Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Recondition of Road from Ghouspur - Tangwani Road to Village Muqadam Wahid Bux Jafri and Link to Sikandarabad

4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)

5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five

7. Total Bids Received: Four

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 29-11-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	143,181,859	1st	3.87% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	149,924,690	2nd	8.77% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	155,440,876	3rd	12.77% Above		
4.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	158,809,026	4th	15.21% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Recondition of Road from Ghouspur - Tangwani Road JA-8
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 137,842,009
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 137,842,009
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 29-11-2013
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Five (Attach list of buyers)
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 143,181,85[illegible]
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah
    M/s. Barkatullah Baloch Construction Co.
    M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	X	Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS.

SPPRA

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	X

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	X

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✔	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✔	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✔	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✔	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	✔

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes [✔] No [ ]

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING CONSULT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes [ ] No [✔]

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes [✔] No [ ]

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction / Recondition of Road from Village Dost Ali Nindwani to Thul Kandhkot Road at Saifal Banglow.

4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)

5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five

7. Total Bids Received: Four

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 29-11-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	125,865,204	1st	2.92% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	130,306,720	2nd	6.55% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	131,285,579	3rd	7.35% Above		
4.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	133,449,732	4th	9.12% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. — Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER — Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT — Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
4) TENDER NUMBER — PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT — Construction / Recondition of Road from Village Dost JA-9
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME — ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE — Rs. 122,294,541
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) — Rs. 122,294,541
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) — 1 Year
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) — 29-11-2013
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) — Five
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED — Four
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS — Four
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER — M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE — 125,865,20
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    - M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah
    - M/s. Barkatullah Baloch Construction Co.
    - M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	X	Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	x

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	x

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✔	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✔	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✔	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✔	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	✔

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	x

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	x

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	x

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	x

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✔	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OUTSIDE, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✔	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	x

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Recondition of Road from Karampur - Mangsi Road to Lashkar Khan Banglani / Attai Khan Banglani Including Link from Ali Dost Pahore to Rahimabad - Thul Road at Village Jangul.

4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)

5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five

7. Total Bids Received: Four

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 29-11-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	130,407,996	1st	1.03% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	134,870,285	2nd	4.49% Above		
3.	M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	137,269,993	3rd	6.35% Above		
4.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	141,272,628	4th	9.45% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
4) TENDER NUMBER PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT Recondition of Road from Karampur - Mangsi Road JA-10
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE Rs. 129,037,824
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) Rs. 129,037,824
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 1 Year
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) 29-11-2013
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD Five (Attach list of buyers)
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED Four
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS Four
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE 130,407,99
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
    M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
    M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	X	Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	x

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	x

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✔	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✔

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✔	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✔	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✔	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	✔

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	X

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	X

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	X

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	X

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes [✔] No [ ]

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes [ ] No [✔]

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes [✔] No [ ]

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	X

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

Print Save Reset

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Works & Services Department (Sindh)

2. Tender Reference No: PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Construction of Road from Karampur - Mangsi Road along B.S.Feeder Canal with Link To Yaro Bijarani / Mitho Bijarani and Heerdin Jhangwani

4. Method of Procurement: Local Competative Bidding (LCB)

5. Tender Published: No
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)

6. Total Bid documents Sold; Five

7. Total Bids Received: Four

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) NA *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): NA

10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA

11. Financial Bid Opening date: 29-11-2013

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	96,830,800	1st	2.23% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	103,764,195	2nd	9.55% Above		
3.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	109,157,842	3rd	15.25% Above		
4.	M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	117,868,740	4th	24.44% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. — Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER — Provincial
3) TITLE OF CONTRACT — Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
4) TENDER NUMBER — PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT — Recondition of Road from Karampur - Mangsi Road SHA-7
6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME — ECNEC
7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE — Rs. 94,716,038
8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only) — Rs. 94,716,038
9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) — 1 Year
10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME) — 29-11-2013
11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers) — Five
12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED — Four
13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS — Four
14) BID EVALUATION REPORT (Enclose a copy)
15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER — M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.
16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE — 96,830,800
17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT (i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).
    - M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.
    - M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.
    - M/S. Syed Pir Ali Shah
18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a)	SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	X	Domestic/ Local
b)	SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		
c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

SPDRA

Japan International Cooperation Agency

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT _____

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	x

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	x

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes		No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes		No	✓

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	x

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	x

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	x

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	x

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	x

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi
Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

ATTENDED THE BID OPENING MEETING HELD ON 29TH NOVEMBER 2013 IN THE OFFICE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR JAPANESE ASSISTED RURAL ROADS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT -II SINDH HYDERABAD

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Shafqat Hussain Mughal	P.D.	
2.	Agha Sikandar Hayat	D.A.O	
3.	Nobuo Kobayashi	KEI. Team Leader	Nobuo Kobayashi
4.	S. KAZIM MEHDI	DTL	
5.	Barkatullah Baloch	M.D.	
6.	G. Pir Ali Shah	Prop.	
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			