

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RECONDITIONING OF DAU (JHANPUR – TAJO DERO) ROAD 6.58 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	96,150,005/-	1 st	1.01% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	105,366,647/-	2 nd	10.69% Above		
3.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah	108,243,153/-	3 rd	13.71% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. Kazim Mehdi
Deputy Team Leader (Techno Co. Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)71/2013 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RECONDITIONING OF DAU (JHANPUR - TAJO DERO) _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 95,192,861 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 95,192,861 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 96,150,005.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	x

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	x

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	x

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons.)

Yes	
No	x

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING COMMITMENT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	x

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM INDUS HIGHWAY DAKHAN SCHOOL STOP CONNECTED WITH VILLAGE MUHAMMAD ARIF JAKHRANI TO 82 RD UNION COUNCIL SODHI TALUKA KASHMORE
5-30 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	87,593,188/-	1 st	0.88% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah	92,644,308/-	2 nd	6.70% Above		
3.	M/s Agha Muhammad Khan	93,549,430/-	3 rd	7.74% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. I. Aehdi
Dep. Team Leader (Techno Consult Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
 - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
 - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
 - 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)71/2013 _____
 - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM INDUS HIGHWAY _____
 - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
 - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 86,828,619 _____
 - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 86,828,619 _____
 - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
 - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013 _____
 - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three
(Attach list of buyers) _____
 - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
 - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
 - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
 - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co _____
 - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 87,593,188.00 _____
 - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co. _____
M/s. Syed Pr Ali Shah _____
M/s Agha Muhammad Khan _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
-------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.?)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SHERANPUR PULL TO VILLAGE DEVAL KHAN QAMBRANI 7.5 Km.
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor	108,046,580/-	1 st	0.154% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch	115,909,968/-	2 nd	7.44% Above		
3.	M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers	118,503,730/-	3 rd	9.85% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. Kazim Meher
Deputy Team Leader

(Techno Consult Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department. (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)71/2013 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SHERANPUR PULL _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 107,880,514 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 107,880,514 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 108,046,580.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor
M/s. Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch
M/s Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Direct Loan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: ROAD CONSTRUCTION BYPASS RANIPUR SACHAL GATE DARAZA
GADEJI BRIDGE MINOR VIA ABUL WAH RIGHT BANK 6.0 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold: Four
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Friend Enterprises	87,930,682/-	1 st	0.62% Lower	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah	94,985,649/-	2 nd	7.36% Above		
3.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	97,254,008/-	3 rd	9.92% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. Kazim Meh
Deputy Tea

er (Techno Consult Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT ROAD CONSTRUCTION BYPASS RANIPUR SACHAL GATE _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 88,475,825 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 88,475,825 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Four _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Friend Enterprises _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 14,552,533.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Friend Enterprises _____
M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah _____
M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co. _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING COMMITMENT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM KUMB-KOT LALU SAHIB KHAN JALALANI ROAD TO VILLAGE PIR BUX LAKHO VIA SAHIBUDDIN HALEPOTO, SOOMAR HALEPOTO, GHULAM QADIR SHAR, ABDUL JABBAR SHAR AND M MAROOF SHAR TALUKA FAIZ GANJ DISTRICT KHAIRPUR 6.2 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Four
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers	87,400,989/-	1 st	0.03% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Friend Enterprises	91,727,358/-	2 nd	4.98% Above		
3.	M/s Syed Pir Ali Shah	92,227,355/-	3 rd	5.55% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. Kazim Mehdi
Deputy Team Leader (Techno Consult i

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM KUMB-KOT LALU SAHIB
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 87,377,985
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 87,377,985
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Four
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 87,400,985.00
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers
M/s. Friend Enterprises
M/s Syed Pir Ali Shah

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>		
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING COMMITMENT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/Item: CONSTRUCTION OF LINK ROAD FROM VILLAGE MUQDUM WAHID BUX JAFRI ROAD UPTO VILLAGE MUQDUM QUTUB KHAN BAIKANI, VIA VILLAGE MUQDUM MUHAMMAD, MURAD NINDWANI AND VILLAGE DOST ALI NINDWANI 5.55 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013


12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Syed-Pir Ali Shah	88,296,034/-	1 st	0.199% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Const. Co.	99,531,805/-	2 nd	12.50% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	103,409,863/-	3 rd	16.88% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



Saundad Khan Solangi
Project Director


Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director


Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer


Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. K
Dep


Mehdi
Team Leader (Techno-Consult Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT. / OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)71/2013 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF LINK ROAD FROM VILLAGE MUGD _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 88,472,889 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 88,472,889 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Syed Pr Ali Shah _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 88,296,034.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Syed Pr Ali Shah
M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Const. Co.
M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details and reasons)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/71/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF MULTI CELL CULVERT AT MUBARKPUR MINOR AND ROAD FROM MUBARKPUR MINOR TO MUBARKPUR ROAD AT ABDUL SATTAR MAHR VILLAGE -57 Km
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: February 15, 2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	14,552,533/-	1 st	1.06% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	14,821,392/-	2 nd	2.93% Above		
3.	M/s Syed Pir Ali Shah	15,381,446/-	3 rd	6.82% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

Saindad Khan Solangi
Project Director

Shafqat Hussain Mughal
Deputy Project Director

Agha Sikandar Khan
Divisional Account Officer

Nobou Koboyashi
Team Leader Katahira & Engineers

S. Kazi
Depu

Shadi
Team Leader (Techno Consult Int.)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
 - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Provincial
 - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
 - 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)71/2013
 - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF MULTI CELL CULVERT AT MUBARK
 - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
 - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 14,399,401
 - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 14,399,401
(For civil works only)
 - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year
 - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 15-02-2013
 - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three
(Attach list of buyers)
 - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three
 - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three
 - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT
(Enclose a copy) _____
 - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
 - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 14,552,533.00
 - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
M/s. Umer Jan & Co.
M/s Syed Pir Ali Shah

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT Domestic Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF A ROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

39) Date of Award of Contract: _____

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

SHAB

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SHIKARPUR JAGGAN ROAD @ MILE 4/0 TO GHULAM HAIDER JAFFRI I/C LINKS PANDHI (5.29 KM) .
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS) .
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Four
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor.	76,600,891/-	1 st	2.74% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch.	81,483,538/-	2 nd	9.29% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	82,945,525/-	3 rd	11.25% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

(Handwritten signatures: S. Koz Mela, M. K. Baloch, and others)

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SHIKARPUR _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 74,560,156.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 74,560,156.00 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Four _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 76,600,891.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Shahrukh Engineers & Contractor. _____
M/s. Sardar Muhammad Ashraf D. Baloch. _____
M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co. _____
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

SHA3


Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RECONDITIONING / CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD VILLAGE DATO PAHORE VIA SAWAN PAHORE TO RAHIMABAD (S.O. 2122)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Samad Khan Baber & Co.	79,424,738	1 st	4.55% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan Khan & Co.	87,212,128	2nd	14.80% Above		
3.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	91,393,379	3rd	20.31% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RECONDITIONING / CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD VILLAGE _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 75,967,233.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 75,967,233.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Samad Khan Baber & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 79,424,738.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). M/s. Samad Khan Baber & Co. _____
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

SHAZ

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RECONDITIONING / CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD GOTH MIANI CHANISER TO HAIRDDIN JHANGWANI VIA TILO PAHORE (S.2012A1) .
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Barkatullah Baloch Construction & Co.	83,277,375	1 st	4.88% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	98,728,460	3 rd	24.34% Above		
3.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan Khan & Co.	99,812,210	2 nd	25.70% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

[Handwritten signatures of committee members]

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RECONDITIONING / CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD GOTH _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 79,403,625.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 79,403,625.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Barkatullah Baloch Construction & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 83,277,375.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). M/s. Barkatullah Baloch Construction & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RECONDITIONING OF ROAD HAMAYOON TO JAGAN VIA CHODIO SADHAYO UNION COUNCIL HAMAYOON TALUKA SHIKARPUR (6.87 KM) .
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Samad Khan Babar & Co.	87,307,951	1 st	4.72% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	95,630,748	3 rd	14.70% Above		
3.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	98,363,394	2 nd	17.98% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

S. Iqbal Haidi

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RECONDITIONING OF ROAD HAMAYOON TO JAGAN _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 83,373,726.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 83,373,726.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Samad Khan Babar & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 87,307,951.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Samad Khan Babar & Co. _____
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED :- (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291


Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RADHAN – MOEN JO DERO ROAD TO VILLAGE DR. MEHRAB LAKHIAR VIA SHARIF JUNEJO VILLAGE AND SULTAN AGRO VILLAGE (7.02/2012)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Salman Enterprises	94,916,550	1 st	4.94% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s RPS Construction & Co.	112,417,268	3rd	24.29% Above		
3.	M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon	116,637,404	2 nd	28.96% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT RADHAN – MOEN JO DERO ROAD TO VILLAGE DR. _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 90,446,235.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 90,446,235.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Salman Enterprises _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 94,916,550.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s Salman Enterprises _____
M/s RPS Construction & Co. _____
M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SUPARIO BUND TO VILLAGE YOUSIF VIA NAICH DERO KHAN (5.01 KM).
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	79,878,950/-	1 st	6.00% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umar Jan & Co.	86,706,255/-	3rd	15.06% Above		
3.	M/s. Zarghoon Enterprises	88,227,020/-	2 nd	17.08% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
 - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
 - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
 - 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
 - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM SUPARIO BUND _____
 - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
 - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 75,359,236.00 _____
 - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 75,359,236.00 _____
(For civil works only)
 - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
 - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
 - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
 - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
 - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
 - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
 - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
 - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 79,878,950.00 _____
 - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
M/s. Umar Jan & Co.
M/s. Zarghoon Enterprises

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- | | | | | |
|----|--|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | X | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Domestic/ Local |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| d) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ | | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM BAGHAR MORI TO VILLAGE UMER SHORO VIA VILLAGE BABU SHORO (5.10/2012).
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Six
7. Total Bids Received: Four
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012


12. Bid Evaluation Report:


S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Umar Jan & Co.	78,040,325	1 st	2.00% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	85,697,463	2 nd	12.01% Above		
3.	M/s Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	88,245,663	3 rd	15.34% Above		
4.	M/s N.K.C	90,293,788	4 th	18.02% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.









SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF
WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS**

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM BAGHAR MORI _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 76,509,696.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 76,509,696.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Six _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Umar Jan & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 78,040,325.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s Umar Jan & Co.
M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
M/s Agha Muhammad Khan & Co. & M/s N.K.C.
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN
THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM VILLAGE MUHAMMAD BUX KALERI TO LOUNG KHOSO, BABU PAHORE (6.04 KM)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONSULTANTS)
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five
7. Total Bids Received: Four
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s RPS Construction Co.	86,791,910/-	1 st	2.74% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon	95,526,275/-	2 nd	13.08% Above		
3.	M/s Zarghoon Enterprise	97,139,025/-	3 rd	14.99% Above		
4.	M/s N.K.C	98,456,025/-	4 th	16.55% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM VILLAGE MUHAMMAD _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 84,475,060.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 84,475,060.00 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Five (Attach list of buyers) _____
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s RPS Construction Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 186,791,910.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). M/s RPS Construction Co _____
M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon _____
M/s Zarghoon Enterprise & M/s N.K.C _____
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____ _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM ALI DOST PAHORE TO ABDUL RAZZAQ BUNGLANI VIA VILLAGE RAJIB PAHORE, (6.46KM).
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	94,031,385/-	1 st	5.18% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	98,306,665/-	2 nd	9.97% Above		
3.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	100,539,309/-	3 rd	12.46% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.


S. K. Mehdli


Mubashir



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
 - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
 - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
 - 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
 - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM ALI DOST PAHORE _____
 - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
 - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 89,397,225.00 _____
 - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 89,397,225.00 _____
 - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
 - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
 - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three (Attach list of buyers) _____
 - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
 - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
 - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
 - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co. _____
 - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 94,031,385.00 _____
 - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
 - 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
 - a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X Domestic/ Local
 - b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
 - c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
 - d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


Bid Evaluation Report


1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF DILMURAD KARIM BUX KHOSO ROAD TO WALI MUHAMMAD BANGLANI (GARHISHAKH). (5.65 KM)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONSTRUCTION).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Four
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	87,025,163/-	1 st	5.93% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	94,788,018/-	2 nd	15.38% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	95,594,410/-	3 rd	16.36% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.


S. Khan


Mubho Kolichu


S. Khan

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
 - 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
 - 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
 - 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
 - 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF DILMURAD KARIM BUX KHOSO _____
 - 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
 - 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 82,153,235.00 _____
 - 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 82,153,235.00 _____
 - 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
 - 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
 - 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Four (Attach list of buyers) _____
 - 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
 - 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
 - 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
 - 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
 - 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 87,025,163.00 _____
 - 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co. _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- | | | | |
|----|--|-------------------------------------|--|
| a) | SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> Domestic/ Local |
| b) | SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) | TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) | TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset


Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF LINK ROAD FROM KHANDKOT MAL GUZAR ROAD TO SHAKAL KHAN BHANGWAR (6.11 KM).
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	90,400,005/-	1 st	5.73% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	97,425,570/-	2 nd	13.95% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	98,917,607/-	3 rd	15.69% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF LINK ROAD FROM KHANDKOT _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 85,498,822.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 85,498,822.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 90,400,005.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Umer Jan & Co.
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

LAG

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: RECONDITIONING OF ROAD FROM LARKANA KAMBER ROAD TO KAMBER MAHI MAHOL THOOF CHOSOL ROAD (6.08km)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS).
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three
7. Total Bids Received: Three
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Zarghoon Enterprises (Pvt) Ltd	78,096,120/-	1 st	5.64% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers.	80,731,770/-	2 nd	9.21% Above		
3.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	82,971,080/-	3 rd	12.24% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

[Handwritten signatures]
S. K. Mehta
M. K. K. K.

[Handwritten signature]

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM LARKANA KAMBER _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 73,925,745.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 73,925,745.00 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy) _____
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Zarghoon Enterprises (Pvt) Ltd _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 78,096,120.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
M/s. Zarghoon Enterprises (Pvt) Ltd _____
M/s. Niaz Muhammad Khan & Brothers. _____
M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

248

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM AREJA – PURANI GUD TO VILLAGE MANGRIA VIA KHUHRO JAOTI ALONG PROJECTION (B. 05/12/11)

4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)

5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS)
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; Three

7. Total Bids Received: Three

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA


10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA

11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	100,130,450/-	1 st	6.00% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Umer Jan & Co.	105,705,950/-	2 nd	11.90% Above		
3.	M/s. Abdul Ghaffar Memon	107,370,540/-	3 rd	13.66% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM AREJA – PURANI _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 94,462,819.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs. 94,462,819.00 _____
(For civil works only)
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Three _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Three _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Three _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 100,130,450.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT _____
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). M/s Muhammad Ramzan & Co. _____
M/s. Umer Jan & Co. _____
M/s. Abdul Ghaffar Memon _____
- 18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Int.	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------	-------------------------------------	------	--------------------------

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	--------------------------

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY
COMPLIANT?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF
CONTRACT?

(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM DARO TOWN TO KHUDA BUX DETHO VIA UMER MACHERA AND PIRO BROHI ROAD (7.59 KM)
4. Method of Procurement: Local Competitive Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No (INFORMED TO ALL PREQUALIFIED CONTRACTORS)
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five
7. Total Bids Received: Four
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA
11. Financial Bid Opening date: October 05, 2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:


S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s N.K.C	115,437,856/-	1 st	2.20% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon	132,332,109/-	2 nd	17.15% Above		
3.	M/s Umar Jan & Co.	141,349,815/-	3 rd	25.14% Above		
4.	M/s RPS Construction Co.	146,808,027/-	4 th	29.97% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



S. Koj. Helal

Nobu Kalshe



SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

- Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
- 1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. _____
- 2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial _____
- 3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) _____
- 4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/846/2012 _____
- 5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT CONSTRUCTION OF ROAD FROM DARO TOWN TO KHUD _____
- 6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC _____
- 7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 112,955,057.00 _____
- 8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 112,955,057.00 _____
- 9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year _____
- 10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 05-10-2012 _____
- 11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Five _____
(Attach list of buyers)
- 12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four _____
- 13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four _____
- 14) BID EVALUATION REPORT _____
(Enclose a copy)
- 15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s N.K.C. _____
- 16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 115,437,856.00 _____
- 17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). M/s N.K.C. _____
M/s Abdul Ghaffar Memon _____
M/s Zarghoon Enterprise & M/s RPS Construction Co _____

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

- a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ X _____ Domestic/ Local
- b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes No

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/Local Int.

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes No

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes No

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes No

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes No

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes No

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes No

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes No

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--------------------------	----	-------------------------------------

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print

Save

Reset