Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Sindh Higher Education Commission, Government of Sindh.

2. Tender Reference No: INF/KRY. NO 2429/2014

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Procurement of Machinery, computer equipment, furniture & fixture

4. Method of Procurement: Single envelope

5. Tender Published: Daily Express 24.07.2014, Awami Awaz 24.07.2014, Dawn, The News, Daily Times, Nawai Waqt and uploaded on SSPRA website on 23.07.2014 at serial no 21054

6. Total Bid documents Sold: Nine

7. Total Bids Received: Five

8. Technical Bid Opening date: 12th August, 2014

9. No. of Bid technically qualified: Item-wise as below

10. Bid(s) Rejected: Item-wise as below

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 12th August, 2014.

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

LASER PRINTER HP CP1025NW OR EQUIVALENT

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Quick Marketing Service	23950x10	Lowest	Lower by Rs.1050x10	Accepted being lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	26325x10	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 1325x10	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	OAG	-	-	-	Technically rejected	Technically rejected
4.	RR Traders	25000x10	2nd Lowest	At par	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
5.	Jahangir & Brothers	27500	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 2500x10	4th Lowest	Not accepted

COMPUTER Ci5 WITH LED MONITOR

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	62950x10	Lowest	Lower by Rs.7050x10	Accepted being lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	71360x10	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 1360x10	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	65000x10	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs.5000x10	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	74000x10	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 4000x10	4th Lowest	Not accepted

LAPTOP HP PAVILION 15 Ci7 OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	-	-	-	Technically Rejected.	Not as per specs.
2.	IDE@L	115338	2nd Lowest	Higher by Rs 15338	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	100000	Lowest	Lower by Rs.5000x10	Lowest	Accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	120000	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 20000	3rd Lowest	Not accepted

Nisar Ali

Judicial

FAX MACHINE OLIVETTI OFX-9000 0R EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	27950X2	Lowest	Lower by Rs 7050x2	Lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	31580x2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3420x2	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	32500x2	3rd Lowest	Lower by Rs 2500x2	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	35500x2	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 500	4th Lowest	Not accepted

60 KVA GENERATOR

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	RR Traders	2748000	Lowest	Lower by Rs 752000	Lowest	Accepted
2.	Jahangir & Brothers	3325000	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 175000	2nd Lowest	Not accepted

2 TON AIR CONDITIONER

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	IDE@L	74880x12	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3120x12	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
2.	RR Traders	73500x12	Lowest	Lower by Rs 4500x12	Lowest	Accepted
3.	Jahangir & Brothers				Rejected Technically	No specific brand offered

PHOTOCOPIER KYOCERA TASkalfa-180 OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	139000X2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 11000x2	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
2.	IDE@L	146250x2	3rd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3750x2	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	135000x2	~~2nd~~ Lowest	Lower by Rs 15000x2	Lowest	Accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	150000x2	4th Lowest	At par	4th Lowest	Not accepted

BACK UP UPS

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Quick Marketing Service	22500X2	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 2500x2	4th Lowest	Not accepted
2.	IDE@L	15795x2	Lowest	Lower by Rs 4205x2	Lowest	Accepted
3.	RR Traders	17400x2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 2600x2	2ND Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	20000x2	3rd Lowest	At par	3rd Lowest	Not accepted

FURNITURE & FIXTURE

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RR Traders	1999430	Lowest	Lower by Rs 670	Lowest	Accepted
2.	Jahangir & Brothers	2391400	2nd Lowest	Higher by Rs 391000	2nd Lowest	Not accepted

Signatures of Members of the Committee.

1. DR. WASEEM SHAMSHAD ALI

   Director, Sindh Higher Education Commission.

   Chairman Procurement Committee

DR WASEEM SHAMSHAD ALI
Director
Higher Education Commission

2. Mr. Nasir Ud Din

NASIRUDDIN
Private Secretary
Sindh Higher Education C...
Government of S...

Private Secretary, Sindh Higher Education Commission.

Member, Procurement Committee

3. Mr. Nisar Ahmad

Section Officer Budget, SGA&CD.

Member, Procurement Committee

BID EVALUATION SHEET

	Quick Marketing Service	Ideal Distributors	OAG	RR Traders	Jahangir & Brothers
Laser Printer	CP1025NW Rs 23950x10 Rs 239500 **Lowest**	CP1025NW Rs26325x10 Rs 263250 3rd Lowest	Konica Minolta Rejected Technically	CP 1025NW 25000x10 250000 2ND lowest	CP 1025 NW 27500x10 275000 4th Lowest
Computer with LED Monitor	Ci5 Rs 62950x10 Rs 629500 **Lowest**	Ci5 71360x10 713606 3rd **Lowest**	NIL	Ci5 65000x10 650000 2nd Lowest	Ci5 74000x10 740000 4th Lowest
Laptop	HP Pavilion CI 5 Rejected Technically	HP Envy M6 Rs 115338 2nd Lowest	NIL	HP Pavilion Ci7 Rs 100000 **Lowest**	HP Pavilion Ci7 Rs 120000 3rd Lowest
Fax	Panasonic 422 Rs 27950x2 Rs 55900 **Lowest**	Panasonic 422 31580x2 63161 2nd Lowest	NIL	Olivetti -9000 32500x2 65000 3rd Lowest	Olivetti -9000 35500x2 71000 4th Lowest
Generator	NIL	NIL	NIL	Firman (Perkins) Rs 2748000	FG Wilson(Perkins) Rs 3325000
Air Conditioner 2 Ton	NIL	Orient Rs 74880x12 Rs 898560 2nd Lowest	NIL	Haier Rs 73500x12 Rs 882000 **Lowest**	Rejected Technically
Photocopier	Canon IR 2520 Rs 139000x2 Rs 278000 2nd Lowest	Toshiba 2006 Rs 146250x2 Rs 292500 3rd **Lowest**	NIL	Kyocera Rs 135000x2 Rs 270000 **Lowest**	Kyocera Rs 150000x2 Rs 300000 4th Lowest
UPS Back up	Green Power Rs 22500x2 Rs 45000 4th Lowest	Mercury 1.5 Rs 15795x2 Rs 31590 **Lowest**	NIL	Mercury 1.5 Rs 17400x2 Rs 34800 2nd Lowest	Mercury1.5 Rs 20000x2 Rs 40000 3rd Lowest
Furniture	NIL	NIL	NIL	Interwood Rs 1999430 **Lowest**	Rs 2391400 2nd Lowest

DR WASEEM SHAMSHAD ALI
Director
Sindh Higher Education Commission
Government of Sindh

NASIRUDDIN
Private Secretary
Sindh Higher Education Commission
Government of Sindh

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE OF COMPUTER EQUIPMENT, MACHINERY, FURNITURE & FIXTURE

The meeting of the procurement Committee was held on 12th August, 2014 at 3:30 P.M. A total of nine biding documents were sold out of which only 05 firms submitted their bids. These bids were opened in the presence of the representatives of the participating firms namely;

1. Quick Marketing Service.
2. Ideal Distributors.
3. O.A.G.
4. R.R. Traders.
5. Jahangir & Brothers.

The bids were evaluated item-wise as under:-

LASER PRINTER HP CP1025NW OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	23950x10	Lowest	Lower by Rs.1050x10	Accepted being lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	26325x10	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 1325x10	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	OAG	-	-	-	Technically rejected	Technically rejected
4.	RR Traders	25000x1D	2nd Lowest	At par	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
5.	Jahangir & Brothers	27500	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 2500x10	4th Lowest	Not accepted

The bid of O.A.G. was technically rejected since it was not in accordance with the advertised specifications. The bid of Quick Marketing Service was accepted being the lowest.

COMPUTER Ci5 WITH LED MONITDR

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	62950x10	Lowest	Lower by Rs.7050x10	Accepted being lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	71360x10	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 1360x10	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	65000x10	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs.5000x10	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	74000x10	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 4000x10	4th Lowest	Not accepted

LAPTOP HP PAVILION 15 Ci7 OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	-	-	-	Technically Rejected.	Not as per specs.
2.	IDE@L	115338	2nd Lowest	Higher by Rs 15338	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	100000	Lowest	Lower by Rs.5000x10	Lowest	Accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	120000	3rd Lowest	Higher by Rs 20000	3rd Lowest	Not accepted

The bid of Quick Marketing Service was technically rejects since the advertised specification was for Ci 7 whereas the firm offered Ci 5. The bid of RR Traders was accepted being lowest.

FAX MACHINE OLIVETTI OFX-9000 OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Quick Marketing Service	27950X2	Lowest	Lower by Rs 7050x2	Lowest	Accepted
2.	IDE@L	31580x2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3420x2	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	32500x2	3rd Lowest	Lower by Rs 2500x2	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	35500x2	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 500	4th Lowest	Not accepted

The Quick Marketing Service and Ideal Distributors offered the equivalent Panasonic 422 whereas RR Traders and Jahangir & Brothers offered Olivetti OFX-9000. The bid of Quick Marketing Service was accepted being the lowest.

60 KVA GENERATOR

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RR Traders	2748000	Lowest	Lower by Rs 752000	Lowest	Accepted
2.	Jahangir & Brothers	3325000	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 175000	2nd Lowest	Not accepted

2 TON AIR CONDITIONER

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	IDE@L	74880x12	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3120x12	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
2.	RR Traders	73500x12	Lowest	Lower by Rs 4500x12	Lowest	Accepted
3.	Jahangir & Brothers				Technically rejected	Name of brand not mentioned

The bid of Jahangir and brothers was technically rejected since it did not specify brand-name. Ideal Distributors offered Orient whereas RR Traders offered Haier. The bid of RR Traders being lowest was accepted.

PHOTOCOPIER KYOCERA TASkalfa-180 OR EQUIVALENT

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**
1.	Quick Marketing Service	139000X2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 11000x2	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
2.	IDE@L	146250x2	3rd Lowest	Lower by Rs 3750x2	3rd Lowest	Not accepted
3.	RR Traders	135000x2	Lowest	Lower by Rs 15000x2	Lowest	Accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	150000x2	4th Lowest	At par	4th Lowest	Not accepted

The Quick Marketing Service offered Canon IR 2520, Ideal Distributor offered Toshiba 2006, whereas RR Traders and Jahangir & Brothers offered Kyocera TA5kalfa-180. The bid of RR Traders was accepted being the lowest.

BACK UP UPS

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Quick Marketing Service	22500X2	4th Lowest	Higher by Rs 2500x2	4th Lowest	Not accepted
2.	IDE@L	15795x2	Lowest	Lower by Rs 4205x2	Lowest	Accepted
3.	RR Traders	17400x2	2nd Lowest	Lower by Rs 2600x2	2nd Lowest	Not accepted
4.	Jahangir & Brothers	20000x2	3rd Lowest	At par	3rd Lowest	Not accepted

The Quick Marketing Service offered Green Power UPS whereas the rest of the three firms offered Mercury 1.5 UPS backup. The bid of Ideal Distributor being the lowest was accepted.

FURNITURE & FIXTURE

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	RR Traders	1999430	Lowest	Lower by Rs 670	Lowest	Accepted
2.	Jahangir & Brothers	2391400	2nd Lowest	Higher by Rs 391000	2nd Lowest	Not accepted

1. DR. WASEEM SHAMSHAD ALI

Director, Sindh Higher Education Commission.

Chairman Procurement Committee

DR WASEEM SHAMSHAD ALI
Director
Sindh Higher Education Commission
Government of Sindh

2. Mr. Nasir Ud Din

Private Secretary, Sindh Higher Education Commission.

Member, Procurement Committee

NASIRUDDIN
Private Secretary
Sindh Higher Education Commission
Government of Sindh

3. Mr. Nisar Ahmad

Section Officer Budget, SGA&CD.

Member, Procurement Committee