

BID EVALUATION REPORT

- 1 Name of Procuring Agency : EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION S.B.A
- 2 Tender Refrance No: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Division S.B.A
TC/G-55/ 745 dt:06-11-2013
- 3 Tender Description /Name of Work/Item: W/Recond:/Construction of road from saeedabad to
Shaheed Benazirabad road including Birdge over Rohri
Cannal mile 12/3-20/4
- 4 Method of procurement : single stage one Envelope Procedure.
- 5 Tender Published : Leading News Paper (Dawn Kawish . Sobh & others)
Print & Electronic Media (SPPRA ID. No.& News Papers Name with dates)
- 6 Total Bid Documents Sold : 4Nos
- 7 Total Bid Recived : 4 Nos
- 8 Technical Bid Opning date (if applicable) N/A (provide details in separate form)
- 9 No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable) N/A
- 10 Bid (s) Rejected : 3 Nos
- 11 Financial Bid Opning date : 05-12-2013
- 12 Bid Evaluation Report : 581,541,443.00

SPPRA ID: 11111
 NO: 8327
 DATED: 16/12

s.#	Name of Firm of Bidder	Cost offered by the Bidder	Ranking in terms of cost	Comparision with Estimated Cost	Reasons for acceptance rejection	Remaks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	M/S Bhattai Buildrs	581,541,443	1 st Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.10 % Below B) 19.88% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 7.5% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A) 5.12 % Below B) 19.88% Above C) 7.50 % Below	Lowest Bid Being Approved.	Rate Approved by Chief Engineer Highways Hyderabad

2	M/S Umar Jan & Co	581853218	2nd Lowest .	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.05 % Below B) 19.92% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 7.0% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A)5.05 % Below B) 19.95% Above C) 7.22 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected
3	M/S Mohammad Ramzan & Co	582177918	3Rd Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 2.0 % Below B) 19.99% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 6.70% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A)5.0 % Below B) 20.0% Above C) 7.00 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected
4	M/S Abdul Ghaffar	582880236	4th Lowest.	Part (A) Improvement (I) A) 1.88 % Below B) 20.10% Above C) Non Schedule Item D) 6.0% Below Part (B) Construction (II) A) 4.85 % Below B) 20.15% Above C) 6.70 % Below	Highest Bid Hence rejected.s	Rejected

Signature of the Members of the Committee (Sheet a tteched sapratly on page No. 03)

[Handwritten signature]

Cont: P No.3

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

**TO BE FILED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS,
SERVICES & GOODS.**

1). NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION DEPTT: Executive Engineer Provincial Highways Divi: Shaheed Benazirabad.

2). PROVINCIAL /LOCAL GOVT: /OTHER: Provincial Government

3). TITLE OF CONTRACT Widening: W/Recon/ Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shaheed Benazirabad road including Birdge over Rohri Canal mile 12/3-20/4.

4). TENDER NUMBER: E.E.Provl: Highways Divi: S.B.A NIT No.TC/G-55/745 dt:06-11-2013

5). BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT: _____

6). FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: (ADP)

7). TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: 650.00(Million)

8). ENGINEER ESTIMATE 530.5070(M)
(For civil works quality).

9). ESTIMATED COMPLITION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT) 18 Months

10). TENDER OPENED ON (DATE &TIME) 05-12-2013

11). NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SLOD 4 Nos
(Attech list of buyers)

12). NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED : 4 Nos

13). NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 4 Nos

14). BID EVALAUATION REPORT : Enclosed
(Enclose a copy)

15). NAME AND ADDERSS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: M/S Bhattai Builders

16). CONTRACT AWARD PRICE: 581.541443(M)

17). RAKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT: (i.e 1st, 2nd, 3rd & 4 th EVALUATION)
(1) M/S Bhattai Builders
(2) M/S. Umar Jan & Co.
(3) M/S. Mohammad Ramzan & Co
(4) M/S. Abdul Ghaffar Memon

18). METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED (Tick one)

A) SINGLE STAGE -ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE Domestle / local


B) SINGLE STAGE -TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

C) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE

D) TWO STAGE TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e EMERGENCY DIRECT CONTRACTING E.T.C WITH BRIEF REASONS

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT


 Executive Engineer
 Provincial Highways Division
 Shaheed Benazirabad

20). WHETHER THE PRUCUREMNT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAST

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

21). ADVERTISEMENT:

I) SPPRA Website

(If yes , give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3066	<input type="checkbox"/>
		dt: 7-11-2013.	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

II) News Papers

(If Yes , give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

22). NATURE OF CONTRACT:

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Int	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	-----	--------------------------

23). WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS ?

(If yes , enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

24). WHETHER EVALUATION CRITERIA
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING TENDER DOCUMENTS ?

(If yes , enclose a copy)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

25). WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHOORITY WAS OBTAINIED FOR USING A
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

26). WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

27). WETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST AVATUATED
BID/ BEST AVALUATION BID (in case of consultancies)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

29). WHETHER NAMES OF BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE
TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------

30). WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?

(Attached copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--------------------------	--------------------------

31). ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes , result there of)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

32). ANY DEVIATION FORM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes ,give details)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

33). WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

34). DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give delaits reasons)

Yes		No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-----	--	----	-------------------------------------

35). WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURAING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LIST.

Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No	
-----	-------------------------------------	----	--

36). WAS IT VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER OFFICIALS OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIERS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT SO DETAILS TO BE ASSERAIINED REGARDING FINANCING VISIT IF ABROAD?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

37). WHERE PROPER SAFEFUARDS PROVIED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE E.T.C)?

Yes		No	
-----	--	----	--

38). SPECIAL CONDITION IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer







EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
Provincial Highway Division
Shaheed Benazir Abad

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

TENDER OPENING COMMITTEE OF PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD.

Name of work:- W/R & Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shaheed Benazirabad
road i/c Bridge over Rohri Canal road mile 12/3-20/4

PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD HELD ON 05-12-2013.

S.NO:	Name of Officers.	Committee Members.	Signature.
1)	Syed Manzoor Hussain Shah Project Manager, (P.I.U) Hyderabad Mirpurkhas Dual Carriage way Project, Hyderabad.	Chairman.	
2)	Syed Afaq Hyder Shah, Executive Engineer, Provincial Highways Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Secretary/Member.	
3)	Mr. Zareef Iqbal Khero, Executive Engineer, L.B.O.D Drainage, Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Member.	
4)	Syed Sikendar Ali Shah, Assistant Engineer, Provincial Highways Sub-Division, Nawabshah.	Member.	
5)	Agha Aijaz Ali, Divisional Accounts Officer, Provincial Highways Division, Shaheed Benazirabad.	Member(Earth Metical).	

ATTENDANCE SHEET OF PARTICIPED IN TENDER PROCESS IN
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD.

Name of work:- W/R & Construction of road from Saeedabad to Shaheed Benazirabad
road i/c Bridge over Rohri Canal road mile *12/3-20/4*

PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD HELD ON 05-12-2013.

S.NO:	Name of Contractor/ Firms.	Signature.
1)	M/s Ramzan & Co.	
2)	M/s Umer Jan & Co	
3)	M/s Bhattai Builders	
4)	M/S ABDUL GAFFAR MEMON	


EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PROVINCIAL HIGHWAYS DIVISION
SHAHEED BENAZIRABAD