SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF

WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (E.W) N.FEROZE

2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK

4) TENDER NUMBER: 01

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 1000000/-

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS.1000000/-

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 12-11-2013

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)

14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari:

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.995264/-

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari

2. Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu

3. Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	Domestic/ Local
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE	
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE	

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**

2. Tender Reference No: **XEN(E.W)/TC/2818, dated 02-10-2013**

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari(C/Wall).

4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage** one Envelope Procedure

5. Tender Published: **Website**

6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**

7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 12/11/13 *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 nos -----

10. Bid(s) Rejected: nil any. -----

11. Financial Bid Opening date: **12-11-2013**

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
0	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Qadir Bux Sahito	995264	1st Lowest	4735 Saving	1st Lowest	Agreement Hence accepted
2.	Mr. Deedar Ali Sahu	1006736	2nd Lowest	6736 Excess	2nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari	1009318	3rd Lowest	9318 Excess	3rd Lowest	Rejected

(SHAMSUDDIN UJJAN)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Div: N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze (Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes		No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	✓
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	✓

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	✓

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

(2)

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (E.W) N.FEROZE

2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK

4) TENDER NUMBER: 01

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari (Arrow Grills).

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 480000/-

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS.480000/-

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 12-11-2013

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)

14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari:

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.461905/-

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari

2. Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu

3. Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

		Domestic/ Local
a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE	✓	
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE		
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE		
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE		

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**

2. Tender Reference No: **XEN(E.W)/TC/2818, dated 02-10-2013**

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School G8PS Rais Photo Khan Zardari(Arrow Grills).

4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage** one Envelope procedure

5. Tender Published: **Website**

6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**

7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 12/11/13 *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 nos -----

10. Bid(s) Rejected: No any. -----

11. Financial Bid Opening date: **12-11-2013**

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Qadir Bux Sahito	461905	1st Lowest	18095 Saving	1st Lowest	lowest. Hence accepted.
2.	Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu	463600	2nd Lowest	16400 Saving	2nd Lowest	Rejected
3.	Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari	465141	3rd Lowest	14859 Saving	3rd Lowest	Rejected

(SHAMASUDDIN UJJAN)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Div: N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze (Chairman)

even

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	✓

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION
KAUSHAHRO FEROZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (E.W) N.FEROZE

2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT

3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: CIVIL WORK

4) TENDER NUMBER: 01

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari (External Development).

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: P&D DEPARTMENT

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: RS. 500000/-

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: RS.508000/-

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): 12 MONTHS

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): 12-11-2013

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: 03 NOS.

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: 03 NOS.

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS (ALL)

14) BID EVALUATION REPORT : ATTACHED

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari:

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE RS.506473/-

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). 1. Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari

2. Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu

3. Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____	Domestic/ Local
b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____	
c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____	
d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____	

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**

2. Tender Reference No: **XEN(E.W)/TC/2818, dated 02-10-2013**

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari (External Development)

4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage** one Envelope Procedure

5. Tender Published: **Website**

6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**

7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 12/11/13 *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 of 03 ----

10. Bid(s) Rejected: No any ----

11. Financial Bid Opening date: **12-11-2013**

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Qadir Bux Sahito	506473	1st Lowest	1527 Saving	1st Lowest	
2.	Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu	508289	2nd Lowest	289 Excess	2nd Lowest	
3.	Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari	509500	3rd Lowest	1500 Excess	3rd Lowest	

(SHAMSUDDIN UJJAN)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Div: N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze (Chairman)

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	✓

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION
NAUSHAHRO FEROZE

SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

4

CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

1) NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT. **EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (E.W) N.FEROZE**

2) PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER: **PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT**

3) TITLE OF CONTRACT: **CIVIL WORK**

4) TENDER NUMBER: **01**

5) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT : **Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari (Water Supply & Sanitary Fittings).**

6) FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME: **P&D DEPARTMENT**

7) TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE: **RS. 500000/-**

8) ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE: **RS.505000/-**

9) ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PER CONTRACT): **12 MONTHS**

10) TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME): **12-11-2013**

11) NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD: **03 NOS.**

12) NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED: **03 NOS.**

13) NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS **(ALL)**

14) BID EVALUATION REPORT **: ATTACHED**

15) NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER: **Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari:**

16) CONTRACT AWARD PRICE **RS.503335/-**

17) RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EVALUATION REPORT

(i.e. 1st, 2nd, 3rd EVALUATION BID). **1. Mr. Qadir Bux Zardari**

2. Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu

3. Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari

18) METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED : - (Tick one)

a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____ Domestic/ Local

b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE PROCEDURE _____

c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BIDDING PROCEDURE _____

PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e. EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING / NEGOTIATION ETC. WITH BRIEF REASONS:

Bid Evaluation Report

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **Executive Engineer (Education Works) Naushahro Feroze.**

2. Tender Reference No: **XEN(E.W)/TC/2818, dated 02-10-2013**

3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: Up-gradation of Primary School to Middle School GBPS Rais Photo Khan Zardari (Water Supply & Sanitary Fittings).

4. Method of Procurement: **Single stage** one Envelope Procedure

5. Tender Published: **Website**

6. Total Bid documents Sold; **03 Nos.**

7. Total Bids Received: **03 Nos.**

8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) 12/11/13 *(Provide details in separate form)*

9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable): 03 nos -----

10. Bid(s) Rejected: No any -----

11. Financial Bid Opening date: **12-11-2013**

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

S No	*Name of Firm or Bidder*	*Cost offered by the Bidder*	*Ranking in terms of cost*	*Comparison with Estimated cost*	*Reasons for acceptance/ rejection*	*Remarks*
	1	2	3	4	5	6
1.	Mr. Qadir Bux Sahito	503335	1st Lowest	1665 Saving	1st Lowest	
2.	Mr. Deedar Ali Sohu	505069	2nd Lowest	69 Excess	2nd Lowest	
3.	Mr. Shoukat Ali Zardari	506145	3rd Lowest	1145 Excess	3rd Lowest	

(SHAMSUDDIN UJJAN)
Divisional Accounts Officer
Education Works Division
Naushahro Feroze

(AFTAB AHMED MEMON)
Assistant Engineer
Education Works Sub-Division
Naushahro Feroze (Member)

(ZAHOORUDDIN UJJAN)
Assistant Engineer
Public Health Engineering
Sub-Div: N.Feroze (Member)

(IRSHAD AHMED MEMON)
Executive Engineer
Education Works Division

19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT XEN

20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?

Yes	✓	No	

21) ADVERTISEMENT :

i) SPPRA Website
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)

Yes	
No	

ii) News Papers
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)

Yes	
No	

22) NATURE OF CONTRACT

Domestic/ Local	✓	Int.	

23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes	✓	No	

25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?

Yes		No	✓

26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?

Yes	✓	No	

27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)

Yes	✓	No	

28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?

Yes	✓	No	

29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?

Yes	✓	No	

30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?
(Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)

Yes	✓	No	

31) ANY COMPLAINTS RECEIVED
(If yes, result thereof)

Yes	
No	✓

32) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN THE TENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
(If yes, give details)

Yes	
No	✓

33) WAS THE EXTENSION MADE IN RESPONSE TIME?
(If yes, give reasons)

Yes	
No	✓

34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA
(If yes, give detailed reasons.)

Yes	
No	✓

35) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY THAT THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT BLACK LISTED?

Yes	✓	No	

36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF THE PROCURING AGENCY TO THE SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROCUREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISIT, IF ABROAD:
(If yes, enclose a copy)

Yes		No	✓

37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOBILIZATION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?

Yes	✓	No	

38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY
(If yes, give Brief Description)

Yes	
No	✓

Signature & Official Stamp of
Authorized Officer _____

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
EDUCATION WORKS DIVISION
MAUSHAHRO FEROZE

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY