

**INTER-PROVINCIAL COORDINATION DEPARTMENT,  
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH, KARACHI**

**PACKAGE # A COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR (LAP-TOP) BRANDED**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs. 71,200/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2	Kontinental Establishment	86,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID

**PACKAGE # B COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR GENERATOR**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.745,000/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Millat Tractors Limited	Rs.765,025/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID
3	Allied Engineering & Services Ltd	Rs:979,050/-	THIRD LOWEST EVALUATED BID

**PACKAGE # C COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR SPLIT A.C.**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs 47,000/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.60,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID.

**PACKAGE # D COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR WATER DISPENSOR**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs. 12,500/- Orient.	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.23,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID.

  
MR. CHARAGHUDDIN MUNGORO  
Deputy Secretary/Chairman

  
MR. ATHAR HUSSAIN BALOCH  
Director IT Department  
Member-I

MR. FAROOQ AHMED MEMON  
Coordinator-I / DDO  
Member-II

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. IPC Department
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Government of Sindh
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Tender notice
- 4) TENDER NUMBER INF/KRY.No:1015/2011
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Supply of articles to the IPC Department
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Department purchase committee
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE 9,90,000/-
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  
(For civil works only) -
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 15 Days
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 18-04-2011 at 3:00 p.m
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD  
(Attach list of buyers) \_\_\_\_\_
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT  
(Enclose a copy) Copy enclosed
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Copy enclosed
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Please see evaluation report
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT  
(i.e. 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID). Copy of evaluation report enclosed
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic  Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT \_\_\_\_\_

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website  
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	3642 / 2011
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
No	

ii) News Papers  
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	Kawish news paper, Dawn news paper & Express news paper.
<input type="checkbox"/>	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA  
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A  
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED  
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY  
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT  
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF  
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED  
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS  
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?  
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA  
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT  
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE  
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO  
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:  
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY  
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of  
Authorized Officer

*FAROQ AHMED MEMON*  
Coordinator  
Inter-Provincial Coordination Department  
Government of Sindh

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi**  
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

**INTER-PROVINCIAL COORDINATION DEPARTMENT,  
GOVERNMENT OF SINDH, KARACHI**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

**PACKAGE # A COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR (LAP-TOP) BRANDED**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs. 71,200/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2	Kontinental Establishment	86,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID

**PACKAGE # B COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR GENERATOR**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.745,000/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Millat Tractors Limited	Rs.765,025/- Rs.808825/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID
3	Allied Engineering & Services Ltd	Rs:979,050/-	THIRD LOWEST EVALUATED BID

**PACKAGE # C COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR SPLIT A.C.**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs 47,000/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.60,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID.

**PACKAGE # D COMPARATIVE STATEMENT FOR WATER DISPENSOR**

S. #	Name of Bidder	Rate Quoted	Remarks
1.	M/s. M.M. Corporation, Karachi	Rs. 12,500/-	FIRST LOWEST EVALUATED BID
2.	Kontinental Establishment	Rs.23,000/-	SECOND LOWEST EVALUATED BID.

  
MR. CHARAGHUDDIN JANGORO  
Deputy Secretary / Chairman

  
MR. ATHAR HUSSAIN BALOCH  
Director IT Department  
Member-I

MR. FAROOQ AHMED MEMON  
Coordinator-I / DDO  
Member-II