

KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION LAW DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF THE ADDL: LEGAL ADVISOR,

Office on Ground Floor Room No: 27, Old KBCA Building Water Board Head Office, Behind Civic Centre

NO:Addf: LA/KMC/2015/003

DATED 30-9-2015

MOST IMMEDIATE
HIGH COURT MATTER

The Director General,
Technical Services,
(Works & Services),
Karachi Metropolitan Corporation,
Karachi.

Subject:- Const: Petition No: D-2278 of 2014 filed byKamran and others. Versus KMC and others. In the High Court of Sindh at Karachi

This is to inform that the above matter was fixed on 22-9-2015 before Hon'ble High Court for disposal of an Application Under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC. After hearing a was accordingly disposed off vide Order dated 22-9-2015.

Now the same is no more pending in Court. The Department is at liberty to take action as deem fit and proper.

(Syed Sulter Ahme) ADDL: LEGAT ADVISE Karachi Metropolist Courses

Copy to: -

1.P.S. to Administration for information please. 2. Legal Advisor, KMC, for information please.

(Syed Sultan Ahmed)
ADDL: LEGAL ADVISOR
Karachi Metropolitan Corporation



LAW DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF THE ADDL: LEGAL ADVISOR,

Office on Ground Floor Room No: 27, Old KBCA Building Water Board Head Office, Behind Civic Centre

NO:Addl: LA/KMC/2015/ 33

DATED **02**-10-2015

MOST IMMEDIATE
HIGH COURT MATTER

The Director (Coordinator), Engineering Department, KDA Wing, Karachi Metropolitan Corporation, Karachi.

Subject:-

Const: Petition No: D-2278 of 2014 filed by Kamran and others Versus KMC and others. In the High Court of Sindh at Karachi

With reference to our earlier letter dated 23-9-2015 the undersigned has obtained Certified copy of the Order which is enclosed herewith for perusal and for further necessary action page 4.

(Syed Sultan Ahmed)
ADDL: LEGAL ADVISOR
Karachi Metropolitur Corporation

113 THE SINDH AT KARACHI HIGH COURT O_{M}

JOHST, PATITION NO. D

Presented on /2014

Deputy Registrar (Writ)

Eamran S/o Mubammad Hanit i. Proprietor: M/s KM Builders R/o M. Hanif Building. Ravra Jaial Street. Gazdarabad. KARACHI.

Muhammad Saleem Szo Mujesbuilah Qureshi Proprietor:-M/s Eastern Construction Co.. C-27. Moman Square. Block-6. Gulahan-e-Iqbai. KARACHI.

Muhammad Fahsem S/o Mohammad Rafig Khan Proprietor:-M/s Faheem & Naseem Construction Co., Office No. 1. Building No. 31. Lane-15. Phace-II. Commercial Exten. b.H.A., EARACHI.

> Shameul Aricen Szo Euhammad Yousuf Froprietor: -M/s Enams & Zain Meo Rajport Construction Co.. Office No. D-40, Rufi Appartments Elock-13-D/2, Gulchan-e-Iqbal, KARACHI,

5. M/s City Contractors Association Registration No. 78/2012-13 Suite # 3. lsv floor, H.J. Center, Elock-13-E. Gulskan-e-Igbal. -- ----- ---- PETITIONERS KARACHI. -- -- -- -- -- --

VERSUS

- Karachi Metropolitan Corporation 1. Through its Municipal Administrator Civic Center, KARACHI.
- Piractor General Technical Services. K.M.C. - Civic Center, KARACHI.
- The Superintendent Engineer Eags. Department, K.M.G., Center. EARACHI.



ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI

C.P. No.D-2278 / 2014

Date

Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on CMA No.6594 /2015
- 2. For orders on CMA No.1274 /2015
- 3. For orders on CMA No.25229 /2015
- 4. For orders on CMA No.27418 /2015
- 5. For Katcha Peshi.
- 6. For Hearing of CMA No.12634/14
- 7. For Hearing of CMA No.12243/14
- 8. For Hearing of CMA No.10658/14

22.09.2015

Chaudhry Abdul Rasheed, advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Arshad Hussain Khan, advocate for the Interveners.


Mr. Jafar Raza, advocate for Respondent No.1

Mr. Saifullah, AAG a/w. Ms. Nasreen Setho, States Counsel.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as respondents state that this petition may be disposed of on the basis of a joint statement dated 15.04.2015 which is reproduced as under:-

- "1. That it has been settled & agreed between the parties amicably that the work-items mentioned in NIT No.113 / 2014 dated 10.03.2014 (Annexure P/1) shall be re-invited by the concerned authorities of the Respondents according to Sindh Public Procurement Act, 2010 within 30 days from the order of this Hon'ble Court and the Petitioners shall have right to participate. The Respondent No.1 to 3 under-take that the Tender Documents shall be issued immediately in favour of the Petitioners at the time of deposit of the required fee and the process of inviting tenders and opening of bids/offers shall be conducted by the Respondent No.1 to 3 /concerned authorities of the Respondent No.1 strictly according to the rules and regulations.
- 2. That the Petitioners have withdrawn their claim in respect of NIT No.1156/2014 dated 31.03.2014.

 (Annexure P/2). The Respondent No.1 to 3 shall be at liberty to implement the said NIT according to law



4. Sindh Public Procurement
Regulatory Authority
Government of Sindh
Barrak-8. Sindh Secretriat.
KARACHI.
Through its Director General

5. Province of Sindh
Through its Chief Secretary
Sindh Secretriat.
KARACHI.


----RESPONDENTS

CONSTITUTION PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 CONSTITUTION OF PAKISTAN 1973



3. That the parties have no grievance against each other in relation to the said controversy. The petition against Respondent No.4 & 5 be dismissed as not pressed. It is, therefore, prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to dispose of the Petition in terms of the joint statement with no order as to cost."

Counsel for the Intervener Mr. Arshad Hussain Khan has no objection to this statement. Hence, this petition stands disposed of on the terms as narrated above.



CERTIFIED TO SE TRUE COPY

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Chief Justice

COPY APPLIED FOR ON	- Man Mahlie	9216	
ELECTRICAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	01-10-2015		
C (*)			
Contract to the second			
C- Ayaz/PA			
C. U.	** * : RS: 7		
COmParato and 3	Trad: Rát/		
PREPARE DIVINE	Teration for 3 -	101)	XIZII
COMPARMILL.		य १ इतिमाल्या	

Kamran	Re others	Pelitioners.
	Veraus	
KMC &	othersl	Respondent

ORDER

19-06-2014

Mr. Faisal Kamal, Advocate for the Intervener

Mr. Ralig Rajouri, AAG

Counsel for the intervener states that he is a successful bidder with regard to tender for pre-moon-soon rehabilitation public works and according to him, the amount has been allocated for the said purpose by the procurement wing of KMC, however the said amount has not been released to the intervener due to restraining order passed by this-Court, Learned Counsel states that the cutoff date for sanctioning the amount was 15.06.2014. He further states that in order to save the interest of intervener, the said amount should remain intact and should not be sent back to the Covernment of Sindh as unutilized amount as if the said budgetary amount is sent back, the intervener would be prejudiced. He also states that as per his information and belief, the amount is still intact and lying with the KMC.

In order to ascertain the factual position, we direct the Counsel representing the Respondent No. 01 to explain the position with regard to the current status of the said budgetary amount on the next date of hearing.

We have noted that status-quo order has already been passed by this Court on 30.04,2014, we, therefore, further direct the KMC authorities that if the said bodgetary amount is still intact and lying with him, status quo with regard to said budgetary amount may also be maintained till the next date of Sd/, Judge. hearing. Adjourned. Sd/, Judge,

Certified to be true copy

Copy/forwarded for information and compliance to:-

1. Mr. Syed Sultan advocate for KMC,

The Respondent No. 1

Karachi Metropolitan Corporation

Through its Municipal Administrator Civie Centre, Kumchi, for information & necessary compliance

contained in the above order.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI. C. P No: D-2278 of 2014

Kamran and others.....Petitioners Versus Karachi Metropolitan Corporation & others...... Respondents

The Respondents:

- Karachi Metropolitan Corporation Through its Municipal Administrator Civic Center, Karachi.
- Director General Technical Services, K.M.C. Civic Center, 2. Karachi.
- 3 The Superintendent Engineer Engg. Department, K.M.C. Center, Karachi.
- Sindh Public Procurement Regulatory Authority 4. Government of Sindh Barrack-S, Sindh Secretariat, Karacki.

Through its Director General

Province of Sindh Ö. Through its Chief Secretary Sindh Secretariat, Karachi.

>>>>The Advocate General Sindh Karachi.

WHEREAS, the petitioner has filed the above Constitution Petition filed Under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973(CMA 10658/2014).). The above petition was fixed in Court on .05-44,2018, when the following order was passed. The relevant portion is reproduced as under:

"Status quo to be maintained till 9-5-2014".

You are, hereby required to be present in person or by a pleader duly instructed in this Court on $09^{
m th}$ May, 2014 at 08-15 a.m when the matter is fixed for Katcha Peshi and hearing of Misc Application , to show cause against Petition failing where in, the said Petition will be heard and determined ex-parte.

Also taken notice that in default of your filing an address for service on or before the date mentioned, you are liable to have your Defence Struck off.

Given under My kand and seal of Court, this the 02" May, 2014.

(Copy of petition Misc.Appln.annexure is attached here with)





KARACHÍ METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CM) ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

4th Floor, Room No. C-412, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

No. EE/CM. Engg. Dept/KMC5 >2 /15

Dated: 19 - 10 - 15

BID EVALUATION REPORT (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1.	Name of Procuring	Agency:	Kara	Karachi Metropolitan Corporation				
2.	Tender Reference	No:	KI	MC/CNI/ED/140/201	3-14			
3.	Tender Description	n/Name of work/it		RUCTION / REFU DP / CMD),	RBISHMENT OF	4000 ROAD		
4.	Method of Procure	ment:	Single S	lage – One Envelo	pe Procedure	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
5.	Tender Published:	Daify Jang & Da dated 03-04-2014	ily Nawa-e-Waq etc and SPPRA V	t dated 04-04-2014 : Vebsite ID # 1575215	and Daily Jisarat c 3221 at 8.855.1 <u>9</u> 608	& Daily Soup		
6.	Total Bid documen	ts Sold:		35 Nos.				
7.	Total Bids Receive		Annual Annual Control of Control					
8.	Technical Bid Ope				ovida datads in se	parate form)		
9.	No. of Bid technica	ally qualified (if a	pplicable):	Nos	Applicable			
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		05 No. (I	Did not meet Minis	num Qualificat <u>i</u>	on Criteria)		
11.	Financial Bid Oper			21.04.201				
12.	Bid Evaluation Rep	ort:						
SN'	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks		
())	3	4	5	0		
i	M/s Shahbaz Enterprises	233290624	1 st Lowest	0.30% Below the Estimated cost of Rs.234.000 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification : Fligibility Cateria	Recommended for award of work		
2	M/s King Enterprises	233798808	2 nd Lowest	0.09% Below the Estimated cost of Rs.234.000 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Quairty attou Eligibility Cest, na	Not recommended due to higher rates		
3	M/s K.K.C.I.	234022409	3 rd Lowest	0.01% Above the Estimated cost of Pr. 234,000 Million	Qualified a per Minuman Qualification Ultythility Cuteria	Not recommended due to higher rates		



SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimuted cost	Reasons for acceptunce/ rejection	Remarks
()		2	3	-1	5	6
4	M/s Al-Hamza Enterprises	235018451	4 th Lowest	0.44% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.234.000 Million	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Documents.	Non Responsive
5	M/s Insaf & Brothers	235323362	5 th Lowest	0.57% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.234,000 Million	Buls not confirming to the requirements of the cities a given in the N1F and (ender Documents	Non Responsive
6	M/s Kamran & Kumpany	236847916	6 th Lowest	1.22% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.234,000 Million	Bids not confirming to the occurrences of the criteractiven in the NH and a nder Documents	Non Responsive
7	M/s Mehram Traders	238372470	7 th Lowest	4.87% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.234.000 Million	Bids in a continuing to the issportments of the cursor egiven in the NEU and 4 inder Documents	Non Responsive
8	M/s Star Construction Co.	238372470	8th Lowest	1.87% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.234,000 Million	Bids is Conflicting to the rospitionients of the cuteric given in the MH and Tender Documents.	Non Responsive

Member

|Syed Nafees Agha| Executive Engineer, LDA Member

[Syed Bas ar Hussain Shah] Chief Engineer (CM/Design), KMC Chairman

pirector (CB), SPPRA, GOS (With a request to upload on SPPRA Website)

Sr. Director (IT), KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

Additional Director (Computer Section), Administrator Secretariat, KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

C.C. to:-

Director General (Technical Services), KMC

Chief Engineer (Goncerned), KMC

All Procurement Committee Members

PS to MD, SPPRA, Govt. of Sindh.

Secretary to Administrator, Karachi

| Syed Raheel Ali | Executive Engineer (CM), KMC





KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFiCE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CIM) ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

4th Floor, Room No. C-412, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

No. EE/CM/Engg. Dept/KMC/ 57/ /15

Dated: 19-10-15

BID EVALUATION REPORT (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

Name of Procuring Agency: Karachi Metropolitan Corporation

2.	Tender Reference	KI	KMC/CM/ED/136/2013-14					
3.	Tender Description	SHAHN	AWAZ BHUTTO C ARSALAN HOMES S		NA COLONY			
-1.	Method of Procure	ment:	Single S	tage – One Envelo	ope Procedure			
5.	Tender Published:			rt dated 04-04-2014 Website ID# 157521:				
6.	Total Bid documer	its Sold:		140 Nos.				
7.	Total Bids Receive	·d:		04 Nos.				
8.	Technical Bid Ope				vovide detaits in se	eparate form)		
9.	No. of Bid technica	ally qualified (if a	pplicable):	cable): Not Applicable				
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	and a supplied to the supplied	01 No. (1	Did not meet Mini	mum Quali/icati	on Critevia)		
11.	Financial Bid Oper	ning date:		24-()4-2()1	4			
12.	Bid Evaluation Rep	ort:						
SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks		
()		2	3	0.59% Below the	5	6		
I	M/s New Mahad Construction	19446111.55	1 st Lowest	Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified as yet Minimum Qualification / Fligibility Crucita	Recommended for award of work		
2	M/s Zeeshan Traders	19971582	2 nd Lowest	2.10% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification / Eligibility Criccia	Not recommended due to higher rates		
3	M/s Shamsuddin Mandokhail	20118150	3 rd Lowest	2.85% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification / Eligibility Criteria	Not recommended due to higher rates		



SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms af cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
4	M/s Insaf & Brothers	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Documents	Non Responsive

[Syed Nafees Agha] **Executive Engineer, LDA** Member

[Syed Bashir Hussain Shah] Chief Engineer (CM/Design), KMC

Chairman

Director (CB), SPPRA, GOS

(With a request to upload on SPPRA Website)

Sr. Director (IT), KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

Additional Director (Computer Section), Administrator Secretariat, KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

C.C. to:-

- Director General (Technical Services), KMC
- 1. Chief Engineer (Concerned), KMC
- 3. All Procurement Committee Members
- PS to MD, SPPRA, Govt. of Sindh.
- Secretary to Administrator, Karachi

| Syed RaliccI Ali | Executive Engineer (CM), KMC



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CM) ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT



4th Floor, Room No. C-412, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

No. EE/CM/Engg. Dept/KMC/570/15

Dated:19-10-15

BID EVALUATION REPORT (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1.	Name of Procuring	Agency:	Kar	Karachi Metropolitan Corporation				
2.	Tender Reference N	√o:	K	KMC/CM/ED/137/2013-14				
3.	Tender Description	/Name of work/i	SURRO	CRUCTION / IMP DUNDING AREA (DR-9, PS-98 AT NO	DE ABDUL ISLA			
4.	Method of Procurer	nent:	Single S	tage – One Envelo	pe Procedure			
5.	Tender Published:	Daily Jang & Da dated 03-04-2014	ily Nawa-e-Wac etc and SPPRA	pt_dated_04-04-2014 Website ID# 157521.	and Daily Jicarat 5221 at S.No.1960:	a Daily Soup		
6.	Total Bia document	s Sold:	u saara daasahahah keessa daasahan sadaradan da sindansi dala	154 Nos.				
7.	Total Bids Received	Company of the first of the fir	water the time of the second					
8.	Technical Bid Open				vovide details ia s	eparate formi		
9.	No. of Bid technical	lly qualified (if a	pplicable):	No	t Applicable			
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:	maka kana da sanan i sa maka makamana ada bi indonesia Pini d	04 No. ()	Did not meet Mini	mum Qualific di	ion (viteria)		
11.	Financial Bid Openi	ing date:		24-0-1-201	<			
12.	Bid Evaluation Repo	ort:						
SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks		
()	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	2	3	1	5	6		
l	M/s King Asif-ur- Reliman	19978806	1 st Lowest	2.04% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19,580 Million	Qualified as 750 Minimum Qualification / Eligibility () () ()	Recommended for award of work		
2	M/s Sindh Pipe Industries	19988835	2 nd Lowest	2.09% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.580 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification - Elipibility Cuteria	Not recommended due to higher rates		
3	M/s Haji Abdul Baqi & Bros.	19998035	3 rd Lowest	2.14% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.580 Million	Ouslified 5 - pet Minimum Qualification Eligibility Citiens	Not recommended a		







SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
()	1	2	3		.5	6
4	M/s J.M.S. Brothers	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Documents.	Non Responsive
5	M/s Haji Zareef Khan	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given to the NH and Tender Documents	Non Responsive
6	M/s Bin Taj & Co.	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIF and Tender Deciments	Non Responsive
7	M/s Shamsuddin Mandokhail	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confining to the tequicinents of the enternagicen in the NIT and Cender Designents.	Non Responsive

[Syed Nafees Agha] Executive Engineer, LDA Member

[Syed] kashir Hussain Shah] Chief Engineer (CM/Design), KMC Chairman

Director (CB), SPPRA, GOS

(With a request to upload on SPPRA Website)

Sr. Director (FF), KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

Additional Director (Computer Section), Administrator Secretariat, KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

C.C. to:-

- Director General (Technical Services), KMC
- Chief Engineer (Concerned), KMC
- 2. 3. All Procurement Committee Members
- PS to MD, SPPRA, Govt. of Sindh.
- Secretary to Administrator, Karachi

[Syed Raheel Ali [Executive Engineer (CM), KMC



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFF!CE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CM) ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

4th Floor, Room No. C-412, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

No. EE/CM/Engg. Dept/KMC/560 /15

Dated:19 -10-15(4)

BID EVALUATION REPORT

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1.	Name of Procuring	Agency:	Kar	Karachi Metropolitan Corporation				
2.	Tender Reference N	lo:	K	MC/CM/ED/139/201	3-14			
3.	Tender Description	/Name of work/it	BETWI	VEMENT AND C EN 3000 TO 3160 I KARACIII.				
4.	Method of Procurer	ment:	Single S	tage - One Envelo	pe Procedure			
5.	Tender Published:	Daily Jang & Daidated 03-04-2014	ily Nawa-e-Waq etc and SPPRA V	t dated 04-04-2014 (Vebsite ID # 1575215	and Duily Jea <mark>rat &</mark> 5221 at S.No.19 <mark>608.</mark>	C Daily Soup		
6.	Total Bid document	s Sold:		/36 Nos.				
7.	Total Bids Received							
8.	Technical Bid Open				ovide detea's in se	perate form)		
9.	No. of Bid technical	lly qualified (if a	oplicable):	Not	Applicable			
10.	Bid (s) Rejected:		03 No. (1	Did not meet Minii	num Qualificatic	m Criteria)		
11.	Financial Bid Openi	ing date:		24-04-201	I			
12.	Bid Evaluation Repo							
SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks		
()		2	3	1.510(A)		ί,		
1	M/s Shamsuddin Mandokhail	19856011	!st Lowest	1.51% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified to per Minimum Qualification? Eligibility Criteria	Recommended for award of work		
2	M/s Abdul Khaliq & Brothers	19936718	2 nd Lowest	2.18% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification / Eligibility (interna	Not recommended due to higher rate,		
3	M/s King Asif-ur- Rehman	20282831	3 rd Lowest	3.69% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.561 Million	Qualified as per Alinimum Qualification / Uligibility (Interna	Not recommended due to higher rates		
3		20282831	3 rd Lowest	Estimated cost of	Minimum Qualification /			

X

SA

SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
()		2	3	4	5	()
4	M/s Haji Fazal Din & Co.	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Door sents.	Non Responsive
5	M/s Amir Khan & Brothers	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Finds not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Tender Documents	Non Responsive

[Mulawmid Sohail] A.E.D. (CM), KMC

[Syed Nafees Agna]
Executive Engineer, LDA
Member

[5yed Basine Bussain Shah] Chief Engineer (CM/Design), KMC Chairman

Director (CB), SPPRA, GOS

(With a request to upload on SPPRA Website)

Sr. Director (IT), KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

Additional Director (Computer Section). Administrator Secretariat, KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

C.C. to:-

5/V

11

11.

 $rac{314}{Bro}$

 $rac{M_{N_{A}}}{Reh_{B}}$

- . Director General (Technical Services), KMC
- 2. Chief Engineer (Concerned), KMC
- 3. All Procurement Committee Members
- 4. PS to MD, SPPRA, Govt. of Sindh.
- 5. Secretary to Administrator, Karachi

[Syed Raheel Ali] Executive Engineer (CM), KMC



KARACHI METROPOLITAN CORPORATION OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (CM) ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT

4th Floor, Room No. C-412, Civic Centre, Gulshan-e-Iqbal, Karachi

No. EE/CM/Engg. Dept/KMC/S69/15

Dated/9-10-15

BID EVALUATION REPORT (In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1.	Name of Procuring	g Agency:	Kai	Karachi Metropolitan Corporation				
2.	Tender Reference	No:	K	KMC/CM/ED/138/2013-14				
3.	Tender Descriptio	n/Name of work/i	ROAD I	VEMENT / CONS BETWEEN ROAD 31 -A/3.5-A/4 (PS-98) AT	00 ROAL 4400 IN S	FCTOR 5-A/L		
4.	Method of Procure	ment:	Single S	Stage – One Envel	ope Procedure			
5.	Tender Published:			nt dated 04-04-2014 Website ID # 157521				
6.	Total Bid documer	nts Sold:		13) Nos.				
7.	Total Bids Receive	ed:		08 Nos.				
8.	Technical Bid Ope	ning date: (if appl	licable) <u>No</u> r	Applicable (P)	vovide decails in se	eparate form)		
9.	No. of Bid technics	ally qualified (if a	pplicable):	No	t Appliceble			
10.	Bid (in Rejected:	11000 - Paragraph - Language de La carrente de la calculation de l	05 No. (.	Did not meet Mini	mum Qualificati	on Criteria)		
11.	Financial Bid Oper	ning date:		24-04-201	.1			
12.	Bid Evaluation Rep	ort:						
SN	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cast	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks		
()	1.	?	3		5	()		
l	M/s Zeeshan Traders	19383244	1 st Lowest	0.76% Below the Estimated cost of Rs.19.531 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification? Therbulty Corena	Recommended for award of work		
2	M/s K.K.C.I.	19681679	2 nd Lowest	0.77% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.531 Million	Qualified , sper Minimum Qualification Flyobility Cineria	Not recommended due to higher rates		
3	M/s Star Construction Co.	19910414	3 ^{id} Lowest	1.94% Above the Estimated cost of Rs.19.531 Million	Qualified as per Minimum Qualification (Eligibility Criteria	Not recommended due to higher rates		





SA	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
1. 0		2	3	-4	5	6
4	M/s K.N. Builders	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bics not confirming to the requirements of the criteria given in the NIT and Fonder Documents.	Non Responsive
5	M/s Eastern Construction	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids not confirming to the assumements of the crossing given in the NIF and Lender Documents.	Non Responsive
6	M/s Kamran & Kampany	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bid not confirming to the confirmation of the confirmation to the SH and Lender Documents	Non Responsive
7	M/s King Enterprises	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bid, not continuing to the requirements of the critista given in the NII and Tender Documents	Non Responsive
s	M/s Al-Hamza Enterprises	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Not Quoted	Bids, ast confirming to the asymmetric of the cuts an given in the NH and Tender Documents.	Non Responsive

[Mulanumul Sohail A.E.K. QWV, KMC Member [Syed Nafees Agha] Executive Engineer, LDA Member Syed In side Hussain Shahl Chief Engineer (CM/Design), KMC Chairman

Director (CB), SPPRA, GOS

(With a request to upload on SPPRA Website)

Sr. Director (IT), KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

Additional Director (Computer Section), Administrator Secretariat, KMC

With a request to upload on the KMC Website

C.C. to:-

- 1. Director General (Technical Services), KMC
- 2. Chief Engineer (Concerned), KMC
- 3. All Procurement Committee Members
- 4. PS to MD, SPPRA, Govt. of Sindh.
- 5. Secretary to Administrator, Karachi

| Syed Raheel Ali | Executive Engineer (CM), KMC