

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency : EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION S.B.A
- 2 Tender Reference No: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division S.B.A
TC/G-55/ 762 dt:12-11-2013
- 3 Tender Description /Name of Work/Item: W/Recond;/Construction of road from saeedabad to
Shaheed Benazirabad road including Birdge over Rohri
Cannal mile 6/3-12/3.
- 4 Method of procurement : single stage one Envelope Procedure.
- 5 Tender Published : Leading News Paper (Sobh, Kawish, The News & others)
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID. No.& News Papers Name with dates)
- 6 Total Bid Documents Sold : 4Nos
- 7 Total Bid Recived : 4 Nos
- 8 Technical Bid Opining date (if applicable) N/A (provide details in separate form)
- 9 No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
- 10 Bid (s) Rejected : 3 Nos
- 11 Financial Bid Opninig date : 10-12-2013
- 12 Bid Evaluation Report : 537.345838 (M)

s.#	Name of Firm of Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparision with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance rejection	Remaks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Abdul Ghaffar Memon	537.345838 (million)	1 st Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.30 % Below B) 19.60% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 8.25% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A)5.20 % Below B) 19.77% Above C) 8.25 % Below	Lowest Bid Being Approved.	Rate Approved by Chief Engineer Highways Hyderabad.


Cont: P No.2

SPPRA INWARD LAMR
NO: 8316

DATED: 16/11/13

2	M/S Niaz Mohd Khan & Brothers	541.902417 (million)	2nd Lowest .	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.15 % Below B) 19.75% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 7.70% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A) 2.17 % Below B) 19.85% Above C) 8.10 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected
3	M/S Sachal Engineerinf Works	542.290447 (million)	3Rd Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.08 % Below B) 19.83% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 7.35% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A) 2.10 % Below B) 19.97.0% Above C) 8.00 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected
4	M/S Umar Jan &Co	542.290447	4th Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.0% Below B) 19.95% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 7.0% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A) 2.0 % Below B) 20.10% Above C) 7.90 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected

Signature of the Members of the Committee (Sheet a tteched sapratly on page No. 03)



Cont: P No.3


SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS,
SERVICES & GOODS.

- 1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION DEPTT: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Divi: Shaheed Benazirabad.
- 2). PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT: /OTHER: Provincial Government
- 3). TITLE OF CONTRACT Widening: W/Recon/ Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shaheed Benazirabad road including Birdge over Rohri Canal mile 6/3-12/3.
- 4). TENDER NUMBER: E.E.Provl: Highways Divi: S.B.A NIT No.TC/G-55/762 dt:12-11-2013
- 5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT: _____
- 6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: (ADP)
- 7). TEMDER ESTIMATED VALUE: 500.00(Million)
- 8). ENGINEER ESTIMATE
(For civil works quality). _____
- 9). ESTIMATED COMPLITION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 18 Months
- 10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE &TIME) 10-12-2013
- 11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SLOD 4 Nos
(Attech list of buyers)
- 12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED : 4 Nos
- 13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 4 Nos
- 14). BID EVALAUATION REPORT : Enclosed
(Enclose a copy)
- 15). NAME AND ADDERSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/S Abdul Ghaffar Memon
- 16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE: 495.0604(M)
- 17). RAKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT: (1) M/S Abdul Ghaffar Memon
(i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4 th EVALUATION) (2) M/S Niaz Mohammad Khan & Brothers
(3) M/S Sachal Engineering Works
(4) M/S Umar Jan & Co.
- 18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)
- A) SINGLE STAGE -ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic / local
- B) SINGLE STAGE -TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- C) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____
- D) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____
- PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY DIRECT CONTRACTING E.T.C WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT


 Executive Engineer
 Provincial Highways Division
 Shaheed Benazirabad

20). WHETHER THE PRUCUREMNT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAST

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

I) SPPRA Website

(If yes , give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3121	dt: 31-11-2013.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>

II) News Papers

(If Yes , give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT:

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA

WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS ?

(If yes , enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

24). WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA

WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS ?

(If yes , enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A

METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

27). WETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST AVATUATED

BID/ BEST AVALUATION BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

29). WHETHER NAMES OF BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE

TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30). WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attached copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

31). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes , result there of)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32). ANY DEVIATION FORM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes ,give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

34). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give details reasons)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

35). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURAING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LIST.

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36). WAS IT VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER OFFICIALS OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIERS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT SO DETAILS TO BE ASSERAIINED REGARDING FINANCING VISIT IF ABROAD?
(If yes, enclosed a copy)

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37). WHERE PROPER SAFEFUARDS PROVIED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE E.T.C)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38). SPECIAL CONDITION IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____







EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Provincial Highway Divlslon
Shaheed Benazir Abad

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

**TENDER OPENING COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD.**

Name of work:- W/R & Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shaheed Benazirabad road i/c Bridge over Rohri Canal road mile **6/3-12/3**





PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD HELD ON 10-12-2013.

S.NO:	Name of Officers.	Committee Members.	Signature.
1)	Syed Manzoor Hussain Shah Project Manager, (P.I.U) Hyderabad Mirpurkhas Dual Carriage way Project, Hyderabad.	Chairman.	
2)	Syed Afaq Hyder Shah, Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Secretary/Member.	
3)	Mr. Zareef Iqbal Khero, Executive Engineer, L.B.O.D Drainage, Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Member.	
4)	Syed Sikendar Ali Shah, Assistant Engineer, Provincial Highways Sub-Division, Nawabshah.	Member.	
5)	Agha Aijaz Ali, Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Highways Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Member(Earth Metical).	

ATTENDANCE SHEET OF PARTICIPATED IN TENDER PROCESS IN
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD.

Name of work :- W/R & Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shahjed Benazirabad
road including Birdge over Rohari Canal road mil. 6/3-12/13

PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD HELD ON 10-12-2013.

S.NO:	Name of Contractor /firms .	Signature.
01)	M/S Umer Jan & Co	
02)	M/S ARNUL GHAFFAR MEMON	
03)	M/S Sachal Engineering works.	
04)	M/S Niaz Mubad Ichan and Bros.	


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD