### SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

# CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

| 1.  | NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.  | Project Management Unit (PMU), Drinking Water Hub,(DWH)   |
|-----|--|---|
|     |  | Special Initiative Department (SID) Government of Sindh   |
| 2.  | PROVINCIAL/LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER  | Provincial  |
| 3.  | TITLE OF CONTRACT  | Drinking water hubs - Phase-III   |
| 4.  | TENDER NUMBER  |   |
| 5.  | BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT  | _Design, Procurement, installation, Testing and commissioning of water filtration plants to be operated on Solar Power of the Project "Drinking water hubs"-Phase-III |
| 6.  | FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME   | PDWP Meeting under the chairmanship of Additional Chief   |
|     |  | Secretary (development ) P&D ,GOS.  |
| 7.  | TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE   | PC-I estimate of contract, Rs. 5,052 Million.   |
|     | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE  | Rs.5,052 Million inclusive of all mechanical and civil works.   |
|     | (for civil works only)   |   |
| 8.  | ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER  | R CONTRACT) Twelve Month from the date date of start of   |
|     |  | eontract.   |
| 9.  | TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)   | 26-06-2014 at 4:30PM  |
| 10. | NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD  | 04  |
| • • | (Attach list of buyers)  | 01  |
| 11. | NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED  | 01  |
| 12. | NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TI  |   |
| 13. | BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)   | attached  |
| 15. |  | L BIDDER M/s Pak Oasis Industries (Pvt) Ltd, Suit # 5, 1st Floor,<br>Nadir House, I.I Chundrigar Road, Karachi.,<br>Phone No. 32414319                                |
| 14. | CONTRACT AWARD PRICE   | (In process) Rs.5,490,375,000 Million   |
| 15. | RANKING DF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV. (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> , EVALUATION BID). | ALUATION REPORT 1st   |
| 16. | METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick  | one)  |
|     | a) SINGLES STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PR   | OCEDURE   |
|     | b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PRO   | OCEDURE   |
|     | c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE   |   |
|     | d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDI  | NG PROCEDURE  |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS: (NOT APPLICABLE)

| 17.  | APPRO  | VING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT  | Secretary, SID, GOS |  |             |               |             |
|--|--|---|---------------------|--|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 18.  | WHETH  | WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?                        |                     |  |             |               |             |
|  |  |   |                     | Yes  | ✓           | No            |             |
| 19.  | ADVER  | TISEMENT:   |                     |  | •           | <del>-</del>  | _1          |
|  | i)   | SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and PPRA's tender number)                              | Yes                 | 21/04  | /2014, INF/ | KRY NO        | .1536/14    |
|  |  |   | No                  |  |             |               |             |
|  | ii)  | News Papers (If Yes, give names of newspapers and dates)                                | Yes                 | 03/05/2014 THE EXPRESS<br>01/05/2014 THE UMMAT |             |               |             |
|  |  |   | No                  |  |             | <u>.</u>      |             |
| 20.  | NATUR  | E OF CONTRACT   |                     | Local  | <b>✓</b>    | int.          | Ţ           |
|  |  |   |                     |  | <u> </u>    | <u> </u>      |             |
| 21.  | WAS IN   | IER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA<br>ICLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?<br>Inclose a copy) |                     | Yes  | ✓           | No            |             |
|  |  |   |                     |  |             |               |             |
| 22.  | WAS IN   | IER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA<br>ICLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?                   |                     | Yes  | ✓           | No            |             |
|  | (If yes, e   | enclose a copy)   |                     |  |             |               |             |
| 23.  | 3. WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A NA METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?   |   |                     |  |             |               | NA          |
|  | of the company of the |   |                     | Yes  |             | No            |             |
| 24. WAS BID SECURITY (EARNEST MONEY) OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS |  |   |                     |  |             |               |             |
|  |  |   |                     | Yes  | <b>*</b>    | No            |             |
| 25.  | 25. WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BIDDER?   |   |                     |  |             |               |             |
|  |  | •   |                     | Yes  | <b>~</b>    | No            |             |
| 26.  | WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?   |   |                     |  |             |               |             |
|  |  |   |                     | Yes  | <b>✓</b>    | No            |             |
|  |  |   |                     | <u> </u>                                       | <u></u>     |               | _l          |
| 27.  | WHETH<br>THE TIM   | ER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRI<br>ME OF OPENING OF BIDS?                  | CES WE              | RE READ  | OUT AT      | <del></del> - | <del></del> |
|  |  |   |                     | Yes  | ✓           | No            |             |
| 28.  | WHETH  | ER COPY OF EVALUATIN REPORT GIVEN TO ALL BID<br>copy of the bid evaluation report)      | DERS?               |  |             |               |             |
|  | (r scratci) (  | of the old evaluation report)   |                     | Yes  |             | No            | <b>\</b>    |
|  |  |   |                     |  |             | <del></del>   | <del></del> |

| <i>2</i> 9. | ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)   |          | Yes              |               |           |           |         |
|-------------|--|----------|------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|---------|
|             |  |          | No               |               | N         | 0         |         |
| 30.         | ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)  | THE TEN  | DER NO           | TICE / DO     | CUMENTS   | (Not Appl | icable) |
|             | (), B)   |          | Yes<br>No        |               |           |           |         |
| 31.         | WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)  | Yes      | <u> </u>         | onse tir      | ne extend | ed to 26- | 06-2014 |
|             |  | No       |                  |               |           |           |         |
| 32.         | DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA ?  |          | Yes              |               |           | <br>      |         |
| 33.         | WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THE BLACK LISTED?   | AT THE   |                  | D FIRM<br>Yes |           | No        |         |
| 34.         | WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCI<br>REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:<br>(If yes, enclose a copy) |          |                  | RING A        | GENCY TO  | THE SU    |         |
| 35.         | WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MO<br>(BANK GUARNTEE ETC.)?   | BILIZATI | I<br>ON ADV.<br> | ANCE PA       | 1         | N THE CO  | NTRACT  |
|             |  |          |                  | Yes           | ✓         | No        |         |
| 36.         | SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)  |          | Yes              |               |           |           |         |
|             |  |          | No               |               | N         | 0         |         |
| Sig         | nature & Official Stamp of Authorized Officer  |          |                  |               |           |           |         |

Project Director

PNU (Drinking Water Hub)

Wedial Initiative Deptt: GOS

<u>SPPRA, Block. No. 8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369; & Fax: 021-9206291

# ISSUANCE OF TENDER DOCUMENTS FOR WATER FILTRATION PLANTS PHASE-III

| S.No | Name of Bidder                                 |  |
|------|--|--|
| 01   | Marathon Construction<br>Company (Private) Ltd |  |
| 02   | RCC Consultants                                |  |

- 03 Pak Oasis Industries Pvt Ltd
- 04 Universal Enterprises

# ISSUANCE OF TENDER DOCUMENTS FOR WATER FILTRATIC PLANTS PHASE-III

| S.No | Name of | Bidder |
|------|---------|--------|
|      |         |        |

- 01 Marathon Construction Company (Private) Ltd
- 02 RCC Consultants
- 03 Pak Oasis Industries Pvt Ltd
- 04 Universal Enterprises

# DRINKING WATER HUBS-PHASE-III, DESIGN, PROCUREMENT, INSTALLATION, TESTING AND COMMISSIONING OF WATER FILTRATION PLANTS TO BE OPERATED ON SOLAR POWER

EVALUATION OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL OF BID RECEIVED

M/s Pak OASIS INDUSTRIES (PVT) LTD.

| CENICO AL EVOCOSCACE  |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| GENERAL EXPERIENCE  | 10  |   |  |
| The General experience of the contractor for projects of Rs.500 million or above in last 05 years (02 point for each project up to maximum of 5 projects). The projects should be presented strictly in the format given in the bid document.   | 10  | YES   | 9.29   |
| Present and Previous Specific Experience  | 40  |   |  |
| experience of similar nature works completed as prime contractor (02 points for each project in last 05 years upto a maximum of 05 such projects). Documentary proof (i.e. work order & completion certificate) be attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format given in the bid document and no additional information should be given. | 10  | NO  | 0.00   |
| Experience of similar nature works in hand as prime contractor (05 points for each project up to a maximum of 02 such projects).  Documentary proof (i.e. work order) be attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format given in the bid document and no additional information should be given  | 10  | YES   | 2.00   |
| Regional Experience (Sindh / Karachi) of project completed in last 05 years (02 point per project, upto a maximum of 05 projects).  | 10  | YES   | 9.43   |
| SO-9001:2000 Valid Registration or better shall be evaluated  | 10  | YES   | 9.71   |
| Proposed Work Schedule, Method Statement, & Organization Chart  | 20  |   |  |
| Proposed Detailed Work Schedule (inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)   | 3   | YES   | 2.29   |
| Detailed Method Statement (Inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)   | 3   | YES   | 2.43   |
| Organization Chart  | 2   | YES   | 1.14   |
| Proposed Project Manager - Professional Engineer registered BE (Electrical / Energy Engineer) in PEC with at-least 15 years overall experience and 10 years experience in related works having completed at-least street Solar light projects (detailed CV alongwith PEC registration, to be submitted).  | 8   | YES   | 7.86   |
| List of employed Engineers with at-least 05 years of experience with their qualification with documentary proof and PEC Registration Number (01 Point for each Engineer upto a maximum of 05 points)  | 4   | YES   | 4.00   |
| Documentary evidence of Financial Soundness   | 30  |   |  |
| Comprehensive Audited Reports from Chartered Accountant of the firm for last 03 years.  | 10  | YES   | 10.0   |
| Banker's Certificate of financial soundness issued in last 06 months.   | 5   | YES   | 4.86   |
| Bank Statement for last 12 months.  | 5   | YES   | 3.43   |
| Average Annual Turnover of last 05 years (to be ascertained from<br>Audited Reports or Income Tax return dully accepted by CBR).  | 10  | YES   |  |
| o Less than Rs. 100 million -02 Point   |   | <del>                                     </del>  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | <del> </del>  | <del> </del>  | ļ <u>-</u>   |
|   | _   | +   | 0.00   |
| o Rs. 300 million to Rs.400 million - 0B Points  o More than Rs. 500 million - 10 Points  |   | PASSING<br>MARKS  | 8.00   |
|   | Present and Previous Specific Experience  Expenence of similar nature works completed as prime contractor (02 oints for each project in last 05 years upto a maximum of 05 such rojects). Documentary proof (i.e. work order & completion certificate) to a tatached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format iven in the bid document and no additional information should be liven in the bid document and no additional information should be liven in the bid document and no additional information should be liven in the bid document and no additional information should be given in the bid document and no additional information should be given be attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format given in the bid document and no additional information should be given beginned Experience (Sindh / Karachi) of project completed in last 05 lears (02 point per project, upto a maximum of 05 projects).  SCO-9001:2000 Valid Registration or better shall be evaluated Proposed Work Schedule, Method Statement, Composed Detailed Work Schedule (inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)  Detailed Method Statement (Inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)  Detailed Method Statement (Inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)  Deganization Chart  Proposed Project Manager - Professional Engineer registered BE Electrical / Energy Engineer) in PEC with at-least 15 years overall experience and 10 years experience in related works having completed tat-least street Solar light projects (detailed CV alongwith PEC egistration, to be submitted).  Dist of employed Engineers with at-least 05 years of experience with heir qualification with documentary proof and PEC Registration number (01 Point for each Engineer upto a maximum of 05 points)  Documentary evidence of Financial Soundness  Comprehensive Audited Reports from Chartered Accountant of the firm for last 03 years.  Banks Statement for last 12 months.  Verage Annual Turnover of last 05 years (to be ascertained from audited Reports or Income Tax return | Present and Previous Specific Experience  AD  Experience of similar nature works completed as prime contractor (02 coints for each project in last 05 years upto a maximum of 05 such rojects). Documentary proof (i.e. work order & completion certificate) e attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format iven in the bid document and no additional information should be liven  Experience of similar nature works in hand as prime contractor (05 coints for each project up to a maximum of 02 such projects). Documentary proof (i.e. work order) be attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the format given in the bid document and no additional information should be given  Regional Experience (Sindh / Karachi) of project completed in last 05 ears (02 point per project, upto a maximum of 05 projects).  BO-9001:2000 Valid Registration or better shall be evaluated  Proposed Work Schedule, Method Statement,  Corganization Chart  Proposed Detailed Work Schedule (inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)  Detailed Method Statement (Inter-relating all the sub-heads of the works)  Organization Chart  Proposed Project Manager - Professional Engineer registered BE Electrical / Energy Engineer) in PEC with at-least 15 years overall systemione and 10 years experience in related works having completed ti-least street Solar light projects (detailed CV alongwith PEC egistration, to be submitted).  Sist of employed Engineers with at-least 05 years of experience with author (01 Point for each Engineer upto a maximum of 05 points)  Documentary evidence of Financial Soundness  Somprehensive Audited Reports from Chartered Accountant of the firm for last 03 years.  Bank Statement for last 12 months.  Serverage Annual Turnover of last 05 years (to be ascertained from audited Reports or Income Tax return dutly accepted by CBR).  Less than Rs. 100 million -02 Point -04 Points -05 Rs. 300 million to Rs. 300 million -08 Points -09 Points | Present and Previous Specific Experience  40  Experience of similar nature works completed as prime contractor (02 cints for each project in last 05 years upto a maximum of 05 such rejects). Documentary proof (i.e. work order & completion certificate) e attached. The projects should be presented strictly in the formativen in the bid document and no additional information should be liven  10  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  NO  N |

IRFAN MEMON P.D. PMU ABDUL HALEEM MEMON P.E. / SECRETARY PMU

REPRESENTATIVE

REPRESENTATIVE

SPPEA C.G. GOS

REPRESENTATIVE E.D. GOS REPRESENTATIVE PHED GOS WITTAZ UDDIN S.E. PMU

RESENTATIVE

### **Bid Evaluation Report**

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Project Management Unit , Special Initiative Department , GoS

2. Tender Reference No:

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Design, Procurement , installation, Testing and commissioning of water filtration plants to be operated on Solar Power of the Project

"Drinking water hubs"-Phase-III

4. Method of Procurement:

NCB

5. Tender Published:

03/05/2014,THE EXPRESS ,01/05/2014 THE UMMAT

SPPRA ID No.INF/KRY NO.1536/14

6. Total Bid documents Sold;

04( see detail on attached sheet.)

7. Total Bids Received:

01

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)

26/06/2014

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):

01

10. Bid(s) Rejected:

Nil

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

17/07/2014

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

| S No | Name of<br>Firm or<br>Bidder                   | Firm or by the Bidder in ter |     | Comparison with Estimated cost pc- I Estimated cost (Rs.) | Reasons for acceptance/rejection         | Remarks                    |  |
|------|--|------------------------------|-----|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| 0    | 1  | 2                            | 3   | 4   | 5  | 6                          |  |
| 1.   | A. M/s Pak<br>Oasis<br>industries<br>(Pvt) Ltd | 5,490,375,000                | 1st | 5,052,000,000/=   | Lowest<br>evaluated<br>responsive<br>bid | Contract award in process. |  |

(IRFAN MEMON)

CHAIRMAN,PC, PD,PMU,SID

(ABDUL HALEEM MEMON) Member PC / SECRETARY,

P.E.,PMU,SID

(Nominee OFP&D)

MEMBER PC, PLANNING OFFICER P&D,GOS

subject to ÉPPR N

( 1 mg /m)/ (Nominee OF PHED)

MEMBER PC, GOS

Member PC, S.E., PMU, SID

(JANTIAZ UDDIN)

(Nominee of F.D.) MEMBER PC,SQ. F,D.GOS

# **DETAIL SHEET**

The following firms participated in the bidding process and purchased bid document

- M/s Marathon Construction Company (Pvt) Limited
- 2. M/s R.C.C. Consultant .
- 3. M/s Pak Oasis Industries (pvt) Ltd.
- 4. M/s Universal Enterprises

While up to last date of submission of bid only one firm M/s Pak Oasis Industries (pvt) Ltd. Submitted the bid.

## PROJECT MANAGEMENT UNIT DRINKING WATER HUB GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

## NOTE SHEET

# DRINKING WATER HUB PHASE-III SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF NIT & NCB PROCUREMENT METHOD

- 1. The Drinking Water Hub Phase-III PC-I has cleared from PDWP meeting held on 26-03-2014 and its AA has also issued dated 15-04-2014. The NIT of the Phase-III scheme under Drinking Water Hub is attached with this note sheet and method of procurement adopted for the scheme is "National Competition Bidding (NCB) as per rule 15 (2) (b) (iii) of SPRRA rule 2010.
  - 2. The reason for choosing the National Competition Bidding (NCB) as method of procurement is same as was adopted for Phase-I & Phase-II scheme under Drinking Water Hub.
  - 3. The National Competition Bidding (NCB) method of procurement is the most economical and timely way of procurement under the present circumstances prevailing in the country.
  - 4. It is therefore requested that the approval of NIT and NCB method of procurement for Phase-III scheme may kindly be approved by the Competent Authority.

5. Secretary, SID

A STATE OF THE STA

Jema.4/w

affered

6. AS/SiD



#### No. SO(B)/SID/04-21/2013/Solar/(10) SPECIAL INITIATIVE DEPARTMENT GOVERNMENT OF SINDH

Karachi dated the 14th April 2014

#### NOTIFICATION

No. SO(B)/SID/04-21/2013/Solar, The Government of Sindh is pleased to constitute Procurement Committee for "Drinking Water Hubs (Phase-III) 750 Hubs" of the Project (DWH) comprising of the following:-

| 1. | Project Director, PMU, DWH                   | Chairman |
|----|--|----------|
|    | S.E, PMU, DWH                                | Member   |
|    | Nominee of Finance Department                | Member   |
|    | Nominee of Planning & Development Department | Member   |
|    | Nominee of Local Government Department       | Member   |
|    | Nominee of P.H.E. Department                 | Member   |
|    | PE PMU DWH                                   | Member   |

The function of the Procurement Committee shall be as per Rule of the SPPRA 2010

> (EJAZ AHMED KHAN) SECRETARY

No. SO(B)SID/4-21/2013/Solar

KARACHI DATED THE 14th April 2014

A Copy is forwarded for information to:-

The Additional Chief Secretary (Dev), P&D Department, GOS

The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Finance Department, Karachi.

The Secretary (GA) SGA&CD, Government of Sindh, Karachi iii.

The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Local Government Department, Karachi

The Secretary to Government of Sindh, Public Health Engineering Department, Karachi.

The Project Director, PMU, Drinking Water Hub Project, Karachi

( ATHAR NAWAZ) SECTION OFFICER (B)

