

Technical & Financial Proposals Evaluation Report

Supply and Installation of Panaflex Signage

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Sindh Bank Ltd.
- 2 Tender Reference No: Ref. No. 2011/04/11
- 3 Tender Description: Supply and Installation of Panaflex Signage
- 4 Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelops Bidding Procedure
- 5 Pre-qualification Published: SPPRA Serial No. 7847, Daily Express (Urdu) on 12/03/2011, Ibrat (Sindhi) on 12/03/2011
Business Recorder on 12/03/2011
- 6 Total Bid Documents Sold: 13
- 7 Total Bids Received: 2
- 8 Technical Bid Opening Date: March 30, 2011
- 9 No.of Bid technically qualified: 1
- 10 Bid(S) Rejected: 1
- 11 Financial Bid Opening date: April 12, 2011

12 Bid Evaluation Report

S. No.	Company	Status	Remarks
1	M/s. Marketing Advertiser	Qualified	1st Lowest Bidder
2	M/s. Adver Tools	Disqualified	Not Short Listed

Technical & Financial Proposals Evaluation Report

Supply and Installation of Panaflex Signage

Dated: April 12, 2011

1. For selection of subject items tenders were opened in the presence of given procurement committee members.

- 1 Head of Administration
- 2 Chief Operating Officer
- 3 Chief Financial Officer
- 4 AVP Administration
- 5 VP Information Technology

2. Marking for technical & financial evaluation purpose was carried out by the following members of the procurement committee.

- 1 Head of Administration
- 2 Chief Operating Officer
- 3 Chief Financial Officer
- 4 AVP Administration
- 5 VP Information Technology

3. Summary of the result is as given:-

Bid Evaluation Report

S. No.	Company	Points Obtained			Status	Remarks
		Technical Points	Financial Points	Total Points		
1	M/s. Marketing Advertiser	64	30	94	Qualified	1st Highest Scorer
2	M/s. Adver Tools	34	Bid was not opened	34	Disqualified	Not Short Listed

4. Going by the above evaluation M/s. Marketing Advertiser qualifies for the provision of supply and installation of Panaflex Signage for Sindh Bank Limited for the calendar year 2011.

5. Forwarded for signatures please.

Shahzad Begg
Head of Administration



Members Procurement Committee

Signature

Date

- 1 Head of Administration
- 2 Chief Operating Officer
- 3 Chief Financial Officer
- 4 AVP Administration
- 5 VP Information Technology

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]

13/4/11
14.4.11
13/4/11
13/4/2011

**EVALUATION REPORT
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL**

FOR SELECTION OF

*Supply & Installation of Powerflex
Signage*

Date *30/03/21*

S. No.	Company Name	Total Point	Points Obtained	Qualified / Disqualified	Remarks
01	M/S. AD VER TOOLS	70	34	Disqualified	
02	M/S. Marketing Advertiser	70	64	Qualified	

Signatures - Procurement Committee Members

- Head of Administration
- Chief Operating Officer
- Chief Financial Officer
- AVP Administration
- VP Information Technology

[Handwritten signatures and dates]
 20/4/11
 [Signature]
 [Signature]
 [Signature]

2.6.5 Scoring Criteria

SBL shall evaluate Technical Proposals using the following scoring criteria. The company will be considered disqualified if not GST registered.

S. No.	Descriptions	Total Points	Points Obtained	Remarks (Attachment of relevant evidence in each case is mandatory. In case of non-compliance no mark will be awarded)
1	Banks on Cliental List	20	20	10 and above
		14		7 and above
		8		3 and above
		0		less than 3
2	Years in business (Date of establishment of company)	20	14	10 years and above
		14		7 years and above
		8		3 years and above
		0		Less than 3 years
3	Turn-over in 2010	30	30	120 Million and above
		20		80 Million and above
		10		50 Million and above
		0		Less than 40 Million

Note

Acquiring of 80% marks of the total score will make the Bidder qualify for participating into ultimate phase of Financial Bid opening.

Total

64

2.6.6 Discussions Prior to Evaluation

If required, prior to evaluation of the Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal, SBL may, within 6-7 days of receipt of the Technical and Financial Proposals, call upon any of the Bidders to discuss or to ask for clarification about anything contained in the Technical Proposal submitted by that Bidder.

2.7 Award of Contract

2.7.1 Award Criteria

Subject to ITB Section [2.7.2], SBL will award the contract to the successful Bidder, whose bid has been determined to be substantially responsive and has been determined to be the lowest evaluated bid, provided further that the Bidder is determined to be qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily.

2.7.2 SBL's Right to Accept Any Bid and to reject any or all Bids

SBL annul the bidding process and reject all Bids at any time prior to Contract award, without thereby incurring any liability to the Bidder(s).

M.R. 10

2.6.5 Scoring Criteria

SBL shall evaluate Technical Proposals using the following scoring criteria. The company will be considered disqualified if not GST registered.

S. No.	Descriptions	Total Points	Points Obtained	Remarks (Attachment of relevant evidence in each case is mandatory. In case of non-compliance no mark will be awarded)
1	Banks on Cliental List	20	20	10 and above
		14	14	7 and above
		8		3 and above
		0		less than 3
2	Years in business (Date of establishment of company)	20		10 years and above
		14	14	7 years and above
		8		3 years and above
		0		Less than 3 years
3	Turn-over in 2010	30		120 Million and above
		20		80 Million and above
		10		50 Million and above
		0		Less than 40 Million

Total

34

Note

Acquiring of 80% marks of the total score will make the Bidder qualify for participating into ultimate phase of Financial Bid opening.

2.6.6 Discussions Prior to Evaluation

If required, prior to evaluation of the Technical Proposal and Financial Proposal, SBL may, within 6-7 days of receipt of the Technical and Financial Proposals, call upon any of the Bidders to discuss or to ask for clarification about anything contained in the Technical Proposal submitted by that Bidder.

2.7 Award of Contract**2.7.1 Award Criteria**

Subject to ITB Section [2.7.2], SBL will award the contract to the successful Bidder, whose bid has been determined to be substantially responsive and has been determined to be the lowest evaluated bid, provided further that the Bidder is determined to be qualified to perform the contract satisfactorily.

2.7.2 SBL's Right to Accept Any Bid and to reject any or all Bids

SBL annul the bidding process and reject all Bids at any time prior to Contract award, without thereby incurring any liability to the Bidder(s).




Technical & Financial Proposals Evaluation Report

Security Alarm System

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency: Sindh Bank Ltd.
- 2 Tender Reference No: Ref. No. 2011/04/03
- 3 Tender Description: Security Alarm System
- 4 Method of Procurement: Single Stage Two Envelops Bidding Procedure
- 5 Tender Published: Newspapers-Business Recorder on 12/3/2011,
Daily Express (Urdu) on 12/3/2011, Ibrat (Sindhi) on 12/3/2011
- News Papers names with date*
- 6 Total Bid Documents Sold: 6
- 7 **Total Bids Received:** 1
- 8 Technical Bid Opening Date: March 28,2011
- 9 Financial Bid Opening date: March 28,2011
- 10 No.of Bid technically qualified: 1
- 11 Bid(S) Rejected: 0

12 Evaluation Report-Security Alarm System						
S. No.	Name of Company	Points Obtained			Qualified / Disqualified	Remarks
		Technical Points	Financial Points	Total Points		
1	M/s. Phoenix Armour	70	30	100	Qualified	1st Highest Scorer