## Annexure-B

In the Light of Engineer Estimate the Justification of Percentage mentioned in the Column Four of Bid Evaluation Report is submitted as under:

As I	Per Engineer Estimate				As P	er Cost Offered by the Lowest Bide	der	
∕ī.	Total Cost of Schedule of Rate 200	)4	PRs.	7,771,978	1.	Total Cost of Schedule of Rate 2004	R5.	7,771,978
√ 2.	20 % Contractor Premium		Rs.	1,554,395	2.	81.50 % Contractor Premium on Schedule Rate (Including Cost of carrige of material & difference of Cement & bitumen).	Rs.	6,334,162
<b>4</b> 3	Cost of Carriage of Material		Rs.	1,905,149				
1. 4 4	Attached  Difference of Cost allowedable on		Rs.	2,613,482				
5	Material  Cost of Approved Rate Item 4		- Rs.	5,722,200	3	Cost of Approved Rate-Item	Rs.	5,722,200
6	Cost of Non Schedule Item			24,000	4	Cost of Non Schedule Item		24,000
7	Contingences Total Cost of Work	Says	Rs.	19,591,204 19.591	5	Total Cost of Work Says	Rs.	19,852,340 19.852
N.B							^-	O) is necessar

In view of above compression the percentage i.e 81.50% above is workout on the cost of schedule items costing Rs.77,71,978/- is correct.

## OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT OFFICER-I

## SURJANI TOWN

No. PDISWISCH. 41/CDGR/2011/148

dated 15-03-2011

## **Bid Evaluation Report**

(In Compliance of Clause 45 SPP Rules 2010)

1. Name of Procuring Agency:

2. Tender Reference No:

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item:

4. Method of Procurement:

5. Tender Published:

Total Bid documents Sold:

7. Total Bids Received:

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)

No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):

Bid(s) Rejected:

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

Project Director, Surjani Town

36(01)/P.D/Surjani.T/MPA

Improvement of Road & CC Flooring in Different UC's Orangi

& Surjani Town and Orangi Town, PS-97, Karachi.

Single Stage - One Envelope Procedure

Daily Emaan,

dated 15-02-2011.

SPPRA Website S.N # 7502 CDGK ID # 342-114.

03 Nos.

03 Nos.

Not Applicable

Not Applicable

Nil-

05-03-2011

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection 5	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4		
1.	M/s, New Mahad Construction Co	Rs.1,98,52,340/- (as per Calculation)	1 <sup>st</sup> Lowest	81,50% Above the estimated cost of Rs.19,591 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s. Mussab Enterprises	Rs.1,98,73,200/- (as per Calculation)	2 <sup>nd</sup> Lowest	82% Above the estimated cost of Rs.19.591 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive
3.	M/s. Hashir Enterprises	Rs.2,09,06,057/- (as per Calculation)	3 <sup>rd</sup> Lowest	95% Above the estimated cost of Rs.19.591 Million	Qualified as per Evaluation Criteria	Responsive

This issues with the approval of the members of the procurement committee.

Member / D.D.O (W&S) CDGK Member / DO-I Surjani Town Chairman / P.D Surjani Town

Director (CB) SPPRA w.r.t S.N # 7502

With a request to upload on SPPRA Website.

C.C to: -

1. Project Director, Surjani Town

2. DO (MPA's PP) W&S, CDGK

3. PS to EDO (W&S) CDGK