1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Works & Services Depart	ment (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No:	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013	
3. Tender Description/Name of	work/item: Recondition of Ro Village Muqadam	ad from Ghouspur - Tangwani Road to Wahid Bux Jafri and Link to Sikandaraba
4. Method of Procurement:	Local Competative Bidding	(LCB)
5. Tender Published: No Print & E	lectronic Media (SPPRA ID No.	& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	Five	
7. Total Bids Received:	our	
8. Technical Bid Opening date:	(if applicable) NA	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualifie	ed (if applicable): NA	
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA		
11. Financial Bid Opening date	29-11-2013	

#### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	143,181,859	1 <sup>st</sup>	3.87% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	149,924,690	2 <sup>nd</sup>	8.77% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	155,440,876	3 <sup>rd</sup>	12.77% Above		
4.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	158,809,026	4 <sup>th</sup>	15.21% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

4

Me

8.18 Held

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

#### TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

440	****	OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT	Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
1)	***************************************	OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. NCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Provincial
2)		OF CONTRACT	Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
3)	19-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3-3	R NUMBER	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
4)		DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Recondition of Road from Ghouspur - Tangwani Road JA-8
5)		THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	ECNEC
6)	J. (30 J. 14 J. 1		Rs. 137,842,009
7)		R ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs. 137,842,009
8)		EER'S ESTIMATE il works only)	1 min fact and instance.
9)	ESTIM.	ATED COMPLETION FRIOD (AS P	ER CONTRACT) 1 Year
10)		R OPEN DON ( ATE & TAKE)	29-11-2013
11)		ER OF TEN PLOCUE ANTS DLI list of buyers)	D Five
12)	NUMB	ER OF BIDS RECEIVED	
13)	NUMB	ER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT	TIME TOPENING OF BIDS Four
14)		ALUATION REPORT e a copy)	<b>Y</b>
15)	NAME	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSE	FUIL DD. M/s. and Pir Ali Shah
16)	CONTI	RACT AWARD PRICE	143,181,85
17)	RANKI	NG OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN E 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID).	VALUATION AEPO T M/s, Syed Pir Ali Shah
	(i.e. i ,	2 ,3 EVALUATION BID).	M/s. Barkatullah Balouci Construction Co.
			M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
18)	METH	OD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (T	ick one)
	a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE	E PROCEDURE X Domestic/ Local
	b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOP	PE PROCEDURE
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDU	URE
	d)	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE I	BIDDING PROCEDURE
			R METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i
		EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTION	NG ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

M4 8.10

19) APPF	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	CONTRACTOR	11001716	ational Cooperation Agency
20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANNU.	AL PRO	CUREMENT PLAN?
21) ADV	ERTISEMENT:			
		Yes		
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	P5211	(eb)	
		No	×	
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes		
	()	No	×	
22) NAT	URE OF COMPAGE			Domestic V Int.
23) WHE WAS	THER QUALIFICATION CRITICAL INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER LOC MEN	ITS?		
(If ye	s, enclose a copy)			Yes No V
WAS	THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS, enclose a copy)	TS?	)	Yes No V
25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI' HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDI	WAS NG2	OPT	D FOR USING A Yes No
26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDERS?	7	Yes V No
27) WHE BID	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancie	VALUAT s)	TED	Yes No
	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECH PLIANT?	NICALLY	1	Yes V No
	THER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?	QUOTE	D PRIC	ES WERE READ OUT AT
	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDER	S BEF	FORE THE AWARD OF
	ch copy of the bid evaluation report)			Yes No
				Siles 2/3
	o other			*\\$~

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	No	×
	No	×
	Yes	
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON M THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZA	Yes No
	D 155 1 115	
\$4.00 \$4.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1.00 \$1		COCURING AGENCY TO THE EMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO OAD:
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING ACCINCY BLACK LISTED?	THAT TI	IE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT
	No	×
34) DEVIATION FROM Q ALIFF ATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
34) DEVIATION FROM O ALIF ATION CRITERIA	No	x
(If yes, give reasons)	1 08	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?	Yes	
	No	×
<ol> <li>ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN (If yes, give details)</li> </ol>	Yes Yes	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	No	×
		w
	000001	

1 1 4 MK. 8.18 reldi

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Works & Services Department (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No:	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
3. Tender Description/Name of	work/item: Construction / Recondition of Road from Village Dost Ali Nindwani to Thul Kandhkot Road at Saifal Banglow.
4. Method of Procurement:	Local Competative Bidding (LCB)
5. Tender Published: No Print & E	Tectronic Media (SPPRA ID No. & News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	Five
7. Total Bids Received:	Four
8. Technical Bid Opening date:	(if applicable) NA (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualifie	ed (if applicable): NA
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA	
11. Financial Bid Opening date:	

#### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	125,865,204	1 <sup>st</sup>	2.92% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	130,306,720	2 <sup>nd</sup>	6.55% Above		
3.	M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	131,285,579	3 <sup>rd</sup>	7.35% Above		
4.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	133,449,732	4 <sup>th</sup>	9.12% Above		

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

4

MC &

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

# TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

	******	OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT	Works & Services Department, (Sindh)
1)		OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Provincial
2)		NCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER	Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)
3)	The state of the state of	OF CONTRACT	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013
4)		R NUMBER	Construction / Recondition of Road from Village Dost JA-9
5)		DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	
6)		I THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	ECNEC
7)		R ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs, 122,294,541
8)	(For civ	EER'S ESTIMATE il works only)	Rs. 122,294,541
9)	ESTIM	ATED COMPLETION ERIOD (AS P	ER CONTRACT) 1 Year
10)		R OPEN DON ( ATE & TAKE)	29-11-2013
11)		ER OF TEN SP OCU ENTS OLI list of buyers)	Five
12)	NUMBI	ER OF BIDS RECEIVED	ur .
13)	NUMB	ER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE	TIME OPENING OF BIDS Four
14)		ALUATION REPORT e a copy)	<b>Y</b>
15)	NAME	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF	CUIL OD M/s. ed Pir Ali Shah
erau			125,865.20
16)	CONTR	LACT AWARD PRICE	
17)	RANKI (i.e. 1",	NG OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN E <sup>1</sup> 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>nd</sup> EVALUATION BID).	VALUATION REPORT M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah
	1986000000000	o to see summer on w	M/s. Barkatullah Baloug Construction Co.
			M/s. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.
18)	METHO	DD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Ti	ick one)
	a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE X Domestic/ Local
	b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOP	E PROCEDURE
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDU	RE
	d)	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE B	IDDING PROCEDURE
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTION	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED IG ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

7 ML g

						HOURS CONTRACTORS
0)	WHET	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANNU	AL PRO	Yes Yes	NT PLAN?
1)	ADVE	RTISEMENT:				
	i)	SPPRA Website	Yes			
	.,	(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)				
			No	Х		
	ii)	News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)	Yes			
		• ( )	No	×		
	N.T. A -TETE T	THE OF CO.			Domestic <sup>2</sup>	z     1
3)	NATU	TRE OF COMPANY			Leof	Int.
(		THER QUALIFICATION CR.	TED			
		INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER COMEN, enclose a copy)	15?		Yes	No V
		THER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENT	TS?		Yes	No V
		, enclose a copy)				
						mara .
		THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT OOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDD			Yes Yes	No No
				V		
(	WASI	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BI	DDERS?		Yes	No
ev-	water	THER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST E	ZATITAT	TED.	V   F	The IT
		BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies		LED	Yes	No L
	0.00000000					
200		THER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHN PLIANT?	ICALLY		Yes	No No
		THER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	QUOTE	D PRICE	ES WERE	READ OUT AT
	THET	TME OF OPENING OF BIDS?			Yes	No No
)	WHEI	THER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO	BIDDER	S BEF	ORE THE	AWARD OF
٦	CONT	RACT? h copy of the bid evaluation report)				
	(Fittaci	A			Yes	No V
					1.0	leloh.
		h 11			X	GC .

(If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No X	
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS (	GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE /	DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No X	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSI (If yes, give reasons)	Yes Yes	
	No ×	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITE (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes Yes	
	No X	
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V		
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.	PICIAL THE PROCURING AGIVITHATE PROCUREMENT? IF SO GO OF UT, IF A PROAD:  Yes  ON MUSILIZATION OVANCE	ENCY TO TH
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.	HEIAL HE PROCURING AGIVITH A PROCURING AGIVITH A PROCURING AGIVITH A PROAD:  Yes  ON MUSILIZATION VANCE	ENCY TO TH , DETAILS TO
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED	PICIAL THE PROCURING AGIVITHATE PROCUREMENT? IF SO GO OF UT, IF A PROAD:  Yes  ON MUSILIZATION OVANCE	ENCY TO TH , DETAILS TO
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	ON MUSILIZATION OVANCE	ENCY TO TH , DETAILS TO
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	ON MUSILIZATION OVANCE  Yes  Yes  Yes	ENCY TO TH , DETAILS TO
SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION V BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	ON MUSILIZATION OVANCE  Yes  Yes  Yes	ENCY TO TH , DETAILS TO

Jet & MC Silverelish

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Works & Services Departr	ment (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No:	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013	
3. Tender Description/Name of	Khan Banglani / At	ad from Karampur - Mangsi Road to Lashka ttai Khan Banglani Including Link from Ali himabad - Thul Road at Village Jangul.
4. Method of Procurement:	Local Competative Bidding	(LCB)
5. Tender Published: No Print & E	lectronic Media (SPPRA ID No.	& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	Five	
7. Total Bids Received:	our	
8. Technical Bid Opening date:	(if applicable) NA	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified	ed (if applicable): NA	,
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA		
11. Financial Bid Opening date:	29-11-2013	
so puls landa parasi		

#### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	130,407,996	7,996 1 <sup>st</sup> 1.03% Above Responsiv Lowest		1 133% Above	Responsive/ Lowest	
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	134,870,285	2 <sup>nd</sup>	4.49% Above			
3.	M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	137,269,993	3 <sup>rd</sup>	6.35% Above			
4.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	141,272,628	4 <sup>th</sup>	9.45% Above			

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

MK.

releti

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

# TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

33	NIANE	OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Works & Services Department, (Sindh)				
1)		INCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	Provincial				
2)	TITLE OF CONTRACT Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh)						
4)		ER NUMBER	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013				
5)		DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Recondition of Road from Karampur - Mangsi Road JA-1				
6)		M THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	ECNEC				
7)		ER ESTIMATED VALUE	Rs. 129,037,824				
8)	ENGIN	NEER'S ESTIMATE vil works only)	Rs. 129,037,824				
9)	ESTIN	MATED COMPLETION PRIOD (AS P	ER CONTRACT) 1 Year				
10)		ER OPEN DON ( ATE & TAKE)	29-11-2013				
11)		BER OF TEN SPACECULENTS OLI i list of buyers)	) Five				
12)	NUME	BER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Aur				
13)	NUME	BER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT	TIME OPENING OF BIDS Four				
14)		VALUATION REPORT se a copy)	<b>Y</b>				
15)	NAME	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF					
16)	CONT	RACT AWARD PRICE	130,407,99				
17)	RANK	ING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV., 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).	VALUATION REPORT M/S. Agha Muhammad In & Co.				
	(1.6. 1	,2 ,5 Evaluation diag.	M/s. Muhammad Ramz & Co.				
			M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.				
18)	метн	OD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Ti	ck one)				
	a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE X Domestic/ Local				
	b)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE				
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDUR	RE				
	d)	TWO STAGE - TWO ENVELOPE B	IDDING PROCEDURE				
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e				
		EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTIN	G ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS				

MK

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT 20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN? 21) ADVERTISEMENT: 21) SPPRA Website 21) (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.) 22) Now Spapers 23) Whether Of Company of newspapers and dates) 24) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA 25) WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER BUSCOMENTS? 26) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA 26) WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? 27) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBSAMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 28) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBSAMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 27) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBSAMETHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING? 28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies) 29) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY 29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS? 30) WHETHER VALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  21) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)				an Interna	ational Cooperation Agency
21) ADVERTISEMENT:  i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  ii) News Papers (If yes, give nanues of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CORNEAG  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CREATE WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER BOCKMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITE WAS OBS (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	19) APPF	ROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTR	ACT	-	
21) ADVERTISEMENT:  i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CORNEAC  22) NATURE OF CORNEAC  22) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRUENCY  WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER SOCKMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBSERVED IN NO WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDING?  27) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBSERVED IN NO WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDING?  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	20) WHE	THER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED I	N ANNU	AL PRO	
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  No x  ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  Yes  No x  22) NATURE OF CONCRAC  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CROSS WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDERLIDGE MENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBS METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  NO  YES					Yes No
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  No	21) ADV	ERTISEMENT:			1
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  Yes  No	i)		Yes		
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  No		(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)	No	×	
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  No	ii)	News Papers	Yes		
22) NATURE OF CORRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CREEN WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER PROCEEDINGS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	1216				
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER BICKMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBS MID FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDINGS (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)		• • ( )	No	х	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER BICKMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBS MID FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDINGS (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	2011211700				Demontral of Last
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER LOCEMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBT OF THE WAS OBT OF THE WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	22) NAT	URE OF COMPLETE			total V IIII.
(If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBT METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	23) WHE	THER QUALIFICATION OR TENDER OF THE	TS?		
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OB METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)					Yes No v
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OB METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)			<b>A</b>		
WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORIT WAS OBT TO FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  Yes No	WAS	INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUME	TS?	V =	Yes No
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  Whether the successful bid was lowest evaluated bid (in case of Consultancies)  Whether the successful bidder was technically compliant?  Whether the successful bidder was technically compliant?  Whether the successful bidder was technically compliant?  Whether names of the bidders and their quoted prices were read out at the time of opening of bids?  Whether evaluation report given to bidders before the award of contract?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	(If ye	s, enclose a copy)	N	4	
26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  Yes No  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No	25) WHE	THER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORI		OBT	D FOR USING A
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No  Yes No	MET	HOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDE		V	Yes No
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No	26) WAS	BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE B	IDDERS?	7	Yes V No
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No				•	
COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No				ΓED	Yes No
COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No					
29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No			NICALLY		Yes No
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No Solution No Solution Service Serv					
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No V	29) WHI	ETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR	QUOTE	D PRIC	
CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No	THE	TIME OF OFENING OF BIDS:			Yes V No
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)  Yes No			BIDDEF	RS BEF	FORE THE AWARD OF
Steldi 213					Yes No v
1 mk 8:8 213					, Di
DJ 7 MK 5.9 213		Just (			release
John John John John John John John John		1 × 0	1/1		2.13
			10	1	P ()

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED (If yes, result thereof)	Yes	
	No	x
32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS	GIVEN IN THE TEN	DER NOTICE / DOCUMENT
(If yes, give details)	Yes	
	No	×
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONS (If yes, give reasons)	SE TIME? Yes	
	No	×
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITI (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	ERIA Yes	
~ X )	No	×
35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING BLACK LISTED?		Yes V No
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)	FFICIAL HE PE WITH THE PROTUE NG OWN IT, IF A	Yes No No ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ROAD:
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING.	FREIAL HE PI WITH THE PROTUR NG OWNIT, IF A PE	Yes No No ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD:
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED	FREIAL HE PI WITH THE PROTUR NG OWNIT, IF A PE	Yes No No ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ADDRESS NO VANCE PAYMENT
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	FREIAL HE PI WITH THE PROTUR NG OWNIT, IF A P O ON MESILIZATE	Yes No No ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ADDRESS NO VANCE PAYMENT
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY	FFICIAL HE PI WITH THE PROJUE NG O WAIT, IF A F O ON MOSILIZATE C.)?	Yes No  ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD:  Yes No VANCE PAYMENT  Yes No
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	FFICIAL HE PI WITH THE PROJUE NG O WAIT, IF A F O ON MOSILIZATE C.)?	Yes No  ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO AD:  Yes No VANCE PAYMENT  Yes No
36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION OF BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCIN (If yes, enclose a copy)  37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)  38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	FFICIAL HE PI WITH THE PROJUE NG O WAIT, IF A F O ON MOSILIZATE C.)?	Yes No  ROCURING AGENCY TO THE REMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO ROAD:  Yes No VANCE PAYMENT  Yes No

The Me giller

1. Name of Procuring Agency:	Works & Services Depart	ment (Sindh)
2. Tender Reference No:	PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013	
3. Tender Description/Name of		oad from Karampur - Mangsi Road along with Link To Yaro Bijarani / Mitho Bijarani gwani
4. Method of Procurement:	Local Competative Bidding	(LCB)
5. Tender Published: No Print & E	lectronic Media (SPPRA ID No.	& News papers names with dates)
6. Total Bid documents Sold; _	Five	
7. Total Bids Received:F	our	
8. Technical Bid Opening date:	(if applicable) NA	(Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualifie	ed (if applicable): NA	
10. Bid(s) Rejected: NA		
11. Financial Bid Opening date:	29-11-2013	

#### 12. Bid Evaluation Report:

5 No	Name of Firm or Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparison with Estimated cost	Reasons for acceptance/ rejection	Remarks	
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	M/S. Barkatullah Balouch Construction Co.	96,830,800	1 <sup>st</sup>	2.23% Above	Responsive/ Lowest		
2.	M/s. Muhammad Ramzan & Co.	103,764,195	2 <sup>nd</sup>	9.55% Above			
3.	M/s. Syed Pir Ali Shah.	109,157,842	3 <sup>rd</sup>	15.25% Above			
4.	M/S. Agha Muhammad Khan & Co.	117,868,740	4 <sup>th</sup>	24.44% Above		ļ	

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.

\* MK

9.50

#### CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

# TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

20		OF THE ORGANIZATION ADDRESS	Works & Services Departr	ment, (Sindh)				
-		OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	Provincial					
2) 3)		INCIAL / LOCAL GOVT / OTHER OF CONTRACT	Rural Roads Construction Project - II (Sindh) PD(RRCP-II)/122/2013					
		ER NUMBER						
(1)	PER HANGE	DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Recondition of Road from	Karampur - N	Mangsi Road SHA-7			
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME ECNEC							
, TEMPER ESTIMATED TIMES								
3)		vil works only)	10.05,110,000					
0	ESTIM	NATED COMPLEXION PRIOD (AS P	ER CONTRACT) 1 Yes	ar				
0)	TEND	ER OPEN D ON ( ATE & TO (E)	29-11-2013					
1)		BER OF TEN DOCUMENTS OLI h list of buyers)	) Five					
2)	NUME	BER OF BIDS RECEIVED	Aur .					
3)	NUME	BER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT	TIME OPENING O	F BIDS Fou	If (			
4)		VALUATION REPORT se a copy)	<b>Y</b>	WILLIAM V.				
5)	NAME	AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF	TUIL SODI M/S. rke	atullah Balouc	h Construction Co.			
6)	CONT	RACT AWARD PRICE	96,830,800	1				
17)	RANK	ING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN E	VALUATION EPO T	onstructio	n Co			
	(i.e. 1*	, 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>nt</sup> EVALUATION BID).	M/s Muhammad Ramz		11 00.			
			M/S. Syed Pir All Shah					
(8)	METH	OD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (T	ck one)					
	a)	SINGLE STAGE - ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE	×	Domestic/ Local			
	ь)	SINGLE STAGE - TWO ENVELOP	E PROCEDURE					
	c)	TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDU	RE					
	d)	TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE B	IDDING PROCEDURE	D				
		PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTIN			WAS ADOPTED			

THE S

\* nk

8.19 ne

		NO APPENDICA	rae mere	nen ni i		I DOG	Vet III.E	APPENDED	VE AN	
20) WH	ETHER THE PROCUE	REMENT W	AS INCLU	DED IN A	NNU	LL PRC	Yes		VO VO	m
213 AD	/ERTISEMENT :						Lance of the land			1
17.130				Y	s				П	
i)	SPPRA Website (If yes, give date a	nd SPPRA	Identificatio	n No.)						
				N	,	×				
ii)	News Papers (If yes, give name	of newspa	pers and date	es) Ye	s					
	• (	•		N	,	×				
2) NAT	URE OF COM BAC						Distant	7	Int.	
6		Λ	. (						H	
WA	ETHER QUALIFICAS S INCLUDED IN BIDI	ON CRA	NDER C	MENTS?					Ц,	( <del></del> )
(If y	es, enclose a copy)		A				Yes		No:	
WA	ETHER BID EVALUA S INCLUDED IN BIDI			U TS?	1		Yes		No	1
(If y	es, enclose a copy)		4		J	A.				
	ETHER APPROVAL O				AS C	R.T.	D FO	R USIN	G A	
ME	THOD OTHER THAN	OPEN CON	MPETITIVE	BIDDARG			Yes		No	Ш
(6) WA	S BID SECURITY OB	TAINED F	ROM ALL T	THE BIDD	RS?	7	Yes	1	No	
	ETHER THE SUCCES / BEST EVALUATED				UAT	ED	Yes		No	
DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF T	ETHER THE SUCCES	SFUL BID	DER WAS	TECHNICA	LLY		Yes	7	No	
	ETHER NAMES OF T		ERS AND T	HEIR QU	OTED	PRICI	Yes		D O	TAT
60) WH	ETHER EVALUATION	ON REPOR	RT GIVEN	то віс	DER:	BEF	ORE T	HE A	WAR	D OF
	TRACT? sch copy of the bid eval	luation repo	ort)				Yes		No	7
	1.0		1					eloli		
	0 14	-	1				7	0		2/3

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPE (If yes, give details)	ECIFICATIONS GIVE	1	DER NOTICE	/DOCUMENTS
		Yes	×	
33) WAS THE EXTENSION MAD (If yes, give reasons)	DE IN RESPONSE TH	ME? Yes		
		No	x	
34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIF (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	ATION CRITERIA	Yes	F	
	<b>(</b> ).	No	×	
BLACK LISTED?  36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY AN SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN C BE ASCERTAINED REGARD (If yes, enclose a copy)	CONNECTION WITH	E PRESUR	EMENT? IF	GENCY TO THE SO, DETAILS TO
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUAR THE CONTRACT (BANK GU.		MULIZAD	VANC	E PAYMENT IN
38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF A	NY	Yes		
(If yes, give Brief Description)				
37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUAR THE CONTRACT (BANK GU.	ARANTEE ETC.)?		VANC	E P

Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

my six relation

#### LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

# ATTENDED THE BID OPENING MEETING HELD ON 29<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER 2013 IN THE OFFICE OF PROJECT DIRECTOR JAPANESE ASSISTED RURAL ROADS CONSTRUCTION PROJECT -II SINDH HYDERABAD

S.NO.	NAME	DESIGNATION	SIGNATURE
1.	Shappust Hussei Moghed	P. D.	\$-
2.	AGNA SIKANDER HAYAS	D. A. o	4
3.	Nobuo Kobayashi	KEI. Teamlerdes	. Micho Keleh
4.	S. KAZIM MEHDI	DTL	8.1cg.
5.	Barketwich Balon	M·D.	Bowier
6.	J. Pir ALi Shel	Prop:	( m'
7.	Distribility C		andies
8.			
9.			
10.			
11		1)	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			