Sindh Solid Waste Management Board

- 2. Mr. Shafiq-ur-Rehman Director (Front End Collection) Sindh Solid Waste Management Board
- 3. Engr. Salahuddin Ahmed Deputy Director (Operations), Karachi Sindh Solid Waste Management Board
- 4. Mr. A.K Rind Deputy Secretary (Budget) Finance Department Government of Sindh
- 5. Mr. Farman Ali Chandio Planning Officer (P&D) Department Government of Sindh

# SUBJECT: Minutes Of The 3rd Meeting Of Consultant Selection Committee

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of minutes of the 3<sup>rd</sup> meeting of Consultant Selection Committee of Sindh Solid Waste Management Board, held on 10.04.2015 at 4.00 P.M. under the Chairmanship of Secretary Sindh Solid Waste Management Board, for information and necessary action

(Shamsuddin Katpar)

Deputy Director (Finance)

Sindh Solid Waste Management Board

### Copy for information to:

- 1. Managing Director, Sindh Solid Waste Management Board
- 2. Secretary, Sindh Solid Waste Management Board
- 3. DS(Staff) to Chief Secretary, Government of Sindh

4. DD (AFF) SPPRA, Got of Sindh. 17

Tel: 021 3586 3026 - 28; Fax: 021-3586 3029

Encl:

original Technical Evaluation Report with

Executive of Mill City

SPITA INWARD DIARY
NO: 16756
DATED: 11.5.15

Sindh Solid Waste Management Board on 10 April, 2013 at 4.00 PM in the Committee room or Sindh Solid Waste Management Board, to evaluate the EOI's submitted by the interested firms.

- · The list of participants attached
- At the outset, the chair briefed the participants regarding the predetermined evaluation criteria stated in EOI response document which included:
  - · Experiences of firms in Municipal, Industrial, Hazardous and Medical Waste Management
  - · Specific Experience
  - · Technical Capacity of Human Resources required for the Project
  - · Administrative & Operational Capacity to manage the assignment successfully
  - · Financial Capacity of the Firm
- Deputy Director (Finance) informed the Committee that EOI's of 13 firms were received by the board in response to the advertisement published in the leading newspapers. The names of Participating firms are as follows:

#### PARTICIPATING FIRMS

S:no	Name of Firms	Address
1	TSI, NUCLEUS & ENGL PROTECH	Cc-13, Central Plaza, Barket Market. Garden Town Lahore
2	MECHTECH	Sb 1/2 Al-Hilal Society Main University Road, Karachi
3	NESPAK	13th Floor Nic Building Abbasi Shaeed Road, Off: Shahra-E- Faisal Karachi
4	G3 ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	H.No: 160, Blockd, Model Town Lahore
5	STRATCON ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT & CONSULTANT	C-36, Lower Ground Floor, 21 <sup>st</sup> Commercial Street Phase Ii Defence Officers Housing Authority Karachi
6	INTEGRATED EQUITIES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	202 Y-Block, Commercial Block , DHA Phase III, Lahore
7	ARCHEN VISION CONSULTANT	405-406, Shaes Centre, Sb-25, Block 13-C Gulshan-E-Iqbal Karachi
8	TECHNO-CONSULTANT INTERNATIONAL	37-K/6 P.E.C.H.S Main Shahra-E- Faisal Karachi
9	ICEPAK	Icepak, 12 Canal Park, Gulberg Lahore
10	EMC PAK PVT LTD	503, Anum Estate Main Shahra-E-Faisal Karachi
11	NEC PAK PVT LTD	202-A, Sea Breeze Plaza Main Shahra-E-Faisal Karachi
12	MM PAKISTAN PVT LTD	Dolman Estate, 1st Floor 18-C Union Commercial Area, Shaheed E Millat Road Karachi
13	M/S ALI JAFFAR & ASSOCIATES JV CERES ASSOCIATES GULF EEPEM SA	Plot No: 43, Pak Tower Linear Commercial Area Phase 8, Bahria Town Islamabad



eligibility criteria. The firms which secured 60% marks in the aggregate and 40% marks in each section were declared eligible.

### MARKS OBTAINED BY EACH FIRM:

S.NO	Name of Firms	Total No Of Marks Obtained	Percentage		
1	TSI, NUCLEUS & ENGI. PROTECH	75	75% /		
2	MECHTECH	0.5	05%		
3	NESPAK	79	79% 1		
4	G3 ENGINEERING CONSULTANT	73	73%		
5	STRATCON ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT & CONSULTANT	70	70%		
6	INTEGRATED EQUITIES (PRIVATE) LIMITED	76	76%		
7	ARCHEN VISION CONSULTANT	70	70%		
8	TECHNO-CONSULTANT INTERNATIONAL	71	71%		
9	ICEPAK	92	92%		
10	EMC PAK PVT LTD	84	84%		
11	NEC PAK PVT LTD	54	54%		
12	MM PAKISTAN PVT LTD	56	56%		
13	M/S ALI JAFFAR & ASSOCIATES JV CERES ASSOCIATES GULF EEPEM SA	71	71% V		

As a result 10 out of 13 firms were shortlisted and therefore it was decided by the Committee to 6. issue request for proposal (RFP) to the following ten firms. Four of these firms were conditionally prequalified as they had not provided a copy of their registration with Pakistan Engineering Council (PEC).

## SHORTLISTED FIRMS:

1. TS	. NI	CLEUS &	ENGL.	PROTECH
-------	------	---------	-------	---------

NESPAK

G3 ENGINEERING CONSULTANT

STRATCON ENVIRONMENTAL DEVELOPMENT & CONSULTANT

2: 3. 4: 5: 6: 7: 8: 9: 10. INTEGRATED EQUITIES (PRIVATE) LIMITED

ARCHEN VISION CONSULTANT

TECHNO-CONSULTANT INTERNATIONAL

ICEPAK

EMC PAK PVT LTD

M/S ALI JAFFAR & ASSOCIATES JV CERES ASSOCIATES GULF EEPEM SA

The following four firms were conditionally prequalified, and therefore it was decided that these firms would be requested to provide a copy of PEC to the Sindh Solid Waste Management Board.

1. TSI, NUCLEUS & ENGI. PROTECH
2. INTEGRATED EQUITIES (PRIVATE) LIMITED

4. M/S ALI JAFFAR & ASSOCIATES JV CERES ASSOCIATES GULF EEPEM SA

- The following firms could not secure the qualifying marks. Hence they were not shortlisted.
  - 1. MECHTECH

3. ICEPAK

- 2. NEC PAK PVT LTD
- 3. MM PAKISTAN PVT LTD
- In the end the evaluation sheet/ report was prepared on the basis of the marks obtained by each firm.
   The sheet was signed by the chairman and all the members of the committee to authenticate the results.
   (Copy of the sheet enclosed)

The meeting ended with the vote of thanks to and from the chair.

(Mazhar Mi)
Deputy Director (Finance) SSWMB/
Secretary, Consultant Selection Committee

		VAL	MOTTAU	VE	PORT		PROCURENT UF EVALUATION OF CONSULTANTS PROFILES AS FOR IN-HOUSE CONSULTANTS					PER ESTABLISHED	ESTABLISHED SCORING CRITERIA SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD  PROMOTOR FOR SSUMB PREQUALIFICATION OF CONSULTANCY SERV							MCA CEBRACES CON	ICES FOR PROJECT MGT. CONSTRUCTION SLIPE			
			Check the	8r. No. 1 Experience of firm Municipal, Industrial, Hazardous and Medical Waste Management			Sr. No. 2 Specific Experience						Sr. No.3  Technical Capacity of Human Resource Required for the project				Sr. No.  Administrative & Operations Capacity to manage the assignment succeeduity		Sales and the sa	Sr. No.5 Financial capacity of the firm Audited Statement last 3 years shall be submitted).		Marie In to a	TOTAL Minimum Qualifying Marks = 60 , but It is mandatory to score 40% in each section	
			following Registrations PEC registration	Upto 06   6			25 Marks					30 Marks				10	Marks	-	16	16 Merks		00 Marks		
10	Nem	e of the Firm		years (Municipa j = 06 Marks,	years Municipal = 06 Marks,	(Municipal = 11 Marks, Industrial =03, Hazardous = 03, and	Design, Construction Supervision,	development of integrated SWM infrastructure, (06 Marks)	nt of Municipal Business	Models	a)institutions I Arrangement s and other relevant services to uplift the entire spectrum of SWM (06 Marks)	Management Specialist (PHD is any of the Waste Management sector, with background in Urban Planning, minimum of 15	(Design of SWAA Britrastructure / GTS/ MRF /Landfill Sites / Blowaste Processing Plants / B. Waste to Energy Plants (Masters in Relevant Field with 20 years of similar	Management Operation Manager ( Masters in relevant field with 15 years of experience in managing MSWM of hendling of 1000 tons of waste per day ),	Specialist (Finance Specialized consultant with Master in Relevant field and 15 years of relevant experience)	must be well versant of local and special laws related	Outside A Country Pac	Asia & in Pakis (03 Marks) (03 Marks)		One Year	One Year	Total Marks		
	M/5	NIFO	(Y/N)	08 mentes	12 marks	20 marks	1 5 15 65	The state of	No. 18	ila il	12	09marks	06 marks	05 marks	05 marks	05 marks	03 marks 0	03 merks 04 i	mark 05 mark	u 05 marks	05 marks	25 marks	200°02	
	11/3	NES	V		14		15	2	20	1 4	12			25	3	1 5	3	5 10	1   5	12	10		79	
	MIS	s M·M				i i	4	4	4	2	3	7	4	3	12	3	0	0	9 4	0	10			
		PAK	У		12			1	7					19				4	,	- 1 ,	4		56	
3	17/5	STRAT					6	2	4	14	3	4	9	14	12	12	3	3	4 5	15	15	1	70	
_	ml	CON	У	-	12		5	3	7	15	4	9	15	74	15	15	2	3	4 5	5 5	5	T	10	
	11/3	PAK	11		20	)	2	16	7	1	1		2	2.8				10			15		92	
	MIS	ARCH	7.7		70		5	5	5	2	1	9	4	2	2_	2	1	1	2	5 5	15		70	
		ISSION	y		14			18	)				A 17/4 /	19	15		2	4	1. 1. 1	- 1,-	15   F		70	
8.	MIS	INTIGRA				<u> </u>	4	5	3	1 !	0	1-9	5	26		1 7	15	10	9 5	2 2	15	_1	76	
1	E	QUITIES	N	-	12	*	<del> </del>	15	2	2	12	9	15	3	2	4	3	3	4	5 5	5 5			1
7	m/s.	43			Δ8		->_	17	7				1	23				10			15		73	1
	ENG	INEERING	<u> </u>	$\vdash$			5	2	2	1	1	7	5	2	1	2	10	07	1413	5 5	10		54	
	EN	GINEERING	y		09				1 0	1 8	15	9	1	1 4	7	4	13	3 3	14	5 5	5 5			1
	MS	EMC					5	10	3	13	1	+		25				10	- 47		15		84	1
	1	PAK			16		5	5	5	5	5	1	5	3	2	2		30	14	كاك	15		75	+
-	MIS	732	N		15			2	5				H .	13			-	01	14	0	00	5		3
Second Second	NU	MEN					0	0	0	0	0	1 8		1			1	4			0		05	
Contract of the last of the la	////3	MECH	Y		0		-	14	15	13	14	8	4	14	2	. 3	. 2	0 3	. 4	0	5	5	71	4
		TECHNO			10		>	2	1_3_ 1				į	21			1	0	7		10 E 1	0	- F1	-
	CONS	BULTENT	_у		12		5	5	3	4	4	7	114	10	2 2	- 3	+	3 3	0 4.	5	10		71	
	1.00	ALI	N		12			2					4	10	are a							(AR)		11.
1	JA	AFFAR	Steel the	Contract of the Contract of th				. 7	TOTAL	FI	RMS	PART	CIPAT	FED	= 13	(THIA	RTE	EN				1		18
		to-mhert				Tori	AL No		C.0.	11 8	$\Im \dots$	PULD	ED NOT Q	UALIFY	= 10	CTH	INE	) E)		in	Sandy.	<b>3</b> .		1/6/
	mittee M	Members	1			TOTA	L NO				1 .		501	V		Bull.	11 /20	s. o	0.5	Ø	X4		MAZ D.	D F
	Hill	iff,	Tulo	15		Jahr.	reduitation	Charles !	0	Who !	A / 10.7	juani // Kashi)	Sye	SALAGUA	ad Atmen	Plaueing	work is	cer, r. Li	Łν .				Ψ.	551
	See Con	mittee	1 Hila	whom		Ann	A STA JED	N. S.		1 Dr.	ED	(////	1	A DIY >>		torius		N. W.		- Carlo		-		76