghumro | 51,321,922 | 12th Lowest | A- 11 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 988,746 | | B- 7 % Below | | |
| | | 52,310,668 | | | | |
| 12 | M/s Niaz Ali Lashari | 38,941,344 | 4th Lowest | A- 32.10 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 850,536 | | B- 20 % Below | | |
| | | 39,791,880 | | | | |
| 13 | M/s Umer Jan & Co: | 39,000,020 | 7th Lowest | A- 32 % Below | Being the highest rates are bidder has been rejected | Responsive |
| | | 1,010,010 | | B- 5 % Below | | |
| | | 40,010,030 | | | | |

Signature of the Chairman / Members of the Committee:-

Javed

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PROVL. HIGHWAYS SUB-DIVISION
SUKKUR

(JAVED AHMED KALHORO)

ASSISTANT ENGINEER
PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEERING
SUB-DIVISION ROHRI

(ABDUL HAFEEZ MEMON)

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SUKKUR

(MUHAMMAD MAHFOOZ MEMON)