1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Executive Engineer (Education	n Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2328; dated 65-1/-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing shelterless at GPS Kouro Khan Solangi (Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage	one	Unvelope
5. Tender Published:	Website		· .

6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	03 Nos.	 <u> </u>

7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 4/1/14 (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):

10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	 	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	71882	1 st Lowest	1118 Saving	1 st Lowest	Aprom
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	74135	2 nd Lowest	1135 Excess	2 nd Lowest	agailet
3.	M/S S.R Enterprises	75336	3 rd Lowest	2336 Excess	3 rd Lowest	agical

(AFTAB AHMEMMEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAM)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPE	ROVING ALITHOPITH		
201. 1141	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWAR	D OF CONTRACT_	XEN Edu. worles
20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS	INCLUDED IN ANN	UAL PROCLIPEMENT N
1	RTISEMENT:		Yes No
j)	SPPRA Website	Yes	
,	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Ident	ification No.)	
ii)	News Papers	No	
المعمود	(If yes, give names of newspapers a	nd dates) Yes	
		No	
22) NATUR	E OF CONTRACT	<u> </u>	
23) WHETH	ER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA		Int.
	CLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER. nelose a copy)	DOCUMENTS?	
24) WHETH	FR RID GV		Yes No
	ER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA CLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER I close a copy)	DOCUMENTS?	Yes No
	1637		
25) WHETHE METHOD	R APPROVAL OF COMPETENT A OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETIT	UTHORITY WAS OF	STAINED FOR USING A
		TO COMPANY OF THE PARK OF THE	Yes No T
	SECURITY OBTAINED FROM AL	L THE BIDDERS?	Yes No To
27) WHETHEI BID/BEST	R THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LO FEVALUATED BID (in case of Con)WEST EVALUATED (Sultancies)	Yes No
28) WHETHER COMPLIAN	THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS	S TECHNICALLY	Yes No
29) WHETHER THE TIME	NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND OF OPENING OF BIDS?	THEIR QUOTED PR	NICES WERE READ OUT AT
	EVALUATION REPORT GIVE		
(Attach copy	of the bid evaluation report)	10 BIDDERS B	EFORE THE AWARD OF
	Forty		Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IT	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS	_
(If yes, give details)	Yes	_
	No V	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No V	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
	No No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN- BLACK LISTED?		
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICENOFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF		
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No	ľ
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No]
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	·
	No	
Ganatura & Official Stamp of	₽Ŕ	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer SUCATION WORKS DIVINGUISHARD FEROZ	eri PISION_ ZE ₩	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Soucation works Divinal Shark FEROZ	₹Ř <u>ISION</u> ZE →	·

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save

Rese

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>
- 2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2924 dated 5-11-2013
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing shelterless at GPS Mevo Tagar Taluka N.Feroze (Electric Work)

. Method of Procurement: Single stage one Chulyse

5. Tender Published:	Website		

6. Total Bid documents Sold;	<u>03 Nos.</u>	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	

7. Total Bids Received.		
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)	4/1/14	(Provide details in separate form)

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 4777 (Provide details in separate joing)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):

No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):	
0. Bid(s) Rejected:	_

10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening date:	04-01-2014	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks	
			3	4	5		
	1	2			at		
 1.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	70425	1 st Lowest	2575 Saving	1 st Lowest	Blann	
	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	73312	2 nd Lowest	312 Excess	2 nd Lowest	agnin	
	RIOILIEI2						
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	76531	3 rd Lowest	3531 Excess	3 rd Lowest	agul	

MEMON) (AFTAB AHMED) Assistant Engineer Education Works Sub-Division Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAT Assistant Engineer Public Health Engineering Sub-Division N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHOED MEMON) Executive Engineer Education Works Division lgushahro Feroze (Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN Saws in worldy.
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
21) ADVERTISEMENT:
SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) Yes
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDING? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No No WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No Yes No Yes No

√.	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	EN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No V
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TO (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	ME? Yes
(If yes, give reasons)	
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	A Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AC BLACK LISTED?	TENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT YES NO NO
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFI	CIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE THE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
·	
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED C THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	Yes
(If yes, give Brief Description)	
	No
	the second secon
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EDUCATION WORKS D	MISION _
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
2. Tender Reference No: XEN	(E.W)/TC/2928 dated 5-1/-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing shelterless at GPS Chutto Khan Lashari Taluka Bhiria (Electric Work)

(FIECHIC MOIN)
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one Un vilge
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos. (Provide details in separate form)
7. Total Bids Received:
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable).
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks	
		<u> </u>	3	4	5	6	
	1	<u></u>	3		481 f	coulded	
1.	M/S A.R Builders	72237	1 st Lowest	763 Saving			
						segu Cub	
	M/S S.R	74385	2 nd Lowest	1385 Excess	2 nd Lowest	The	
2.	Enterprises			 			
3.	Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed	75883	3 rd Lowest	2883 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Cigner	

Assistant Engineer Education Works Sub-Division Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN) Assistant Engineer Public Health Engineering Sub-Division N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON Executive Engineer Education Works Division Ngushahro Feroze (Chairman)

	10) APPRO	OVING AUTHORIT	Y FOR AWARI	D OF CONTR	ACT	XEN	Elu.	worke	3
	20) WHETI	HER THE PROCU	REMENT WAS	INCLUDED II	N ANNI	JAL PRO	OCUREME	NT PLAN?	- !
	•		CUISEMENT :					Yes	No	
		i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date a	nd SPPRA Ident	ification No.)	Yes				
, e ren		ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names	of newspapers a	and dates)	Yes				
	22)	NATUR	E OF CONTRACT		<i>.</i>	<u></u> _		Distressing A	int.	
	23)	WASIN	HER QUALIFICAT ICLUDED IN BIDE Inclose a copy)	ON CRITERIA DING / TENDER	DOCUMENT	rs?		Yes V	No	
		WA\$ IN	IER BID EVALUA CLUDED IN BIDE nelose a copy)	FION CRITERIA ING / TENDER	A DOCUMENT	52		Yes	No	
	25)	WHETH METHO	ER APPROVAL O D OTHER THAN (F COMPETENT OPEN COMPET	AUTHORIT	WAS O	OBTAIN	Yes	SING A	च
·	26)	WAS BII	D SECURITY OBT	AINED FROM	ALL THE 310	DERS?		Yes	No	
	27)	WHETH BID / BE	ER THE SUCCESS ST EVALUATED	FUL BID WAS BID (in case of (LOWEST EV Consultancies)	ALUAT	ED	Yes	No	
	28)	WHETHI COMPLI	ER THE SUCCESS ANT?	FUL BIDDER V	VAS TECHNI	CALLY		Yes	No I	
	29)	WHETHI THE TIM	ER NAMES OF TH 1E OF OPENING C	HE BIDDERS A OF BIDS?	ND THEIR Q	UOTEC	PRICE	S WERE R	EAD OUT	AT
		CONTRA	ER EVALUATION ACT? opy of the bid evalu		IVEN TO E	IDDER	S BEFC	Yes V	AWARD No	OF

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN I	N THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No V
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH T BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF V	HE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Foucation works Division NAUSHAUPO FOR	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	•

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>
- 2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/25728 dated 05-11-2013
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing shelterless at GPS Allah Dino Morio Taluka Bhiria (Electric Work)

114177
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one on white
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos. (Provide details in separate form)
7. Total Bids Received:03 Nos. 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 4/6//14 (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

S No	Name of Firm	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks	
9,10	or Bidder			4	5	6	
		2	3			د ا	
	M/S A.R Builders	72400	1 st Lowest	600 Saving	1 st Lowest	Append	
1.	,,,,,	<u> </u>	 	 	2 nd Lowest	9 junes	
 2.	M/S S.R Enterprises	74304	2 nd Lowest	1304 Excess	Lowest	1	
2.							
3.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	75118	3 rd Lowest	2118 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Lyind	

(AFTAB AHMENMEMON) Assistant Engineer Education Works Sub-Division Naushahro Feroze (Member)

7/4 (ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN) Assistant Engineer Public Health Engineering Sub-Division N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON) Executive Engineer Education Works Division Naushahro Feroze (Chairman)

	;
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X EN Ledu . Works
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
1	, ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANTS
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
1	ii) News Papers
ļ	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
1	(Caspapers and dates)
- 1	No No
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
1	Docume To The Control of the Control
ı	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
1	24) WHETHER BID FIVE
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDDING
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL
1	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
	APP Yes Landing 1
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSED IN DID AND
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	Yes No No No No No No No N
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSES
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes 1
	Yes No
	20) 1////5/2015
	THE TIME OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR CO.
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
	Yes Yes
	ONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation seport) Yes No Yes No Yes No OF
	(Attach convert
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	V. Territoria
	1es Mo

	· ·
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Signature & Official Stamp of EXECUTIVE Authorized Officer	PNGINER SAKE DIVISION O FER
	No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDE THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ET	ED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
(If yes, enclose a copy)	
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCE	ING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/O	OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE I WITH THE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BLACK LISTED?	100 1100 1100
	AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
(11)03, 511	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITE (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	ERIA Yes
	No
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE	ETIME?
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS O (If yes, give details)	Yes Yes
	No VIII TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(if yes, result thereof)	No.
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Yes

Save Reset 3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/248, dated 5/11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing shelterless at GPS Dhani Bux Kalhoro Taluka Bhiria (Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S S.K Corporation	72348	1 st Lowest	1252 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence
2.	M/S K.S Enterprises	76506	2 nd Lowest	2906 Excess	2 nd Lowest	accepted Rejected
3.	M/S A.R Enterprises	77372	3 rd Lowest	3772 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED EMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDINGTIAN)
Assistant Engineering
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITA
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XLO Eda. works
	201 WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	INCEDDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANS
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	i) SPPRA Website Yes
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	ii) News Papers
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	No
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
1	
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA Int. Int.
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
	TO WILLIAMS DID TO THE STATE OF
	(If yes, enclose a second of TENDER DOCUMENTS)
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPRES
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
	26) WAS BID SECURITY CO.
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
	27) WHETUER -
	- Tribulation (SS)
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes 1 1 1
	COMPLIANT? Yes A Wes TI
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT 30) WHETHER
	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE DELD
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION YES TO THE TOTAL YES TO THE YES TO THE TOTAL YES TO
	CONTRACT? REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	Yes Lather II
_	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS CHARM	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN (If yes, give details)	
•	Yes
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN TRACE	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
34) DEVIATION FROM	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	1 . 11 12 11 - 11
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER OF	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH IT BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF WITH THE BEASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF WITH THE BEASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF WI	OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE TE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VI	DI, " ABROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFFGUARDS BROWDED ON A	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	Yes No
(If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 🚭
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EXECUTIVE ENGINEER	
NAUSHAHRO FERRA	¥
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	·
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secr	retariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
100:021-9	205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928 dated 5-1/-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Primary School in existing

shelterless at GGPS Dheengo (Haji Ghulam Fareed Keerio)

Taluka Bhiria (Electric Work)

4 3 6 .4	
4. Method of Procurement: S	ingle stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published:	Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	<u>03 Nos.</u>

7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _______ 03 Nos 10. Bid(s) Rejected: _____

11. Financial Bid Opening date: _____04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	<u> </u>	2	3			
1.	M/S S.K			<u>4</u>	5	6
	Corporation	72805	1 st Lowest	795 Saving	1st Lowest	Lowest hence
2.	M/S K.S					accepted
	Enterprises	74270	2 nd Lowest	670 Excess	2 nd Lowest	
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric					Rejected
	Works	74712	3 rd Lowest	1112 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMES MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Newshahro Feroze
(Chairman)

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN Edu. unles.
	FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT VIZAV O
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCES
	21) ADVISOR PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEMENT: Yes No No
	i) SPRD (W.)
	Ot I I A Wahair
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	ii) News Papers No
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	and dates)
	No No
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
	23) WHETHER OUT TO THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF
	WAS INCLUDED IN SUDDING THERE
1	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
- 1	
1	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING CRITERIA
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING ATTENIA
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? Yes VALUET TO THE PROPERTY OF THE
- 1	METHOD OTHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT
1	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A 26) WAS DID.
- 1	26) WAS BID SECURITY OF
- 1	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
	ALL THE BIDDERS?
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No Yes No
	BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	Yes Yes No
	COMP.
1	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes No
	1 ** [1.3 1270/5 17 1]
1	WHETHER NAMES OF THE DISC
1	THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT 30) WHETHER EVALUATE 29) WHETHER EVALUATE 30) WHETHER EVALUATE
	CONTRACTOR REPORT
	(Attach copy of the Et.)
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
	Yes No No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(11) cs, give detains)	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	
y (give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	1 16
35) WAS IT ASSUME	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE I BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT	Yes No No THE
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	, IF ABROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBI THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	
(If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION NAUSHARO FEDGRA	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	
SPPRA, Block, No. 8. Single C	
SPPRA, Block. No.8. Sindh Secreta Tele: 021-9205	riat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi 356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291
	21-9206291

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
- 2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2013 dated 5-//- 2013
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item; Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary Schools GPS Amir Shah (01 C/R) Taluka Kandiaro (Electric

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Dublish . 1	

stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 63 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received:03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form) 9. No. of Bid technically a star and a separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	
1.	+ <u>-</u>	2	3	4		
2,	M/S S.K				5	6
2.	Corporation	33862	1 st Lowest	138 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence
۷,	M/S S.R Enterprises	24540				accepted
<u>_</u>		34542	2 nd Lowest	542 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Dei-1
3.	M/S Ghulam		- 		- Bowest	Rejected
	Mustafa & Brothers	35296	3 rd Lowest	1296 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHME) MEMON) Assistant Engineer Education Works Sub-Division Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJ Assistant Engineer Public Health Engineering Sub-Division N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON) Executive Engineer Education Works Division Ut Maushahro Feroze (Chairman)

		[
		19) API	PROVING AUTU	ORITY FOR AWAR		:			
•	- 1		WO NOTE	URITY FOR AWAR	D OF CONT	'RACT	1000		
	1	20) WH	ETHER THE PRO	CUREMENT WAS		.w.c.ie	X Ex S	decision 1	* m/s.
	- 1	•		COREMENT WAS	INCLUDED	IN ANNU	IAI PROGUE		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		21) 300	Danna -				T KOCUREN	MENT PLAN?	
	-	-17 ADV	ERTISEMENT:			÷.	Yes	No T	וד
		i)	2000						
		ŕ	SPPRA Websin	e		Yes			 -
			s - i sive da	e le and SPPRA Identif	ication No.)				- 1
	- 1	ií)	News Papers		·	No		<u>-</u>	
		1884 C	(If yes, give pan	one of		┕╍╌╍ ╽ ╺ ╍╌╍┰╌╸			
	- 1		i grand	nes, of newspapers and	i dates)	Yes			 -
					1			·	
		20.			L	No			_
		22) NATUR	RE OF CONTRAC						_]
	1	23) WHET	-				Dienessed N	1	
		WASIN	IER QUALIFICAT	JON CRITERIA	A		1.14(1)	Int.	
		(If yes, e	nclose a copy)	JON CRITERIA DING / TENDER DO	CUMENTS	9 .			
				1		•	ſ	_	
		²⁴) WHETHI	ER BID EVALUA CLUDED IN BIDD		de de la constante de la const		Yes	No T	i
	1	WAS INC	LUDED IN BIDE	TION CRITERIA PING / TENDER DO		VE.		<u></u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u> <u>-</u>) ب
		, - y 23, cit	close a copy)	- A STANDER DO	CUMENTS?	· V	Yes	No II II	1
		25) WHETHE	D 1000 -	4					ļ
1		METHOD	OTHER THAN	COMPETENT AUT	HODITI		>		ļ
			TAIAN O	COMPETENT AUT PEN COMPETITIVE	BIDDING	AS OBTA	TNED FOR USI	VG A	1
- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26) WAS BID 5	SECURITY OPT.	Th	10.00		331 Yec (! 1.	No ITAL	.
			OBIA	INED FROM ALL T	HE SIDDER	? C2 (2)	·		- 1
		27) WHETHER	T			W.	Yes		
		BID / BEST	EVALUATED N	JL BID WAS LOWE	'CT DV	. 🔻	<u></u>	· <u>·</u>	
-			- COLLED BI	JL BID WAS LOWE D (in case of Consulta	incies)	ATED	Yes	السالي	
1		28) WHETHER T	THE SUCCESSE.		,		1 11	<u> </u>	1
		COMPLIANT	?	L BIDDER WAS TE	CHNICALL	· · ·		_	- 1
							Yes		
	2	29) WHETHER N	AMES OF THE			•		——————————————————————————————————————	
		THE TIME OF	OPENING OF BI	SIDDERS AND THE	IR Olionei	0 n=			-
	30)) WHETHE		BIDDERS AND THE DS?	(OOI E	PRICES	WERE READ	DUT AT	1
		CONTRACTO	VALUATION RE	FPORT OF		L	Yes No	Tr - Tr	1
		(Attach copy of	the hid out	GIVEN TO	BIDDERS	REEAN			
			- ord evaluation i	EPORT GIVEN TO	-	OLI-OK	E THE AWAR	D OF	
						1	es II. XI	T	
				•		<u></u>	C2 NO NO		
								,	
									1 .

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION EDG.	1 - 1
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN (If yes, give details)	(N THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTO
	Yes
•	
	No !
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
. 🛋	
34) DEVIATION FROM OUT LITTON	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	
	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT THE SELECTION
DOUGH FIREDA	
36) WAS A MISTON	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE	OF THE PROCURING ACENON TO
	IE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)	all, ir ABROAD:
27) 1117	Yes No No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	DRILIZATION OF
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	AND ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	
Grant Brief Description)	Yes 🦁
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer	
XECUTO	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	× `
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	
SPPRA, Block, No. 8 Sindly S.	tariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi 5356; 021-9205369 & Fan. 021-92053
Tolar 921 022	tariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi 5356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291
x cie. 021-920.	3356; 021-9205360 e. F. G. Kurachi

e

Bid Evaluation Report

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>
- 2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item; Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary

 Schools GPS Mitho Solangi (01 C/R) Taluka Kandiaro

 (Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure
5 Tondon D. Live	

5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date:04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	
	<u> </u>	2	3			
1.	M/S S.K		<u>-</u>	4	5	6
	Corporation	33836	1 st Lowest	164 Saving	1st Lowest	Lowest hence
2.	M/S Ghulam					accepted
	Mustafa & Brothers	34338	2 nd Lowest	338 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric					
	Works	36459	3 rd Lowest	2459 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN USJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)

Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

					•		
	19) APF	ROVING AUTHOR	ITY FOR AWARD	OF CONTI	RACT V	EN Bou works.	
	20) WH	ETHER THE PROCU	JREMENT WAS D	101	····c·· –×	AL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	
			WENT WAS IN	NCLUDED	IN ANNU	AL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	
,					;	Yes No	
	~ · / /\.	ERTISEMENT:				<u> </u>	
	i)	SPPRA Website			Yes		,
		(If yes, give date	and SPPRA Identific	cation Na. V			
				eanon No.)	No		
	ii)	News Papers		ľ			
1		(If yes, give name	of newspapers and	dates)	Yes		
						_	
				ĺ	No		
22	UTAN (RE OF CONTRACT			<u></u>		
						Dancasot Int.	
23	WHET Wash	HER QUALIFICATÍ	ON CRITERIA	ek.			
		NCLUDED IN BIDD enclose a copy)	ING / TENDER DE	CUMENTS	S?		
		1,,,		V.		Yes No.	
24)	WHETH	IER BID EVALUAT	TON CRITTON		A.	163 No	
			NG / TENDER DO	CUMPÑTe	THE STATE OF THE S		
	() 03, 0	nelose a copy)		COMENTS		Yes No	
25)	WHETH	ER APPROVAL OF			SELEN.		
	METHO	D OTHER THAN OI	COMPETENT AUPEN COMPETER	THORITY	VAS OBT	AINED FOR USING A	
				79.45		Yes No T	
^ 26) '	WAS BI	SECURITY OBTA	INED FROM ALL	TUE OIDE		11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	
					100	Yes No III	
27) \	VHETHE	R THE SUCCESSFI T EVALUATED BI	II RID ULA		₩.		
ť	ND / BES	T EVALUATED BI	D (in case of Consu	EST EVAL	UATED	Yes	
						V	
-0) N	инетне Омрита	R THE SUCCESSFU NT?	L BIDDER WAS T	ECHNICA:			
		117		- Om (CA)	LLY	Yes No	
29) W	METHIE	2.314.3.4					
TI	IE TIME	OF OPENING OF B	BIDDERS AND TI	TEIR OUO	FED Boso		1
		O Or B	י2טו:	(30)	- on Hac	ES WERE READ OUT AT	1
30) <i>I</i> A	HETHER	EVALUATION R	EPORT COM		_	Yes No ORE THE AWARD OF	
(Atı	tach cop/ ivi KVC.	F? Of the bid evaluation	- OKI GIVEN	TO BIDDI	ERS BEF	ORE THE AWARD OF	
	172	me ora evaluation	report)		,	VKD OF	
					Į	Yes No	
						<u></u>	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	··
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM OR	<u></u>
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
	No .
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	V
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	NO
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PRO-	No .
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE	A PARTIE PROCURING A CONTROL OF THE PROCURING A
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE I BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)	, IF ABROAD:
	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBIL THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATION
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	LIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
38) 80501	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	
y set give Brief Description)	Yes 😽
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer RDUCATION WORKS DIVISION PAUSHAURO FED 7	
	~
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
- 	
SPPRA, Block, No & Single S	•
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secreta Tele: 021-92053	riat No.4-A. Court Road, Karach
- c.c. 021-92033	1356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-920629

. 3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928</u>, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary

Schools GPS Sukhio Kalhoro (01 C/R) Taluka Kandiaro

(Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	11	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed	34000	1 st Lowest		1 st Lowest	Lowest hence
2.	M/S K.S enterprises	35323	2 nd Lowest	1323 Excess	2 nd Lowest	accepted Rejected
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	35612	3 rd Lowest	1612 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTO-	
19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CO	
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDE	ED IN ANNUAL PROCLIPE ACTOR
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	Yes No No
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification N	o.) Yes
ii) News Papers	No
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
	No
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Local Int.
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUME (If yes, enclose a copy)	NTS?
	Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUME (If yes, enclose a conv.)	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORY METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	TY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
	Yes No TH
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	IDDERS? Yes No No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSEUR DISTRIBUTION	
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies	
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN COMPLIANT?	ICALLY Yes 1 No
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR C THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO B CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid probact	
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	IDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
	Yes No

Yes No THE TEN Yes No	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
THE TEN Yes No	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
Yes No	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
Yes No	
F	
Vac	
Vac	
1.03	
No	
Yes	/
No	
THAT TI	HE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
PYTHE PR PROCUR T, IF ABR	OCURING AGENCY TO THE EMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO OAD:
LIZATIO	Yes No
Yes	
No	
•	
·	
tariat N	lo.4-A, Court Road, Karaci
	No Yes No THAT THE PROCURT, IF ADR

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary

Schools GPS Sakhi Dad Wahi (01 C/R) Taluka Bhiria

(Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder			Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
<u> </u>		2	3	4	5	6
-	Mr. Karamat Ali	33822	1 st Lowest	178 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	35566	2 nd Lowest	1556 Excess	2 nd Lowest	accepted Rejected
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	36006	3 rd Lowest	2006 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(ARTAB AHMER MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AIMED DEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN Solu, works,
	20) WHETHER THE PROPERTY.
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT 23) WHETHER OLIVANIES
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? Yes No
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No The
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No Yes No Yes No
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No Yes
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
 .	Yes No

71)	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN in (1f yes, give details)	N THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	No V
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No .
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT YES INO
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL C SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISI (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO THE TABROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOE THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer XECUTIVE ENGINEER	→ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
SPPRA, Block, No.8, Sindh Sagra	fariat No. 1 4 C
Tele: 021-920	tariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi 5356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

. 3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary

Schools GPS Yar Muhammad Kalhoro (01 C/R) Taluka

Kandiaro (Floatric Work)

Kandiaro (Electric Work)

5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
9 Technical Did Opening date	o, (if annlicable)	(Provide details in somewate forms)

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) ______ (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): _______ 03 Nos _______ 10. Bid(s) Rejected: ______

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	33800	1 st Lowest	200 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted	
2.	M/S S.R enterprises	35276	2 nd Lowest	1276 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected	
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	35723	3 rd Lowest	1723 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected	

(AfTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTI	RACT	XEN Edu, Worles.
) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED		· — —
) ADVERTISEMENT :	:	Yes No
		i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes	
			No	
/~ <u>~</u>		ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	
			No	
	22)	NATURE OF CONTRACT		Incat Int.
	23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes No No
		WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN (If yes, enclose a copy)	TS?	Yes No
	25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	Y WAS	OBTATNED FOR USING A
^	26)	WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	DDERS	? Yes No
	27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EY BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	/ALUA	TED Yes No
	28)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN COMPLIANT?	ICALL'	Y Yes No
	29)	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR (THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTE	D PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
	,	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO E CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	BIDDE	Yes No No

	# #
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	N IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
·	No V
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIM	ME?
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Va
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGE BLACK LISTED?	Yes No No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICENOFFIC SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	HATHE PROGUREMENT ! IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED OF THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	N MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 🕏
	No No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Authorized Officer NAUSHARO FEO	NEED DIVISION
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	I. Secretariat No. 4-4 Court Road, Karaci
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sinds	h Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karaci : 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-920629

Save

Re

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928</u>, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Additional Class Rooms in existing Primary

Schools GBPS Tameer-e-Millat Mehrabpur (01 C/R) Taluka

Mehrabpur (Electric Work)

4. Method of Procurement: Sin	gle stage one envelop pr	ocedure
5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	<u>03 Nos.</u>	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening date:	(if applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualifi	ed (if applicable):	03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening date:	04-01-2014	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S K.S enterprises	72763	1 st Lowest	837 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted	
2.	M/S S.R enterprises	77592	2 nd Lowest	3492 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected	
3.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	78670	3 rd Lowest	5070 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected	

(AFTAB AHMED LEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD ANMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division

Mariabahra Earaza

	ļ) APPRO	VING AUTHORI	TY FOR AWA	RD OF CONTR	ACT _ <i>2</i>	(201	Edu.	wules.	
			HER THE PROCU							
	21) ADVER	CTISEMENT:					Yes	No	
		i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date a	and SPPRA Ide	entification No.)	Yes				
بهمائ		ii)	News Papers (If yes, give name	s of newspaper	s and dates)	Yes				
	22) NATUR	E OF CONTRACT					Investor/	Int.	 T
	23)	MA2 IV	IER QUALIFICAT CLUDED IN BID nolose a copy)	ION CRITERI DING / TENDI	A ER DOCUMEN	TS?	[Yes V	No	 1)
	24)	WASIN	ER BID EVALUA CLUDED IN BIDI nclose a copy)	TION CRITER DING / TENDE	RIA ER DOCUMEN	15?		Yes	No	
	25)	WHETH METHO	ER APPROVAL C D OTHER THAN	F COMPETEN OPEN COMPE	VT AUTHORIȚ ETITIVE BIDDI	Y WAS O	BTAINE	D FOR US	SING A	
~ ·\	26)	WAS BII	O SECURITY OB	TAINED FROM	ALL THE BIT	DDERS?		Yes V	No	
	27)	WHETHI BID/BE	ER THE SUCCESS ST EVALUATED	SFUL BID WA BID (in case o	S LOWEST EV f Consultancies)	ALUATE	D . [Yes V	No	
	28)	WHETHE COMPLE	ER THE SUCCESS ANT?	FUL BIDDER	WAS TECHNI	CALLY	[Yes \	No 📗]
	29)	WHETHE THE TIM	ER NAMES OF TI E OF OPENING C	HE BIDDERS OF BIDS?	AND THEIR Q	UOTED I		WERE RE	No No	r]
			ER EVALUATION CT? Opy of the bid evalu		GIVEN TO B	IDDERS	_	E THE	AWARD O	F

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No V
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL C SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VIS	PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO	BILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 😾
	No No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Authorized Officer NAUSHAHRO FROM INSTRUMENTAL PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	ON
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

1 Name of Procuring Agency:	Executive Engineer (Education	n Works) <u>Naushahro Feroze.</u>
1. Name of Floculing Agency.	Executive Engineer (Education	1 ((0)110) 1 (00000000000000000000000000

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Reparl /Renovation of GPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari Taluka
Moro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single	stage one envelop pro	<u>cedure</u>	
5. Tender Published: We	<u>bsite</u>		
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.		
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.		
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if	applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)	
9. No. of Bid technically qualified	(if applicable):	03 Nes	
10. Bid(s) Rejected:			
11. Financial Bid Opening date: _	04-01-2014		

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	S No Name of Firm or Bidder		1 **	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S S.K Corporation	49906	1 st Lowest	94 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted	
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	51715	2 nd Lowest	1715 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected	
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	52841	3 rd Lowest	2841 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected	

(ARTAB AHMENMEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)

Executive Engineer

Feducation Works Division

Naushahro Feroze

				_
19) AP	PROVING AUTHORITY F	OR AWARD OF CONTE	RACT <u>X</u> E	Edu worls.
20) WE	TETHER THE PROCUREM	IENT WAS INCLUDED	INLANINTERAL	DD O OU -
•		The state of the s	IN ANNUAL	PROCUREMENT PLAN?
			;	Yes No
21) AD	VERTISEMENT:			<u></u>
	•			
i)	SPPRA Website		Yes	
	(If yes, give date and S	PPRA Identification No.)		
		,	No	
ii)	News Papers			
<u>_</u> ,	(If yes, give names of n	Plyspaners and data-	Yes	
•	47 0]	
		3	 	
)	No	
221 81.52	TUDE OF COVERY			
22) NA	URE OF CONTRACT			[Auneano] Int.
221 1101	STUDY OF THE STATE			
23) WMI Was	ETHER QUALIFICATION	CRITERIA		
(If ye	S INCLUDED IN BIDDING es, enclose a copy)	/TENDER DOCUMEN	TS?	
•	т тигове и соруу			Yes No
a.			A.	
24) WHE	THER BID EVALUATION	CRITERIA		
WAS Of ve	INCLUDED IN BIDDING	/ TENDER DOCUMENT	rs?	Yes No
(,	s, choise a copy)			
A = 1		19 7		
25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF CO	MPETENT AUTHORIT	ØF V WAS OB⊄A	(ÎNÊD FOR LISING A
ME1.	HOD OTHER THAN OPEN	COMPETITIVE BIDDI	NG2	
			Charles All S	Yes No T
< 26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAIN	ED FROM ALL THE BID	DERCO A	
			DEKS:	Yes No
271 11/11/10	THE PARTY OF THE P		**	
2/1 WHE	THER THE SUCCESSFUL	BID WAS LOWEST EV.	ALUATED	Yes I. No
5,57	BEST EVALUATED BID	in case of Consultancies)		163 M 1/0
201 1111				
28) WHE	THER THE SUCCESSFUL	BIDDER WAS TECHNIC	CALLY	Yes 3 No
COM	PLIANT?		••	
29) WHET	THER NAMES OF THE DI	IDDEBE AND BURNS -		
THET	IME OF OPENING OF BIL	DOEKS AND THEIR O	UOTED PRIC	CES WERE READ OUT AT
				Yes No
30) WHFT	THER EVALUATION DE	CDODE OUG		
CONT	RACT?	FORT GIVEN TO B	IDDERS BE	FORE THE AWARD OF
(Attacl	a copy of the bid evaluation	report)		
		: -p = 1.1		Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN T	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No V
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISION (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOE THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EDUCATION WORLD DIVISION NAUSHOWN	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	

Print Save Reset

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repari / Renovation of GHS Kotri Muhammad Kabir Taluka
Mehrabpur (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one	e envelop procedure
1. INDUICA OF FRANCISCH	CALCAL DANGE OF THE	

5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening date	e: (if applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically quali	fied (if applicable):	03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening dat	e: <u>04-01-2014</u>	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Karamat Ali	294856	1 st Lowest	1144 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.K Corporation	299154	2 nd Lowest	3154 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S K.S enterprises	302179	3 rd Lowest	6179 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAM)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHNED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPI	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CON	NTRACT XEN BOLL Works
20) WHE	ETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDE	O IN ANDULA DO
,	Webbbb	IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
21) 1510	Dugue	Yes No
21) AUVI	ERTISEMENT:	
:>		Yes
i)	SPPRA Website	
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No	0.)
		No
ii)	News Papers	
.~~.	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
		No
22) NATU	RE OF CONTRACT	Damester 1
		Int.
23) WHET	HER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
	NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY O	ENTS?
1117	Control of Copy)	Yes
24. 110.00		103 [1 NO]
24) WHEIR Wasin	HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
(If yes, a	NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMEN enclose a copy)	Yes No
25) WHETE	HED ADDROLLS -	
METHO	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
	OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD	Ves No T
26) WAS RI	ID SECURITY OR	
4 407 HAS BI	ID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	BIDDERS?
	•	Yes No
27) WHETH	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EX	
BID / BE	EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies	VALUATED Yes No
	- Companiant (CS	5)
28) WHETH	IER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN	
COMPLI	INNT?	VICALLY Yes No
29) WHETER	ED MANAGO OD TO	
THE TIM	ER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR (AE OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OFT AT
	v bring or binsy	
30) WHETHE	FR EVALUATION TO	
CONTRA	ER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO EACT?	BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
(Attach co	opy of the bid evaluation report)	_ >
	Topoli)	Yes
		L I VIIIVU

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	'EN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
•	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TI	IME?
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Α
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AG BLACK LISTED?	TENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT YES NO
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WIT BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	THE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED O THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 🦪
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	EER WISTON
OR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	•
	• •

Print

Save

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repari / Renovation of GBPS Mehar Haji Taluka Mehrabpur (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	<u>03 Nos.</u>	_
7. Total Bids Received:	<u>03 Nos.</u>	
8. Technical Bid Opening date	(if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)	
9. No. of Bid technically qualit	ied (if applicable): 03 Nos	
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		-

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S S.K Corporation	49491	1 st Lowest	509 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S K.S enterprises	51088	2 nd Lowest	1088 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed	51595	3 rd Lowest	1595 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN ULIAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Navshahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONT.	PACT VRAL Q A
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL PROCUPENTATION
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	Yes No No
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	Yes
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names, of newspapers and dates)	Yes
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	No No
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDDING (TD)	Evenester Int.
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	Yes No
(If yes, enclose a copy)	S? Yes No
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDIT	Yes No T
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	Y Les V No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVABID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC COMPLIANT?	ALLY Yes No
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QU THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIE CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	PODERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No .
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL O SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISI (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOR THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EXECUTIVE ENGINEER NAUSHARRO FEBORER	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	·

Print

Save

I. Name of Procuring Agency:	Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.
------------------------------	--

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repari /Renovation of GPS Kot Alam Shah Taluka Moro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop	procedure
5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold	; <u>03 Nos.</u>	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening da	te: (if applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qua	alified (if applicable):	03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening d	ate: <u>04-01-2014</u>	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	49675	1 st Lowest	325 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed	51595	2 nd Lowest	1595 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	52285	3 rd Lowest	2285 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(ARTAB AHMEN (EMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD ADMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

	10) 3.000	100000		
	(2) MEIG	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTI	RACT X	EN Edu: wortes.
	20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAT	PROCUREMENT N. AND
•				
	21) ADVL	ERTISEMENT:	-	Yes No
	• • •		Yes	
	i)	SPPRA Website	I I	
		(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	1 1	
	ii)	News Papers	No	
;- - \		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes	
			No	
2	2) NATU:	RE OF CONTRACT		
	,	NO OF CONTRACT		Danestief Int.
2	3) WHET	HER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA		
	וו כחיי	NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT enclose a copy)	rs?	
				Yes No
2) WHETI	HER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA		——————————————————————————————————————
	MASIF	NULUDED IN RIDDING / TEXIDED DOO:	S?	Yes No
	(11) C3, (enciose a copy)		<u> </u>
25) WHETH	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AND A	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	METHO	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY DO OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDIT	WAS OBT	AINED FOR USING A
		· ·	Comment of the state of the sta	Yes No T
., 26) WAS BI	D SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS?	
			V	Yes No
27	HT3HW (IER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST BY	ALUATED	
	שם / עום	EST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)		Yes No
28)	WHETH	FR THE SUCCESSION PROPERTY		r=
	COMPLI	ER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC ANT?	CALLY	. Yes No
				.
29)	WHETH	ER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUIE OF OPENING OF BIDS?		_
	THE TIM	ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	ואין פארטכ	
307	Where			Yes No
.ru)	CONTRA	ER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BI	DDERS BE	FORE THE AWARD OF
	(Attach co	opy of the bid evaluation report)		
				Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	_
(tr. yes, result thereof)		
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	N IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS	_
(If yes, give details)	Yes Yes	_
·		
22) 11/10 70/7	No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIMI (If yes, give reasons)	E? Yes	_
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	No	_
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	<u> </u>	_
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
		_
16) NV C IT 10010 T	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?		
	Yes No	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH A	L OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE	
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH A BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF	THE PROGUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO SELECTION OF THE ABROAD:	
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No	_
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON N	JOBII IZATION ADVANCE DAVACUT IN	
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes No	
39) SPECIAL CONDITIONS IN 1911		
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 🗟	
	No	
Signature & Official Stamp of	The second second	
Authorized Officer EXECUTIVE ENGINEER FORMAL SHAHRO FEDORAL	ON	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY		
·		
2000	cretariat No 4-4 Court Road Nam	_

Print Save Reset

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Two Roomed Building with C/Wall & Lavatory

Block for existing shelterless Primary Schools in Sindh at GPS

Muhammad Ibrahim Korai (Muhalla Habibullah Korai) Taluka

Moro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	72602	1 st Lowest	998 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S A.R enterprises	78664	2 nd Lowest	5064 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	80228	3 rd Lowest	6628 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)

Executive Engineer

Folication Works Division

Naushahro Feroze

(Chairman)

,	· .	
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X DON Edu, works	
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	٠ ١
	, ANNUAL PROCUREMENT BLAND	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
1	21) ADVERTISEMENT:	i
		,
	i) SPPRA Website Yes	
	(If yes, give date and span	1
1	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	
Ţ	No No	
	ii) News Papers	
-	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes	7
1		
1	No No	1
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	ا
	Danceur	
	23) WHETHER OLUMBIA	
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDER IN CRITERIA	
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)	
1		
	Yes No No	
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN PURPOSE OF THE PROPERTY OF	
	TO THE BIDDING / TENDED DOOR IN THE TOTAL	
	(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No	
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOR	
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?	
	Vec Vec	•
4	26) WAS BID SECURITY ORTAINED FROM	
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	
	₩ Yes V No	
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultant) Yes	
	BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No	
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	COMPLIANT? Yes 1 No 17	
		İ
	20	
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Ī
	1 V ₂ , 11 12 1(-11)	- 1
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF	
	CONTRACT? GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD	- 1
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	1
	You I Am I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
	Yes No No	
		- 1

•	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No V
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH	THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer NAUSHAMEO FEED	R SION
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	• .

Print Save Reset

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Executive Engineer (Education	Works) Naushahro Feroze.
------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of GGPS Khuda Bux Shah Taluka Mehrabpur (Electric Work).

_
_

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	79519	1 st Lowest	481 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S A.R enterprises	80768	2 nd Lowest	768 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	81933	3 rd Lowest	1933 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(ARTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CO.
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT & Low world. 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
- 1	TO SO IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
	Yes No Yes No Yes No WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? YCS NO YOU YOU YOU YOU YOU YOU YOU Y
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
*.	Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes No Yes Yes No Yes No Yes Yes No Y
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No No
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
	ONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	1
(11 yes, result increot)		ĺ
	No	1
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS CIVEN IN	THE TENEDON NOTICE (TO	J
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)		7
	Yes	
	No	1
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?		ر. -
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No].
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	V.	7
	Yes	
	No	-
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY		_
BLACK LISTED?	Yes No	
	<u> </u>	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL O SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE	FIGURE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE	
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISI	T, IF ABROAD:	
(If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No	`
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOB	PHILIPATION ADVANCE DAYS OF THE	
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes No No	
·-	165 V 100	
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 🦪]
(ii) july give blue: bestription)		
	No	1
		1
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer		
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION A NAUSHAP TO FEDOTE	•	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		
THE COLUMN THE PROPERTY OF THE		
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secre	etariat No.4-A, Court Road, Kara	chi
Tele: 021-92	205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206	<u> 291</u>

Prin

Save

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.						
2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>						
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Repair of GGPS Darbello Taluka Kandiaro (Electric Work).						
4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure						
5. Tender Published: Website						
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.						
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.						
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)						
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos						

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

10. Bid(s) Rejected:

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	149548	1 st Lowest	952 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.R enterprises	151970	2 nd Lowest	1470 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	189237	3 rd Lowest	38737 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(ARTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF	CONTRACT X LZON LEGI. WINKS.
20) WHETHER THE PROGUES	Con Winder
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCL.	UDED IN ANNUAL PROCURS IN
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	Yes
The state of the s	<u></u> - <u></u> - <u>-</u> _ <u>-</u> <u> </u>
i) SPPRA Website	Yes
(If yes, give date and CDDD	1 113
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identificatio	n No.)
ii) News Papers	No
1 TOTAL ADELS	
(If yes, give names of newspapers and date	es) Yes
	No
22) Names -	
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	
27) 1/4/107/107	Domestor Inc.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN PURPOS	——————————————————————————————————————
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCU (If yes, enclose a copy)	MENTS?
(Copy)	
24) William -	Yes No No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM	YENTS? Yes No IT
A Transfer of the Contract of	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHO METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BI	
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BI	ORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR HELD
26) WAS BID SECURITY ORTHOGO	Yes No D
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE	BIDDERS?
	₩ Yes VINo
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultance)	
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultance)	EVALUATED Yes No
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH COMPLIANT?	
COMPLIANT?	INICALLY Yes \ No
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTED PRICES WERE BEAD OUT
	1 V., 11 12 17 17 17
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT	Yes No
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation second)	BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	AWARD OF
1 9	Yes No No
	Tes V No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN	IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	<u> </u>
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?	CY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	THÉ PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (1f yes, give Brief Description)	Yes
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer EXECUTIVE BIOMARKS DIVISIONAL NAUSHAHRO FEROZE	ION
R OFFICE USE ONLY	

Print

Save

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item; Up-gradation of Middle Schoolsto High Schools in Sindh (2005-06) Programme at GMS Mahi Khan Wagan Taluka N.Feroze (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	297495	1 st Lowest	2505 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S Hafiz Electric Works	301338	2 nd Lowest	1338 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	303246	3 rd Lowest	3246 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education-Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Folication Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X 201 WHETHER THE BUS TO SHE THE BUS TO SHE THE BUS THE
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
21) ADVERTISEMENT:
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
No No lawrence Int.
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No TO
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No Yes
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	_
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN	THE TENI	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No ·	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Yes	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	103	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT T	HE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VIOLET (If yes, enclose a copy)	E PROCUI	(EMENT? IF 50, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	BILIZATI	ON ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
	No	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Signature & Official Stamp of EXECUTIVE ENGINEER Authorized Officer NAUSHAHDO ET DOTAL	<u>)</u>	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		

Print Save Re

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: <u>Up-gradation of Middle Schoolsto High Schools in Sindh (2005-06) Programme at GGMS Muchar Taluka Bhiria (Electric Work).</u>

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure

		-
5. Tender Published:	Website	-
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening date	: (if applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically quali	fied (if applicable):	03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening date	e: <u>04-01-2014</u>	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

V.

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	298617	1 st Lowest	1383 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.R Enterprises	301931	2 nd Lowest	1931 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	304802	3 rd Lowest	4802 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED LEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEW WHO	, 7.1.
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?	9.
, ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANS	
	1
21) ADVERTISEMENT:	ĺ
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	r
i) SPPRA Website Yes	
*	
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	
No No	
ii) News Papers	
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes	_
newspapers and dates)	
No No	-
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	
Lint. Int.	
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
"" IN CLUDED IN RIDDING ATTAINED TO THE TOTAL OF THE PROPERTY	
(If yes, enclose a copy)	
Yes V No T	
24) WHETUER BUR	
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No	
The state of the s	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDINGS	
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?	
Yes No d	_
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	
Yes No	
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSETURES	
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No	
Yes No	
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No No	
COMPLIANT? Yes No Yes	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
20) WHETHER NAMES OF A	
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	
TE TO OF ENING OF BIDS?	
Yes No No	
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF	
CONTRACT? CONTRACT? BEFORE THE AWARD OF	
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	
Yes No	

21) 41(1) (((1)) ((1)) ((1))	
31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVE	N IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
·	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIM	E?
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGEN BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIA SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF (If yes, enclose a copy)	THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes (
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer NAUSHANDER	<u>΄</u>
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
SPPRA Riock No & Cindle C	acquatariat No. 4. 4. Count Double
Tele: 02	<u>ecretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karac</u> 1-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-92062

Pala

Save

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh (2008-09) Programme at GBHS Bhiria Road Taluka Bhiria

(Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure
---------------------------	------------------------------------

5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening date	: (if applicable) (Provide	details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualit	fied (if applicable): 03 No	<u>s</u>
10. Bid(s) Rejected:	46 4	
11. Financial Bid Opening date	: <u>04-01-2014</u>	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

٠,

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	291921	l st Lowest	3079 Saving	l⁵¹ Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.R Enterprises	299542	2 nd Lowest	4542 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	Mr. Karamat Ali	299757	3 rd Lowest	4757 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON) Assistant Engineer Education Works Sub-Division Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN Assistant Engineer Public Health Engineering Sub-Division N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON) Executive Engineer Education Works Division Naushahro Feroze (Chairman)

	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X EN Worles.
	20) WHETHER TUE DOG .
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEN TO THE TOTAL OF
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	i) SPPRA Website Yes
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	No
1	News Papers
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	22) NATURE OF THE COLUMN NO
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDDING
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
1	
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDDING
	/If you are the DIDDING / TENDED DOORS (1887)
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETED IN
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?
1	
	Yes No Ye
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	Consultancies)
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes 1. 1/1
	Yes No Yes
	29) WHETHER MANUE OF
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
	Yes Yes Yes
	CONTRACT?
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	Yes

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	Yes
	No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
_	
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BCACK FISTEDS	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	, IF ABROAD:
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBI THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	
20) 0070	Yes No No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes 😝
	No
Signature & Official Stamp of	
Authorized Officer EXECUTIVE INCINEER /	-
- FOUCATION WORKS BIVISION	•
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
	
SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secret	ariat No. 4-4. Count Parad W.
Tele: 021-920:	5356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291
	, , 20000) & Fact, 021-9200291

3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh
(2008-09) Programme at GGHS Moro Taluka Moro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Imtiaz Ahmed	297539	1 st Lowest	1461 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	301937	2 nd Lowest	2937 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.K Corporation	304419	3 rd Lowest	5419 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMEN MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

•	
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN Solve Works.
	TOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X LEW SI
	20) WHETHER THE PROCLIREMENT W.
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
- 1	THO CUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
ł	
	i) SPPRA Website Yes
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
1	of PRA Identification No.)
1	ii) No No
	TYCH'S Manage
ļ	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
1	
	No No
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT
	23) WHETUED ON Int
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
	OCOMENIS?
	Yes Vivi
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN RIDDING (STERIA
	WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? Yes VALUATION CRITERIA (If yes, enclose a copy)
	(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
	25) 1/4/0-
	METHOR APPROVAL OF COMPETENT
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
_	WE BIDDING?
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?
	THE BIDDERS?
	271 WHETURE -
	BID / REST SYLVEN BID WAS LOWDEN
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	L vs [1 \Albo 11]
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes 1
	OMPLIANT? Yes Yes
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF
	THE TIME OF OPENING OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR OLD
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT 30) WHETHER
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION AT
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)
	A WARD OF
	Yes NNo III
	L

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN II	N THE TEND	ER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
(11 yes, give detailed reasons.)	165	
	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENC BLACK LISTED?	Y THAT THI	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OFFICIAL (If yes, enclose a copy)	TE PROCURE	MENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
(11 yes, enclose a copy)		Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	OBILIZATION	Y ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
	No	
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer Authorized Officer Authorized Officer NAUSHAND FERROR	ÒN	
OR OFFICE USE ONLY		
	•	

3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh

[2008-09] Programme at GBHS Moro Taluka Moro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold;03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
O. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
1. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Karamat Ali	298949	1 st Lowest	1051 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	201324	2 nd Lowest	1324 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S A.R enterprises	201639	3 rd Lowest	1639 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJan)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

_ (Chairman)

	19) APP[ROVING AUTHO	RITY FOR AW	ARD OF CONT	°RACT ∕∕	PN Edution	15/1
	20) WHE	THER THE PROC	Tipesaesim		-4-	=======================================	
		11127 NOC	OKEMEN! W	AS INCLUDED) IN ANNUA	AL PROCUREMENT PL	A N/2
						1 - 11 - 31 -	
	21) ADVI	ERTISEMENT:			,	Yes No	<u>, </u>
İ			*		r—————		
	i)	SPPRA Website	:		Yes		
		(If yes, give date	and SPPRA Id	entification No.			
					, <u> </u>		
	ii)	News Papers			No	_	
J-1984		(If yes, give name	es of naurona		Yes		
		ر زي	inewspape.	rs and dates)	103	_	.
					 		
			A 🦁 📡		No		
2	2) NATUI	RE OF CONTRAC					——
	,	TO OF CONTRACT	y A	À		[Autocated]	السيال
2:	3) WHETI	HER QUALIFICA	The state of the s			Int.	
		'VUUDED IN RII'	JON CRITERI	A ²			
	(If yes, e	enclose a copy)	DING/ LENDI	POCUMEN	TS?		
			روي الم	73. ·		Yes No	للسيال
24) WHETH	ER BID EVALUA	9		As.		1111
	- 70 11 1	クロロンじょう かんせい	TION CRITER	IA			_
	(If yes, e	nclose a copy)	DING / LENDE	R DOCUMEN	rs?	Yes	
						——————————————————————————————————————	LL
25]) WHETH	ER APPROVAL O	COLORDON		A TA		
	METHO	OTHER THAN	OPEN COMPETEN	T AUTHORIT	WAS OBT	AINED FOR USING A	
					(C)	Yes No	
~ 26)	WAS BID	SECURITY OBT	AINED EDOL		V- 44	<u> </u>	14
			AINED FROM	ALL THE BID	DERS?		 _
221					₩.	Yes No	<u> </u>
27)	WHETHE BID / BEG	R THE SUCCESS	FUL BID WAS	LOWESTEV	· VIIIATED		
	0,07,063	T EVALUATED	BID (in case of	Consultancies)	TOWIED	Yes No	1 7
201					•	_ _	
28)	WHELHE	R THE SUCCESS NT?	FUL BIDDER 1	WAS TECHNIC	`A1137		
	СОМЬГІЧ	NT?		THE TECHNIC	MULY	Yes No]
					-		
29)	WHETHE	NAMES OF TH	E BIDDERG .				
•	THE TIME	OF OPENING O	F BIDS?	IND THEIR OF	OTED PRIC	CES WERE READ OUT	` AT:
						I Ves II. Vii. II	
30) 1	WHETHER	EVALUATION	REPORT A	West		FORE THE AWARD	
(CONTRAC	Т?	verokt (!	VEN TO BIL	DDERS' BEI	FORE THE AWARD	OF .
(Auach cop	y of the bid evalua	tion report)			<i>></i>	٠.
						Yes No	—]
							U

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED		<u>-</u>	
(If yes, result thereof)		Yes	
		No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFIC (If yes, give details)	CATIONS GIVEN IN	TUE 71	
(If yes, give details)	The state of the s	Yes	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN	RESPONSE TIME:	No	
(If yes, give reasons)	ACST ONSE TIME	Yes	
\$45 2004			
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATI	ON CRITERIA	No	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	A.	Yes	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE BRO	CURNIC A CENCOV	No	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PRO BUACK LISTED?	JAKE THE		Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNI BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING F (If yes, enclose a copy)			
		No.	Yes No \
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PR THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARAN	ROVIDED ON MOBI TEE ETC.)?	LIZAT	ON ADVANCE PAYMENT
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY			7/ 100 [VIII.00]
(If yes, give Brief Description)		Yes	₩ <u></u>
		No	
Simone P. Oct. 1.0	√) .'		
DUCATIO	ITIVE ENGINEER ON WORKS DIVISION		
OFFICE USE ONLY	TART TELEVISION		

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928</u>, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh

(2008-09) Programme at GBHS Tunia Baga Shah Taluka

Kandiaro (Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure
---------------------------	------------------------------------

5. Tender Published:	Website	
6. Total Bid documents Sold;	03 Nos.	
7. Total Bids Received:	03 Nos.	
8. Technical Bid Opening date	e: (if applicable)	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically quali	fied (if applicable):	03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:		
11. Financial Bid Opening date	e: 04-01-2014	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S S.K Corporation	149646	1 st Lowest	354 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.R enterprises	149126	2 nd Lowest	874 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	152119	3 rd Lowest	2119 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJSAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD ALMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushaḥro Feroze

	·
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT X For She : Worlds.
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	. ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLANS
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:
	i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)
	ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) Yes
	No No
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Description Int.
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION OF
	(If yes, enclose a copy) Yes No
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No The Province of the Pr
5	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes No
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) Yes No
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No No No No No No No No No No No No No
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD TO
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report) Yes No

	4		
7	31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIV	VED	
	(If yes, result thereof)	_0	Yes
	32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SE		No
	(If yes, give details)	ECIFICATIONS GIV	EN :N THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMEN
			Yes
			No
	33) WAS THE EXTENSION MAE (If yes, give reasons)	DE IN RESPONSE TH	
	~~~		Yes
			N
	34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION	CATION CRITERIA	No
	(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	, 18	Yes
	35) WAS IT ASSURED BY FUR		No
	BLACK LISTED?	PROCURING AGEN	CY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NO
		6286	Yes I No I
	SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CO	Y OFFICER/OFFICE ONNECTION WITH	AL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
	BE ASCERTAINED REGARDS (If yes, enclose a copy)	NG FINANCING OF	VISIT, IF ABROAD:
	<b>7</b> 70	(Liv	Yes No V
	77) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARD THE CONTRACT (BANK GUA)	S PROVIDED ON A	OBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
1 	• •		Yes No
	38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Y	
	- Warra Direct Description)		Yes 🦪
			No
Si	ignature & Official Stamp of		
	Authorized Officer adjea	ECUTIVE PAGINEED TION WO SOMISION USHA	ON_
FOR O	FFICE USE ONLY	/	

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh

(2008-09) Programme at GBHS Tameer-e-Millat M/Pur Taluka

Mehrabpur(Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11 Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

## 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
·	1	2				6
1.	M/S K.S enterprises	293170	1 st Lowest	18830 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.K Corporation	315262	2 nd Lowest	3262 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	318594	3 rd Lowest	6594 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Exucation Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

		•
19) APPI	PROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF	CONTRACT XEN Elu: Worles.
20) WHE	ETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCL	UDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
•		THE TROCUREMENT PLAN?
		Yes No
21) ADV	'ERTISEMENT :	<del></del>
	•	
i)	SPPRA Website	Yes
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identificati	
	A S A S A S A S A S A R A R A R A R A R	
		No
ii)	News Papers	
· <u>·</u> .	(If yes, give names of newspapers and da	tes) Yes
		No
		No C
22) NATE	URE OF CONTRACT	_
~=) NATO	THE OF CONTRACTO	Denemor Int.
222		i.ocal ITT.
23) WHET	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	4
WASI	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDED DOG	ÜMENTS?
(11 yes,	s, enclose a copy)	
		Yes No
24) WHET	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
WA21	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TEXIDED DOC	UVEN TOO
(If yes,	, enclose a copy)	UMENTS? Yes No
25) WHET	THER LARROW AS TO	
METHA	OD OTHER THAN OR COMPETENT AUT	HORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
	OD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE	DIDUING Sakapar Air I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
. 26) WAS B	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL T	HE SIDDERES
		Yes No No
27) WHET!	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWE	EST EVALUATED TO THE TENT
RID / B	BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consult	lancies) Yes No
28) WHETH	HER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS T	
COMPL	LIANT?	ECHNICALLY Yes No
	•	
29) WHETH	HER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND TO	HEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME	ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	THE QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
		Yes No
30) WHETH	FR EVALUATION SEC	<u> </u>
CONTR	ACT? REPORT GIVEN	TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
(Attach c	copy of the bid evaluation report)	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
	15 11 and old evaluation report)	Von II War II III
		Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Van
(If yes, result thereof)	Yes
	No
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIV	VEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes
	No No
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE T	
(If yes, give reasons)	Yes
	No
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERI	
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes
	No
ر بازی کا کار کار کار کار کار کار کار کار کار	GENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NO
BLACK LISTED?	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFI	
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WI BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING	
(If yes, enclose a copy) ्र	Yes No \
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED (	ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT I
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)	Yes No
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	Yes
(If yes, give Brief Description)	
	No
	Nees.
Signature & Official Stamp of SECUTIVE ENGI	No the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of th
Signature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer FEDUCATION WORKS	MAISION CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONT
Authorized Officer FEDUCATION WORKS	ÖNVISION
Authorized Officer FEDUCATION WORKS	MYISION

<u>SPPRA, Block. No.8. Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.

2. Tender Reference No: <u>XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013</u>

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Rehabilitation existing High/Higher Secondary Schools in Sindh

(2008-09) Programme at GBHS Halani Taluka

Mehrabpur(Electric Work).

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop procedure
5. Tender Published: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

#### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S S.K Corporation	193288	1 st Lowest	13712 Saving	1 st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	199031	2 nd Lowest	7969 Saving	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S S.R enterprises	203691	3 rd Lowest	3309 Saving	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN BIJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Enginéer
PEducation Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

		:
	19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONT	RACT_XEN CALL ANTON LOS
	20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED	IN ANNUAL PROGRESS
1	,	THE ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?
	21) ADVERTISEMENT:	Yes No
	i) SPPRA Wahaira	Yes
	i Sirika nepsile	
	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	
ļ	1	
ļ		No
]	ii) News Papers	
ĺ	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes
1	( The same dates)	· ·
1		<del> </del>
		No U
	22) NATURE OF CONTRACT	
		Demosity Int.
	23) WHETUER OUT TO	
	23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	
	" OS TOUDUDED IN RIDDING / TESTES - ASSESS	TC2
	(If yes, enclose a copy)	15:
		Yes No No
	24) WHETUSE DID STAND	
	24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA	
	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	rs? Yes No
	(If yes, enclose a copy)	
	25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDS	
	METHOD OTHER THAN ORDER AUTHORIT	WAS OBJATNED FOR LICENS
	METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDI	NG?
		Yes     No   T
-	4- 26) WAS BID SECURITY ORTAINED FROM	
	26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BID	DERS?
	•	Yes No
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSION -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVABLD / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of County)	ALUATED V. ITTI
	BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	Yes   No
	28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNIC	A
	COMPLIANT?	CALLY Yes No
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE	
	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QU THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	JOTED PRICES WEDE DE :=
	THE OF OFENING OF BIDS?	TRICES WERE READ OUT AT
		Yes III
	30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BII CONTRACT? (Attach conv. of the bid and to the	
	CONTRACT? REPORT GIVEN TO BII	DDERS BEFORE THE AMARE
	(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	4-1 AVE THE WWAKD OF
	13 - And old evaluation report)	
		Yes No
		——— <u></u>

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIV	VFD	<del></del>	
(If yes, result thereof)	· <i>E.S</i>	Yes	<u> </u>
		No	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SP (If yes, give details)	PECIFICATIONS GIVE	N IN THE TENDE	R NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(ii yest give details)		Yes	
		No	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MAI (If yes, give reasons)	DE IN RESPONSE TIM	Yes	
series Series	≫.	No	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIF (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	TICATION CRITERIA	V	
(if you give detailed reasons.)		Yes	
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY TH	F PROCURING AGE	No No	SELECTED CITY OF
BLACK LISTED?	A NOCCHING, AGE	NCT FRAT THE	Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY A SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN BE ASCERTAINED REGARD (If yes, enclose a copy)	CONNECTION WITH	THE PROCUREM	ENT? IE SO DETAILS TO
(11 year energies a copy)	<b>%</b> *		Yes No
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUAL THE CONTRACT (BANK GU	RDS PROVIDED ON UARANTÉE ETC.;?	NOBILIZATION	ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF F (If yes, give Brief Description)	ANY F	Yes 🖔	
		No	
Mathorized Otheek ED	EXECUTION WORKS EAVE	Sinay.	_
R OFFICE USE ONLY	-		

3/3

1. Name of Procuring Agency: <u>Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.</u>

2. Tender Reference No: XEN(E.W)/TC/2928, dated 05-11-2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Introduction of Post Graduate Courses in existing Degree

Colleges in Sindh at Govt: Boys Degree N.Feroze (Canteen)

<u>Taluka N.Feroze (Electric Work).</u>

4. Method of Procurement:	Single stage one envelop procedure
E. T. 1 D. 1111 4	

J. Tender Fuorished: Website
6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 Nos.
7. Total Bids Received: 03 Nos.
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 Nos
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 04-01-2014

### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S Ghulam Mustafa & Brothers	199436	1 st Lowest	564 Saving	l st Lowest	Lowest hence accepted
2.	M/S S.K Corporation	218804	2 nd Lowest	804 Excess	2 nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	M/S K.S enterprises	223958	3 rd Lowest	23958 Excess	3 rd Lowest	Rejected

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze
(Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Division N.Feroze
(Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
AEducation Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

	19) APF	PROVING AUTHORITY	FOR AWARD OF CO	NTRACT X	EN Edudin	
	201 WH	ETHER THE PROCURE	MENT WAS INCLUDE	ED IN ANNUAL	PROCUREMENT PLAN?	Worls
		'ERTISEMENT :		IN ANNUAL	Yes No	
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and	SPPRA Identification N	o.) Yes		
إمامي	fi)	News Papers (If yes, give names of	newspapers and dates)	Yes		
		IRE OF CONTRACT		No	Demonit Int.	
	.,,,,	THER QUALIFICATION INCLUDED IN BIDDING a copy)	CRITERIA G/TENDER DOCUME	NTS?	Yes No	7
	(If yes,	HER BID EVALUATIO NCLUDED IN BIDDING enclose a copy)	7 TENDER DOCUME		Yes No	
2	5) WHETI METH(	HER APPROVAL OF CO OD OTHER THAN OPE	OMPETENT AUTHORI N COMPETITIVE BIDE	TY WAS OBTA	Yes No T	
		ID SECURITY OBTAIN		<b>47</b>	Yes No	į
27	) WHETH BID/BI	IER THE SUCCESSFUL EST EVALUATED BID	. BID WAS LOWEST E (in case of Consultancies	VALUATED	Yes No	
28	) WНЕТН СОМРІ,	ER THE SUCCESSFUL IANT?	BIDDER WAS TECHN	IICALLY	Yes No	
					Yes No No	
30)	WHETHI CONTRA (Attach co	ER EVALUATION REACT?  Opy of the bid evaluation in	EPORT GIVEN TO I	BIDDERS BEF	ORE THE AWARD OF	

	31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECE. (If yes, result thereof)	IVED	Yes
			No No
	32) ANY DEVIATION FROM S (If yes, give details)	PECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN	THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENT
			Yes
	33) WAS THE EXTENSION MA	DE IN RESPONSE TIME:	<u> </u>
	(If yes, give reasons)		Yes
الماروس ويبعث			No
	34) DEVIATION FROM QUALI (If yes, give detailed reasons.	FÎÇATION CRITERIA	Yes
			No V
	35) WAS IT ASSURED BY TI BLACK LISTED?	HE PROCURING AGENCY	THAT THE SELECTED FIRM S No Yes No
	BE ASCERTAINED REGAR	ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF CONNECTION WITH THE DING FINANCING OF VISIT	THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS THE ADROAD:
	(If yes, enclose a copy)		Yes No Y
	37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUA THE CONTRACT (BANK G	RDS PROVIDED ON MOB UARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT
	38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF	ANY	
	(If yes, give Brief Description	)	Yes
			No
S	ignature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer	CACCHI WORKS DOISION	

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291