- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
 - 2. Lender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface

Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's

of U/C Bhurgari Taluka & District Khairpur

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure

5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

Total Bid documents Sold; 7. Total Bids Received:

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N.A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N.A.

10. Bid(s) Rejected: ______NIL___

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cast	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	:1	2	3	4	5	6
I.	A. Mr. Muhammed Ibrahim	99,57,618%	I" Lowest	70.60% above Estimated Cost 35,99,703/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
32/	B. M/s Jumani Associates	1,00,54,494/-	2 rd Lowest	72.0% above Estimated Cost 36,96,579/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
3.:	C. M/s Amjad Javeed	1,01,20,7774-	3rd Lowest	70,60% above Estimated Cost 37,62,863/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

TALUKA OFFICER (Finance) Toxon Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement Member

TALUKA OFFICER (I&S)

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER Town Municipal Administration Chairpur Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

- 1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
- 2. Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface

Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's

of U/C Jillani Taluka & District Khairpur

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure

5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 7. Total Bids Received: 03		
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable)	N.A.	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):	<u>N.A</u>	
10. Bid(s) Rejected:	_NIL	
11 Sinancial Bid Opening date: 10-07-20	12	

11. Financial Bid Opening date:

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cast	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
i.	M/s Al Awais Enterprise	99,47,930/-	1 ^M Lowest	70.41% above Estimated Cost 35.90,016/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Naseem Ahmed Shah	1,00,23,912/-	2 nd Lowest	71.90% above Estimated Cost 35,65,987/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
3.	M/s Jumani Associates	1,00,54,494/-	3 rd Lowest	72.50% above Estimated Cost 36,96,579/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

TALUKA OFFICER (Finance) Town Municipal Administration Khairpur Procurement Member

TALUKA OFFICER (I&S) Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER Town Municipal Administration Khairpur Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur 2. Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's of U/C Shah Latif Taluka & District Khairpur 4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure 5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) Total Bid documents Sold; 7. Total Bids Received: 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N.A (Provide details in separate form) 10. Bid(s) Rejected: ______NIL ____ 11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-2012 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	- 1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr Shahbaz Dino	99,52,519/-	1 st Lowest	70.50% above Estimated Cost 35.94.6047-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Jumani Associates	1,00,54,494/-	2** Lowest	72.50% above Estimated Cost 36,96,579/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
3.	M/s Ghulam Asghar Shaikh	1,00,85,086/-	3 rd Lowest	73.10% above Estimated Cost 37,27,171/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

TALUKA OFFICER (Finance) Town Municipal Administration Khairpur Procurement Member

TALURA OFFICER (I&S)

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER Town Municipal Administration Chairpur Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

2. Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing CC Block pavers , Construction of Surface Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's of U/C Bukhari Taluka & District Khairpur

4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure

5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03

7. Total Bids Received: 03

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N.A (Provide details in separate form)

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N.A (Provide details in separate form)

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cast offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s Amanullah & Co	99,60,167/-	I" Lowest	70.65% above Estimated Cost 36,02.253/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
2.	M/s Jumani Associates	1,00,54,494/-	2 nd Lowest	72.50% above Estimated Cost 36,96,579/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
3.8	M/s Nascem Ahmed Shah	1,00,64,181/-	3rd Lowert	72.69% above Estimated Cost 37,06,267/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

TALUKA OFFICER (Finance)
Town Municipal Administration
Khaippur
Procurement Member

TALUKA OFFICER (IAS)

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER
Town Municipal Administration Khaupur
Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

- Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
 Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012
- 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Widening of road along old N.H. Way near Superior Science
 College disposal work (Western side) to wards Punjhatti
 Taluka & District Khairpur
- 4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure
- 5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site

 Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03. 7. Total Bids Received: 03		
8. Technical Bid Opening date; (if applicable)	N.A	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):	N.A	
10. Bid(s) Rejected:	NIL	
11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-201	12	

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1,	M/s Jumani Associates	26,28,307/-	1 ^a Lowest	9.60% above Estimated Cost 1,50,198/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work
2	Mr Shahnawaz Sahito	26,30,654/-	2 nd Lowest	9.75% above Estimated Cost 1,52,544/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates
3.	M/s Naseem Akhtar	26,33,314/-	3 rd Lowest	9.92% above Estimated Cost 1,55,204/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates

TALUKA OFFICER (Finance)
Town Municipal Administration
Khairpur
Procurement Member

TALUKA OFFICER (I&S)

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement-Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER
Town Municipal Administration Chairpur

Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur 2. Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Providing Drainage Facility to Dad Shah Colony U/C Bhurgari 4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure 5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) Total Bid documents Sold: 03 7. Total Bids Received: 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form) 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA 10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL 11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-2012

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
15	A. M/s Wazir & Co	49,67,564/-	1 st Lowest	82.10% above Estimated Cost 16,88,409/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work	
2,	B. M/s Khadim Hussain Meerani	49,80,931/-	2 ^{ad} Lowest	82.75% above Estimated Cost 17,01,777/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates	
3,	C. M/s Zulfiqar Sodhro	49,86,073/-	3 rd Lowest	83.00% above Estimated Cost 17,06,918/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates	

Town Municipal Administration Khairour Procurement Member

TALURA OFFICER (I&S)

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OFFICER

Town Municipal Administration Chairpur Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Town Municipal Administration Khairpur 2. Tender Reference No: Engg / 254 of 2012 dated 20-6-2012 3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Surface Drains / renovation and CC Topping at Azam Colony and various Mohalla's of U/C Lugman 4. Method of Procurement: Single stage one envelop Procedure 5. Tender Published: Daily New Karachi dated 23-6-2012 & SPPRA web site Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates) 6. Total Bid documents Sold; 03 7. Total Bids Received: 03 8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) N.A (Provide details in separate form) 9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): N.A. 10. Bid(s) Rejected: NIL

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
18	M/s Al Naveed Enterprise	49,74,104/-	1 st Lowest	69.50% above Estimated Cost 17,92,518/-	Lowest	Recommended for award of work	
2.	M/s Amjad Javeed	49,93,448/-	2 rd Lowest	70.25% above Estimated Cost 18,11,862/-	High	Not Recommended due to higher rates	
3.	M/s Agha Gul Hassan	50,12,791/-	3 rd Lowest	71.0% above Estimated Cost 18,31,205/-	Higher	Not Recommended due to higher rates	

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 10-07-2012

ALUKA OFFICER (Finance) Town Municipal Administration

> Kháirpur Procurement Member

TALUKA OFFICER (1885)

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

Procurement Member

TOWN MUNICIPAL OF NICER

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

Procurement Member

ADMINISTRATOR

Town Municipal Administration Khairpur

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface drains/ Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's of U/C Bhorgan Taiaka & District Khairpur
4)	TENDER NUMBER 07
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide drainage facility of various streets of U/C
6)	FORUM THAT ARPROVED THE SCHEME Government Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs 1,00,00,000-
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE By 99,77,144/-
9)	(For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS RER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10-7-2012
tı)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIMP OF DESNIT G OF BIDS AII (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
15)	(Enclose a copy) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. Mr Muhammed Ibrahim
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 99,57,618-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORTS (i.e. la, 2a, 3a EVALUATION BID). Mr. Muhammed Ibrahim M/s Jumani Associates M/s Amaid Jr eed
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE / Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE ***
	t) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE ***
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE ***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administra				
		CUREMENT			
ADVI	ERTISEMENT :	Yes	1		
·i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No			
SOV	News Papers	Yes	1	-	
	(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No			
NAT	URE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	1	Int	
DOC	UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER UMENTS?	Yes		No	
WHI	ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS JUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	Yes	12	No	
			1		
OBT	AINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN	Yes		No	7
WAS	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	ž	Na	
WH	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	Yes]3	Ne	
		Yes		No	
29) PRI	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED CES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes	1	No	ľ
TH	HETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE E AWARD OF CONTRACT? Each copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	1	No	
	PLAN ADVI ii) iii) NATI WHE INCL DOCC (If ye WHI INCL DOCC IT INCL	ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / PER DID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

*

-	#Delice History visited addressed as Volume	Yes	1	
31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	No	12	-
	All familiarity and the second	W2		
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER	Yes		
367 ()	NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	No	#	
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	Yes		
33)	(If yes, give reasons)	No	1	
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Yes		
5186.7	(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	No	1	
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE	Yes	17	
-5000	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	No		
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVINTHE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?			13
	IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GOARANTEE ETC.)	Yes	No	1
	D CONTROL OF THE CONT	Yes	No	17
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	53755		1
Sign	ature & Official Stamp of			
Au	thorized Officer Administrator			
SF	thorized Officer Administrator Town Municipal Administration Khairpur PRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Rolle; 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291	ad, Kara	chi	

39)Date of Award of Contract:

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Moballa's of U/C Jillani Taluka & District Khairpur
4)	TENDER NUMBER 07
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide drainage facility of various streets of U/C
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Government Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 1,00,00,000/-
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE 99.77,100/-
9)	(For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIMB) 10-7-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03 (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 63
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS All (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached (Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER MUSICAL AND Enterprise
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 99.47,930/-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2st, 3st EVALUATION BID). M/s Al Awais Enterprise Mr Naseem Ahmed Shah M/s. Jumani Associates
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE/ Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE ***
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _ ***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

APPR	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administ	rator, TMA	Khp		
	Care and the season a	OCUREMENT			
ADV	ERTISEMENT:				
35	SPPR A Website	Yes	1		
86	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No	N		
ii)	News Papers	Yes	10		
	(it yes, give mariles of newspapers and dates)	No			
NAT	JRE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	J.	Int .	
		Yes	14	No	
DOCU	JMENTS?				
INCL	UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	Yes	1	No	
- C. C. C. C. C.					
OBTA	INED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN	Yes		No	
COM	PETITIVE BIDDING?			1_	
WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	14	No	
		Yes	1	No	
		Yes		No	1
		Yes	7	No	İ
WELLOW CALLEY	집 살았다면 되어 보고 아이들은 아무리 내 물질을 모임하고 되어 있었으면 그렇지 않는데 아들이 없다는데 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들이 아들어 있다고 있다면 다른데	Yes	17	No	
	WHE INCLUDOCU (If yes WHE OBTA COMI) WHE BID / WHE COMI WAS WHE COMI WAS WHE COMI W	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROPLAN? ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) No NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? ADVERTISEMENT: i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(4)

ĸ.

10

* 5:				
31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Yes		
#V160V	(If yes, result thereof)	No	1	
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER	Yes		
2	NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	No	7	
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	Yes	4	
	(If yes, give reasons)	No	-	
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Yes	T	
	(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	No	1	
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE	Yes	1/	
	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	No		
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVA IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes	YMENT No.	77
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	No.	
Signi	sture & Official Stamp of			
Autl	norized Officer Administrator Town Municipal Administration			
Ž	Khairpur			
SPI Tele	PRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, 221-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291	. Karac	hi	
	- The first of the angle of t			

39)Date of Award of Contract:____

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing CC Block pavers, Construction of Surface Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mobalia's of U/C Shah Latif Taluka & District Khairpur
4)	TENDER NUMBER 07
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide drainage facility of various streets of U/C Shah Latif
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Government Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs 1,00,00,000/-
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATED 99,77,100/-
9)	(For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10-7-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 93. (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS All (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached) (Enclose a copy)
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BUDDER Mr. Sharbar Dino
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 99,52,519
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1s, 2ss; 3st EVALUATION BID). Mr. Subbbaz Dino M/s Jumani Associates M/s Ghulam Asgbar Shaikh
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
150	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE/ Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE_***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.E. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	19)	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administr				
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give dute and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dutes) 7 No. 8 No. 9 No.	20)		CUREMENT			
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 26) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY YES NO PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	21)	ADVERTISEMENT:	Van	TE		
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 722 NATURE OF CONTRACTOR 233 WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 244 WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 255 WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 260 WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 271 WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 282 WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY (Yes No PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		i) SPPRA Website	(200			_
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		(If yes, give date and SPPRA	No	L.		_
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates) 22) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR 23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	10					
22) NATURE OF CONTRACTOR Domestic: / Int WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		ii) News Papers	Yes	1		
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY (Yes No COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No			
NCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	22)	NATURE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	1	Int	
INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	222	WHETHER OUT I FIGATION CRITERIAWAS	Yes	17	No	
(If yes, enclose a copy) 24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY (No COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	23)	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	1575	l'ar	THE S	1
24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?				4	1	
INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		(If yes, enclose a copy)				L
DOCUMENTS? (If yes, criclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	24)		Yes	1	Na	
(If yes, enclose a copy) 25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?					1 1	
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		APPLYTON TO CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL		1		
OBTAINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes / No 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? Yes / No Yes / No PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	00					_
COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS? Yes J No. 27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS	Yes		No	1
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?						
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes / No.	30	WAS DID SUCHBITY OPTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	12	No	-
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes / No	20)	WAS BID SECORT I OBTAINED FROM MEETING OF THE PROPERTY.				
28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT? 29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Yes No.	27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED	Yes	1	No	T
COMPLIANT? 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? Ves / No.		BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	F	4		1
29) 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	28)		Yes		No	1
PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?		The second of the second secon	F2007 =			1
20) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE YES / No	29)	29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Ves		No	
20) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE YES / No.			P		*:11/2	-
	30)	WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE	Yes	1	No	
THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)						

31)	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Yes	1		
1.75	(If yes, result thereof)	No	1		
$\langle \hat{x} \rangle$		L.V.	100		21
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER	Yes	1		
	NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	Na	*		
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	Yes			
	(If yes, give reasons)	No	4		
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Yes	-		
	(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	No	1		
35)	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE	Ves	12		
	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	No			
	THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCURE!		SO.		
	THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCURE DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	MENT? IF	SO.		
	DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy)	MENT? IF , IF ABRO	SO, DAD:	No	E
37)	DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT	MENT? IF , IF ABRO	SO, DAD:	10000	[*
37)	DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVA	MENT? IF , IF ABRO	SO, DAD:	10000	i i
37) 38)	DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT (If yes, enclose a copy) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVA	MENT? IF , IF ABRO Yes ANCE PA	SO, DAD:		lo a

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

39)Date of Award of Contract:_____

*SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

	NAME OF THE ORGAN	IZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Ac	Iministration Khairpur
1)	NAME OF THE ORGAN	GOVT./ OTHER Local Government	
2)	PROVINCIAL/LOCAL	Construct	ion of Sucince:
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Providing CC Block pavers , Construct Drains / Renovation & CC topping at y of U/C Bukhari Taluka & District Khai	
4)	TENDER NUMBER	0.7	
5)	A CONTRACT AND	DF CONTRACT To provide drainage fact	singh through Special Grant
6)	FORUM THAT APPRO	and the second s	Aller and the second second
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED	VALUE Rs 1,00,00,000/-	
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATION (For civil works only)	99,77,100/-	5 months
9)	ESTIMATED COMPA	LION PRINCIPAL COLLINS	Ginonias
10)	TENDER OPENED ON	(DATE & TAIR) 10-7-2912	
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER (Attach list of buyers)	DOCUMENTS SOLD 1 03	
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RE	ECEIVED 03	COERUS All (03)
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDER	IS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENIN	GOT BIDS RELEASE
14)	BID EVALUATION RI (Enclose a copy)	EPORT Copy attached) SS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M	S'Amanullah & Co
15)	NAME AND ADDRES	PRICE Rs 99,60,167/-	A A T
16)	CONTRACT AWARD	ESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION RE	PORT
17)	(i.e. ls., 2nd, 3nd EVALU	JATION BID). M/s Amanullah & Co M/s Jumani Associates M/s Nascem Ahmed Shah	4
	#40 Degrado 03/45/98/4/1002	Alarmonic notices and the second	Victoria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya d
18)	a) SINGLE STAC	UREMENT USED : - (Tick one) GE ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	J Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAC	GE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _	
	e) TWO STAGE	BIDDING PROCEDURE	
	d) TWO STAGE	-TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCED	OURE_***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

0)	WH	ETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PR	OCUREMENT	Г		
	PLA		o containing!	ÄÄ		
1)	ADV	ERTISEMENT:				
	ŋ	SPPRA Website	Yes	7		-
	10	(If yes, give date and SPPRA	No			
		Identification No.)				
	H)	News Papers	Yes	17		
		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No	-	-	
	Harris		190			_
2)	NATI	URE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	X	fet	T
3)	WHE	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS			4100	
3		JDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	Yes	1	No	1
	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	MENTS?		1	T)	
	(If yes	, enclose a copy)	1			
4)	WHE	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS	Yes	12	No	1
		JDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	33/04	100	1,535	
		MENTS? , enclose a copy)		1		
	.0000000	, white a capy		1	Ц.	1
5)	WHET	HER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS	Yes	1	No	1
	COMB	INED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN ETITIVE BIDDING?		1	1	
	COMP	ETTTVE BIDDANG?				
5)	WASI	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	TZ	l No	-
			200			L
7)	WHE	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED	Yes	17	No	
	BID/)	BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	199804	1	11.00	1.
B)	WHE	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY	Yes		No	17
	COMP	LIANT?				
			-	1_		1
9)	29) WI	HETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED	Yes	1	No	T
	PRICE	S WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?				
))	WHE	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE	Yes	17	No	1
	THE A	WARD OF CONTRACT?	(1)-24-(2)	PA	100	
	(Attack	copy of the bid evaluation report)	1			1

Yes	1		
.No	1	10000	
Yes			
No	300		
Yes	-	-	
No	1		
Ves	1		
No	16		
Yes	1	-	-
No			
Yes		Ne	1
To the second		V	4
Tus		190	
ad, Kara	chi		
	No Yes No Yes No Yes No RING AGEN REMENT? IF SIT, IF ABRO Yes	No / Yes No / Yes No / Yes No / Yes No / RING AGENCY TO REMENT? IF SO, SIT, IF ABROAD: Yes OVANCE PAYMENT Yes	No / Yes No / No RING AGENCY TO REMENT? IF SO, SIT, IF ABROAD: Yes No OVANCE PAYMENT Yes No

39)Date of Award of Contract:

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khairpur
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Widening of road along old N.H. Way Near Superior Science College Disposal work to wards Punihatti Road
4)	TENDER NUMBER 07
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide road facility
6)	FORUM THAT PARON ED THE SCHEME Government Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs 27,00,000/-
8)	ENGINEER'S USTIM 26,34,400/-
9)	(For civil works only) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10-7-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 3. (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TOP OF BIDS AII (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached
15)	(Enclose a copy) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER. Mr. Juine at Associates
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 26,28,307/-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. la, 2nd, 3nd EVALUATION BID). Mr. Jumani Associates M/s Shahnawaz Sahito M/s Nascem Akhtar
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE / Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE ***
7	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE_ ***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.
EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19)	APPR	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administ	rator , TMA	Khp		
20)	PLAN	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PRO 17	CUREMENT	Ñ.		
21)	ADV	ERTISEMENT:				
	(i	SPPRA Website	Yes	1.0		
	Af .	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No .			
	ii)	News Papers	Yes	X		
		(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No			
22)	NATI	URE OF CONTRACTOR	Dismestic:	1	lni	
23)	DOCU	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER JMENTS? I, enclose a copy)	Ye	1	No	
25	\$0-1 ₹ .00°	*v = 20 C C C (10 P) = 1 C (10 C) = 1 C (10 C)	-	-		-
24)	INCL	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER JMENTS?	Yes	7	No	
	(If yes	, enclose a copy)		1.		
25)	OBTA	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS AINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN PETITIVE BIDDING?	Yes		Nn	2
26)	WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	2	No	
27)		THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	Yes	7	No	
28)		THER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY PLIANT?	Yes		No	1
29)		HETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED ES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes	1	No	
30)	THE.	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE AWARD OF CONTRACT? th copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	1	No	
				- 1		3.

- 4

Ē

(If yes, result thereof) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREN DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, (If yes, enclose a copy)	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No Yes No Yes No			
NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No Yes No Yes No			
(If yes, give reasons) 4) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) 5) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? 6) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREN DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No. Yes No.			
(If yes, give reasons) 4) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.) 5) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? 6) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREN DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No. Yes No.			
(If yes, give detailed reasons.) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No			
(If yes, give detailed reasons.) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED? WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	Yes No NG AGEN			
6) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	No NG AGEN			
6) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	NG AGEN	NCY TO	211	
THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREM DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT,	NG AGEN	CY TO		
(if yes, chelose a cupy)	, IF ABRO	SO,	No	12
WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVA	ANCE PA	YMENT	Ē.	
IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	Yes		No	11
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	Yes	V	No	1×
(If yes, give Brief Description)		-	1	+
Signature & Official Stamp of				

1 de

Authorized Officer Administrator

Town Municipal Administration

Khairpur

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

39)Date of Award of Contract:

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khairput
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing CC Block pavers. Construction of Surface Drains / Renovation & CC topping at various Mohalla's of U/C Luqman Taluka & District Khairpur
(4)	TENDER NUMBER 07
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide drainage facility
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Covernment Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs 50,00,000/-
8)	(For civil works only)
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10-7-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03. (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS All (03)
14) 15)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached (Enclose a copy) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER May Al Naveed Enterprise
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE Rs 49,74,104/-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. la, 2nd, 3nd EVALUATION BID). Mr. AlNaveed Enterprise M/s Amind Javeed Mr Agha Gul Hassan Khan
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)
555611	a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE/ Domestic / local
	b) SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE ***
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE_***

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

8		OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT Administra	OF THE STREET			
)	WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROP ?	CUREMENT			
)	ADVE	RTISEMENT:	Yes	Tr.		_
	i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No			
	54W	NAMES OF THE PARTY	Yes	17		-
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No.			
2)	NATI	JRE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	Tw.	Int	
3)	INCL	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS DOED IN BIDDING / TENDER DMENTS?	Yes	1	No	
		, enclose a copy)		1		
4)	DOC	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER JMENTS? I, enclose a copy)	Yes	12	No	
25)	OBT	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS AINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN PETITIVE BIDDING?	Yes		No	
26)	WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	V	No	
27)	WHI BID	ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED (BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	Yes / No			
28)		ETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY IPLIANT?	Yes		No	E
29)	29) \ PRIC	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED SES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Ves	1	Na	I
30)	THE	ETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE AWARD OF CONTRACT? sch copy of the bid evaluation report)	Yes	1	Na	

Ģ

	ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED	Yes			
31)	(If yes, result thereof)	No	1		
		Yes	1		
32)	ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	No	3		
		Yes	-		-
33)	WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME? (If yes, give reasons)	No	1		
34)	DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA	Yes			
0.556	(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	No	1		
2523	WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE	Yes	7	_	
35)	SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	No			
37)	WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADV IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	/ANCE PA	YMENT	No	V
	THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONTRECTOR OF VISION OF VISIO	Yes		No	7
	IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)	Yes		No	19
38)	SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes		Na	R
9895	thorized Officer Administrator Town Municipal Administration Khairpur				
Te F0	PRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Ro le: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291 OR OFFICE USE ONLY	ad, Karo	ichi		
30)Date of Award of Contract:				

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS WORTH 50 MILLION (PKR) OR ABOVE

j	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Town Municipal Administration Khuirpur
000	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER Local Government
1	TITLE OF CONTRACT Providing Drainage Facility to Dad Shah Colony U/C Bhurgari
)	TENDER NUMBER 07
)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT To provide drainage facility
5)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME Government Sindh through Special Grant
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE _Rs 50,00,000/-
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE Rs 49,89,700/-
9)	(For civil works only): ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 6 months
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 10-7-2012
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD 03. (Attach list of buyers)
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED 03
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS _All (03)
14)	BID EVALUATION REPORT Copy attached) (Enclose a copy) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER Warin & Co
15)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 49.67,564/-
16)	BANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT
17)	(i.e. 1st, 2st, 3st EVALUATION BID). M/s Wazir & Co M/s Khadim Hussain Meerani M/s Zuifiqar Ali Sodhra
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tick one) a) SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE/
	THE TWO PAINT OPE PROCEDURE ***
	A PURPOSE PROCEDURS
	e) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE
	d) TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING FREE COMME

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED I.C. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

(9)	APPR	OVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACTAdministra	tor, TMA K	hp		
20)	WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROC	CUREMENT			
21)	ADVI	ERTISEMENT:	Yes	1		-
	_i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No			
	2220		Yes	17		-
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	No	Ê		
22)	NAT	URE OF CONTRACTOR	Domestic	1	1nt	
23)	INCL DOC	THER QUALIFICATION CRITERIAWAS UDED IN BIDDING / TENDER UMENTS?	Yes	.,	No	
24)	WHI	s, enclose a copy) ETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIAWAS LUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER	Yes	1.	No	
		UMENTS? is, enclose a copy)		1		
25)	OBT	ETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS AINED FOR USING AMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN APETITIVE BIDDING?	Yes		No	
26)	WA	S BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?	Yes	1	No	
27)	WH BID	HETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED FOR BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)	Yes	1	No	
28)		HETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY MPLIANT?	Yes		No	1
29)	29) PRI	WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED CES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	Yes	V	No	
30)	TH	HETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE E AWARD OF CONTRACT? tach copy of the bid evaluation report)	Ves		No	

(If yes, result thereof)			
(If yes, result thereof)	15		
) () ()	. 1		1
2) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER Y	78		1
NOTICE / DOCUMENTS (If yes, give details)	. 1		
8) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?			
(If yes, give reasons)	0 /		
4) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA Y	45		
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)	. 7	7.	
5) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE	s 17	12	
SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?	0	-	
7) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?		• No	1
	M	• No	ŧ.
8) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	rs .	No	1
authorized Officer Administrator			
Town Municipal Administration			
Khairpur			
Khairpur PPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, K ele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291	arachi		

39)Date of Award of Contract:_____

MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR

0243-9280233-35

No: Engg/ 9/2//2012

Khp: Dt: / 7 5/ 1: /2012

To,

M/S AL AWAIS ENTERPRISE

Government Confractor

Subject

PROVIDING BLOCK PAVERS, CONSTT OF SURFACE DRAINS/ RENOVATION & CC TOPPING AT VARIOUS MOHALLA'S OF U/C JILLANI TALUKA AND DISTRICT KHAIRPUR (SPECIAL GRANT))

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 50,98,730 /- (Fifty Lac Ninety Eight Thousand Seven Hundred & Thirty) only @ 70.41% above the schedule of rates being @ 7.60% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 99,47,930/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of cement, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class - 5 of B-I agreement.

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 86 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such, you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable appearal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all to work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the like of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no otherwise of First running hill will be released to you.

A.As Above.

CADMINISTRATOR
Municipal Committee
KHAIRPUR

Cto: -



MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR

0243-9280233-35

No: Engg:/ 285 /2012

Khp: Dt: /2 /8/2012

To.

M/S AL NAVEED ENTERPRISE

Government Contractor

Subject:

CONSTRUCTION OF SURFACE DRAINS/ RENOVATION AND TOPPING AT AZAM COLONY AND VARIOUS MOHALLA'S OF LUQMAN TALUKA KHAIRPUR

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 25,79,162 /-- (Twenty Five Lac Seventy Nine Thousand One Hundred & Sixty Two) only @ 69.50% above the schedule of rates being @ 16.78% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 49,74,104/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of cement, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class - S

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such , you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class -2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no payment of First running bill will be released to you.

D.A.As Above.

Afficinal Commission

ADMINISTRATOR Municipal Committee KHAIRPUR

CC to: -



MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR 0243-9280233-35

No: Engg: 378 /2012

Khp: Dt:/26-/4>/2012

To.

MR MUHAMMED IBRAHIM

Government Contractor

Subject

PROVIDING BLOCK PAVERS ... CONSTI OF SURFACE DRAINS/ RENOVATION & CC TOPPING-AT VARIOUS MOHALLA'S OF U/C BHURGARI TALUKA AND DISTRICT KHAIRPUR (SPECIAL GRANT))

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 50,98,730 /- (Fifty Lac Ninety Eight Thousand Seven Hundred & Thirty) only @ 70.60% above the schedule of rates being @ 7.70% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 99,57,618/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of coment, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class - 5

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such, you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class -2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no psyment of First running bill will be released to you.

D.A.As Above.

ABMINISTRATOR Municipal Committee KHAIRPUR

CC to: -



MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR

0243-9280233-35

No: Engg:/ 875/2012

Khp: Dt: / 2617/2012

To.

M/S JUMANI ASSOCIATE Government Contractor

Subject:

WIDENING OF ROAD ALONG OLD N. H WAY NEAR SUPERIOR DISPOSAL WORK- (PUNJHATTI TALUKA KHAIRPUR

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 15,64,557 /-- (Fiftgen Lac Sixty Four Thousand Five Hundred Fifty Seven) only @ 9.60% above the schedule of rates being @ 6.06% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 26,28,307/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of material prices of bitumen etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class - 5 of B-I agreement.

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such , you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class -2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no payment of First running bill will be released to you.

D.A.As Above.

ADMINISTRATOR Municipal Committee KHAIRPUR

CC to: -





MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR 0243-9280233-35

No: Engg:/ 279 /2012

Khp: Dt: / 26/-7/2012

To.

MR SHAHBAZ, DINO

Government Contractor

Subject:

PROVIDING BLOCK PAVERS , CONSTI OF SURFACE DRAINS/ RENOVATION & CC TOPPING AT VARIOUS MOHALLA'S OF U/C SHAH LATIF TALUKA AND DISTRICT KHAIRPUR (SPECIAL GRANT))

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 50,98,730 /-- (Fifty Lac Ninety Eight Thousand Seven Hundred & Thirty) only @ 70.50% above the schedule of rates being @ 7.65% above the estimated cost totaling 99,52,519/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of cement, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class - 5 of B-I agreement,

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khul:pur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such , you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class -2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no payment of First running bill will be released to you. D.A.As Above

> ADMINISTRATOR Municipal Committee KHAIRPUR

C to: -



MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR

0243-9280233-35

No: Engg:/ 276 /2012

Khp: Dt: / 26 / 2/2012

To,

M/S WAZIR AHMED & CO

Government Contractor

Subject:

PROVIDING DRAINAGE FACILITY TO DAD SHAH COLONY U/C

BHURGARI TALUKA & DISTRICT KHAIRPUR

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 20,56,528 /- (Twenty Five Lac Fifty Six Thousand Five Hundred & Twenty Eight only @ 82.10% above the schedule of rates being @ 1.00% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 49,67,564/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of cement, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class -5 of B-I agreement.

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such, you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class - 2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no payment of First running bill will be released to you.

D.A.As Above.

Municipal Committee

CC to: -



MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE KHAIRPUR

0243-9280233-35

No: Engg:/272_/2012

Khp: Dt: 136 17.12012

To

M/S AMANULLAH & CO Government Contractor

Subject:

PROVIDING BLOCK PAVERS CONSTT OF SURFACE DRAINS/ RENOVATION & CC TOPPING AT VARIOUS MOHALLA'S OF U/C BUKHARI TALUKA AND DISTRICT KHAIRPUR (SPECIAL GRANT)

Your offer on B-I percentage rate tender for the above subjected work amounting to Rs: 50,98,730 /-- (Fifty Lac Ninety Eight Thousand Seven Hundred & Thirty) only @ 70.65% above the schedule of rates being @ 7.73% above the estimated cost totaling to Rs: 99,60,167/- only having been found lowest in the competition accepted by the undersigned subject to the condition premium not will be allowed on non schedule items.

The payment of difference of prices of cement, steel, and bricks etc will be paid subject to enhance of markets at the date of execution of work at site in terms of special class – 5 of B-I agreement.

You are therefore, directed to please start the work within 07 days from the date of issue of this work order, under the instruction / directions of the Municipal Engineer Municipal Committee Khairpur and complete the same within stipulated period up to 06 months as provided in the tender. Since the time factor is considered to be essence of contract on your part as such, you are directed to please adhere to it strictly with the programme of the work as laid down under class - 2 of the agreement. In case of failure please note that you shall your self render and your self liable to penal action as per terms and conditions of the agreement.

The undersigned and the Municipal Engineer, have right to reject any part of all the work if it is not done in accordance with the required specifications. Also please attend the office of the undersigned to complete the tender documents within one week. Otherwise no payment of First running bill will be released to you.

D.A.As Above.

Municipal Committee

CC to: -

The Municipal Engineer, Municipal Committee Khairpur for information.

matter relating to this tender or the contract shall be valid and binding on Government unless it is signed by the Executive Engineer.